

**Mountain Regional Steering Committee Homeless Housing, Assistance, and Prevention  
(HHAP-3) Scoring Rubric**

Agency Name \_\_\_\_\_

Total Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Housing First Emphasis</b>	<b>Pass (P) or Fail (F)</b>	<b>Yes (Y) or No (N)</b>	<b>Y/N</b>	<b>P/F</b>
a) Does the proposed project conform to California and the Continuum of Care's (CoC's) Housing First policy?				
b) Does the applicant organization emphasize housing first practices that include rapid placement and stabilization for housing permanency without service participation requirements or preconditions?				
			<b>Pass or Fail</b>	N/A
<b>COMMENTS:</b>				

<b>Addressing Regional Needs</b>	<b>Total Possible Score (PS): 30 points</b>	<b>PS</b>	<b>Score</b>
a) The extent to which the proposed project addresses one or more of the Strategies to Achieve Outcome Goals outlined in the CoC HHAP-3 Local Homelessness Action Plan.		12	
b) The extent to which the proposed project addresses an identified Regional priority for eligible use of HHAP-3 funding, if applicable.		5	
c) The extent to which the proposed project addresses an identified or otherwise unmet Regional service need.		5	
d) The extent to which the Applicant has an existing service presence within the Region.		8	
		<b>Total Score</b>	30
<b>COMMENTS:</b>			

<b>Project Focus</b>	<b>Total Possible Score (PS): 25 points</b>	<b>PS</b>	<b>Score</b>
a) The extent to which the proposed project is for a HHAP-3 eligible use. (If no, score 0 for entire category)		Y/N	
b) The extent to which the proposed project helps to expand or develop regional capacity to address immediate homelessness challenges.		5	
c) The extent to which the proposed project applies a best-practice framework focused on moving homeless individuals and families into permanent housing and/or supporting the efforts of those individuals and families to maintain their permanent housing		10	
d) The extent to which the proposed project will expend funds on evidence-based solutions that address and prevent homelessness among eligible populations		10	
		<b>Total Score</b>	25
<b>COMMENTS:</b>			

<b>Coordination and Collaboration</b>	<b>Total Possible Score (PS): 20 points</b>	<b>PS</b>	<b>Score</b>
a) The extent to which the proposed project supports regional coordination and collaboration to address immediate homelessness challenges.		5	
b) The extent to which the applicant will coordinate the proposed project activities through the CoC coordinated entry system and within the CoC homeless crisis response system structure.		5	
c) The extent to which the proposed project involves a multi-agency/entity collaboration		5	
d) The extent to which the applicant leverages funding and resources in collaboration with other agencies/entities.		5	
		<b>Total Score</b>	20
<b>COMMENTS:</b>			

<b>Experience and Capacity</b>	<b>Total Possible Score (PS): 25 points</b>	<b>PS</b>	<b>Score</b>
a) The applicant's experience and capacity in providing similar services, the length and type of experience it has working with homeless service populations, the quality of programs/services it provides and the experience level of key staff.		12	
b) The applicant's ability and capacity to begin implementation of the proposed project upon HHAP-3 contract execution		4	
c) The extent to which the applicant's proposed project supplements and does not supplant any current program funding		1	
d) The applicant's ability and capacity to document, track and report eligible use of funds and measurable outcomes as required by the state.		4	
e) The applicant's proposed budget costs are adequate, efficient, and realistic to complete the project and meet proposed outcomes		4	
<b>Total Score</b>		25	
<b>COMMENTS:</b>			

<b>Total Points Awarded</b>	100	
-----------------------------	-----	--

Scorer Name \_\_\_\_\_