Minutes for San Bernardino County Homeless Partnership Interagency Council on Homelessness (ICH)

June15, 2016 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. Department of Behavioral Health-Training Institute 303 E. Vanderbilt Way San Bernardino, CA 92415

Minutes Recorded and Transcribed by Amy Edwards, Secretary I, Office of Homeless Services

TOPIC	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME		
Call to Order	Supervisor Josie Gonzales, Chair	The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m.		
Introductions	Supervisor Josie Gonzales, Chair	Introductions were made by all ICH Members. Guests were also invited to introduce themselves.		
REPORTS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME		
Homeless Provider Network (HPN)	Sharon Green	 The Homeless Provider Network (HPN) continues to look into the city ordinances within each region. Our Next All County HPN Meeting is scheduled for July 20, 216 from 1:00-3:00 p.m. here at the auditorium (850 E. Foothill Blvd, Rialto, CA 92376) 		
Office of Homeless Services (OHS)	Tom Hernandez	 On June 3, 2016, the Southern California Continuum of Care (CoC) Leadership group had our annual meeting in Pasadena to share information. Representatives of Southern California CoCs meet regularly to review regional, state and national best practices, methods of increasing inter-county collaborations, information sharing, planning and CoC application preparation. This annual meeting provides a forum for the Southern California CoCs to prepare jointly for responses to potential HUD and CoC related application questions and improve coordination of services between all Southern California Continuums. In addition, this allows the Office of Homeless Services to compare the score received in the HUD Homeless Assistance 2015 competition with other CoCs in Southern California. The meeting will assist our agency in improving our responses for the 2016 CoC competition The San Bernardino County Continuum of Care (CoC) was selected as one of the CoCs being asked to gather for a single day action planning session focused on considering local action, housing, and health care within the context of state and federal activity. The workshop was a one-day session held in San Diego at Point Loma Nazarene University as part of the implementation of the state's plan to end chronic homelessness. 		
		The Action Planning Session on Housing and Health Care involved experienced facilitators working with each participating community's key regional sector stakeholders to identify opportunities for combining subject-matter expertise and building healthcare and housing systems that work together to address unmet needs.		
		Technical Assistance provided to the San Bernardino County CoC included profiling the populations at the intersection of HUD-assisted housing and health care assistance, assessing their affordable housing and healthcare needs, services currently being provided, and the current payment sources for those services. Most critically, participants developed a strategy and action plan to close the gap between needs and services.		

- The following agencies took part in the discussion:
 - Department of Behavioral Health
 - Foothill AIDS Project
 - Hi-Desert Family Health Clinics (Federally Qualified Health Center)
 - Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino
 - Inland Empire Health Plan
 - Lighthouse Social Services
 - Molina Healthcare
 - Office of Homeless Services
 - Various representatives from state and federal housing/healthcare agencies
- The following topics were reviewed:
 - Partnering to improve the coordination and delivery of housing, human services, and healthcare
 - Improving Access to Care: Assessing the Housing, Treatment, and Service Gaps
 - Innovative Partnerships and Funding Opportunities to Close the Service/Treatment Gaps
 - Integrated Data Collection and Analysis: Identifying the Population at the Intersection of Housing and Health Care Assistance
 - Developing a Concrete Action Plan to Close Identified Gaps
- The Office of Homeless Services (OHS) Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) section routinely monitors agencies
 data quality to ensure accuracy and meet the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) standards for data
 quality maintenance. Attached you will find a copy of the latest HMIS Data Quality Report for the month of May, 2016 (see
 attached, Report 2A).
- Agency report cards are posted for review on individual agencies participating in HMIS at the following website: http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp/HMIS.aspx
- The U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH) and its 19 federal member agencies have adopted a vision of what it means to end homelessness in this country. In order to help focus and drive progress, they have developed specific criteria and benchmarks for communities to use as they take action toward goals set forth in Opening Doors. Criteria and benchmarks work together to provide a complete picture of a community's response to homelessness. While the criteria focus on describing essential elements and accomplishments of the community's response, a benchmark serves as an indicator of whether and how effectively that system is working. These criteria and benchmarks represent the administration's best thinking at this time and USICH will continue to review and evaluate their effectiveness as more communities approach and succeed in meeting these goals. For more information, please visit the website at: https://www.usich.gov/news/usich-hud-release-criteria-and-benchmark-for-ending-chronic-homelessness

