

NO ON J – REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR

The county supervisors, now in a panic, want you to believe their charter “reform” is a modern update expanding transparency and creating good governance. **Actually**, the charter “reform” is an attempt to maintain their ridiculously high compensation.

Measure J proposes elected supervisors be paid 80% of a Superior Court Judge’s salary. This unrelated number would **actually** result in a pay **increase** for two of three current supervisors!

That’s **if** they were to **follow their own rules!** Measure J contains a clause that **only** includes “...Supervisors who are elected...**after** the effective date of this section.” Five of five supervisors will have **already** been elected. If approved, Measure J would have **no effect on their compensation nor their term limits.**

Measure J is **bad history** repeating itself. In 2012, when ultimately faced with a 64% vote to rein in this same excessive compensation, supervisors placed a competing measure on the ballot to mislead citizens and evade the voters’ will.

It worked then, don’t let it work now.


It’s easy to see their intent by looking at their misrepresentations about the formation of their proposal.

They said this proposal went through multiple study workshops. It did not. They allege the public had opportunities to participate in the process. We did not. They’ve said this reform was the natural growth of a long process. **Actually**, it only appeared in response to the threat posed by Measure K.

Now is the time for more accountable government.

Vote NO on Measure [J].

- s/ Tom Murphy, President, The Red Brennan Group
- s/ Angelica Montoya, Business Owner
- s/ David Friedman, Business Owner
- s/ Sylvia Robles, Grand Terrace Council Member

FILED
AUG 21 2020
BY  DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

FILED

AUG 21 2020

BY *CWB* DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ballot measure J at the _____ Presidential General election for the
Measure Letter *Title of Election*

San Bernardino County to be held on 11/03/2020 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction *Date of Election*

argument is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

1. THOMAS O. MURPHY [REDACTED] 8/13/2020
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
President, The Red Brennan Group [REDACTED]
Title *Email Address*

2. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*

3. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*

4. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*

5. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

FILED

AUG 21 2020

BY *ML* DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ballot measure J at the _____ Presidential General _____ election for the
Measure Letter *Title of Election*

San Bernardino County to be held on 11/03/2020 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction *Date of Election*

argument is true and correct to the best of Her knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

1. Angelica Montoya _____ 8/18/20
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
Business owner / registered voter _____
Title *Email Address* *Phone*

2. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

_____ *Title* *Email Address* *Phone*

3. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

_____ *Title* *Email Address* *Phone*

4. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

_____ *Title* *Email Address* *Phone*

5. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

_____ *Title* *Email Address* *Phone*

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- DF Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- DF Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

FILED

AUG 21 2020

BY [Signature] DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ballot measure J at the _____ Presidential General _____ election for the
Measure Letter Title of Election

San Bernardino County to be held on 11/03/2020 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction Date of Election

argument is true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

1. David Friedman _____ 8-13-20
Print Name Signature Date
Business Owner _____
Title

2. _____
Print Name Signature Date

_____ *Title Email Address Phone*

3. _____
Print Name Signature Date

_____ *Title Email Address Phone*

4. _____
Print Name Signature Date

_____ *Title Email Address Phone*

5. _____
Print Name Signature Date

_____ *Title Email Address Phone*

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

Check the appropriate box below:

- Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- Argument Against (Opponents)
- Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

FILED

AUG 21 2020

BY  DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ballot measure J at the General election for the
Measure Letter *Title of Election*
San Bernardino County to be held on 11/03/2020 hereby state that this
Jurisdiction *Date of Election*

argument is true and correct to the best of her knowledge and belief.
his/her/their

Proponents/Authors:

1. Sylvia Robles  8/21/2020
Print Name *Signature* *Date*
Grand Terrace Council Member 
Title *Email Address* *Phone*
2. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*
3. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*
4. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*
5. _____
Print Name *Signature* *Date*

Title *Email Address* *Phone*