

**2023 Letter of Intent to Renew Coc Renewal Projects Rubric
(as approved by the Grant Review Committee)**

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| CoC Annual Renewal Demand: | \$14,377,308 |
| Tier 1 Amount: | \$13,370,896 |
| Tier 2 Amount: | \$1,006,412 |

| | | | | | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | Agency | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | NHV | SUS | TFCF | US Vets | HASBC | HASBC | LSSC | KEYS | HASBC | ITH | LSSC | HASBC | IVHP | KEYS | Agency Family Assistance Program | Agency Inland SoCal 211 | Agency SBC-OHS |
| Item in Guideline / LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale (% rounded to nearest whole) | (Data source or Verification) | New Hope Village, Tool | Step Up in San Bernardino | Homes of Hope | U.S. Vets SB PH | Laurelbrook | Cornerstone | Hope for Heroes | Keys for Life | Lanternwoods | Infinite Horizons | PH for Homeless with HIV/AIDS | Gateway | Family Stabilization | Keys for Success | DV Coalition | CES | HMS |
| Section I: | System Performance Measures | 30 | | | \$45,843 | \$2,681,672 | \$433,560 | \$1,255,841 | \$443,004 | \$3,633,423 | \$1,003,477 | \$281,281 | \$186,134 | \$581,602 | \$84,696 | \$228,918 | \$170,621 | \$341,736 | \$2,352,206 | \$403,136 | \$250,158 |
| 1 | Measure #2-Percentage of Persons Exit Homeless to Permanent Housing Destination & Return to Homelessness within 2 years. Lower % represents a positive outcome | 10 | 0-25% = 10 pt 26-50% = 8 pts 51-75% = 6 pts 76-100% = 0 pts | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | Agency requested and was granted a Program Year (PY) extension. The PY has not expired for this project. | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Measure #4-Percentage of Income Growth for Homeless Persons - Leavers & Stayers- Higher % represents a positive outcome | 10 | Sum 2a + 2b below | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a | Metric 4.3 5 pts. Maximum- % Program <u>Stayers</u> with increased income | 5 | 30-100% = 5 pts 20-29% = 4 pts 15-19% = 3 pts 9-14% = 2 pts 5-8% = 1 pts 0-4% = 0 pts | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 | | N/A | N/A |
| 2b | Metric 4.6 5 pts. Maximum- % Program <u>Leavers</u> with Increased Income | 5 | 30-100% = 5 pts 20-29% = 4 pts 15-19% = 3 pts 9-14% = 2 pts 5-8% = 1 pts 0-4% = 0 pts | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Measure 7- % of Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful placement in or retention of PH-Higher % represents a positive outcome | 10 | Points from 3a OR 3b below | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3a | RRH Projects - Metric 7b.1 – PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations | 10 | 80-100% = 10 pts 50-79% = 5 pts 0-49% = 0 pts | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | | | | | | | | 10 | | 10 | | | 10 | 0 | | | |
| 3b | PSH Projects - Metric 7b.2 – PH-PSH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing | 10 | 80-100% = 10 pts 50-79% = 5 pts 0-49% = 0 pts | Project Level System Performance Measure Report 10/1/2021-9/30/2022 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | 10 | | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section I | | 30 | | | 25 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 29 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 14 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

**2023 Letter of Intent to Renew Coc Renewal Projects Rubric
(as approved by the Grant Review Committee)**

| Item in Guideline / LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale (% rounded to nearest whole) | (Data source or Verification) | NHV | SUS | TFCF | US Vets | HASBC | HASBC | LSSC | KEYS | HASBC | ITH | LSSSC PH For Homeless with HIV/AIDS | HASBC | IVHP | KEYS | Family Assistance Program | Inland SoCal 211 | SBC-OHS |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Section II: | Recipient Compliance with Grants and Financial Management: | 30 | | | New Hope Village, Tool | Step Up in San Bernardino | Homes of Hope | U.S. Vets SB PH | LaurelBrook | Cornerstone | Hope for Heroes | Keys for Life | Lanternwoods | Infinite Horizons | Gateway | Family Stabilization | Keys for Success | DV Coalition | CE5 | HMIS | |
| 1 | Has the recipient successfully submitted the APR on time for the most recently expired grant term related to this renewal project request? | 10 | Submitted timely = 10 pts Submitted late = 5 pts Not submitted = 0 pts | (HUD Sage System) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Does the recipient have any unresolved HUD/OHS Monitoring and/or OIG Audit findings concerning any previous grant term related to this renewal project request? | 5 | No findings = 5 pts Unresolved/OIG findings= 0 pts | (HUD/OHS) Monitoring Letters | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Has the recipient maintained timely and consistent monthly submission of claims for the most recent grant terms related to this renewal project request? | 5 | Submitted Monthly Claims = 5 pts Submitted Periodoc claims = 3 pts Submitted No Claims = 0 Pts | (E-Loccs report) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | Have any funds been recaptured by HUD for any of the three (3) most recently expired grant terms related to this renewal project request? | 5 | 0-10% recaptured = 5 pts 10%+ recaptured = 0 pts | E-Loccs Report and Agency verification | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | Cost Effectiveness-Cost per permanent housing exit can be determined by dividing total project costs by the number of permanent housing exits. PSH projects may also include the number of clients that have maintained PSH for 6 months or more. | 5 | Points from 5a OR 5b below | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5a | Cost Effectiveness-RRH - cost per exit to Permanent Housing | 5 | Costs are within local median cost per positive housing exit for RRH = 5 pts Costs are above local median cost per positive housing exit for RRH = 0 pts | APR submitted for PY ending in 2022-Median Cost for RRH Projects - \$7,744 | | | | | | | | 5 | | 0 | | | 0 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5b | Cost Effectiveness-PSH-Cost per exit and 6 months of project retention. | 5 | Costs are within local median cost per positive housing exit and 6 months retention (Stayers) for PSH = 5 pts Costs are above local median cost per positive housing exit and 6 months retention (Stayers) for PSH = 0 pts | APR submitted for PY ending in 2022-Median Cost for PSH Projects - \$11,665 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | | | | 5 | 0 | | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section II | | 30 | | | 30 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 25 | | | |