even worse, be forced to live on the street,
in parks, under overpasses, or other places not fit for human habitation.
• Lack of a good paying job, the need for job skill training, as well as, in some cases, the need for other social service assistance,
including various types of counseling, are among the primary barriers to many Inland Empire area residents not being able to
have a place to live. However, the major obstacle that exists in both San Bernardino County and Riverside County is a lack of
basic housing inventory, particularly in entry-level and affordable rental units. California Apartment Association data shows that
the vacancy rate in the Inland Empire is less than 3%.
 According to the 2016 Point-In-Time (PIT) count, conducted independently in both San Bernardino and Riverside counties, there
are on a daily basis more than 4,000 men, women and children in the Inland Empire that cannot afford an adequate place to live in our community.
• The annual count statistics show that there are 2,165 homeless individuals in Riverside County, including 1,351 unsheltered and
814 temporarily sheltered.
In San Bernardino County, the total number is 1,887, with 1,191 unsheltered
and 696 temporarily sheltered.
The good news is that both counties reported a 12% decrease in the homeless population in 2016 over 2015. However, at the
current rate of reduction, it could take more than eight years to house the population that experiences homelessness on an annual
basis
without an adequate place to live in the Inland Empire area.
The Riverside County homeless population is concentrated in six primary geographic areas: Riverside (258),
Perris/Hemet/Temecula (209), Indio/Coachella (129), Jurupa Valley (113), Palm Springs/Cathedral City (110), and Corona (83).
• In San Bernardino County, the same population demographic is centered in four specific areas: San Bernardino (564),
Upland/Ontario/Fontana (499), Victorville/Barstow (344), and Redlands (148).
The major challenge that must be overcome is a significant lack of permanent housing throughout the Inland Empire to provide an
adequate, affordable place
to live for the vast majority, more than 60%, of the current homeless population.
 There are a total of 1,297 emergency and transitional living beds (including hotel vouchers) as well as 1,025 permanent
supportive beds in Riverside County, and an additional 200 emergency beds and 1,727 permanent supportive beds in San
Bernardino County.
 Most of the permanent supportive housing (PSH) units in both counties are already devoted to serving low income barrier families,
as well as physically and mentally disabled individuals who will require various supportive services on an ongoing basis.
 More than 2,400 individuals annually, primarily single men and women, still need permanent housing in the two-county area.
There is a critical need to develop, either by renovating existing units or building new units, at least 2,200 permanent housing
units throughout the Inland Empire, the majority of which should be one-bedroom or studio floorplans.
 While San Bernardino County and Riverside County, as well as several local jurisdictions, have strong and effective Housing
Authority and Housing Development operations, there are not enough construction and operational entities that can
actually build the permanent housing inventory needed in the Inland Empire.
The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation, Housing Partners 1, The Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority of San Bernardino (HACSB) has a non-profit development operation. The Housing Authority
whose mission it is to acquire land and develop new permanent housing units as well as the acquire and rehab existing housing
units. In addition, there are local agencies like the Riverside Housing Development Corporation (RHDC) and the Coachella Valley

Housing Committee

Ray Osborne

Inland Empire has a serious housing crisis. Every day there are thousands of individuals and families in San Bernardino and Riverside counties who don't have the financial resources, often due to circumstances beyond their control, to be able to

afford a place to live. As a result, they have to share inadequate living space with family, relatives or friends, live in their car, and

