LAND USE SERVICES DEPARTMENT
PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

HEARING DATE: April 5, 2018
AGENDA ITEM: #2

Project Description:

APNs: 0292-053-12
Applicant: CR The Redlands, LLC
Community: Redlands East Valley/Third Supervisorial District
Location: Northwest corner of Nevada Street and Lugonia Ave.
Project No.: P201700245
Staff: Chris Warrick
Rep.: Transtech Engineers, Inc.
Proposal: Planned Development Permit (PDP) that includes a preliminary and final development plan for a 360 unit multi-family residential project with a total of five structures ranging in height from two-stories to four-stories, and a recreation center on 8.58 acres.

Hearing Notices Sent On: March 25, 2018
Report Prepared By: Chris Warrick

SITE INFORMATION:
Parcel Size: 8.58 Net Acres
Terrain: Generally level, descending gradually from east to west at a slope of approximately 1 percent.
Vegetation: Sparse and disturbed vegetation consisting of non-native grasses and weeds.

SURROUNDING LAND DESCRIPTION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
<th>LAND USE ZONING/OVERLAY DISTRICTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Vacant, Detention/Retention Basin</td>
<td>East Valley/Special Development (EV/SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>420,000 square foot warehouse</td>
<td>East Valley/Special Development (EV/SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Medical Office</td>
<td>City of Redlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Vacant, approved 340 unit Multi-family Residential Project (under construction)</td>
<td>East Valley/Special Development (EV/SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>East Valley/Special Development (EV/SD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGENCY
City Sphere of Influence: N/A
Water Service: City of Redlands
Septic/Sewer Service: City of Redlands

COMMENT
N/A
Per Resolution
Per Resolution

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Commission RECOMMEND that the Board of Supervisors APPROVE the Planned Development Permit (PDP) that includes a preliminary and final development plan for a 360 unit multi-family residential project with a total of five structures ranging in height from two-stories to four-stories, and a recreation center on 8.58 acres.

This project shall be referred to the Board of Supervisors for final action. Therefore, the recommendation of the Planning Commission is not the final action and cannot be appealed.
REDLANDS EAST VALLEY PLANNING AREA (DONUT HOLE)
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PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND:

The applicant is requesting approval of a Planned Development that includes a Preliminary and Final Development Plan for a 360 unit multi-family apartment complex on 8.58 net acres (Project). The Project includes a four-level parking structure for 524 vehicles, a total of five structures ranging in height from two-stories to four-stories and a 7,830 square foot recreation center. The floor area of the units ranges from 600 to 1,431 square feet that include studios, one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom units. A total of 705 parking spaces are proposed at a ratio of 1.93 spaces per unit. The Project includes a 7,830 square foot recreation center with a 3,600-square foot roof terrace, lounge areas, two pools, two spas, fountains, water features, barbeque areas, a wading pool and a dog park. The total building coverage is 3.84 acres, which is 45 percent of the site area. The total landscaped and open space area consists of 2.94 acres, which is 34 percent of the site area. Project development will include half-width public right-of-way improvements on Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street fronting the Project and the construction of wet and dry utility/infrastructure improvements.

The Project is located at the northwest corner of Nevada Street and Lugonia Avenue, which is in the unincorporated portion of San Bernardino County (County), in the East Valley Area Plan. The land use zoning designation of the site is Special Development and is also subject to the Airport Safety Review overlay (AR-3). The Project is in the Third Supervisorial District and despite its proximity, is not in the City of Redlands (Redlands) Sphere of Influence.

The site is in the Inland Valley Development Agency (IVDA) Redevelopment Project Area. The IVDA is a joint powers authority comprised of the County and the cities of San Bernardino, Colton and Loma Linda. Formed in 1990, the IVDA is responsible for the development of the non-aviation portion of the San Bernardino International Airport (SBIA), formerly Norton Air Force Base. This area includes all the unincorporated area of the East Valley Area Plan (also known locally as the “Donut Hole” as it is totally surrounded by Redlands, but is not within the Redlands sphere of influence).

GENERAL PROJECT ANALYSIS:

Planned Development Permit. Planned Development Permits (PDP) are designed to provide for flexibility in the application of Development Code Standards under limited and unique circumstances. The PDP process is intended to allow consideration of innovation in site planning and other aspects of project design, while providing more effective design responses to site features and environmental impacts. The County expects each PDP project to be of significantly higher quality than would be achieved through conventional design practices and development standards. Pursuant to Section 85.10.040 (b) of the County Development Code, the Board of Supervisors shall act upon all applications for Planned Development Permits, following a recommendation from the Planning Commission.

Land Use Compatibility. The Project is located in the Special Development (SD) land use zoning district of the East Valley Area Plan (EVAP), which is intended to allow for a mix of residential, commercial, and/or manufacturing activities that maximize the utilization of natural as well as man-made resources. Multi-family residential projects are permitted in the SD District subject to approval of a Planned Development Permit, ensuring that the Project is provided with adequate amenities and infrastructure improvements. The Project is a logical and orderly extension of the planned land use within the surrounding area, such as the two other multi-family residential projects directly east of the Project, one of which is currently under construction. The Project will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of the County. In addition, the Project provides greater connectivity with the existing community by placing residential uses within walking and biking distance to local retail uses and employers. This also helps to reduce vehicle trips and vehicle miles traveled.
While the Project is not within the sphere of influence of Redlands, the County, as well as the applicant, has coordinated with Redlands in regards to the Project design and necessary infrastructure improvements, as well as the conditions of approval.

**Adjacent Land Uses.** The surrounding off-site land uses consist of a mixture of multi-family residential, high-cube warehouse and office commercial. The adjacent property to the east is another multi-family residential project that is currently under construction. The adjacent property to the south, across Lugonia Avenue, is an existing medical office building in Redlands. The adjacent property to the west is vacant and the adjacent property to the north is a 425,000 square foot high-cube warehouse project that was constructed within the last five years.

The proposed Project will include a four-level parking structure along the northerly boundary, adjacent to the existing warehouse to the north. The parking structure serves as a buffer between the existing warehouse use and most of the proposed residential structures. A small portion of the main residential structure abuts the warehouse use, but the amount of residential living area has been significantly reduced with the design of the parking structure.

**Parking.** A total of 705 parking spaces are proposed for the Project, which includes 524 spaces in the main parking structure, 42 enclosed (garage) parking spaces, 56 covered (carport) spaces and 83 open spaces, 11 of which are exclusive to the leasing area. The parking ratio for the Project is 1.93 spaces per unit. Pursuant to Chapter 83.11 of the County Development Code, multi-family residential projects are required to provide 2.5 parking spaces per unit, one of which shall be covered or enclosed. Strict adherence to the multi-family parking standards would require the proposed Project to provide 900 parking spaces. However, pursuant to Section 83.01.030 (b) of the County Development Code, a Planned Development may modify the provision of Division 3 (Countywide Development Standards), which includes parking standards.

Excessive parking requirements can pose a significant constraint on housing development by increasing development costs and reducing the potential land availability for Project amenities or additional units, and are not reflective of actual parking demand. The developer of this Project also built the existing residential project to the east, which includes a similar parking ratio. After two years of operation, the existing project appears to adequately serve the parking needs of its residents.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS:**

**Aesthetics:** The proposed Project will include landscaping around the entire perimeter of the site and will incorporate the design guidelines found in the EV/SD zoning development standards. The architectural design of the Project includes a contemporary residential appearance with articulated massing and a varied mix of building scale that provides an aesthetically appealing skyline. Building materials and exterior color themes include a mix of contemporary earth tones that are complementary to surrounding development. The few on-site parking areas that front on Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street will be screened by landscape berms and vegetation at Project frontage. Trees and shrubs in the conceptual landscape plan will blend well with the existing and planned visual character of the area.

**Traffic:** A Traffic Impact Analysis was prepared for this Project by Kunzman Associates. The Traffic Impact Analysis evaluated the potential traffic and circulation impacts associated with the proposed Project on the surrounding roadway system, and recommended improvements required to mitigate impacts and maintain satisfactory levels of service. The Project is estimated to generate a total of approximately 1,955 daily vehicle trips, with approximately 108 a.m. peak hour trips and 140 p.m. peak hour trips. The analysis provides baseline information for the existing year (2018), and opening year (2020) analysis of conditions, including ambient growth and cumulative development, with and without
Project traffic, and a cumulative analysis of traffic with and without the Project in the horizon year (2040).

The Project is required to pay proportional public improvement costs. In addition, a regional transportation system fee (RTSF) program is in place to fund major improvements in the study area. This Project will be subject to the regional fee, which will mitigate Project impacts on the fee program facilities.

**Air Quality:** The Project air quality analysis shows that short-term and long-term emissions from the Project will not exceed the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) established significance thresholds. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant with mitigation measures incorporated. The Project provides a residential land use in close proximity to existing commercial development and further promotes a mixed use atmosphere which will reduce trips and vehicle miles traveled. A dust control plan will be required as a mitigation measure to regulate short-term construction activities that could create windblown dust.

**Greenhouse Gasses:** The County’s Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan (GHG Plan) was adopted on December 6, 2011 and became effective on January 6, 2012. The GHG Plan establishes a GHG emissions reduction target for the year 2020 that is 15 percent below 2007 emissions. The Plan is consistent with AB 32 and sets the County on a path to achieve more substantial long-term reductions in the post-2020 period. Achieving this level of emissions will ensure that the contribution to greenhouse gas emissions from activities covered by the GHG Plan will not be cumulatively considerable.

Implementation of the County’s GHG Plan is achieved through the Development Review Process by applying appropriate reduction requirements to reduce GHG emissions. All new development is required to quantify the project’s GHG emissions and adopt feasible mitigation to reduce project emissions below a level of significance. The Project is considered to be consistent with the GHG Plan and is therefore determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions. The GHG reduction measures proposed by the developer through the Screening Tables Review Process have been included in the Project design or will be included as Conditions of Approval for the Project.

**California Environmental Quality Act.** In compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), an Initial Study has been completed for the proposed Project and it concludes that the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment with the implementation of all the Conditions of Approval and mitigation measures. On December 11, 2017, the Land Use Services Department sent out the Notice of Availability (NOA) and Notice of Intent (NOI) to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration. The Initial Study was also sent to the State Clearinghouse for circulation. The review period closed on January 10, 2018, and no state agencies submitted comments by that date.

