

Argument Against Measure ____

VOTE NO on Measure ____

Measure ____ is *ANOTHER DANGEROUS ATTEMPT* to DEFUND FIRE PROTECTION services for residents in communities served by San Bernardino County Fire Protection District.

Public safety workers, healthcare professionals, senior citizens and community leaders urge you to VOTE NO on Measure:

- Measure ____ WILL ELIMINATE \$40 MILLION IN FUNDING for community emergency medical and fire protection services, cutting life-saving services – not budgetary fat.
- REDUCING PARAMEDIC SERVICES, Measure ____ will INCREASE response times for life-threatening medical emergencies – putting families at risk.
- CUTTING FIREFIGHTING SERVICES, Measure ____ will force the County to close 15 fire stations and reduce the ability of first responders to protect residents from deadly fires.
- Measure ____ is a FALSE PROMISE that will COST YOU MONEY by raising homeowner's insurance premiums by hundreds of dollars per year. This annual insurance increase will wipe out any savings from the repeal of FP5.

Most impacted by this measure are homeowners in mountain and desert communities where fire stations will be forced to close. This will make it costly and difficult for homeowner to obtain fire insurance coverage.

Why is Measure ____ on the ballot again after voters defeated it? Simply put, a millionaire who owns VACANT PARCELS in San Bernardino County continues to risk public safety and won't take your *Vote of No* as an answer.

This motivated millionaire wastes hundreds of thousands of your taxpayer dollars at the ballot box, putting lives at risk, rather than paying his fair share for fire protection and emergency response services.

Measure ____ is a FINANCIAL SCAM that will close fire stations, increase the costs of protecting your home, and reduce response times for life-threatening emergencies.

STOP Measure ____'s tax dodging financial scam. VOTE NO on Measure ____.
For more information, visit www.GoodGovernmentSanBernardino.com

FILED

FEB 22 2022

BY MR DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

FILED

FEB 22 2022

BY [Signature] DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ballot measure TBD at the 2022 Statewide Direct Primary election for the
Measure Letter Title of Election

San Bernardino County to be held on June 7, 2022 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction Date of Election

argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

1. RICK DENISON [Signature] 02/19/2022
Print Name Signature Date

COUNCIL MEMBER [Email Address] [Phone]
Title Email Address Phone

2. DANIEL L MINTZSR [Signature] 02/19/2022
Print Name Signature Date

29 Palms council member [Email Address] [Phone]
Title Email Address Phone

3. Rebekah Swanson [Signature] 2-19-2022
Print Name Signature Date

Council member [Email Address] [Phone]
Title Email Address Phone

4. _____
Print Name Signature Date

_____ [Email Address] [Phone]
Title Email Address Phone

5. _____
Print Name Signature Date

_____ [Email Address] [Phone]
Title Email Address Phone