		Housing Coalition that can develop new housing units or rehab existing housing units.
		There is only one non-profit organization, the Building Industry Association (BIA) HomeAid Inland Empire charitable operation, where solve the respect to the res
		whose sole mission is to renovate or build homeless shelter facilities in San Bernardino and Riverside counties.
		 Development and construction companies, like Community Renaissance (CORE),
		the Related Companies, and Metta Corporation, have varying presence levels in the Inland Empire focused on creating and
		building large scale affordable housing projects in the two-county area.
		There is a need to identify more building companies as well as to attract others to become more actively involved with helping to develop the inventory of permanent
		and permanent supportive housing needed in the Inland Empire.
		While there appears to be adequate funding available to provide supportive social services for individuals and families who also
		need housing, there is a definite lack of funding to build the Permanent Supportive Housing inventory required to
		house the population in the Inland Empire that does not have the financial resources to afford an adequate place to live.
		• Intensive focus needs to be given to finding the public and private funding sources that it will take to build the critically-needed short-term and long-term permanent housing units required to house the more than 2,400 people annually who currently are
		without housing in San Bernardino and Riverside counties.
		The first step in this process will be to determine the amount of funding that will be required to acquire and renovate existing housing units, as well as to acquire the land and build new affordable permanent housing units.
		The same of the state of the same and same from the same of the sa
Probation	Laura Davis	Update on Housing RFP:
		o Release of RFP June 15, 2016
		o Deadline for Submission of Questions July 5, 2016, 3:00pm (Pacific)
		 Mandatory Proposal Conference July 12, 2016
		o Deadline for Proposals August 2, 2016, 5:00pm (Pacific)
		 Tentative Date for Awarding Contract September 2016
		Questions: Contact Sean Engelhart – <u>sengelhart@prob.sbcounty.gov</u>
Legislative Reports	Otis Greer	I have an update on the No Place Like Home Initiative. This will take some Mental Health dollars and turn them into Competitive Grant dollars.
		• The language just came out this week and it is now a vehicle, AB1618. The County has taken an opposed unless amended position on this bill as the County will be at a disadvantage. The dollars for the grant evaluation would include the Point In time Count as it exists today, and while the County has done a great job of getting our numbers down that would put us at a disadvantage when applying for grants. So on one hand they are taking away the mental health dollars that we use so resourcefully and on the other hand we are at a disadvantage to drawing down on these new dollars.
Board Agenda Review Report	Kent Paxton	Board items of interest from the May 24, 2016 meeting:

		Department of Behavioral Health: This item is a Grant Application To The Department of California Health Care Services For the Federal McKinney Projects For Assistance In Transition From Homelessness Program 2016-17 in the amount of \$497,462 to provide Mental Health Services to individuals who have severe mental illness and are homeless or at risk of becoming homeless for the period of July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.
		 Department of Behavioral Health: This item is an Amendment to a Non-Financial Memorandum of Understanding with the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino For No Child Left Unsheltered program.
		 Department of Behavioral Health; This item is the Release of One-Time Mental Health Services Act Housing Program Funds and to rescind the request approved by the Board of Supervisors on March 22, 2016, to release one-time MHSA Housing Program funds in the amount of \$250,000.
		Transitional Assistance Department: This item is An Amendment To Contract With Housing The Authority of the County of San Bernardino For California Work Opportunity and Responsibility To Kids Housing Support Program Services approving amendment No. 3, effective July 1, 2016, to Contract No. 14-962 with the HACSB, updating standard contract language, extending the contract for an additional one-year period, and increasing the total contract amount by \$1,759,106 from \$2,835,012 to \$4,594,118, for the total contract period of January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2017.
		Mousing Authority: This item is an Amendment to A Revenue Contract With The Transitional Assistance Program For Cal-Works Housing Support Program Services updating the standard contract language, increasing the contract amount by \$1,759,106 from \$2,835,012 to \$4,594,118 and extending the contract for an additional one year period for a total contract period of January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2017.
		Mousing Authority: This item is an Amendment To The Contract With Knowledge and Education For Success (KEYS) For Housing Navigation Services for the Cal-WORKS Housing Support Program increasing the contract amount by \$1,689,106 for a total cost from \$2,716,012 to \$4,405,118 and extending the contract period for an additional year, for a contract period of January 1, 2015 through June 30, 2017.
Housing Authority Report	Lisa Jones	• Effective Thursday, May 26 2016 we began accepting pre-applications for Yucaipa Horizons, a brand new project-based senior community located in the city of Yucaipa. This waiting list will be open for Seniors 55+ who qualifies for 1 and 2 bedrooms. Yucaipa Horizons is still under construction and is not scheduled for completion until December 2016. Please bear in mind this is a projected completion date and may change
		 In addition, we will also open our Region 1 waiting list for 3-bedroom Project-Based units. Region 1 covers the Bloomington, Colton, Fontana, Loma Linda, Mentone, Redlands, Yucaipa and Rancho Cucamonga areas.
		 Ongoing Open Waiting Lists: Valencia Grove, Redlands – 4 bed San Bernardino, Redlands – 4 and 5 bed Chino, Montclair and Rialto – 3 bed (Chino/Colton 4 and 5 bed) Adelanto, Apple Valley, Hesperia, Victorville – 3 bed Barstow – 2, 3, 4 and 5 bed Joshua Tree, Twenty-nine Palms, Yucca Valley – 2, and 3 bed