**Public Comments.** The Project notices were sent to surrounding property owners within 300 feet of the Project site, as required by Development Code Section 84.27.070, for project sites of 20 acres or less. The Planning Division has not received any comments from the surrounding property owners.
RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Commission RECOMMEND that the Board of Supervisors:

1) ADOPT the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

2) APPROVE the Planned Development Permit (PDP), including the preliminary and final development plans for a 360-unit multi-family residential project with five structures ranging in height from two-stories to four-stories, and a recreation center on 8.58 acres.

3) FILE a Notice of Determination.

ATTACHMENTS:

Exhibit A: Findings
Exhibit B: Conditions of Approval
Exhibit C: Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration
EXHIBIT A

FINDINGS
FINDINGS: Planned Development Permit (PDP)

A Planned Development Permit (PDP) that includes a preliminary and final development plan for a 360 unit multi-family residential project with a total of five structures ranging in height from two-stories to four-stories, and a recreation center on 8.58 acres. (Project).

1. The proposed development is consistent with the General Plan and any other applicable plan, because the proposed development conforms to the General Plan Land Use Zoning designation, which is East Valley Special Development (EV/SD). The proposed Project is consistent with the Maximum Population Density Average for the Special Development (SD) District of the County General Plan. The proposed Project further promotes sustainable growth and walkable communities concepts as well as the following General Plan Goals and Policies by providing a well-integrated residential Project in an area that has been developed as a commercial and employment center:

   ➢ GOAL LU 1. The County will have a compatible and harmonious arrangement of land uses by providing a type and mix of functionally well-integrated land uses that are fiscally viable and meet general social and economic needs of the residents.

      POLICY LU 1.1. Develop a well-integrated mix of residential, commercial, industrial and public uses that meet the social and economic needs of the residents in the three geographic regions of the County: Valley, Mountains, and Desert.

      POLICY LU 1.3. Promote a mix of land uses that are fiscally self-sufficient.

   ➢ GOAL LU 2. Residential land uses will be provided in a range of styles, densities, and affordability and in a variety of area of line, ranging from traditional urban neighborhoods to more rural neighborhoods.

   ➢ GOAL LU 5. Reduce traffic congestion and air pollution and improve the quality of life for the County residents by providing employment and housing opportunities in close proximity to each other.

   ➢ GOAL LU 6. Promote, where applicable, compact land use development by mixing land uses, creating walkable communities, and strengthening and directing development towards existing communities.

2. The physical characteristics of the site have been adequately assessed and the site for the proposed development is adequate in terms of shape and size to accommodate the use and all landscaping, loading areas, open spaces, parking areas, setbacks, walls and fences, yards, and other required features because the proposed development has been designed to adequately address the development standards of the County Development Code and the East Valley Area Plan. The proposed Project is consistent with the Maximum Population Density Average for the Special Development (SD) District and the site is adequate in shape and size to accommodate the proposed residential uses along with all required landscaping, open space, setbacks, walls, fences, yards, noise attenuation measures, fuel modification measures, access roads, drainage improvements, parking areas and other features. Studies of similar
occupied project sites, including those in the vicinity of the project site have been considered in the evaluation of Project parking, including the number of spaces and the layout.

3. **The site for the proposed Planned Development has adequate access, in that the site design and development plan conditions consider the limitations of existing streets and highways and provides improvements to accommodate the anticipated requirements of the proposed development**, because the proposed Project has been designed with adequate internal circulation and has been conditioned to provide adequate access to the nearest publicly maintained road. This includes requirements to construct half-width street improvements for Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street, and contribute a fair share contribution for the construction of street and intersection improvements to specific roadways in the surrounding area.

4. **Adequate public services and facilities exist, or will be provided, in compliance with the conditions of the development plan approval, to serve the proposed development and the approval of the proposed development will not result in a reduction of public services to properties in the vicinity to be a detriment to public health, safety, and general welfare**, because the City of Redlands by special agreement, provides sewer, water, sanitation, police and fire services for the area. The Project will be served by the Redlands Unified School District for all grade levels.

5. **The proposed development, as conditioned, will not have a substantial adverse effect on surrounding property or their allowed use, and will be compatible with the existing and planned land use character of the surrounding area** because the proposed multi-family residential Project is located in an urbanizing area planned for a mix of commercial, industrial and residential land uses.

6. **The improvements required by the proposed conditions of the Planned Residential Development Plan approval, and the manner of development adequately address all natural and manmade hazards associated with the proposed development and the Project site including fire, flood, seismic, and slope hazards** because the proposed Project has included specific studies addressing emergency access, geology, seismic, drainage, air quality, and noise. These and other potential hazards have been adequately addressed through the development review process by incorporating as mitigation measures and conditions of approval the recommendations proposed in the various studies, and by incorporating the requirements and standards of the County Development Code, including the Airport Safety Review (AR-3) Area and the County noise standards.

7. **The proposed development carries out the intent of the Planned Development Permit provisions by providing a more efficient use of the land and an excellence of design greater than that which would be achieved through the application of conventional development standards**, because the proposed Project is a Planned Development that has been designed as a private gated community that incorporates open space and recreational amenities, and architectural design features that have achieved a more efficient use of land through the Planned Development Permit process.

8. **If the development proposes to mix residential and commercial uses whether done in a vertical or horizontal manner, the residential use is designed in a manner that it**
is buffered from the commercial use and is provided sufficient amenities to create a comfortable and healthy residential environment and to provide a positive quality of life for the residents. The Project has been designed in a manner that provides increased landscape setbacks and sound walls between the proposed residential Project and the adjacent commercial and warehouse uses.

**FINDING: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)**

1. **There is no substantial evidence that the Project will have a significant effect on the environment** because an Initial Study has been completed for the proposed Project and it is determined, on the basis of staff's independent evaluation, that the Project will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment with the implementation of all the conditions of approval and mitigation measures. The proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration for this Project reflects the County's independent judgment in making this decision. Therefore, adoption of a Mitigated Negative Declaration is recommended.
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

CR The Redlands, LLC
Planned Residential Development (PRD)

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS
Conditions of Operation and Procedures

LAND USE SERVICES - Planning (909) 387-8311

1. Project Approval Description. This Planned Residential Development (PRD) is approved to be constructed and operated in compliance with the San Bernardino County Code (SBCC), the following conditions of approval, the approved site plan, Preliminary Development Plan, Final Development Plan and any other required and approved reports and/or displays (e.g. elevations). This project is a Planned Residential Development that includes a Preliminary and Final Development Plan for a 360 unit multi-family residential apartment complex with a parking structure and a total of five (5) structures ranging from two stories to four stories in height, and a 7,830 square foot recreation center on 8.58. The site is located at the northwest corner of Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street. Project APN: 0292-053-12; Project Number P201700245.

2. Revisions. Any proposed change to the approved use/activity on the site (e.g. from warehouse to manufacturing); or any increase in the developed area of the site or any expansion or modification to the approved facilities, including changes to structures building locations, elevations, signs, parking allocation, landscaping, lighting, allowable number of occupants (clients and/or employees); or a proposed change in the conditions of approval, including operational restrictions from those shown either on the approved site plan and/or in the conditions of approval shall require that an additional land use application (e.g. Revision to an Approved Action) be submitted to County Planning for review and approval obtained.

3. Continuous Effect/Revocation. All of the conditions of this project are continuously in effect throughout the operative life of the project for the use approved. Failure of the property owner, tenant, applicant, developer or any operator (herein “developer”) to comply with any or all of the conditions at any time may result in a public hearing and revocation of the approved land use, provided adequate notice, time and opportunity is provided to the property owner or other party to correct the non-complying situation.

4. Expiration. This project permit approval shall expire and become void if it is not “exercised” within three (3) years of the effective date of this approval, unless an extension of time is approved. The permit is deemed “exercised” when either:
   a) The permittee has commenced actual construction or alteration under a validly issued building permit, or
b) The permittee has substantially commenced the approved land use or activity on the project site, for those portions of the project not requiring a building permit. (SBCC §86.06.060)

Occupancy of completed structures and operation of the approved and exercised land use remains valid continuously for the life of the project and the approval runs with the land, unless one of the following occurs:

a) Construction permits for all or part of the project are not issued or the construction permits expire before the structure is completed and the final inspection is approved.

b) The land use is determined by the County to be abandoned or non-conforming.

c) The land use is determined by the County to be not operating in compliance with these conditions of approval, the County Code, or other applicable laws, ordinances or regulations. In these cases, the land use may be subject to a revocation hearing and possible termination.

PLEASE NOTE: This will be the ONLY notice given of the approval expiration date. The “developer” is responsible to initiate any Extension of Time application.

5. Extension of Time. Extensions of time to the expiration date (listed above or as otherwise extended) may be granted in increments each not to exceed an additional three years beyond the current expiration date. An application to request consideration of an extension of time may be filed with the appropriate fees no less than thirty days before the expiration date. Extensions of time may be granted based on a review of the application, which includes a justification of the delay in construction and a plan of action for completion. The granting of such an extension request is a discretionary action that may be subject to additional or revised conditions of approval or site plan modifications. (SBCC §86.06.060)

6. Development Impact Fees. Additional fees may be required prior to issuance of development permits. Fees shall be paid as specified in adopted fee ordinances.

7. Indemnification. In compliance with SBCC §81.01.070, the developer shall agree, to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County or its “indemnites” (herein collectively the County’s elected officials, appointed officials (including Planning Commissioners), Zoning Administrator, agents, officers, employees, volunteers, advisory agencies or committees, appeal boards or legislative body) from any claim, action, or proceeding against the County or its indemnitees to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the County by an indemnitee concerning a map or permit or any other action relating to or arising out of County approval, including the acts, errors or omissions of any person and for any costs or expenses incurred by the indemnitees on account of any claim, except where such indemnification is prohibited by law. In the alternative, the developer may agree to relinquish such approval.
Any condition of approval imposed in compliance with the County Development Code or County General Plan shall include a requirement that the County acts reasonably to promptly notify the developer of any claim, action, or proceeding and that the County cooperates fully in the defense. The developer shall reimburse the County and its indemnitees for all expenses resulting from such actions, including any court costs and attorney fees, which the County or its indemnitees may be required by a court to pay as a result of such action.

The County may, at its sole discretion, participate at its own expense in the defense of any such action, but such participation shall not relieve the developer of their obligations under this condition to reimburse the County or its indemnitees for all such expenses.

This indemnification provision shall apply regardless of the existence or degree of fault of indemnitees. The developer’s indemnification obligation applies to the indemnitees’ “passive” negligence but does not apply to the indemnitees’ “sole” or “active” negligence or “willful misconduct” within the meaning of Civil Code Section 2782.

