San Bernardino Measure CC - Argument against

Really? Have you heard colleges are going virtual? Won't enrollment decline? Isn't it only bureaucrats that need facilities to justify exorbitant salaries/benefits?

Don't be deceived by District's campaign, funded by businesses that will likely benefit from bond money. (Isn't that called pay-to-play?) Beware of ~~high-riced~~ high-priced marketers masquerading as "students, professors, and community leaders."

Why Vote No on Measure CC?

- It's virtually, word-for-word, identical to every other bond measure written by lawyers and advisors who made $7,942,586 (payable by you, with interest) from facilities bonds issued since 2003.

- Did you hear about a project list? Why isn't there a list of SPECIFIC projects in Measure CC? Because it would restrict District to spend money ONLY on those things?

- Can you trust District? Why did it violate oversight laws over many years for Measure M (2008) $500,000,000? Measure P (2002) $190,000,000?

- Did you know that District issued $366,084,282 in facilities bonds since 2003? Where's it gone? $70,865,834 on stadium and gym.

- Wait! That's $323,915,718 not even issued yet. Why is District asking for $470,000,000 more?

- Why did District violate oversight laws over many years for previous Measure M (2008)? Measure P (2002)?

- How much did District waste from Measure M? It's not District's money. It's yours.

Proposition 39 permits a bare majority of voters (55%) to approve these bonds. "To ensure that BEFORE they vote, voters will be given a list of specific projects their bond money will be use for," it requires that Measure CC be a "list of the specific school facilities projects to be funded." (Source: Proposition 39 ballot measure.)

Measure CC's intentionally vague language gives District a BLANK CHECK with NO ACCOUNTABILITY.

Don't vote to waste your taxes on vague promises. Did District keep its promises from Measure M? Measure P? You've been had.

Bond money is like drugs. Don't give District another fix. Just say no!

~~Join us.~~ http://bit.ly/NoSBVDDBond

FILED
AUG 23 2018
BY SM DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

STATEMENT BY PROPONENTS/AUTHORS OF ARGUMENTS

Elections Code section 9600 requires that all arguments concerning measures shall be accompanied by the following statement, to be signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the:

FILED
AUG 23 2018
BY BP DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

Check the appropriate box below:

- ☐ Argument In Favor of (Proponents)
- ☐ Rebuttal to the Argument In Favor of (Opponents)
- ☒ Argument Against (Opponents)
- ☐ Rebuttal to the Argument Against (Proponents)

ballot measure CC (*Measure Letter*) at the 2018 Statewide General Election (*Title of Election*) election for the San Bernardino County CCD (*Jurisdiction*) to be held on 11/06/2018 (*Date of Election*) hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of her (*his/her/their*) knowledge and belief.

Proponents/Authors:

1. Linda Davis — *Print Name* — *Signature* — 8/23/2018 — *Date*
   Realtor, Honesty in School Bonds Advocate — *Title* — *Email Address* — *Phone*
2. *Print Name* — *Signature* — *Date*
   Title — *Email Address* — *Phone*
3. *Print Name* — *Signature* — *Date*
   Title — *Email Address* — *Phone*
4. *Print Name* — *Signature* — *Date*
   Title — *Email Address* — *Phone*
5. *Print Name* — *Signature* — *Date*
   Title — *Email Address* — *Phone*