P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

not propose the use of hazardous materials and all existing and proposed schools are more than 1/4 mile away from the project site. The nearest school is Serrano High School which is located approximately 1.25 miles northwest of the project site.

- VIII d) No Impact. The project site is not included on the San Bernardino County list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code 65962.5 and therefore, will not create a significant hazard to the public or environment.
- VIII e) No Impact. The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a public airport. The nearest public airport is Adelanto Airport and is located approximately 10.25 miles northeast of the project site.
- VIII f) No Impact. The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a private airstrip. The nearest private airstrip is Krey Field which is located approximately 11 miles north of the project site.
- VIII g) No Impact. The project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, because the project has adequate access from two or more directions.
- VIII h) Less Than Significant Impact. Prior to any construction occurring, the applicant shall contact the County Fire Department for verification of current fire protection requirements. All new construction shall comply with the current California Fire Code requirements and all applicable statutes, codes, ordinances and standards of the Fire Department. The project site is in the FS-2 Fire Safety Overlay. The requirements of the overlay district are designed to reduce fire hazard risk to below a level of significance.

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

		Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
IX.		HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:		Incorporated		
	a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				\boxtimes
	b)	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
	c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
	d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
	e)	Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				
	f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				
	g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
	h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure, which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
	i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
	j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?			\boxtimes	
SUBS	STAI	NTIATION				

- No Impact. The proposed private personal arena and horse stable will not generate additional wastewater. There are no additional on-site personnel proposed. The site contains an existing residence and numerous outbuildings. An on-site wastewater treatment system (septic system) serves the residence. Two Aadjacent properties include horse breeding and horse keeping uses. Scattered residences on large parcels exist in the general area.
- No Impact. The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with IX b) groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. The Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District (PPHCSD) provides water to the 50 of 78

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

site. Existing structures will remain. Existing horses will remain. The proposed arena and horse stable are for the private personal use of the property owner and his animals. There are no public events proposed or permitted as part of this project proposal. There may be a possible but limited increase in water usage associated with the replacement structures.

- IX c) Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in erosion or siltation on- or off-site. There is an existing natural drainage course that traverses the western portion of the site and is contained within an existing San Bernardino County Drainage Easement. The legally permitted reconstruction of the arena and horse stable is proposed near the eastern edge of the property.
- Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not substantially alter any existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site. As mentioned in IX c) above, an existing natural drainage course traverses the western edge of the property while the proposed legally permitted reconstruction of the arena and horse stable is proposed near the eastern edge of the property.
- IX e) No Impact. The reconstruction of a covered arena and horse stable will not create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems because the on-site drainage will be handled by the natural drainage courses on the property. As mentioned in IX c) above, an existing natural drainage course traverses the western edge of the property while the proposed legally permitted reconstruction of the arena and horse stable is proposed near the eastern edge of the property. County Public Works reviewed the proposed project and determined that with periodic and continuous maintenance of all Best Management Practices (BMP) devices listed in the County approved Water Quality Management Plan for the project, runoff would not exceed the existing capacity.
- IX f) No Impact. The project will not otherwise substantially degrade water quality, because appropriate measures relating to water quality protection, including erosion control measures are required to be implemented.
- IX g) No Impact. The project will not place unprotected housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map. Although portions of the site are within locally designated floodplains, no housing is proposed as part of this project.
- IX h) No Impact. The project will not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows. As mentioned, the western portion of the site is within a locally designated floodplain and contains a San Bernardino County Drainage Easement. The legally permitted reconstruction of the covered arena and horse stable are proposed hear the eastern edge of the property. As such, they will not redirect flows.
- IX i) Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam, because the project site is not within any identified path of a potential inundation flow that might result in the event of a dam or levee failure or that might occur from a river, stream, lake, or sheet flow situation. Prior to the issuance of building permits, detailed, engineered plans are required to be submitted to County Building and Safety for review and approval.
- IX j) Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not be impacted due to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow. The project is not adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami. Topography on the site and in the area does not contain mountainous or steep slopes necessary for mudflows.

raising opportunities as priorities.

