# OHS RFP 20-06 Homeless Outreach and Engagement Housing Scoring Rubric

**Agency Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_** **Total Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

# 

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Housing First Emphasis Total Possible Score (PS): 15 points [3 Excellent] [2 Fair] [1 Poor] [0 Unacceptable] | PS | Score |
| Housing First is a homeless assistance approach that prioritizes providing housing to people experiencing Homelessness, thus ending their Homelessness and serving as a platform from which they can pursue personal goals and improve their quality of life |  |  |
| 1. Does the proposal describe flexible services that facilitate housing access and housing stability as quickly as possible for individuals experiencing Homelessness? | 3 |  |
| 1. Is housing offered without preconditions and barriers to entry, such as sobriety, treatment, or service participation requirements? | 3 |  |
| 1. Do the Supportive services proposed offered to maximize housing opportunities and stability and prevent returns to Homelessness as opposed to addressing predetermined treatment goals prior to permanent housing entry? | 3 |  |
| 1. Does the proposal describe an approach that people need basic necessities like food and a place to live before attending to anything less critical, such as getting a job, budgeting properly, or attending to mental health or substance use issues? | 3 |  |
| 1. Does the proposal demonstrate that tenant choice is valuable in housing selection as well as supportive service participation, and that exercising that choice is likely to make an individual more successful in remaining housed and improving their life? | 3 |  |
| **Total Score** | 15 |  |
| **COMMENTS:** | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Qualifications and Experience Total Possible Score (PS): 30 points [8-9 Excellent] [5-7 Good] [3-4 Fair] [1-2 Poor] [0 Unacceptable] | PS | Score |
| 1. The proposer’s experience and capacity in providing **Outreach and Engagement**, the length and type of experience it has working with the homeless, outcomes of the programs/services it provides, experience with similar services, experience working with local homeless services agencies, and the experience level of key staff. | 9 |  |
| 1. The proposer’s experience and capacity in providing **Housing Navigation**, the length and type of experience it has working with the homeless, outcomes of the of programs/services it provides, experience with similar services, experience working with local homeless services agencies, and the experience level of key staff. | 9 |  |
| 1. The proposer’s experience and capacity in providing Case Management, the length and type of experience it has working with the homeless, outcomes of the of programs/services it provides, experience with similar services, experience working with local homeless services agencies, and the experience level of key staff. | 9 |  |
| 1. Experience working in the Central Valley: Colton, Fontana, Rialto and San Bernardino, Bloomington, Muscoy and Highland | 3 |  |
| Total Score | 30 |  |
| **COMMENTS:** | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Technical Review Total Possible Score (PS): 25 points [5 Excellent] [4 Good] [2-3 Fair] [1 Poor] [0 Unacceptable] | **PS** | **Score** |
| 1. The extent to which the proposed project addresses the eligible services as described in the RFP. | 5 |  |
| 1. The extent to which the proposed project addresses the focus on those experiencing chronic homelessness. | 5 |  |
| 1. The extent to which the proposed project addresses strategies and interventions in engaging and assisting homeless individuals. | 5 |  |
| 1. The extent to which the proposed project addresses how it will ramp up staffing and services. | 5 |  |
| 1. The extent to which measurable outcomes will be tracked and reported. | 5 |  |
| **Total Score** | 25 |  |
| **COMMENTS**: | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Cost Review DBH FISCAL TO REVIEW Total Possible Score (PS): 20 points [8-10 Excellent] [5-7 Good] [3-4 Fair] [1-2 Poor] [0 Unacceptable] | **PS** | **Score** |
| 1. The proposer’s proposed staffing budget costs are adequate and realistic to complete the project. | 10 |  |
| 1. The proposer’s proposed housing costs are adequate and realistic to complete the project. | 10 |  |
| **Total Score** | 20 |  |
| **COMMENTS**: **DBH** **FISCAL TO REVIEW** | | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| References Total Possible Score (PS): 10 points [8-10 Excellent] [5-7 Good] [3-4 Fair] [1-2 Poor] [0 Unacceptable] | **PS** | **Score** |
| 1. The proposer’s ability to demonstrate satisfactory performance of similar work, work product, and demonstrated knowledge and expertise. | 10 |  |
| **Total Score** | 10 |  |
| **COMMENTS**: | | |
| **Total Points Awarded** | 100 |  |

Scorer Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_