

**SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD
ACTIVITY INTERDICTION PROGRAM GRANT
(URBAN GRANT)**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENT
WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT**

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION
PROGRAM GRANT (URBAN GRANT)

CONTENTS

JUNE 30, 2012

	PAGE
Independent Auditors' Report	1
Financial Statement	
Statement of Grant Revenues and Expenditures	3
Notes to the Statement of Grant Revenues and Expenditures	4
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	6
Independent Auditors' Report on State Compliance	8
Schedule of Findings and Responses	10
Status of Prior-Year Findings and Responses	11



CERTIFIED
PUBLIC
ACCOUNTANTS
& BUSINESS
ADVISORS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Supervisors and
San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office
San Bernardino, California

We have audited the accompanying statement of grant revenues and expenditures of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office (Office) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant Contract for the year ended June 30, 2012. This statement is the responsibility of the Office's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division, "Insurance Fraud Grant Financial Audit Guidelines." Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying statement of grant revenues and expenditures was prepared for the purpose of complying with the audit requirements of the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division as described in Note 1 and is not intended to be a complete presentation of the Office's revenues and expenditures.

-1-

In our opinion, the statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the grant revenues and expenditures of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant Contract for the year ended June 30, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 3, 2012, on our consideration of the Office's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office management, the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Bernardino, and the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eadie and Payne, LLP

December 3, 2012
Redlands, California

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION
PROGRAM GRANT (URBAN GRANT)
STATEMENT OF GRANT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Revenues	
Reimbursements received/receivable	<u>\$205,075</u>
Expenditures	
Salaries and benefits	187,862
Services and supplies	<u>11,492</u>
Total Expenditures	<u>199,354</u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures	5,721
Fund balance (carryover), beginning of year	<u>20,061</u>
Fund Balance (Carryover), End of Year	<u>\$ 25,782</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION
PROGRAM GRANT (URBAN GRANT)
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF GRANT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
JUNE 30, 2012

1. **ORGANIZATION**

A. **Description of Reporting Entity**

The statement represents the grant revenues and expenditures of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office (Office) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant (Urban Grant) for the year ended June 30, 2012. The grant is pursuant to the provisions of California Insurance Code Section 1874.8 and is solely for the purpose of prosecution and elimination of organized automobile fraud cases. The grant was funded by the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division and administered by the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office. The accompanying statement of grant revenues and expenditures presents only the activities of the Urban Grant and is not intended to present the financial position of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office or the County of San Bernardino itself in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

B. **Description of Grant**

The grant funds are issued pursuant to authority granted to the California Insurance Commissioner, under the provisions of Section 1874.8 of the California Insurance Code, to all local district attorneys' offices for distribution of funding for prosecution and elimination of organized automobile fraud cases.

2. **SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

A. **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of this financial statement requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

B. **Statement of Grant Revenues and Expenditures**

The statement of grant revenues and expenditures presents the eligible costs charged to the Urban Grant by the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office and the revenues awarded to the Office by the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division.

NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF GRANT REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES (Continued)

C. **Basis of Accounting**

Revenues and expenditures are presented on an accrual basis. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which they are incurred, that is, when goods are received or services are provided. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which the grant is awarded.



CERTIFIED
PUBLIC
ACCOUNTANTS
& BUSINESS
ADVISORS

**REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Board of Supervisors and
San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office
San Bernardino, California

We have audited the statement of grant revenues and expenditures of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office (Office) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division Organized Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant Contract for the year ended June 30, 2012 and have issued our report thereon dated December 3, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division, "Insurance Fraud Grant Financial Audit Guidelines."

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Office is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Office's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the statement of grant revenues and expenditures, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Office's internal control over financial reporting for the Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Office's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant statement of grant revenues and expenditures is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office management, the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Bernardino, and the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eadie and Payne, LLP

December 3, 2012
Redlands, California



CERTIFIED
PUBLIC
ACCOUNTANTS
& BUSINESS
ADVISORS

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

To the Board of Supervisors and
San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office
San Bernardino, California

We have audited the financial statement of grant revenues and expenditures of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office (Office) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant, in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division Organized Fraud Activity Interdiction Program Grant Contract for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated December 3, 2012. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and in accordance with the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division, "Insurance Fraud Grant Financial Audit Guidelines for Fiscal Year 2011-2012." Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain assurance about whether the statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office is responsible for the Office's compliance with laws and regulations. In connection with the audit referred to above, we selected and tested transactions and records to determine the Office's compliance with State laws and regulations applicable to the following:

Grant expenditures were made for the purpose of the program as specified in Section 1874.8 of the California Insurance Code, the applicable regulations, the guidelines in the Request for Application and the County Plan.

-8-

Based on our audit, we found that, for the items tested, the Office complied with the regulations referred to above. Further, based on our examination, for items not tested, nothing came to our attention to indicate that the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office had not complied with State laws and regulations.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office management, the Board of Supervisors for the County of San Bernardino, and the California Department of Insurance Fraud Division and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eadie and Payne, LLP

December 3, 2012
Redlands, California

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION
PROGRAM GRANT (URBAN GRANT)
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

There are no findings or questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2012.

**SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION
PROGRAM GRANT (URBAN GRANT)
STATUS OF PRIOR-YEAR FINDINGS AND RESPONSES
JUNE 30, 2012**

There were no findings or questioned costs for the year ended June 30, 2011.