Eby, Ben

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
X.	LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:		Incorporated		
a)	Physically divide an established community?				\boxtimes
b)	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				\boxtimes
	SUBSTANTIATION				
Ха)	The project will not physically divide an established community extension of the planned land uses and development that are e breeding and horse keeping uses exist on the site, on adjace covered arena and horse stable are for personal private use Phelan Pinon Hills Community Plan lists the maintenance of an	stablished ent propert as part of	within the sur ies, and in the the existing	rounding ar ne general a	ea. Horse area. The

- X b) The project will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. With approval of the concurrently filed variance to permit the arena and stable in front of the primary residence, the project is consistent with all applicable land use policies and regulations of the County Code, the Phelan/Pinon Hills Community Plan, and the General Plan. The project will comply with all hazard protection, resource preservation, and land-use-modifying Overlay District regulations subject to the conditions of approval.
- X c) The project will not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan, because there is no habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan within the area surrounding the project site and no habitat conservation lands are required to be purchased as mitigation for the proposed project. As mentioned previously, the site is within the proposed boundary of the West Mojave Plan, which covers 9.3 million acres in the western portion of the Mojave Desert. This interagency habitat conservation plan remains under review.

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012: Augmented for clarification February 2013

XI.	MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?			\boxtimes	
b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?			\boxtimes	

SUBSTANTIATION (Check If project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay): MRZ-3a

- XI a) Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state, because there are no identified important mineral resources on the project site. The project site lies within the Mineral Resources Zone 3a (MRZ-3a) Overlay which indicates an area of known mineral occurrence but undetermined mineral resource significance. The site contains a residence and numerous outbuildings associated with horse breeding and keeping; there are no mining activities.
- XI b) Less Than Significant Impact. The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan, because there are no identified locally important mineral resources on the project site. The project site lies within the MRZ-3a Overlay which indicates an area of known mineral occurrence but undetermined mineral resource significance. The site contains a residence and numerous outbuildings associated with horse breeding and keeping; there are no mining activities.

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012: Augmented for clarification February 2013

		Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact	
XII.	NOISE - Would the pr	oject:		Incorporated			
a)	excess of standards	s to or generation of noise levels in established in the local general plan or oplicable standards of other agencies?					
b)	Exposure of persons borne vibration or grou	to or generation of excessive ground und borne noise levels?				\boxtimes	
c)	A substantial permane the project vicinity abo	ent increase in ambient noise levels in ve levels existing without the project?					
d)	A substantial temporal levels in the project viproject?	ry or periodic increase in ambient noise cinity above levels existing without the					
e)	such a plan has not public airport or public	vithin an airport land use plan or, where been adopted, within two miles of a c use airport, would the project expose rking in the project area to excessive					
f)	For a project within the project expose people to excessive noise leve	e vicinity of a private airstrip, would the residing or working in the project area els?				\boxtimes	
	SUBSTANTIATION	(Check if the project is located in the No severe noise levels according to the Ger	oise Hazaro neral Plan I	l Overlay Dist Noise Elemen	rict ☐ or is : t ☐):	subject to	
XII a)	required to comply with standards is anticipate personal use only. The edge of the project site	It will not expose persons to or generate not not not not expose persons to or generate not	ards of othe elopment C s. The cove e structure	er agencies, bode and no nered arena &	pecause the oise exceed stable are for the court of the c	project is ing these or private	
XII b)	No Impact. The project will not create exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels. The project is required to comply with the vibration standards of the County Development Code. No vibration exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated by the proposed uses.						
XII c) No Impact. The project will not generate a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels project vicinity above levels existing or allowed without the project because the project is required to c with the noise standards of the County Development Code and no noise exceeding these standards anticipated to be generated by the project. The covered arena and stable are for private personal use. There will be no public events. Although the structures will be located near the eastern edge of the p site, properties in the area are 2.5 acres and larger. Noise levels exceeding established standards we exist as a result of the project.						dards is use only.	

increases associated with such activities. These activities must adhere to County Noise Standards including restricted days and hours of construction. After construction, ambient noise levels will return to normal for the

XII d) Less Than Significant Impact. Construction of the project may result in temporary or periodic noise

widely scattered residential and animal keeping uses.