Transportation Webinar	Debra Watkins	 Senior Housing: Victorville, Twin Peaks, 1 and 2 bed San Bernardino, Studio and 1 bed Fontana, Redlands, Yucaipa – 2 bed Barstow – 1 bed The webinar was held on Thursday June 9, 2016. The power point slides can be viewed and downloaded on the Office of Homeless Services website, www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp The purpose of the webinar was to share our solutions in addressing the homeless needs as it relates to transportation. It also addressed who the program would serve, for example the general homeless population, homeless veterans, and unaccompanied youth. We described how the program would be funded using sponsorship dollars. We also covered specific examples of how the program could be integrated into current programs underutilized due to transportation barriers such as the Re-Entry Program, Vet Services, TAY, and STAY programs. We also covered the issue of homeless youth who suffer the greatest due to the lack of transportation. For example they count on the free lunch program throughout the school year for their meals and during the summer months when school is out they may have to travel further to receive those services, so giving them a onetime use bus pass can help them get to the location where they can receive free lunch. We received great feedback and great questions from our participants. The biggest question is when can we see this program go live and how do we get those passes?
CONSENT ITEMS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Approve minutes of the May 25, 2016, ICH meeting	Supervisor Gonzales, Chair	A motion was made to accept the consent item as submitted. All were in favor, none opposed or abstained. Motion carried.
DISCUSSION	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME
Adopt the Interagency Council on Homelessness Recommendation for Potential Reallocation of CoC Transitional Housing Programs for the 2016 CoC Competition	Tom Hernandez	 We have a list of the CoC recipients. The competition was very competitive this year, but we did very well. We managed to get most of our funding; unfortunately we did lose one program, Foothill Family Shelter to the tune of \$34,000. It was a transitional housing program and unfortunately HUD cut transitional housing programs across the country. HUD cut roughly \$150 million in transitional housing funds in comparison to last year; however we gained about \$250 million more in permanent housing programs in comparison to last year. Riverside lost \$900,000 for transitional housing and Orange County lost ten transitional housing programs. Los Angeles took 58 transitional housing programs and reallocated them to permanent housing programs and in doing so they were awarded back all their money plus some. HUD is moving away from transitional housing and going towards rapid rehousing and permanent housing programs.
	Dr. Joe Colletti	In regards to HUD's direction to transitional housing, HUD wants their funding to go towards a different type of transitional

