San Bernardino Measure CC Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor

Exploiting tragedy! Shameful! Unethical!

Were you surveyed? Did you get a campaign mailer from District? You paid for it. Is that fair? Using your money for campaign purposes?

ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES?

Constitution says no salaries. Statutes say no salaries. Resolution and measure say no salaries. Guess what lawyers sneaked in? Hint: "reimburse the District for the cost of District staff." Salaries will be paid. You can take that to the bank.

PENSIONS?

Constitution prohibits operating costs like repairs and painting. Shifting salaries and operating costs to bonds leaves more for extravagant pensions.

OVERSIGHT?

Have you ever seen expenditure reports from Measure M? Measure P? Good stewardship? It's all fake news.

FACTS

- \$470,000,000 (\$827,285,534 taxes) is maximum District can tax in one measure.
- District issued CABs (capital appreciation bonds, like those in Poway Unified scandal) 4 times at recklessly high rates -- 10%, 11.5%. Now it can't issue more. Boo-hoo!
- District imposed project labor agreement on Measure M to pay off cronies, increase facilities costs, and exclude local, non-union workers.
- Measure CC is a contract. Have you read the fine print? Besides District salaries, what else does it pay for? -- "staff training," "outstanding lease obligations," "performing arts facilities," and on and on.

BOTTOM LINE

How many times will you pay for Measure M promises?

AUG 27, 2018

BY DEPUT

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

San Bernardino Measure CC Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor

Can you trust District? When you're not looking, will District breach law, its promises, and your trust?

Would you really agree to a written contract where promises weren't explicitly and unambiguously specified?

You're being deceived! Don't sign a blank check. Vote NO!

Join us: http://bit.ly/NoSBVCCBond

AUG 27, 2018

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:	
Check the appropriate box below:	RULED
Argument In Favor of (Proponents)	AUG 2 7 2018 AUG 2 7 2018
Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)	
☐ Argument Against (Opponents)	BY DEPUTY REGISTRAR OF VOTERS
☐ Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)	The Court of the C
ballot measure at the at the	General Election election for the
Measure Letter	
San Bernandino Community College Distot	Date of Election hereby state that this
argument is true and correct to the best of	knowledge and belief. https://their
Proponents/Authors:	
1. LINDA DAVIS Print Name	8/24/2018 Date
Realton, Honesty in School Bond Adougate Title Fmail Address	Phone
2. TIM DONNELLY Print Name CANDIDATE FOR CONGRESS, CD8	8/24/2018
Title Email Augus	s riione
3. CHRISTI SUHNEIDER Print Name Signature	8/24/2018 Date
Title	AN DOMEN FED. Phone
4. GARY STRIANO Print Name	8/25/18
RETINIS PINE CAPTAIN LA.CO. Title Email Address	
5. Linda Peckham Print Name Signature Retired Small burnere	8/25/18' Date
Title Cunar Email Address	Phone