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

XII e) No Impact. The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a public airport. The nearest public airport is Adelanto Airport and is located approximately 10.25 miles northeast of the project site.

XII f) No Impact. The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a private airstrip. The nearest private airstrip is Krey Field which is located approximately 11 miles north of the project site.

Page 24 of 34

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
XIII.	POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:		Incorporated		
a)	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes
c)	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes
	SUBSTANTIATION				
XIII a)	No Impact. The project will not induce substantial population grow covered arena and stable are for the private personal use of the or	th in an are	ea either dire	ectly or indire	ectly. The
XIII b)	No Impact. The proposed use will not displace any housing replacement housing. No housing units are proposed to be deresidence and numerous outbuildings currently exist on-site.	g units, ne emolished	ecessitating as a result	the constr of this pro	uction of oposal, A
XIII c)	No Impact. The proposed use will not displace any people necessing elsewhere. The project will not displace any existing hou numerous outbuildings currently exist on-site.	cessitating sing or exi	the construe sting resider	ction of rep nts. A reside	lacement ence and

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
XIV.	PUBLIC SERVICES		Incorporated		
a)	Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
	Fire Protection?				
	Police Protection?			\boxtimes	
	Schools?			\boxtimes	
	Parks?			\boxtimes	
	Other Public Facilities?			\boxtimes	
	SUBSTANTIATION				

XIV a) Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities. The project is the legally permitted reconstruction of a non-permitted covered arena and horse stable for private personal use only.

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

XV.		RECREATION	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact
AV.		RECREATION				
	a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
	b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
		SUBSTANTIATION				
XV	a)	No Impact. This project will not increase the use of existing recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the project will not generate any new residential units. It is for the	of the facilit	v would occ	ur or he acc	palaratad

XV b) **No Impact.** This project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment. The type of project proposed will not result in an increased demand for recreational facilities. It is for the private personal use of the property owner.

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

	fssues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
XVI.	TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:		Incorporated		
a)	Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways, and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
b)	Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes
f)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				
	SUBSTANTIATION				
XVI a)	Less Than Significant Impact. The development of a covered are use only will not cause an increase in traffic. There are no public e project. The project will generate no additional trips from those prest the horse breeding and keeping activities.	vents or a	ctivities app	roved as na	art of this
XVI b)	No Impact. The project will not exceed, either individually or cumule established by the county congestion management agency for des Works – Traffic Division has reviewed the traffic generation of the p service will remain at an LOS of "C" or better, as required by the within the High Desert Transportation Facilities Fee Plan Area. The this plan in order to assist the financing and construction of roads at on-site construction occurs.	ignated roa proposed pa County G se develope	ads or highv roject and a eneral Plan er shall pay	ways. Count nticipates the . The project	ty Public nat traffic ct site is
XVI c)	No Impact. The project will not result in a change in air traffic pattered or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks project. As discussed in the Hazard and Noise sections of this docu Airport, is approximately 10.25 miles northeast of the project site and	There are ument, the	no airports	in the vicini	ity of the

XVI d) **No Impact.** The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses. The site is adjacent to two dedicated roads, Flowerfield Street and Sun Down Drive. There are no incompatible uses proposed by this project. The covered arena and horse stable are for private personal use

approximately 11 miles north of the project site.

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

- only. There are no public events or activities approved as part of this project. The area contains single family residences on large parcels, some with accessory animal keeping.
- XVI e) No Impact. The project will not result in inadequate emergency access because there are a minimum of two access points.
- XVI f) **No Impact**. The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks). The Victor Valley Transit Authority currently has Route 21, which serves the Tri-Community area of Victorville, Phelan, and Wrightwood. It does not provide services near the project site.

because of this project.