ost transitional housing dollars, that money is permanently lost. That Is what brings us to this discussion today. Using was still requested and some programs were funded. HUD still has transitional housing funds through 2017. The recommending is that we move the particular funding from the five remaining transitional housing programs, that is Council on Homelessness looks at reallocating that money by moving it from transitional housing to permanent the same agencies could apply for that money if they want to pursue permanent housing or rapid rehousing. We committee will review all RFP's, score them, and make their recommendations to ICH who will make the final does not to reallocate these funds we can take the chance of applying for transitional housing funds with the remanently losing those funds. If discussion relates to unspent funds. Historically we have had agencies with unspent funds, HUD is really looking and are data driven, so we need to show that we are essentially working. It the date for 2013, 2014, and 2015 and we have agencies that consistently leave money on the table. Those de-obligated from the CoC. \$469,000 was the highest amount de-obligated. Busly reallocated funds from the Housing Authority to fund other projects in order to prevent losing it. We are going
unspent funds of the Housing Authority today but let me make it clear that they are not the only program that ves money on the table.
e are looking at is unspent funds. The amount of unspent funds for past CoC grants from the U.S. Department of the Development (HUD) to the Housing Authority of the County of San Bernardino (Housing Authority) has - \$122,451 - \$398,783 - \$578,517 - \$1,099,752 unds will be returned this year in an amount greater than \$1 million. ondence with HUD has confirmed that a contract can be amended to shift funds from one budget line item to as the same number of units are subsidized with rental assistance. spent funds to be reallocated and submitted as new program funding requests. For example, \$400,000 from ity unspent funds were reallocated in 2015 for a Coordinated Entry System (CES) and awarded by HUD. ent unspent funds in the future, all budgets for all 2015 renewals should be amended to: ce the amount of rental assistance to include the amount needed to continue to subsidize all occupied units and excess funds to other budget line items to prevent unspent funds. et for an appropriate level of case management services and hire case managers. ase administration from 7% to 10%. remaining funds should be reallocated and submitted as new program funding requests.
ri (i)

mendations we are recommending to the council because ultimately we want to work with our ent funds. Unspent funds go back to the treasury and lose them permanently. That is money we individuals. Oblem with reallocation what so ever. We understand there is a bigger picture and as part of our of the goal is to really have strong outreach and housing opportunities. It was given two grants which were ten year grants. When sized they made a mistake and said they should never have been 10 year grants, they only should the money sits in an account we cannot access as that money does not belong to us nor does it if we could not go beyond five years so that money just sits there unable to be touched. That was not does held by HUD. It to to to to years we did not have supportive services or outreach. Shelter plus stance that is it with a 7-8% administrative fee to try and keep all the other pieces rolling. They services. Int of Behavioral Health (DBH) we literally would not have been able to serve the clients we did. Sources to fund supportive services, but they did not have outreach back then and neither did we
when the goal is to really have strong outreach and housing opportunities. It here and that is the Housing Authority was given two grants which were ten year grants. When ized they made a mistake and said they should never have been 10 year grants, they only should to money sits in an account we cannot access as that money does not belong to us nor does it we could not go beyond five years so that money just sits there unable to be touched. That was ade by HUD. It to to to just the first the plus stance that is it with a 7-8% administrative fee to try and keep all the other pieces rolling. They services. Int of Behavioral Health (DBH) we literally would not have been able to serve the clients we did. Sources to fund supportive services, but they did not have outreach back then and neither did we
igators. So back in 2011 and 2012 we had grants that were going under-utilized. Sting in the HOPE team and DBH carved out resources for the HOST team those referrals began to up quickly. In across all of our grants. On some grants our lowest is 92% and some are as high as 200% received. In across our grants. On some grants our lowest is 92% and some are as high as 200% received. In across our grants is for 287 families, we are currently serving 366 families. We are are funded for. With that said we still have money on the table, particularly in the last year and a ffice of Inspector General) audit. It is audit still has not been resolved. When the OIG comes in and tells you that you are doing pent \$3 million you take a step back and stop bringing in more clients in fear of losing more ere we were in a slump because we feared we were going to have to pay back \$4 million to speaking with the HUD field office we felt confident enough to start issuing vouchers again. ross the board on all of our grants and we have 9 out searching. We are projecting 190 leases our grants across the board under the current model we are projecting approximately \$81,009 to e available for reallocation, if we look at it through the Supportive Services Model as suggested by \$24,334 to reallocate.
nize the amount of money that is reclaimed or deobligated by HUD. These figures are based on
e f