**2023 Letter of Intent to Renew Coc Renewal Projects Rubric
(as approved by the Grant Review Committee)**

| Item in Guideline / LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale (% rounded to nearest whole) | (Data source or Verification) | NHV | SUS | TFCF | US Vets | HASBC | HASBC | LSSC | KEYS | HASBC | ITH | LSSSC PH For Homeless with HIV/AIDS | HASBC | IVHP | KEYS | *Family Assistance Program | Inland SoCal 211 | SBC-OHS | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|------------------|---------|-----|
| Section III: | Data Quality - Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Data | | Sum 1a + 1b below | Data Quality Report (DQR) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1a | Universal Data Element - the lower the percentage the higher the score awarded | 5 | 0-1% = 5 pts 2% = 4 pts 3% = 3 pts 4% = 2 pts 5% = 1 pt 6%+ = 0 pts | DQR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1b | Program Specific Data Element - the lower the percentage the higher the score awarded | 5 | 0-1% = 5 pts 2% = 4 pts 3% = 3 pts 4% = 2 pts 5% = 1 pt 6%+ = 0 pts | DQR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section III | | 10 | | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 10 | | | | |
| Item in Guideline/LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale | (Verification) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section IV: | Equity Factors-Agency Leadership and Policies | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | The recipient has under-represented individuals (LGBTQ, Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC), Person with Lived Experience of Homelessness) in managerial and leadership positions. | 5 | Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts | LOI | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | The recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes. | 5 | Yes = 5 pts No = 0 pts | LOI | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section IV | | 10 | | | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | | | | |
| Item in Guideline/LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale | (Data source or Verification) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section V: | Supportive Services for Participants | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Transportation assistance to clients to attend mainstream benefit appointments, employment training, or jobs? | 3 | Yes = 3 pts No = 0 pts | LOI | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | At least annual follow-ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed? | 1 | Annual Follow-up = 1 pts No Follow-up or less than annual = 0 pts | LOI | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Do program participants have access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance provided by the applicant, a subrecipient, or partner agency? | 1 | Access to SSI / SSDI assistance = 1 pts No assistance provided = 0 pts | LOI | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section V | | 5 | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | | |

**2023 Letter of Intent to Renew Coc Renewal Projects Rubric
(as approved by the Grant Review Committee)**

| Item in Guideline / LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale (% rounded to nearest whole) | (Data source or Verification) | NHV | SUS | TFCF | US Vets | HASBC | HASBC | LSSC | KEYS | HASBC | ITH | LSSSC PH For Homeless with HIV/AIDS | HASBC | IVHP | KEYS | *Family Assistance Program | Inland SoCal 211 | SBC-OHS |
|---|--|----------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Section VI: | Utilization Rates | 5 | 1, 2, or 3 below | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Unit Utilization Percent for Permanent Housing Projects that serve Households with Children (0%-50%=0 pts., 51%-64%=2 pts., 65%-84%=3 pts., 85%+=5 pts.) | 5 | 85% += 5 pts 65%-84% = 3 pts 51%-64% = 2 pts 0%-50% = 0 pts | APRs | 5 | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | 5 | | | 5 | | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Bed Utilization Percent for Permanent Housing Projects that serve Households without Children(0%-50%-0 pts., 51%-64%-2 pts., 65%-84%-3 pts., 85%+=5 pts.) | 5 | 85% += 5 pts 65%-84% = 3 pts 51%-64% = 2 pts 0%-50% = 0 pts | APRs | | 5 | | | | | | | | | 5 | | | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Unit Utilization Percent for all Rapid Rehousing - (0%-50%=0 pts., 51%-64%-2 pts., 65%-84%-3 pts., 85%+=5 pts.) | 5 | 85% += 5 pts 65%-84% = 3 pts 51%-64% = 2 pts 0%-50% = 0 pts | APRs | | | | | | | | 5 | | 5 | | | 0 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section VI | | 5 | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| Item in Guideline/LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale | (Verification) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section VII: | Assessing Vulnerability | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Percentage of persons served during the most recent PY that are in under-served groups (BIPOC, youth, DV, Chronic, and Veterans) | 5 | 25% += 5 pts 15%-24% = 3 pts 10%-14% = 2 pts 0%- 9% = 0 pts | APR | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section VII | | 5 | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| Item in Guideline/LOI | Description | Max Pts | Scale | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section VIII: | Participation in Coordinated Entry System | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | % of intakes involved in the CES process. | 3 | 80% += 3 pts 0%-79% = 0 pts | LOI and Agency Verification | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section VIII | | 3 | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | |
| Item in Guideline/LOI | Description | Max Pts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section IX: | Leverage | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Does the recipient partner with healthcare and housing agencies to | 2 | Yes = 2 pts No = 0 pts | LOI | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Points - Section IX | | 2 | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | |
| Total Final Points for Project Overall | | 100 | | | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 94 | 90 | 90 | 89 | 89 | 83 | 79 | N/A | N/A | N/A |