Eby, Ben P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
XVII.	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:		Incorporated		
a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			П	
b)	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c)	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new, or expanded, entitlements needed?				
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
f)	Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				\boxtimes
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				\boxtimes
	SUBSTANTIATION				
XVII a)	No Impact. The proposed project does not exceed wastewater trea Quality Control Board, Lahontan Region, as determined by Cour Services.	atment requ nty Public	irements of Health – E	the Region	al Water al Health
XVII b)	No Impact. The proposed project will not require or result in the treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities. The facility is fowner. No public events or activities are permitted as part of this continue at its current rate. No new water treatment facilities are propo	or the priva project. Wa	te personal	use of the	property
XVII c)	No Impact. The proposed project will not require or result in the callities or expansion of existing facilities that cause significant environments and determined that there is sufficient capacity in the existing storm water drainage caused by the project. Dirt floors within construction must meet the requirements from the County Pu (Roads/Drainage).	ronmental or m water state proposition	effects, as C ystem to ab sed structur	county Publi sorb any a	c Works dditional
XVII d)	No Impact. Adequate water supplies will be available because the p	roposed pr	oject is with	in the jurisd	iction of

XVII e) **No Impact.** The covered arena and stable will generate no additional wastewater. The facility is for the private personal use of the property owner only. This project does not permit any public events or activities.

the PPHCSD, which provides water to the site. Existing entitlements and resources will remain unchanged

Page 30 of 34

Eby, Ben

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012: Augmented for clarification February 2013

- XVII f) No Impact. No additional solid waste is anticipated to be generated because of the arena and stable. There is a residence on the site, as well as numerous outbuildings associated with the horse breeding and horse keeping. Proper manure management must be in compliance with Title 3 (Health and Sanitation and Animal Regulations) of the County Code. To address solid waste disposal associated with construction of the project, a Construction and Demolition Waste Reduction and Recycling Plan submitted to Public Works Solid Waste Management Division is a condition of approval prior to the issuance of building permits. Prior to final inspection and/or within 45 days prior to project completion, a Construction and Demolition Recycling Summary Report must be submitted to SWMD for review and approval.
- XVII g) No Impact. The proposed project is required to comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. As mentioned above, proper manure management must be in compliance with Title 3 (Health and Sanitation and Animal Regulations) of the County Code and the conditions of approval contain the requirement for a Construction and Demolition Waste Reduction and Recycling Plan and a Construction and Demolition Recycling Summary Report.

required.

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

XVIII.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less than Significant	No Impact			
a)								
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?							
c)	Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				\boxtimes			
	SUBSTANTIATION							
XVIII a)	Less Than Significant Impact. The project does not appear to have overall quality of the region's environment, or substantially reduce cause a fish or wildlife population or drop below self-sustaining leve community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or important examples of the major periods of California history or prehi. There are no identified historic or prehistoric resources identification paleontological resources have been identified in the project area.	the habitals, threated endangere story.	at of a fish n to elimina d plant or	or wildlife te a plant o animal or e	species, or animal eliminate			
	The project site is not located within potential habitat for any designated species. Little to no vegetation exists on-site due to past development, clearing activities, and animal keeping.							
XVIII b)	Less Than Significant Impact. The project does not have imcumulatively considerable. Existing uses in the area have infrastructuuse impacts and remain below a level of significance. Previous eval for those existing uses occurred. This Initial Study analyzed and oproposed use in conjunction with the existing baseline conditions cumulative impacts fall well below a level of significance.	ure that is supported that is a supported to the supporte	sufficient to otential env	meet their vironmental	baseline impacts			
XVIII c)	No Impact . The project would not have environmental effects that we human beings, either directly or indirectly. There are no such impact by other agencies.	No Impact . The project would not have environmental effects that would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. There are no such impacts identified by review of other sources or by other agencies.						
	At a minimum, the project must meet the conditions of approval pri that all such conditions of approval will insure that no potential fo grading activities, construction activities, or land uses authorized by the	r adverse	impacts wil	The anticip	oation is uced by			