8. Project Account. The Job Costing System (JCS) account number is P201700245. This is an actual cost project with a deposit account to which hourly charges are assessed by various county agency staff (e.g. Land Use Services, Public Works and County Counsel). Upon notice, the “developer” shall deposit additional funds to maintain or return the account to a positive balance. The “developer” is responsible for all expenses charged to this account. Processing of the project shall cease, if it is determined that the account has a negative balance and that an additional deposit has not been made in a timely manner. A minimum balance of $1000.00 shall be in the project account at the time of project approval and the initiation of the Condition Compliance Review. Sufficient funds shall remain in the account to cover all estimated charges that may be made during each compliance review. All fees required for processing shall be paid in full prior to final inspection, occupancy and/or operation of each approved use in each approved structure or land use activity area. There shall be sufficient funds ($500.00) remaining in the account to properly fund file closure and any other required post-occupancy compliance review and inspection requirements (e.g. landscape performance).

9. Condition Compliance. In order to obtain construction permits for grading, or any new building, final inspection, the developer shall process a Condition Compliance Release Form (CCRF) for each respective building and/or phase of the development through County Planning in accordance with the directions stated in the Approval letter. County Planning shall release their holds on each phase of development by providing to County Building and Safety the following:
   a) Grading Permits - a copy of the signed CCRF for grading/land disturbance and two “red” stamped and signed approved copies of the grading plans.
   b) Building Permits - a copy of the signed CCRF for building permits and three “red” stamped and signed approved copies of the final approved site plan.
c) **Final Inspection** - a copy of the signed CCRF for final inspection of each respective building, after an on-site compliance inspection by County Planning.

10. **Additional Permits.** The property owner, developer, and land use operator are all responsible to ascertain and comply with all laws, ordinances, regulations and any other requirements of Federal, State, County and Local agencies as are applicable to the development and operation of the approved land use and project site. These include:
   a) **Federal:** Federal Aviation Administration
   b) **State of California:** Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB)
   c) **County of San Bernardino:** Land Use Services - Code Enforcement; Building and Safety, Public Health-Environmental Health Services, Special Districts, Public Works. County Fire, and
   d) **Local:** Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO), San Bernardino International Airport Authority (Avigation Easement), City of Redlands by special agreement provides water, sewer, sanitation, police and fire services to this area.

11. **Continuous Maintenance.** The property owner and “developer” shall continually maintain the property so that it is visually attractive and not dangerous to the health, safety and general welfare of both on-site users (e.g. employees) and surrounding properties. The “developer” shall ensure that all facets of the development are regularly inspected, maintained and that any defects are timely repaired. Among the elements to be maintained, include but are not limited to:
   a) **Annual maintenance and repair inspections** shall be conducted for all structures, fencing/walls, walks, parking lots, driveways, and signs to assure proper structural, electrical and mechanical safety and a properly operating irrigation system.
   b) **Graffiti and debris** shall be removed immediately with weekly maintenance.
   c) **Landscaping** shall be maintained in a continual healthy thriving manner at proper height for required screening. Drought-resistant, fire retardant vegetation shall be used where practicable. Where landscaped areas are irrigated, it shall be done in a manner designed to conserve water, minimizing aerial spraying.
   d) **Erosion control** measures shall be maintained to reduce water run off, siltation, and promote slope stability.
   e) **Architectural controls** shall be enforced by the property owner to maintain compatibility of theme, materials, unfaded colors, building mass and height.
   f) **Signage.** All on-site signs, including posted area signs (e.g. “No Trespassing”) shall be maintained in a clean readable condition at all times and all graffiti and vandalism shall be removed and repaired on a regular weekly basis. Signs on the site shall be of the size and general location as shown on the approved site plan or an approved sign plan.
   g) **Parking and on-site circulation requirements,** including surfaces, all markings and traffic/directional signs shall be maintained in an unfaded condition as identified on the approved site plan. Any modification to parking and access layout requires County review and approval. The
markings and signs shall be clearly defined and legible. These include parking spaces, disabled space and access path of travel, directional designations and signs, stop signs, pedestrian crossing, speed humps “No Parking” “carpool” and “Fire Lane" designations.

h) Garage Parking Spaces. All garage (enclosed) parking spaces shall be provided with automatic garage door openers and shall at all times remain clear and uncluttered so as to accommodate the parking of vehicles.

12. Performance Standards. The approved land uses shall operate in compliance with the general performance standards listed in the County Development Code Chapter 83.01, regarding air quality, electrical disturbance, fire hazards (storage of flammable or other hazardous materials), heat, noise, vibration and the disposal of liquid waste. In addition to these, none of the following shall be perceptible without instruments at any point outside the project boundaries at adjoining property lines:

a) Odors: No offensive or objectionable odor
b) Emissions: No emission of dirt, dust, fly ash, and other forms of particulate matter.
c) Smoke: No smoke from any project source shall be emitted of a greater density than that described in No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart (as published currently by the United States Bureau of Mines)
d) Radiation: No dangerous amount of radioactive emissions.
e) Toxic Gases: No emission of toxic, noxious or corrosive fumes of gases.
f) Glare: No intense glare that is not effectively screened from view at any point outside the project boundary.

13. Lighting. The glare from any luminous source, including on-site lighting shall not exceed one-half (0.5) foot-candle at property line. All lighting shall be limited to that necessary for maintenance activities and security purposes. This is to allow minimum obstruction of night sky remote area views. No light shall project onto adjacent roadways in a manner that interferes with on-coming traffic. All signs proposed by this project shall only be lit by steady, stationary, shielded light directed at the sign, by light inside the sign, by direct stationary neon lighting or in the case of an approved electronic message center sign alternating no more than once every five seconds.

14. Clear Sight Triangle. Adequate visibility for vehicular and pedestrian traffic shall be provided at clear sight triangles at all 90 degree angle intersections of public rights-of-way and private driveways. All signs, structures and landscaping located within any clear sight triangle shall comply with the height and location requirements specified by County Development Code (SBCC§ 83.02.030) or as otherwise required by County Traffic.

15. Underground Utilities. There shall be no new above ground power or communication lines extended to the site. All new utilities shall be placed underground in a manner, which avoids disturbing any existing/natural vegetation or the site appearance. Existing utilities around the site perimeter shall also be placed underground in coordination with the utility provider.
16. **AR3 Operational Requirements.** The project site is within an Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR3) Overlay, therefore the following standards and criteria shall apply to all operations, structures, and land uses:
   a) All structures and land uses shall be designed and operated so that they shall not reflect glare, emit electronic interference, produce smoke, or store or dispense hazardous materials in such a manner that would endanger aircraft operations or public safety in the event of an aircraft accident.
   b) Vegetation shall be maintained not to exceed the height limitations established in Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 77, unless otherwise provided by Form 7460-1.
   c) The “developer”/property owner shall include with all lease and rental agreements and separately to all renters, tenants, lessees or buyers; information that the site is subject to aircraft overflight from the appropriate airport, is subject to the potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations, and is subject to an Avigation and Noise Easement.
   d) Proposed uses and structures shall be consistent with the San Bernardino International Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan (ACLUP).

17. **Discovery Protocol and Treatment.** If an archaeological deposit or tribal cultural resource is discovered within the Project area, ground disturbing activities shall be suspended 100 feet around the resource(s) and an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) physical demarcation/barrier constructed. Representatives from SMBMI, the Archaeological Monitor, the Project applicant/developer, and the Lead Agency shall confer regarding treatment of the discovered resource(s). A treatment plan shall be prepared, reviewed and adopted by all Parties, and then implemented to protect the identified resources from damage and destruction. The treatment plan shall contain a research design to evaluate the resource for significance under both NHPA and CEQA criteria. This research design shall (1) acknowledge the necessity for additional, internal Tribal deliberations and culturally-appropriate treatments for all tribal cultural resources and (2) for archaeological resources, include fieldwork and sampling procedures appropriate to ascertain the boundaries, nature, and content of the resource in accordance with current, professional archaeological best practices, as agreed upon by SMBMI.

Should the resource be determined to be significant under either federal- or state-level criteria, avoidance and preservation in place shall be the preferred treatment. Should the resource not be a candidate for avoidance or preservation in place, a resource-specific mitigation plan shall be developed, reviewed by all Parties, and implemented. Should the mitigation plan include archaeological data recovery, a research design shall be developed that exhausts the research potential of the resource in accordance with current professional archaeology standards. Any mitigation plan that results in the removal of cultural resources (artifacts, ecofacts, features, etc.) from their original provenience shall also include a comprehensive discussion of resource processing, analysis, curation, and reporting protocols and obligations.
a) All mitigation, treatment, and data recovery plans shall be developed in consultation with SMBMI.
b) All fieldwork related to treatment, mitigation, and data recovery plans shall require monitoring by an SMBMI Tribal Monitor.
c) All draft reports containing the significance and treatment findings and data recovery results shall be prepared by an SOI-qualified archaeologist hired by the applicant/developer and submitted to the Lead Agency and SMBMI for their review and comment.
d) All final reports are to be submitted to the local CHRIS Information Center, the Lead Agency, and SMBMI.

[Mitigation Measure XVII-2] General Requirement/Planning

18. **Treatment and Disposition of Artifacts, Ecofacts, Cultural Deposits.** SMBMI requests that culturally-appropriate and professionally proper procedures shall be followed with respect to all artifacts and remains affiliated with Native peoples—whether prehistoric, protohistoric, or historic.
   a) Any sacred/ceremonial objects or objects of cultural patrimony discovered within the project area are to be offered to the MLD of record for appropriate treatment and all claims of ownership to such materials waived by the applicant/developer/landowner.
   b) SMBMI requests that all other artifacts be permitted to be either (1) left in situ should avoidance or protection in place be guaranteed or (2) reburied, on site, in a location that will be protected from future disturbance. A fully executed reburial agreement shall be developed with SMBMI. This agreement shall include measures and provisions to protect the future reburial area from any future impacts (vis a vis project plans, conservation/preservation easements, deed riders, etc.). Reburial shall not occur until all ground-disturbing activities associated with the Project have been completed, all monitoring has ceased, all cataloguing and basic recordation of cultural resources have been completed, and a final monitoring report has been issued to Lead Agency, CHRIS, and SMBMI.
   c) Should it occur that avoidance, preservation in place, or on-site reburial are not an option for some artifacts, SMBMI requests that the landowner relinquish all ownership and rights to this material and consult with the Tribes to identify an American Association of Museums (AAM)-accredited facility within San Bernardino County that can accession the materials into their permanent collections and provide for the proper care of these objects in accordance with the 1993 CA Curation Guidelines. A curation agreement with an appropriate qualified repository shall be developed between the landowner and museum that legally and physically transfers the collections and associated records to the facility. This agreement shall stipulate the payment of fees necessary for permanent curation of the collections and associated records and the obligation of the Project developer/applicant to pay for those fees.
   d) Where appropriate and agreed upon in advance by SMBMI, the SOI-qualified archaeologist hired by the applicant/developer may conduct analyses of certain artifact classes (including, but not limited to, shell, non-human bone, ceramic, stone) if required by CEQA, the Project’s mitigation
measures, and/or conditions of approval for the Project. Upon completion of authorized and mandatory analyses, the applicant/developer shall provide said artifacts to SMBMI for reburial on site or to the aforementioned, identified curation facility within sixty (60) days from the completion of analyses and not to exceed one hundred and twenty (120) days after the initial recovery of the items from the field.  