Depending on HUD guidance, one of two steps should be accomplished:

funds should be reallocated; or

1. Budgets for renewals for Housing Authority grants to be included in the 2016 CoC application should be adjusted to include amount needed to subsidize all occupied units, case management services, and 10% administration. Remaining

2. Budgets for all renewals should be submitted to HUD as part of the 2016 CoC application as is; however, budgets

	projections for next year.
CaSonya Thomas	• The challenge for this council is not to dispute the projection or spending plan before us but to make a difficult decision based on current experiences making the current reallocation total remain competitive or if there is an alternative solution to accomplish that, what would that be so the council can determine the better path to pursue? Meaning, if we don't reallocate these funds in this way, what is the other alternative?
Tom Hernandez	We had a similar conversation last year when we reallocated \$324,000 and that was based on the projections of where we were last year. Our intent here is to minimize unspent funds and we are looking to do that by shifting unspent funds to case management.
Brent Schultz	How much money are you asking us to reallocate? I think we all agree that we don't want to leave any money on the table, but what is the amount we are looking at?
Tom Hernandez	We don't have an actual amount pinned down. What we are asking the council to do is really two things. Move to approve the reallocation of all transitional housing projects to permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing and to authorize the Office of Homeless Services to work with all of the CoC agencies to determine how much funds can be reallocated.
Don Smith	The bottom line is we aren't being asked to approve a specific amount today, we are being asked to agree that the parties need to sit together and determine that amount, is that correct?
Sharon Cisneros	Which programs are on the table for reallocation?
Tom Hernandez	 The agencies with Transitional Housing projects are: Central City Lutheran for St. Martin's Too - \$22,297 Life Community Development for Restore to Hope - \$84,346 Life Community Development for Project Gatekeeper - \$165,610 Salvation Army for Transitional Living Center - \$292,203 Salvation Army for Path to Prosperity - \$158,521 For a total of \$723,000
Gary Madden	I think this is a really good plan. We are looking at the immediate, but we need to look towards the future. We are on the cusp of a major shift in the way funds are being awarded to those that are doing good work. We have an advantage because we received an increase in funding due to the fact we are moving in the direction that HUD is going towards.
Supervisor Gonzale Chair	 We can see where HUD began to shift direction in a convoluted way. We saw the direction was moving from transition housing to permanent housing without them specifically stating that. We need to figure out through the reallocation of funds a way to ensure we lose as little as possible.
Don Smith	 I would like to have seen this recommendation in writing so we could have seen the agencies this is impacting. Is there going to be an opportunity for these agencies that are directly impacted to comment.
Tom Hernandez	 Yes, they can speak on this item during public comment. We even have one agency here today that has already requested to speak on this item.
Don Smith	Proposed that the agencies directly affected by this change get some kind of priority when applying for these reallocated funds.

		A motion was made to Adopt the Interagency Council on Homelessness Recommendation for Potential Reallocation of CoC Transitional Housing Programs for the 2016 CoC Competition as well as Authorize the Office of Homeless Services to work with the Housing Authority and all other CoC agencies to determine the amount of funds that can be reallocated for the. There was a second by Sharon Cisneros. All were in favor, none opposed or 4-abstained (Angela Pasco, Brent Schultz, CaSonya Thomas, and Gary Madden). Motion carried.		
PUBLIC COMMENTS	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME		
		 Major Daniel Henderson Alice Varela 		
COUNCIL ROUNDTABLE	PRESENTER	ACTION/OUTCOME		
	Anthony Brazier Virginia Marquez	 I went to a meeting in Joshua Tree that addressed the transportation issues we speak about. The Public Transit has dollars for Human Services Transportation. They are going around the County assessing the needs and their target is health care access. They will be having another meeting in August, we need to be there. They have money and funding is going unused. We can't complain about transportation issues if we aren't utilizing the resources available to us. The agenda item for Mary's Village was originally scheduled for the July 5th meeting however at the request of the applicant it has been moved to July 18th. We have received a few letters of support; it is a very important project. If you wish to submit a letter of support please contact my office. 		
Adjournment	Supervisor Gonzales, Chair	Being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 11:12 a.m.		
Next Meeting		Wednesday, August 24, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m. DBH – Administration, Conference Room 109A/B 303 E. Vanderbilt Way San Bernardino, CA 92408		