Therefore, no potentially significant impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

XIX. MITIGATION MEASURES

(Any mitigation measures, which are not 'self-monitoring', shall have a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared and adopted at time of project approval)

SELF MONITORING MITIGATION MEASURES: (Condition compliance will be verified by existing procedure)

NONE

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012; Augmented for clarification February 2013

GENERAL REFERENCES

Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone Act Map Series (PRC 27500)

- Bryant, W. and E. Hart. 2007. <u>Special Publication 42: Fault-Rupture Hazard Zones in California.</u> California Geological Survey. Sacramento, California. <u>ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dmg/pubs/sp/Sp42.pdf</u>
- California Department of Conservation. 2008. <u>San Bernardino County Important Farmland, Sheet 2 of 2.</u> <u>ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dlrp/FMMP/pdf/2008/sbd08_so.pdf</u>
- California Department of Conservation. 2008. <u>San Bernardino County North Desert Region Parcels Under Agricultural Contract Map.</u> Sacramento, California. <u>ftp://ftp.consrv.ca.gov/pub/dlrp/wa/Map%20and%20PDF/San%20Bernardino/Subvention2008Desert.pdf</u>
- California Department of Transportation, Division of Transportation System Information. 2008. <u>2008 California Motor Vehicle Stock, Travel, and Fuel Forecast.</u> California Department of Transportation. Sacramento, California. http://www.dot.ca.gov

California Department of Water Resources, California's Ground Water Bulletin #118 Update, 2003 Update.

CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G

California Standard Specifications, July 1992

County of San Bernardino Museum, Archaeological Information Center

County of San Bernardino, Circulation and Infrastructure Background Report, February 21, 2006

- County of San Bernardino, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, 2007 Five-Year Review Report. December 2007
- County of San Bernardino. 2007. County of San Bernardino 2007 Development Code. County of San Bernardino, California. http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus/Planning/DevelopmentCode.aspx
- County of San Bernardino. 2007. County of San Bernardino 2007 General Plan. County of San Bernardino, California. http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus/Planning/GeneralPlan.aspx

County of San Bernardino Hazard Overlay Map: FH-04B. http://www.sbcounty.gov/Uploads/lus/HazMaps/FH-4B.pdf

County of San Bernardino Identified Hazardous Materials Waste Sites List, April 1998

County of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County Stormwater Program, Model Water Quality Management Plan Guidance, June 2004, Revised May 1, 2012

County of San Bernardino Road Planning and Design Standards. http://www.co.san-bernardino.ca.us/dpw/transportation/tr standards.asp

Environmental Impact Report, County of San Bernardino General Plan, 2007

Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map and Flood Boundary Map

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, Mojave Desert Planning Area – Federal Particulate Matter (PM10)
Attainment Plan, July 1995

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, Rule 403.2 Fugitive Dust Control for the Mojave Desert Planning Area. 1996. http://222.mdaqmd.ca.gov

P200900360/MUP

November 17, 2009; Updated October 2012: Augmented for clarification February 2013

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, 2004 Ozone Attainment Plan, June 2007

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines, June 2007

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, 8-hour Ozone Attainment Plan (Western Mojave Desert Non-Attainment Area), June, 2008

Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District Boundary Map, http://www.pphcsd.org

US Environmental Protection Agendy, http://www.epa.gov/region1/eco/uep/sensitivereceptors.html

PROJECT SPECIFIC REFERENCES

Gold Rush Gypsy Vanner Farms, http://www.goldrushgypsyvanners.com, November 18, 2009; October 12, 2012

Sitetech, Inc., Water Quality Management Plan, WDID No. 6B36SM40301, March 20, 2012