[Mitigation Measure XVII-3] General Requirement/Planning

LAND USE SERVICES - Code Enforcement (909) 387-4044

19. Enforcement. If any County agency is required to enforce compliance with the conditions of approval, the property owner and “developer” shall be charged for such enforcement activities in accordance with the County Code Schedule of Fees. Failure to comply with these conditions of approval or the approved site plan design required for this project approval shall be enforceable against the property owner and “developer” (by both criminal and civil procedures) as provided by the San Bernardino County Code, Title 8 - Development Code; Division 6 - Administration, Chapter 86.09 - Enforcement.

PUBLIC HEALTH - Environmental Health Services (DEHS) (800) 442-2283

20. Noise. Noise level shall be maintained at or below County Standards, Development Code Section 83.01.080. For information, please call DEHS at 1-800-442-2283.

21. Refuse Storage/Removal. All refuse generated at the premises shall at all times be stored in approved containers and shall be placed in a manner so that environmental public health nuisances are minimized. All refuse not containing garbage shall be removed from the premises at least 1 time per week, or as often as necessary to minimize public health nuisances. Refuse containing garbage shall be removed from the premises at least 2 times per week, or as often if necessary to minimize public health nuisances, by a permitted hauler to an approved solid waste facility in conformance with San Bernardino County Code Chapter 8, Section 33.0830 et. seq. For information, please call DEHS/LEA at: 1-800-442-2283.

22. Annual Health Permit. This Project requires an annual health permit for public swimming pool, spa or bathing place. For information, contact DEHS/Community Environmental Health at 1-800-442-2283.

COUNTY FIRE - Community Safety (909) 386-8465

23. Fire Jurisdiction. The above referenced project is under the jurisdiction of the San Bernardino County Fire Department herein (“Fire Department”). Prior to any construction occurring on any parcel, the developer shall contact the Fire Department for verification of current fire protection requirements. All new construction shall comply with the current California Fire Code requirements and all applicable statutes, codes, ordinances and standards of the Fire Department.
24. Additional Requirements. In addition to the Fire requirements stated herein, other on site and off site improvements may be required which cannot be determined from tentative plans at this time and would have to be reviewed after more complete improvement plans and profiles have been submitted to this office.

LAND USE SERVICES - Land Development - Drainage (909) 387-8311

25. Tributary Drainage. Adequate provisions should be made to intercept and conduct the tributary off site - on site drainage flows around and through the site in a manner, which will not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties at the time the site is developed.

26. Additional Drainage Requirements. In addition to drainage requirements stated herein, other "on-site" and/or "off-site" improvements may be required which cannot be determined from tentative plans at this time and would have to be reviewed after more complete improvement plans and profiles have been submitted to this office.

27. Continuous BMP Maintenance. The property owner/"developer" is required to provide periodic and continuous maintenance of all Best Management Practices (BMP) devices/facilities listed in the County approved Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for the project. This includes but is not limited to, filter material replacement and sediment removal, as required to ensure peak performance of all BMPs. Furthermore, such maintenance activity will require compliance with all Local, State, or Federal laws and regulations, including those pertaining to confined space and waste disposal methods in effect at the time such maintenance occurs.

28. BMP Enforcement. In the event the property owner/"developer" (including any successors or assigns) fails to accomplish the necessary BMP maintenance within five (5) days of being given written notice by County Public Works, then the County shall cause any required maintenance to be done. The entire cost and expense of the required maintenance shall be charged to the property owner and/or "developer", including administrative costs, attorney's fees and interest thereon at the rate authorized by the County Code from the date of the original notice to the date the expense is paid in full.

PUBLIC WORKS - Solid Waste Management (909) 386-8961

29. Franchise Hauler Service Area – This project falls within a County Franchise Area. If subscribing for the collection and removal of construction and demolition waste from the project site, all developers, contractors, and subcontractors shall be required to receive services through the grantee holding a franchise agreement in the corresponding County Franchise Area (Burrtec).

30. Recycling Storage Capacity – The developer shall provide adequate space and storage bins for both refuse and recycling materials. This requirement is to assist
the County in compliance with the recycling requirements of Assembly Bill (AB) 2176.

31. **Mandatory Commercial Recycling** – Beginning July 1, 2012 all businesses defined to include a commercial or public entity that generates 4 or more cubic yards of commercial waste a week or is a multi-family residential dwelling of 5 units or more are required to arrange for recycling services. The County is required to monitor commercial recycling and will require businesses to provide recycling information. This requirement is to assist the County in compliance with AB 341.

32. **Mandatory Trash Service** – This project falls within a Uniform Handling Service area. If uniform handling is implemented in all or part of a particular franchise area, all owners or a dwelling or a commercial or industrial unit within the uniform handling area who are required to have uniform handling service shall, upon notice thereof, be required to accept uniform handling service from the grantee holding a franchise agreement and pay the rate of such services. This requirement is a stipulation of County Code Title 4, Division 6, Chapter 5, Section 46.0501.

33. **Mandatory Commercial Organics Recycling** – As of January 1, 2017, AB 1826 (Enacted October 2014) requires businesses that generate four (4) cubic yards of organic waste per week to recycle organic waste. A business generating organic waste shall arrange for the recycling services in a manner that is consistent with state and local laws and requirements, including a local ordinance or local jurisdiction’s franchise agreement, applicable to the collection, handling, or recycling of solid and organic waste or arrange for separate organic waste collection and recycling services, until the local ordinance or local jurisdiction’s franchise agreement includes organic waste recycling services. A business that is a property owner may require a lessee or tenant of that property to source separate their organic waste to aid in compliance. Additionally, all businesses that contract for gardening or landscaping services must stipulate that the contractor recycle the resulting gardening or landscaping waste. Residential multifamily dwellings of five (5) or more units are required to recycle organics; however, they are not required to arrange for recycling services specifically for food waste. Applicant will be required to report to the County on efforts to recycle organics materials once operational.

34. **Demolition Debris** – San Bernardino County owned and operated sanitary landfills and transfer stations are not permitted to accept asbestos contaminated wastes, therefore any debris generated by the demolition of structures are subject to asbestos clearance prior to disposal at any San Bernardino County disposal sites. Applicants are required to have a Certified Asbestos Consultant perform testing of all materials to be disposed. Upon receipt of the Consultant’s report, indicating that the debris is not contaminated, Solid Waste Management Operations Section will provide applicant with disposal authorization. For more information on Certified Asbestos Consultants please visit [http://www.dir.ca.gov/databases/doshacru/acruList.asp](http://www.dir.ca.gov/databases/doshacru/acruList.asp), or for information on
County requirements please contact Solid Waste Operations at 909-386-8701 or solid.wastemail@dpw.sbcounty.gov.
PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS
OR LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES
The following shall be completed:

LAND USE SERVICES - Building and Safety (909) 387-8311

35. **Geology Report:** A geology report shall be submitted to the Building and Safety Division for review and approval by the County Geologist and fees paid for the review prior to final project approval.

36. **Geotechnical (Soil) Report:** When earthwork quantities exceed 5,000 cubic yards, a geotechnical (soil) report shall be submitted to the Building and Safety Division for review and approval prior to issuance of grading permits.

37. **Grading Plans:** Grading and erosion control plans shall be submitted to Building and Safety for review and approval prior to grading/land disturbance.

38. **NPDES Permit:** An NPDES permit - Notice of Intent (NOI) - is required on all grading of one (1) acre or more prior to issuance of a grading/construction permit. Contact your Regional Water Quality Control Board for specifics. [www.swrcb.ca.gov](http://www.swrcb.ca.gov)

39. **Regional Board Permit Letter:** CONSTRUCTION projects involving one or more acres must be accompanied by a copy of the Regional Board permit letter with the WDID #. Construction activity includes clearing, grading, or excavation that results in the disturbance of at least one (1) acre of land total.

LAND USE SERVICES - Planning (909) 387-8311

40. **AQ-Dust Control Plan.** The “developer” shall prepare, submit for review and obtain approval from County Planning of both a Dust Control Plan (DCP) consistent with SCAQMD guidelines and a signed letter agreeing to include in any construction contracts/ subcontracts a requirement that project contractors adhere to the requirements of the DCP. The DCP shall include the following requirements:
   a) **Exposed soil shall be kept continually moist to reduce fugitive dust during all grading and construction activities, through application of water sprayed a minimum of three times each day during dry weather.** Watering, with complete coverage of disturbed areas, shall occur at least three times a day, preferably in the mid-morning, afternoon, and after work is done for the day.
   b) **The contractor shall ensure that traffic speeds on unpaved roads and the project site areas are reduced to 15 miles per hour or less to reduce PM10 and PM2.5 fugitive dust haul road emissions.**
   c) **Any portion of the site to be graded shall be pre-watered to a depth of three feet prior to the onset of grading activities.**

*Mitigation Measures are shown in Italics*
d) During high wind conditions (i.e., wind speeds exceeding 25 mph), areas with disturbed soil shall be watered hourly and activities on unpaved surfaces shall cease until wind speeds no longer exceed 25 mph.

e) Any area that will remain undeveloped for a period of more than 30 days shall be stabilized using either chemical stabilizers and/or a desert wildflower mix hydrosed on the affected portion of the site.

f) Storage piles that are to be left in place for more than three working days shall be sprayed with a non-toxic soil binder, covered with plastic or re-vegetated.

g) Imported fill and exported excess cut shall be adequately watered prior to transport, covered during transport, and watered prior to unloading on the project site.

h) Storm water control systems shall be installed to prevent off-site mud deposition.

i) All trucks hauling dirt away from the site shall be covered.

j) Construction vehicle tires shall be washed, prior to leaving the project site.

k) Rumble plates shall be installed at construction exits from dirt driveways.

l) Paved access driveways and streets shall be washed and swept daily when there are visible signs of dirt track-out.

m) Street sweeping shall be conducted daily when visible soil accumulations occur along site access roadways to remove dirt dropped or tracked-out by construction vehicles. Site access driveways and adjacent streets shall be washed daily, if there are visible signs of any dirt track-out at the conclusion of any workday and after street sweeping.

