REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE Q

Don't fall for empty promises. The ONLY thing Measure Q does is allow the City of Upland to sell a huge part of our beautiful Memorial Park to ANYONE for ANY PURPOSE.

NOTHING in Measure Q legally requires the City to...

- sell our parkland to the hospital,
- prohibit the City from selling our parkland to a higher bidder, or
- replace our lost park space.

If Measure Q passes, the City could sell our parkland to ANY developer to build ANYTHING – even a warehouse! Regardless of who buys this property, our PRECIOUS PARKLAND would be forever lost.

Don't take our word for it. The city attorney's impartial analysis states that Measure Q "would allow the City to sell or otherwise dispose of the Park Parcel as any other property no longer required for municipal purposes."

Additionally, one of the lawyers who successfully fought the City in court when it tried to sell our parkland without a public vote has written a legal opinion stating that Measure Q puts NO limit on what the City may do with our property if Measure Q passes. Please read his opinion at www.People4UplandParks.org.

City leaders have so rushed the sale of our parkland that a LAWSUIT has been filed alleging environmental and procedural violations over the decision to put Measure Q on the ballot.

Measure Q places the profit of private interests above the needs of our community that our parks meet.

VOTE NO ON "Q"
OUR PARKS ARE NOT FOR SALE!

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

| The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the | : 4 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Check the appropriate box below: | | |
| Argument In Favor of (Proponents) | | |
| Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents) | | |
| ☐ Argument Against (Opponents) | | |
| Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents) | | |
| ballot measure at the 6eV | neval Municipal Title of Election | election for the |
| City of Upland Jurisdiction | to be held on Nov. 3, 202 | |
| argument is true and correct to the best of | his/her/their . knowledge | and belief. |
| Proponents/Authors: M. S. | | |
| 1. Natasha Walton, Ve | | 8/20/2020 |
| Print Name | , | Date |
| Co-founder, People for Upland P | arks | Phone |
| THE | | Phone |
| 2. Irmalinda Osuna | | 8/20/20 |
| 2. Irmalinda Osuna Print Name CU-Foundar Paple Grupland | Parks | |
| Title | Emanth & state | Pnone |
| 3. Colleen Cope, Ms | | 8/20/2020 |
| Print Name Retired | A | |
| Title | Email Address | , 110,110 |
| | | |
| Print Name | Cianatura | D. I |
| rant Name | Signature | Date |
| Title | Email Address | Phone. |
| 5. | | |