FILED

AUG 3 1 2012 BY DEPUTY

Rebuttal to the Argument Against Measure O Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District

Measure O makes perfect sense. It's the only source of funding that will directly benefit local students – and can't be raided by State government.	10
Ongoing, State-imposed budget cuts certainly have created challenges for our schools. State government has cut \$21 million (almost 22%) from local schools' budget since 2008-09. Despite this, our schools have done a terrific job protecting vital programs and providing quality education to local students. And it's simply false to claim that YCJUSD Board members support statewide tax increases.	12 14 12 11
Local schools and classrooms need essential repairs and upgrades today – and the State won't pay for them.	125
Measure O is the solution. Every penny stays in Yucaipa and Calimesa.	12
Currently, many local schools are at least 40 years old: leaky roofs, outdated plumbing and electrical systems, and deteriorated, inadequate classrooms and restrooms are a reality.	1394
Job training classrooms and labs must be improved. Yucaipa and Calimesa schools lack instructional and vocational technology needed to prepare local students to compete in the 21st century economy – whether or not students plan to attend four-year college.	12
Measure O directly benefits Yucaipa and Calimesa students by improving the classrooms, school facilities and instructional technology they use every day. You can read the specific project list here: www.improveyucaipacalimesaschools.org.	*1
Measure O projects will also create local jobs.	θ
Measure O funds can't be used for employee salaries, benefits or pensions: only for specific classroom, school and technology improvements.	14
Independent citizen oversight and annual audits will ensure funds are used properly.	12
Vote YES on Measure O.	5



ELECTION CODE 9600 FORM

The undersigned proponent(s) or author	(s) of the Primary (Rebuttal To (Circle Appropriate)
argument In Favor Of / Against ballot measure (Circle Appropriate)	
(Title of Election) Election for the	(Jurisdiction)
to be held on(Date)	, hereby state that such argument
is true and correct to the best(His/Her/Their)	knowledge and belief.
PRINTED NAME/SIGNATURE/TITLE	DATE
4.4. Debbie Miller	8-18-12
PTSA President - MECA Vie	
	8-28-12
Retired Crafton Hills College Pre	rident
3. (Printed Name)	8/24/6
AUSINESS OWNER (Title)	
3. * Brian J. Blance MD, PACE	
EMER EZUCY DEPARTMENT MEDICAL DIRECTION	TOR, St. BERNARDINE HOSPITAL & COMMUNITE HOSPITAL OF SAN BERNARCHING
5. He Vac (Printed Name)	8/30/12
BUSTUS DUNCE - K	OPPER KETTLE KAFE
REV: 3-11-05	TLED

AUG 3 1 2012

BY DEPUTY
REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

ELECTION CODE 9600 FORM

The undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the Primary (Rebuttal To (Circle Appropriate) argument In Favor Of (Against) ballot measure at the (Circle Appropriate) (Name or Letter) Election for the (Title of Election) (Jurisdiction) to be held on , hereby state that such argument (Date) is true and correct to the best knowledge and belief. (His/Her/Their) PRINTED NAME/SIGNATURE/TITLE DATE 8-31-2012 2. (Printed Name) (Signature) (Title) (Printed Name) (Signature) (Title) (Printed Name) (Signature) (Title) (Printed Name) (Signature) (Title) REV: 3-11-05

Release of Signature for Rebuttal Arguments

Submitted by:	
Name:	Email:
Phone #:	Fax #:
Measure <u>O</u> - Bear Valley Quality Be Rebuttal to Argument Against	Meation and Gore Academics Meaning
The undersigned author(s) of the dire	ect argument in favor, were as follows:
1.) Name: <u>Karo</u> Berdin - S	Dicker PTA Council President Date: 8-9-12
2.) Name: <u>Carl M. Ar</u> Signature: <u>J. M. M.</u>	en (Date: 9/13/12
3.) Name: Day Cray YI Signature: Day	Date:
4.) Name: Swe Miller Signature: Sw. MM	Date: 8-74-12-
5.) Name: <u>FRANCES Toyte See</u> Signature: <u>FRANCES Joyte SE</u>	1 Ann i Clamin - Date: 8-16-12

FILED

AUG 3 1 2012

REGISTRAR OF VOTERS