Office of Homeless Services 303 E. Vanderbilt Way • San Bernardino, CA 92415 Phone: (909) 386-8297 • Fax: (909) 890-0868

Email: homelessrfp@hss.sbcounty.gov • Website: http://www.sbcounty.gov/dbh/sbchp/

Attendees at June15, 2016 • Interagency Council on Homelessness					
BECERRA	MARLA	Housing Coordinator	626-498-4101	shelter@desertmana.org	
BEHLING	JACKIE	Global One Development	310-365-4928	behlingsj@aol.com	
BRAVO	ALEXANDRA	Manager Community Engagement	909-503-8739	Alexandra.bravo@molinahealthcare.com	
BRAZIER	ANTHONY	Foothill AIDS Project	909-884-2722	abrazier@fapinfo.org	
BURGUAN	SHELBY	City of San Bernardino	909623-2273	sburguan@sbrda.org	
CISNEROS	SHARON	Finance Manager – Town of Yucca Valley	760-369-7207 x229	scisneros@yucca-valley.org	
COLE	BONNIE	Co-Exec	909-920-0453	Bonnie1@foothillfamilyshelter.org	

CORONA	LORENA	Chaffey College	909-952-6568	Lorena.corona@chaffey.edu
DAVIS	LAURA	Probation Department	909-382-7869	
DOWDY	BRENDA	Superintendent of County Schools	909-386-2634	brenda dowdy@sbcss.k12.ca.us
DRAKE	SUSAN	BOS 1st District		Susan.drake@bos,sbcounty.gov
DREWS	RON	CEO – LSS-SC	714-244-4263	rdrews@lsssc.org
EDWARDS	AMY	DBH-OHS	909-386-3765	AEdwards@dbh.sbcounty.gov
ESTRADA	EDDIE	US Vets	951-212-0277	eestrada@usvetsinc.org
FUTCH	JOHN	3rd District	909-387-4855	john.futch@bos.sbcounty.gov
GALVAN	LIZBETH	HACSB		
GOMEZ	SOCORRO	DBH		sgomez@dbh.sbcounty.gov
GONZALES	JOSIE	Board of Supervisors – Fifth District	909-387-4565	igonzales@bos.sbcounty.gov
GREEN	SHARON	Victor Valley Family Resource Center	760-887-1909	sgreen@vvfrc.com
GREER	OTIS	Government Relations Analyst	909-387-4383	Otis.Greer@cao.sbcounty.gov
GRIFFIN	RON	Consultant	909-841-6001	askrongriffin@msn.com
GUEVARA	FRANK	Director – Veterans Affairs	909-387-5527	frank.guevara@va.sbcounty.gov
HALL	MEREDITH	Senior Director	619-971-1554	mhall@lsscommunitycare.org
HAUGAN	LINDA	Asst. Executive Officer- Human Services Department	909-387-4717	lhaugan@hss.sbcounty.gov
HENDERSON	DANIEL	Major	909-991-6189	daniel.henderson@usw.salvationarmy.org
HERNANDEZ	TOM	Homeless Services Officer	909-386-8297	thernandez@dbh.sbcounty.gov
HILL	JASON	DBH-IT	909-388-0903	
JONES	MIKE	SBCSD - HOPE		mjones@sbcsd.org
JONES	LISA	HACSB		
JONES	LARRY	Bishop	909-961-9282	
KIRKLAND	ELIZABETH	Valley Star Behavioral Health	760-853-4888	ekirkland@starsinc.com
LAWSON	DESIREE	City of Rancho Cucamonga	909-477-2700	Desiree.Lawson@cityofrc.us
LITTLE	JEFF	Inland Temporary Homes		jeff@ithomes.org
LURIE	TODD	Integrated Transitional Resources	909-917-8450	Toddlurie@gmail.com
MADDEN	GARY	Director - Inland Empire United Way	909-980-2857 ext. 211	gmadden@ieuw.org
MANZO	MARICELA		909-980-2857	mmanzo@ieuw.org
MARQUEZ	VIRGINIA	Council Member	909-384-5268	marquez_vi@sbcity.org
METU	ANNE	Project Director	909-708-9621	Anne.metu@usw.salvationarmy.org
MILLER	JENNIFER	Intern/SMMC	285-317-7317	Jennifer.miller2@stjoe.org
MIMS	BRANDON	City of San Bernardino Housing	909-663-2273	bmims@sbrda.org
MURPHY	ERICKA	SB Mayors Office	909-384-5133	Murphy-er@sbcity.org
MYLES	ANGELA	Consultant	909-436-5241	amyles@vmaconsultingllc.com
MYLES	VICTOR			
NEHAMEN	MEGAN	Co-Exec Director	909-923-0453	megan@foothillfamilyshelter.org
NICKOLS-BUTLER	PATRICIA	Community Action Partnership	909-723-1514	pnickols-butler@capsbc.org
NORFOLK	GEORGINA	DBH-HMIS	909-386-8281	GNorfolk@dbh.sbcounty.gov
OSBORNE	RAY	Executive Director - HomeAid Inland Empire	951-686-0628	ray@homeaidie.org
PACHECO	JENNIFER	Department of Behavioral Health	909-421-4687	jpacheco@dbh.sbcounty.gov