[Mitigation Measure III-1] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

41. Nesting Birds. If an active nest is found, the bird shall be identified by species and the approximate distance from the closest work site to the nest shall be estimated. No additional measures need to be implemented if active nests are more than the following distances from the nearest work site: a) 500 feet for raptors or listed species; or b) 300 feet for non-listed passerines. Any nests occurring within these distances shall have a no-disturbance buffer implemented around them. A biological monitor shall be present to delineate the boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely affected by the construction activity. The buffer will be staked and flagged. This distance may be changed according to the judgment of the qualified biologist. [Mitigation Measure IV-1] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

42. Burrowing Owl. Out of an abundance of caution, and to ensure burrowing owl remain absent from the project site, a burrowing owl clearance survey shall be conducted prior to any ground disturbing activities in accordance with the CDFW 2012 Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. Two preconstruction clearance surveys shall be conducted 14-30 days and 24 hours prior to ground disturbing activities to document the continued absence of burrowing owl from the project site. If burrowing owls are observed on the project site during the pre-construction surveys, a burrowing owl relocation plan will need to be prepared and submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to commencement of vegetation clearing/grubbing, grading, and construction activities on the project.
The burrowing owl relocation plan will outline methods to relocate any burrowing owls occurring on the project site and ensure compliance with the MBTA and Fish and Game Code. [Mitigation Measure IV-2] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

43. **Construction Noise.** The “developer” shall submit and obtain approval from County Planning of a signed letter agreeing to include as a condition of all construction contracts/subcontracts requirements to reduce noise impacts during construction, which shall include the following vehicle and equipment emissions and other impacts to air quality by implementing the following measures and submitting documentation of compliance: The developer/construction contractors shall do the following:

   a) During the project site excavation and grading, the construction contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers, consistent with the manufactures standards.

   b) The construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest the project site.

   c) The construction contractor shall limit all construction-related activities that would result in high noise levels between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday excluding holidays.

   d) The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction.

   e) The construction contractor shall limit haul truck deliveries to the same hours specified for construction equipment. To the extent feasible, haul routes shall not pass sensitive land uses or residential dwellings.

   [Mitigation Measure XII-1] - Prior to Grading Permit/Planning

44. **Archaeological and Tribal Monitoring.** Due to the heightened cultural sensitivity of the proposed project area, both an archaeological monitor that meets Secretary of Interior (SOI) qualifications for archaeology and Tribal monitors representing SMBMI shall be present for all ground-disturbing activities that occur within the proposed project APE (which includes, but is not limited to, tree/shrub removal and planting, clearing/grubbing, grading, excavation, trenching, compaction, fence/gate removal and installation, drainage and irrigation removal and installation, hardscape installation (benches, signage, boulders, walls, seat walls, fountains, etc.), and archaeological surveys, testing, and data recovery. A sufficient number of archaeological and Tribal monitors shall be present each work day to ensure that simultaneously occurring ground disturbing activities receive thorough levels of monitoring coverage.

   The Lead Agency shall inform the Project developer/applicant of its responsibility to provide compensation (hourly wages, per diem, mileage, lodging, etc.) for all archaeological and Tribal monitors and the services these individuals provide as
part of the monitoring effort for the Project and the need for a Monitoring Plan to be developed prior to the issuance of permits.

Prior to the issuance of any ground disturbance-related permits (such as grading permits), the Lead Agency shall contact and coordinate with SMBMI to facilitate communications with the Project developer/applicant so that all Parties can develop a mutually-acceptable Archaeological and Tribal Monitoring Plan. This Plan shall be approved and adopted by the Lead Agency prior to the issuance of any ground disturbance-related permits. The Plan’s implementation in the field shall be enforced by the Lead Agency for the life of the Project.

[Mitigation Measure XVII-1] Prior to Grading or Land Disturbance/Planning

45. Cultural Resources. The developer/property owner shall submit for review and obtain approval from County Planning of a letter agreeing to adhere to the following requirements and to include in any construction contracts/subcontracts a provision that project contractors shall also adhere to the following requirements:

a) If archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately until written clearance by County Planning is provided indicating that satisfactory mitigation has been implemented. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record the find and recommend any further mitigation. The developer shall implement any such additional mitigation to the satisfaction of County Planning.

b) If human remains are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, the San Bernardino County Coroner shall be contacted within 24 hours of the find. If the remains or cultural artifacts are determined to be of Native American origin, the local Native American representative shall also be notified.

LAND USE SERVICES - Land Development – Drainage Section (909) 387-8311

46. Drainage Improvements. A Registered Civil Engineer (RCE) shall investigate and design adequate drainage improvements to intercept and conduct the off-site and on-site drainage flows around and through the site in a safety manner, which will not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties. Submit drainage study for review and obtain approval. A $550 deposit for drainage study review will be collected upon submittal to the Land Development Division. Deposit amounts are subject to change in accordance with the latest approved fee schedule.

47. FEMA Flood Zone. The project is located within Flood Zone X (unshaded) according to FEMA Panel Number 06071C8704H dated 08/28/2008. No elevation requirements.

48. Topo Map. A topographic map shall be provided to facilitate the design and review of necessary drainage facilities.
49. **Grading Plans.** Grading plans shall be submitted for review and approval obtained, prior to construction. All Drainage and WQMP improvements shall be shown on the Grading plans according to the approved Drainage study and WQMP reports. Fees for grading plans will be collected upon submittal to the Land Development Division and are determined based on the amounts of cubic yards of cut and fill. Fee amounts are subject to change in accordance with the latest approved fee schedule.

50. **On-site Flows.** On-site flows need to be directed to the drainage facilities unless a drainage acceptance letter is secured from the adjacent property owners and provided to Land Development.

51. **WQMP.** A completed Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) shall be submitted for review and approval obtained. A $2,650 deposit for WQMP review will be collected upon submittal to the Land Development Division. Deposit amounts are subject to change in accordance with the latest approved fee schedule. The report shall adhere to the current requirements established by the Santa Ana Watershed Region. Copies of the WQMP guidance and template can be found at: [http://cms.sbcounty.gov/dpw/Land/WQMPTemplatesandForms.aspx](http://cms.sbcounty.gov/dpw/Land/WQMPTemplatesandForms.aspx)

52. **WQMP Inspection Fee.** The developer shall provide a $3,600 deposit to Land Development Division for inspection of the approved WQMP. Deposit amounts are subject to change in accordance with the latest approved fee schedule.

53. **Water System.** Prior to any land disturbance, the water system shall be designed to meet the required fire flow for this development and shall be approved by the Fire Department. The required fire flow shall be determined by using Appendix IIIA of the California Fire Code.

54. **Vector Control.** The project area has a high probability of containing vectors. DEHS Vector Control Section will determine the need for vector survey and any required control programs. A vector clearance letter shall be submitted to DEHS/Land Use. For information, contact Vector Control at 1-800-442-2283.

55. **Monumentation.** If any activity on this project will disturb any land survey monumentation, including but not limited to vertical control points (benchmarks), said monumentation shall be located and referenced by or under the direction of a licensed land surveyor or registered civil engineer authorized to practice land surveying prior to commencement of any activity with the potential to disturb said monumentation, and a corner record or record of survey of the references shall
be filed with the County Surveyor pursuant to Section 8771(b) Business and Professions Code.

56. Record of Survey. Pursuant to Sections 8762(b) and/or 8773 of the Business and Professions Code, a Record of Survey or Corner Record shall be filed under any of the following circumstances:
   a) Monuments set to mark property lines or corners;
   b) Performance of a field survey to establish property boundary lines for the purposes of construction staking, establishing setback lines, writing legal descriptions, or for boundary establishment/mapping of the subject parcel;
   c) Any other applicable circumstances pursuant to the Business and Professions Code that would necessitate filing of a Record of Survey.
PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS
The following shall be completed:

LAND USE SERVICES - Building and Safety (909) 387-8311

57. **Construction Plans**: Any building, sign, or structure to be constructed or located on site, will require professionally prepared plans based on the most current County and California Building Codes, submitted for review and approval by the Building and Safety Division. Construction plans shall include erosion and sediment control measures to protect the job site during construction.

58. **Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Compliance**: Prior to the issuance of building permits the Building and Safety Division shall coordinate with County Planning to ensure that all GHG Measures have been included in the building plans.

59. **Avigation Easement**: An Avigation Easement shall be granted to the appropriate airport and recorded prior to the issuance of building permits for all construction in the AR overlay areas. Plans submitted in the AR overlays shall conform to the interior noise levels as per San Bernardino County standards.

60. **Temporary Use Permit**: A Temporary Use Permit (T.U.P.) for an office trailer will be required or it must be placed on a permanent foundation per State H.C.D. guidelines. A T.U.P. is only valid for a maximum of five (5) years.

LAND USE SERVICES - Planning (909) 387-8311

61. **MERV 13 High Efficiency Filters**: The project shall include the following measures to reduce exposure to diesel particulate matter from heavy duty trucks:
   a) The developer shall install a central HVAC system (as is typical of three to four-story suburban residential projects with multiple buildings) that includes high efficiency particulate filters with a MERV rating of 13 for all residential units within 1,000 feet of distribution facility loading docks. Filtration systems must operate to maintain positive pressure within the building interior to prevent entrainment of outdoor air indoors.
   b) Windows and doors shall be fully weatherproofed with caulking and weather-stripping that is rated to last at least 20 years. Weatherproof shall be maintained and replaced by the property owner, as necessary, to ensure functionality for the lifetime of the project.
   c) The developer shall inform occupants regarding the proper use of any installed air filtration system.

   [Mitigation Measure III-2] Prior to Building Permits/Planning

62. **AR3 Design Requirements**: The project is within the Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR-3) Overlay. The developer shall grant an Avigation and Noise Easement to the San Bernardino International Airport. The developer shall submit copies of the proposed Avigation & Noise Easement to both County Planning and the affected airport for review and approval. Also, notice shall be provided to any renters, lessees or buyers of the subject property that the site is
subject to this Avigation and Noise Easement and that there will be aircraft over-flight with potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations. This information shall be incorporated into the CC & R's, if any, and in all lease and rental agreements. [Mitigation Measure VIII-2] Prior to Building Permit/Planning

63. New Home Renewable Energy (GHG Reduction Measure R2E8). A minimum of 20 percent of the power needs of the project shall be provided by means of rooftop solar photovoltaic panels (15 Points).