PARKER	STEVE	Loma Linda VA		Steve.parker2@va.gov
PASCO	ANGELA	Exec. Director - New Hope Village, Inc.	760-256-3656	newhopevillageinc@gmail.com
PAXTON	KENT	BOS 4th District		Kent.paxton@bos.sbcounty.gov
PEASE	AMBROSIA	Admin Assistant	760-912-1818	staff@desertmanna.org
PERKINS	LOIS	Life Community Development	760-246-0691	jazzlewis@aim.com
PERRY	SYLVIA	ITR	909-910-4676	Slyperry1966@gmail.com
RESCH-SILVESTRI	JENNIFER	Senior Director	909-427-4270	Jennifer.A.Resch-Silvestri@kp.org
RIVERA	ALBERTO	Outreach	951-269-1119	arivera@usvetsinc.org
ROCHELLE	MARGARET	Program manger	909-881-6146	rochellem@ibhealth.org
RYMER	CHRIS	City of Colton	909-372-6172	crymer@ci.colton.ca.us
SACHS	OLIVIA	CRS II	909-382-7100	odelavina@sachealthsystem.org
SALAZAR	FRANK	County Counsel	909-387-5442	fsalazar@cc.sbcounty.gov
SCHULTZ	BRENT	Housing and Municipal Service Director - Ontario	909-395-2317	bschultz@ci.ontario.ca.us
SCHULTZ	BRENT	Housing and Municipal Service Director - Ontario	909-395-2317	bschultz@ci.ontario.ca.us
SHORETT	FRED	Council member	909-224-2141	fredshorett@charter.net
SMITH	DON	Creating Community Solutions		donsmithsolutions@outlook.com
SWEITZER	MICHAEL	DBH		
TAD	SIKORA		626-319-9601	
THOMAS	CASONYA	Director - DBH	909-382-3080	cthomas@dbh.sbcounty.gov
UMINSKI	ROGER	Director of Health Admin – IEHP	909-890-2941	Uminski-r@iehp.org
VALDEZ	SAM	Salvation Army – Director	909-567-4759	Sam.valdez@vsw-salvationarmy.org
VARELA	ALICE	President/SKIP	562-881-6573	avarela@skipwithus.org
WATKINS	DEBRA	NECON Inc.	714-654-8078	d.watkins@neconinc.info
YOST	MATT	SBSD-HOPE	909-387-0623	myost@sbcsd.org
YOUNG	BRUCE		760-967-9270	Love386@aol.com
YOUNG-LOWE	KARYN	Lighthouse Social Service Center	951-871-3533	karynyl@lighthouse-ssc.org