64. Energy Efficiency for New Residential Development (GHG Reduction Measure R2E6). The project shall include GHG reduction measures which include the specific features listed below. The developer shall provide a certified letter from a qualified energy consultant showing that the applicable Title 24 energy efficiency requirements for each feature is being met or exceeded as listed below:
   a) Enhanced Insulation (rigid wall insulation R-13, roof/attic: R-38) (15 points)
   b) Enhanced Window Insulation (0.32 U-Factor, 0.25 SHGC) (7 points)
   c) Enhanced Cool Roof (CRRC Rated 0.2 aged solar reflectance, 0.75 thermal emittance) (12 Points)
   d) Heating/Cooling Distribution System – Modest Duct Insulation (R-6) (7 points)
   e) Space Heating/Cooling Equipment – High Efficiency HVAC (SEER 15/72% AFUE or 8.5 HSPF) (7 points)
   f) Water Heaters – Improved Efficiency Water Heaters (0.675 Energy Factor) (12 points)
   g) Artificial Lighting – Very High Efficiency Lights (100% of in-unit fixtures are high efficiency) (12 points)
   h) Appliances – Energy Star refrigerators, dish washers and washing machines) (3 points)

65. Potable Water - Per Capita Water Use Reduction Goal (GHG Reduction Measure R2WC-1). The project shall include GHG reduction measures which include the specific features listed below. The developer shall provide a certified letter from a qualified energy consultant showing that the 2007 Title 24 energy efficiency requirements for each feature is being exceeded by the amounts listed below:
   a) Water efficient Showerheads (2.0 gpm) (3 points).
   b) Water efficient toilets (1.5 gpm) (3 points).
   c) Water efficient faucets (1.28 gpm) (3 points).
   d) Water efficient dishwasher (6 gallons per cycle or less) (1 Point).
   e) Water efficient washing machine (water factor <5.5) (1 Point).

66. Per Capita Water Use Reduction Goal (GHG Reduction Measure R2WC1). The project shall include the following water efficient irrigation and landscaping measures:
   a) No conventional turf (Warm season turf to <50% of required landscape area and/or low water using plants are allowed) (6 Points).
   b) Low precipitation spray heads <.75”/hr. or drip irrigation (2 Points).
c) Recycled water (greywater purple pipe) irrigation system to be used as available from the City of Redlands. Irrigation systems on site will use purple pipe in anticipation of this service available from the City. (6 Points).

67. **GHG – Installation.** The developer shall provide evidence that all GHG measures have been installed or are included on the plans to be installed.

68. **Underground Utilities.** All existing utility lines (66KV or less) located on or around the perimeter of the site, shall be placed underground. The developer shall work cooperatively with the County and appropriate utility agencies to underground these facilities. This cooperation shall extend to any and all proposed overhead utility lines or CPUC projects / facilities on or around the perimeter of the site.

69. **East Valley Area Plan Mitigation AQ/EVAP – SART Mitigation Fee.** Prior to issuance of building permits the developer shall contribute a fair share fee of $1435 per net acre to the satisfaction of County Regional Parks for construction of the East Valley Area Plan segment of the Santa Ana River Trail (SART) from California Street to the SH30 bridge. This fee may be waived or adjusted by County Regional Parks based upon inflation and credit may be granted for any developer completed trail improvements. The construction of the trail provides an incentive to use alternative transportation modes that access the area.

70. **Phasing Plan.** If the on-site construction is to be phased the Developer shall submit a detailed construction phasing plan to County Planning for review and approval. The phasing plan shall be subject to review and approval by County Fire, County Building and Safety, Public Works, and County Planning. The construction phasing plan shall address emergency access, resident access, construction access, infrastructure, drainage, parking, construction staging, and landscaping and amenities. All on-site improvements shall be completed prior to receiving final occupancy for each construction phase of development as shown on the approved phasing plan. All required public improvements, including Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street improvements, and all required on-site and off-site drainage improvements shall be constructed and completed prior to final occupancy of the first phase of construction. The club house facility shall also be completed with the first construction phase.

71. **Landscape and Irrigation Plan.** Landscape and Irrigation Plans shall be prepared in conformance with Chapter 83.10, Landscaping Standards, of the County Development Code, as well as the East Valley Area Plan requirements. The developer shall submit two copies of a landscape and irrigation plan to County Planning.

72. **Lugonia Avenue Landscape and Development Standards.** Lugonia Avenue is designated as a Major Highway. The intent of the landscape guidelines for Lugonia Avenue is to create a spacious, uncluttered “Greenbelt” appearance. The proposed project shall include the following landscape and design standards with respect to Lugonia Avenue:
Mitigation Measures are shown in Italic

a) **Setbacks.** Front parking setback line is 15 feet. Berms or other screening is required where parking is visible from the public right-of-way.

b) **Sidewalks.** Meandering sidewalks shall be provided, 6 feet in width, minimum of 3 feet from back of curb.

c) **Street Trees.** Carrotwood (Cupaniopsis anacardioides) and Liquidambar styrificflu planted with random spacing or in grove effect, to provide varied streetscape with view windows, at the equivalent of one tree per thirty (30) linear feet of frontage.

73. **AR3 Design Requirements.** The project is within the Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR-3) Overlay. The developer shall grant an Avigation and Noise Easement to the San Bernardino International Airport. The developer shall submit copies of the proposed Avigation & Noise Easement to both County Planning and the affected airport for review and approval. Also, notice shall be provided to any renters, lessees or buyers of the subject property that the site is subject to this Avigation and Noise Easement and that there will be aircraft over-flight with potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations. This information shall be incorporated into the CC&R's, if any, and in all lease and rental agreements. [Mitigation Measure VIII-2] Prior to Building Permit/Planning

74. **Interior Noise Level Reduction.** An interior noise level reduction of approximately 25.0 dBA CNEL is required to satisfy the County of San Bernardino 45 dBA CNEL interior noise standard. All residential buildings on-site would be designed to meet or exceed California Energy Code Title 24 standards, which specify construction methods and materials that result in energy efficient structures and up to a 30 dBA reduction in exterior noise levels (assuming that windows are closed). This includes installation of mechanical ventilation (e.g. air conditioning), in combination with standard building construction that includes dual-glazed windows with a minimum Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating of 26. Such a reduction would reduce potential noise levels along the northern side of the carriage house to within the County’s 45 dBA interior standard in all units. Developer to provide written certification that all noise thresholds in accordance with the acoustical study prepared by Rincon have been met. [Mitigation Measure XII-2] - Prior to Building Permit/Planning

SPECIAL DISTRICTS - Street Lights (909) 387-5940

75. **Street Lighting Plans** This project lies within the boundaries of County Service Area 70, Zone EV-1. Street lighting plans, plan check fees, and one-year advance energy charges must be submitted to the Special Districts Department, 157 W. 5th St., 2nd Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0450. For additional information regarding street light plans, please call Special Districts Department at (909) 387-5940.

PUBLIC HEALTH - Environmental Health Services (DEHS) (800) 442-2283
76. **Water.** Water purveyor shall be City of Redlands or EHS approved

77. **Water Letter.** Applicant shall procure a verification letter from the water agency with jurisdiction. This letter shall state whether or not water connection and service shall be made available to the project by the water agency. This letter shall reference the Assessor’s Parcel Number. For projects with current active water connections, a copy of water bill with project address may suffice. For information, contact the Water Section at 1-800-442-2283.

78. **Sewer.** Method of sewage disposal shall be City of Redlands.

79. **Wastewater Verification.** Applicant shall procure a verification letter from the sewer agency with jurisdiction. This letter shall state whether or not sewer connection and service shall be made available to the project by the sewer agency. The letter shall reference the Assessor’s Parcel Number(s).

80. **Water and/or Sewer Service Provider Verification.** Please provide verification that the parcel(s) associated with the project is/are within the jurisdiction of the water and/or sewer service provider. If the parcel(s) associated with the project is/are not within the boundaries of the water and/or sewer service provider, submit to DEHS verification of Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) approval of either:
   a) Annexation of parcels into the jurisdiction of the water and/or sewer service provider; or,
   b) Out-of-agency service agreement for service outside a water and/or sewer service provider’s boundaries. Such agreement/contract is required to be reviewed and authorized by LAFCO pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 56133. Submit verification of LAFCO authorization of said Out-of-Agency service agreement to DEHS.

81. **Acoustical Information.** Submit preliminary acoustical information demonstrating that the proposed project maintains noise levels at or below San Bernardino County Noise Standard(s), San Bernardino Development Code Section 83.01.080. The purpose is to evaluate potential future on-site and/or adjacent off-site noise sources. If the preliminary information cannot demonstrate compliance to noise standards, a project specific acoustical analysis shall be required. Submit information/analysis to the DEHS for review and approval. For information and acoustical checklist, contact DEHS at 1-800-442-2283.

82. **Public Swimming Pools.** Plans for swimming pool(s) and associated restroom facilities shall be submitted to DEHS prior to issuance of building permits and shall be approved prior to commencing pool improvements. For information, call DEHS/Plan Check at: 1-800-442-2283.
LAND USE SERVICES - Land Development - Roads (909) 387-8311

83. **Road Dedication/Improvements.** The developer shall submit for review and obtain approval from the Land Use Services Department the following dedications and plans for the listed required improvements, designed by a Registered Civil Engineer (RCE), licensed in the State of California.

**Lugonia Avenue (Major Highway – 104’)**
- **Road Dedication.** A 22 foot grant of easement is required to provide a half-width right-of-way of 52 feet.
- **Street Improvements.** Design curb and gutter with match up paving 40 feet from centerline.
- **Sidewalks.** Design 6’ wide meandering sidewalk with adequate easement(s) per East Valley Area Plan.
- **Curb Return and Sidewalk Ramp.** A 50 foot curb return and sidewalk ramp shall be designed per County Standard 110. Adequate easement shall be provided to ensure sidewalk improvements are within Public right-of-way.
- **Driveway Approach.** Design driveway approach per San Bernardino County Standard 129B, and located per San Bernardino County Standard 130.

**Nevada Street (Secondary Highway – 88’)**
- **Road Dedication.** A 44 foot grant of easement is required to provide a half-width right-of-way of 44 feet.
- **Street Improvements.** Design curb and gutter with match up paving 32 feet from centerline.
- **Sidewalks.** Design sidewalks per County Standard 109Type “C”.
- **Driveway Approach.** Design driveway approach per San Bernardino County Standard 129B, and located per San Bernardino County Standard 130.

84. **Road Standards and Design.** All required street improvements shall comply with the latest San Bernardino County Road Planning and Design Standards and the San Bernardino County Standard Plans unless otherwise approved subject to plan review and potentially including other agreements and requirements to ensure ongoing maintenance and other design and construction considerations. Road sections shall be designed to Valley Road Standards of San Bernardino County, consistent with the policies and requirements of the County Department of Public Works and in accordance with the General Plan, Circulation Element.

85. **Street Improvement Plans.** The developer shall submit improvement plans for review prior to issuance of building permits, and shall obtain approval of street improvement plans prior to construction of street improvements. Final plans and profiles shall indicate the location of any existing or proposed utility facility or utility pole, overhead gateway signage or structures which would affect construction or require approval to be constructed in the right-of-way. Any such utility shall be relocated as necessary without cost to the County. Street improvement plans shall not be approved until all necessary right-of-way is acquired.

*Mitigation Measures are shown in Italics*
86. **Construction Permits.** Prior to installation of road and drainage improvements, a construction permit is required from County Public Works, Transportation Operations Division, Permit Section, (909) 387-8046, as well as other agencies prior to work within their jurisdiction. Submittal shall include a materials report and pavement section design in support of the section shown on the plans. Applicant shall conduct classification counts and compute a Traffic Index (TI) Value in support of the pavement section design.

87. **Soils Testing.** Any grading within the road right-of-way prior to the signing of the improvement plans shall be accomplished under the direction of a soils testing engineer. Compaction tests of embankment construction, trench back fill, and all sub-grades shall be performed at no cost to San Bernardino County and a written report shall be submitted to the Transportation Operations Division, Permits Section of County Public Works, prior to any placement of base materials and/or paving.

88. **Transitional Improvements.** Right-of-way and improvements (including off-site) to transition traffic and drainage flows from proposed to existing, shall be required as necessary.

89. **Street Gradients.** Road profile grades shall not be less than 0.5% unless the engineer at the time of submittal of the improvement plans provides justification to the satisfaction of County Public Works confirming the adequacy of the grade.

90. **Regional Transportation Mitigation Fees (RTMF).** This project falls within the Regional Transportation Facilities Mitigation Plan for the Redlands Donut Hole Subarea. This fee shall be paid by a cashier’s check to the Department of Public Works Business Office. The Plan fees shall be computed in accordance with the Plan fees in effect as of the date that the building plans are submitted and the building permit is applied for. These fees are subject to change periodically. Currently, the fee is $2,198 for a Multi Family Dwelling unit. Per the approved traffic study, there are 360 apartment dwelling units. The estimated Regional Transportation Fees for the apartment dwelling units are $791,280 (360 apartment dwelling units x $2,198 per dwelling unit). The total estimated Regional Transportation Fees for the apartment dwelling units is $791,280.

The current Regional Transportation Fee Plan can be found at the following website: [http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/transportation/transportation_planning.asp](http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/transportation/transportation_planning.asp)

91. **Fair Share Contributions to Intersection Improvements.** A fair share contribution for this project is required and will be based on the fair share percentages calculated in the revised Kunzman Associates traffic study dated April 24, 2017. The study concluded that the additional traffic generated by this project will have

**Mitigation Measures are shown in Italics**
Mitigation Measures are shown in Italics

The total fair share contribution shall be paid to the Department of Public Works - Traffic Division. At the present time, the total estimated fair share contribution is $36,125 as detailed in the table below. When an application for a building permit is filed, this amount will be adjusted to reflect actual construction costs incurred, if available, or will be adjusted to account for future construction costs using the Caltrans Construction Cost Index.

[Mitigation Measure XVI-2] Prior to Building Permit/County Traffic

COUNTY FIRE - Community Safety (909) 386-8465

92. **Access.** The development shall have a minimum of 4 points of vehicular access. These are for fire/emergency equipment access and for evacuation routes. Standard 902.2.1
   a) **Single Story Road Access Width.** All buildings shall have access provided by approved roads, alleys and private drives with a minimum twenty six (26) foot unobstructed width and vertically to fourteen (14) feet six (6) inches in height. Other recognized standards may be more restrictive by requiring wider access provisions.
   b) **Multi-Story Road Access Width.** Buildings three (3) stories in height or more shall have a minimum access of thirty (30) feet unobstructed width and vertically to fourteen (14) feet six (6) inches in height. Modifications to these standards may be approved by County Fire, subject to review and approval of the construction phasing plan. Any additional construction must submit plans for approval.

93. **Building Plans.** Not less than two (2) complete sets of Building Plans shall be submitted to the Fire Department for review and approval.

94. **Fire Flow Test.** Your submittal did not include a flow test report to establish whether the public water supply is capable of meeting your project fire flow demand. You will be required to either produce a current flow test report from your water purveyor demonstrating that the fire flow demand is satisfied or you must install an approved fire sprinkler system. This requirement shall be completed prior to combination inspection by Building and Safety.

95. **Turnaround.** An approved turnaround shall be provided at the end of each roadway one hundred and fifty (150) feet or more in length. Cul-de-sac length shall not exceed six hundred (600) feet; all roadways shall not exceed a 12% grade and have a minimum of forty five (45) foot radius for all turns. Modifications to these standards may be approved by County Fire, subject to review and approval of the construction phasing plan. Any additional construction must submit plans for approval. Standard 902.2.1

96. **Water System Commercial.** A water system approved and inspected by the Fire Department is required. The system shall be operational, prior to any

*an impact at the intersection of California Avenue at Lugonia Avenue for the Buildout Year (2040) traffic conditions.*
combustibles being stored on the site. All fire hydrants shall be spaced no more than three hundred (300) feet apart (as measured along vehicular travel-ways) and no more than three hundred (300) feet from any portion of a structure. [F54]

97. **Fire Alarm.** A manual, automatic or manual and automatic fire alarm system complying with the California Fire Code, NFPA and all applicable codes is required. The applicant shall hire a Fire Department approved fire alarm contractor. The fire alarm contractor shall submit three (3) sets of detailed plans to the Fire Department for review and approval. The required fees shall be paid at the time of plan submittal. Standard 1007.1.1FA. [F62A]

98. **Fire Lanes.** The applicant shall submit a fire lane plan to the Fire Department for review and approval. Fire lane curbs shall be painted red. The "No Parking, Fire Lane" signs shall be installed on public/private roads in accordance with the approved plan. Standard 901.4 [F93]

**PUBLIC WORKS - Solid Waste Management (909) 386-8961**

99. **Construction and Demolition Waste Management Plan (CWMP) Part 1 –** The developer shall prepare, submit, and obtain approval from SWMD of a CDWMP Part 1 for each phase of the project. The CWMP shall list the types and weights of solid waste materials expected to be generated from construction. The CWMP shall include options to divert waste materials from landfill disposal, materials for reuse or recycling by a minimum of 65% of total weight or volume. Forms can be found on our website at [http://cms.sbcounty.gov/dpw/solidwastemanagement.aspx](http://cms.sbcounty.gov/dpw/solidwastemanagement.aspx). An approved CDWMP Part 1 is required before a permit can be issued.
PRIOR TO FINAL INSPECTION OR OCCUPANCY PERMITS
The Following Shall Be Completed:

LAND USE SERVICES - Building and Safety (909) 387-8311

100. Planning Sign-off. Prior to occupancy all Planning Division requirements and sign-offs shall be completed.

LAND USE SERVICES - Planning (909) 387-8311

101. On-Site Construction Phasing. All on-site improvements shall be completed prior to receiving final occupancy for each construction phase of development as shown on the approved phasing plan. All required public improvements, including Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street improvements, and all required on-site and off-site drainage improvements shall be constructed and completed prior to final occupancy of the first phase of construction. The club house facility shall also be completed with the first construction phase.

102. Parking Lot Installed: On-site parking and circulation requirements shall be installed, inspected and approved as being in compliance with the approved Final Development Plan. The following shall be completed:
   a) Markings. All circulation markings shall be clearly painted and permanently maintained, including arrows painted to indicate direction of traffic flow.
   b) Crosswalks. All on-site internal pedestrian crosswalks shall be delineated with a minimum 3" white or yellow painted line stripe. All pedestrian crossings in public right-of–way shall be per County Standards.
   c) Stops. All internal parking lot driveway intersections shall be installed with a painted stop limit line and shall have either a breakaway pole “STOP” sign and/or painted “STOP” lettering on the paving in front of the limit line.
   d) Parking Space Striping. All paved parking stalls shall be clearly striped and permanently maintained. All paved parking stalls shall be striped with double/hairpin lines with the two lines being located an equal nine inches on either side of the stall sidelines.
   e) Multi-modal. All required multi-modal amenities (e.g. bike stands, motorcycle parking, mass transit access, carpool preferred parking, vanpool passenger pickup etc.) shall be installed per approved plans.

103. Disabled Parking Installed. Parking for the disabled with paths of travel to the main building entries shall be installed per SBCC §83.11.060. Disabled access parking spaces shall be clearly and continually designated with pavement markings and signs.

104. Landscaping Installed. All proposed landscaping, hardscape, exterior features (benches, walkways, bike racks etc), walls and fencing shall be installed as shown on the approved landscaping plan for each phase of development. All improvements shall be completed prior to receiving final occupancy for each phase of development as shown on the approved phasing plan.
105. **Landscape Certificate of Compliance submittal.** Pursuant to Section 83.10.100 of the County Development Code, prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy or final inspection for a project, a Landscape Certificate of Completion shall be submitted to the Land Use Services Department, Planning Division certifying that the landscape has been installed in accordance with the approved project specific landscape documentation package. The Landscape Certificate of Completion shall be signed and dated by the licensed professional who prepared the plans.

106. **GHG – Installation.** The developer shall submit for review and obtain approval from County Planning evidence that all GHG reduction measures have been installed, implemented and that specified performance objectives are being met.

**PUBLIC HEALTH - Environmental Health Services (DEHS) (800) 442-2283**

107. **Certificate of Use.** Prior to occupancy of a newly constructed or remodeled apartment complex, hotel, motel, resort, pursuant to San Bernardino County Code 33.101 et. seq., a Certificate of Use request shall be submitted to the Division of Environmental Health Services. For information, call DEHS/Community Environmental Health at: 1-800-442-2283.

**SPECIAL DISTRICTS (909) 387-5940**

**Street Lighting Installed.** This project lies within the boundaries of County Service Area 70, Zone EV-1. Annexations to the Community Facilities District 2010-1 (East Valley) for the purpose of financing ongoing street light costs is required for this project. Annexation fee deposit of $5,000 is required. Please allow a minimum of three months to complete this process. To complete the annexation to CFD 2010-1 please contact Special Districts Department, Lien Administration Section at (909) 387-5829.

**LAND USE SERVICES - Land Development – Drainage Section (909) 387-8311**

108. **Drainage Improvements.** All required drainage improvements shall be completed by the applicant. The private Registered Civil Engineer (RCE) shall inspect improvements outside the County right-of-way and certify that these improvements have been completed according to the approved plans. Certification letter shall be submitted to Land Development Division, Drainage Section.

109. **WQMP Improvements.** All required WQMP improvements shall be completed by the applicant, inspected and approved by County Public Works. An electronic file of the final and approved WQMP shall be submitted to Land Development Division, Drainage Section.

*Mitigation Measures are shown in Italics*
LAND USE SERVICES - Land Development - Roads (909) 387-8311

110. LDD Requirements. All LDD requirements shall be completed by the applicant prior to occupancy.

111. Road Improvements. All required on-site and off-site improvements shall be completed by the applicant, inspected and approved by County Public Works. Completion of road and drainage improvements does not imply acceptance for maintenance by the County.

112. Open Roads/Cash Deposit. Existing County roads, which will require reconstruction, shall remain open for traffic at all times, with adequate detours, during actual construction. A cash deposit shall be made to cover the cost of grading and paving prior to issuance of road encroachment permit. Upon completion of the road and drainage improvement to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works, the cash deposit may be refunded.

113. Structural Section Testing. A thorough evaluation of the structural road section, to include parkway improvements, from a qualified materials engineer, shall be submitted to County Public Works.

114. Parkway Planting. Trees, irrigation systems, and landscaping required to be installed on public right-of-way shall be approved by County Public Works and Current Planning and shall be maintained by the adjacent property owner or other County-approved entity.

PUBLIC WORKS - Solid Waste Management (909) 386-8968

115. Construction and Demolition Waste Management Plan (CDWMP) Part 2 – The developer shall complete SWMD's CDWMP Part 2 for construction and demolition. This summary shall provide documentation of actual diversion of materials including but not limited to receipts, invoices or letters from diversion facilities or certification of reuse of materials on site. The CDWMP Part 2 shall provide evidence to the satisfaction of SWMD that demonstrates that the project has diverted from landfill disposal, material for reuse or recycling by a minimum of 65% of total weight or volume of all construction waste.

COUNTY FIRE - Community Safety (909) 386-8400

116. Street Sign. This project is required to have an approved street sign (temporary or permanent). The street sign shall be installed on the nearest street corner to the project. Installation of the temporary sign shall be prior any combustible material being placed on the construction site. Prior to final inspection and occupancy of the first structure, the permanent street sign shall be installed. Standard 901.4.4 [F72]

117. Fire Sprinkler-NFPA #13R. An automatic fire sprinkler system complying with NFPA Pamphlet #13R and Fire Department standards for light Hazard
Occupancies under 5,000 sq. ft. and Multi-Residential Occupancies. The applicant shall hire a Fire Department approved fire sprinkler contractor. The fire sprinkler contractor shall submit three (3) sets of detailed plans (minimum 1/8" scale) with hydraulic calculations and manufactures specification sheets to the Fire Department for approval. The required fees shall be paid at the time of plan submittal. Minimum water supply shall be a two-inch water meter for Commercial and one and a half (1½) inch for Residential. Standard 101.1RC [F60]

118. **Hydrant Marking.** Blue reflective pavement markers indicating fire hydrant locations shall be installed as specified by the Fire Department. In areas where snow removal occurs or non-paved roads exist, the blue reflective hydrant marker shall be posted on an approved post along the side of the road, no more than three (3) feet from the hydrant and at least six (6) feet high above the adjacent road. Standard 901.4.3. [F80]

119. **Commercial Addressing.** Commercial and industrial developments of 100,000 sq. ft. or less shall have the street address installed on the building with numbers that are a minimum six (6) inches in height and with a three quarter (3/4) inch stroke. The street address shall be visible from the street. During the hours of darkness, the numbers shall be electrically illuminated (internal or external). Where the building is two hundred (200) feet or more from the roadway, additional non-illuminated contrasting six (6) inch numbers shall be displayed at the property access entrances. Standard 901.4.4 [F82]

120. **Illuminated Site Diagram.** The applicant shall submit for review and approval a site diagram plan to the Fire Department. The applicant shall install at each entrance to a multi-family complex an illuminated diagrammatic representation of the complex, which shows the location of each unit and each fire hydrant. Standard 901.4.4 [F84]

121. **Key Box.** An approved Fire Department key box is required. The key box shall be provided with a tamper switch and shall be monitored by a Fire Department approved central monitoring service. In commercial, industrial and multi-family complexes, all swing gates shall have an approved fire department Knox Lock. Standard 902.4 [F85]

122. **Override Switch.** Where an automatic electric security gate is used, an approved Fire Department override switch (Knox ®) is required. Standard 902.4 [F86]

123. **Spark Arrestor.** An approved spark arrester is required. Every chimney that is used in conjunction with any fireplace or any heating appliance in which solid or liquid fuel are used, shall have an approved spark arrester visible from the ground that is maintained in conformance with the California Fire Code. [F87]

124. **Fire Extinguishers.** Hand portable fire extinguishers are required. The location, type, and cabinet design shall be approved by the Fire Department. [F88]
125. **Class I standpipe system.** A Class I standpipe system is required. A Fire Department approved fire sprinkler contractor shall submit three (3) sets of hydraulic calculations and detailed plans to the Fire Department for review and approval, showing type of storage and use with the applicable protection system. Commercial and industrial buildings in excess of two hundred thousand (200,000) square feet with an interior area less than four hundred (400) feet in width, shall be equipped with a Class I standpipe system, located at every other access door with a maximum of three hundred (300) feet spacing. Buildings with an interior area greater than four hundred (400) feet in width shall be equipped with a Class I standpipe system located at every access door maximum of one hundred (100) foot spacing. Standpipe connections shall be configured to reach any portion of interior space within two hundred (200) feet in any direction of travel. This system shall be calculated to provide two hundred and fifty (250) gpm @ 100 psi per hose outlet from an adjacent fire sprinkler riser with two hand lines flowing. The two most hydraulically remote outlets are to be included in the design for a total flow of 500 gpm minimum per system. A Fire Department approved fire sprinkler contractor shall submit four (4) sets of hydraulic calculations and detailed plans, showing type of storage and use with the applicable protection system. The required fees shall be paid at the time of plan submittal. [F70]

**END OF CONDITIONS**
This form and the descriptive information in the application package constitute the contents of Initial Study pursuant to County Guidelines under Ordinance 3040 and Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

**PROJECT LABEL:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APN</th>
<th>0292-053-12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT</td>
<td>CR The Redlands, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY</td>
<td>Redlands East Valley Area Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>Northwest corner of Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT NO</td>
<td>P201700245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF</td>
<td>Chris Warrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REP(“S”)</td>
<td>Transtech Engineers, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSAL</td>
<td>Planned Development that includes a Preliminary and Final Development Plan for a 360 unit multi-family residential apartment complex with a parking structure and a total of five (5) structures ranging from two stories to four stories in height, and a recreation center on 8.58.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION:**

- **Lead agency:** County of San Bernardino
- **Land Use Services Department - Current Planning**
- **385 North Arrowhead Avenue**
- **San Bernardino, CA 92415-0182**

- **Contact person:** Chris Warrick, Planner
- **Phone No:** (909) 387-4112

- **Project Sponsor:** CR The Redlands, LLC
- **74-478 Highway 111, #378**
- **Palm Desert, CA 92260**
- **Phone No:** (760) 831-6130

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

This project consists of a Planned Development that includes a Preliminary and Final Development Plan for a 360 unit multi-family residential apartment complex on 8.58 net acres. This is a single phase project in terms of off-site and on-site public improvements. However, the buildings will be constructed in phases, which will allow portions of the project to be occupied while other portions of the project are still being constructed. It will take approximately 18 months to construct the entire project.

The project includes 5 structures and a four story parking structure. The floor area of the units range from 600 to 1,431 square feet with studio units, and one, two and three bedroom units. A total of 704 parking spaces are proposed, which includes 55 covered (carport) spaces, 42 enclosed (garage) spaces, 72 open spaces, 11 employee spaces and 524 spaces within the parking structure. The project includes a 7,830 square foot recreation/fitness center, two (2) pools, two (2) spa’s, cabana’s, lounges, restrooms, and a leasing office. The project also includes open space and recreation areas consisting of landscape courtyards, picnic and barbeque areas, water features and several outdoor dining areas. Total building coverage is 3.84 acres (45%) and the total impervious area is 6.02 acres (66%). The total landscaped and open space area consists of 2.86 acres, which is 33.3 percent of the site area. Project development will include half-width public right-of-way improvements to Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street fronting the project and the construction of wet and dry utility/infrastructure improvements.
ENVIRONMENTAL/EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS:

The subject property is 8.58 acres in size and is located in the unincorporated Community of Redlands, in the East Valley Area Plan. More specifically, the property is located on the northwest corner of Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street. The property is generally square. The natural topography of the site is relatively level, descending gradually from east to west at a slope of approximately 1 percent. The highest point on the site is approximately 1190 above mean sea level (MSL) at the northeast corner, and the lowest point is approximately 1180 MSL along the westerly boundary of the site. The project site is currently vacant, but was occupied by a citrus orchard for several decades. The citrus trees have been removed and the site now contains sparse and disturbed vegetation as a result of annual weed abatement consisting of non-native grasses and weeds. The grasses and weeds are periodically removed from the site in compliance with the County Fire Department weed abatement policies. The subject property is located adjacent to another 340 unit multi-family apartment project currently under construction. In 2013 a 426,000 square foot warehouse was constructed on the adjacent property to the north.

The subject property is currently vacant and no structures exist on-site. Although the site does contain an existing detention basin that serves the overflow drainage from the two multi-family apartment projects to the east. The property fronts on Lugonia Avenue, a Major Highway and Nevada Street, a local collector. Lugonia Avenue and Nevada Street are partially constructed at the property frontage.

Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.):

STATE: Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) – Santa Ana Region

COUNTY: Land Use Services-Building and Safety/Code Enforcement, County Fire; Public Health-Environmental Health Services (DEHS), Public Works, AND

LOCAL: Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO), San Bernardino International Airport Authority (Avigation Easement). Special District CSA 70, Improvement Zone EV-1, City of Redlands by special agreement provides water, sewer, sanitation, police and fire services to this area
Regional Vicinity Map

Local Vicinity Map - The Crossings
EVALUATION FORMAT

This initial study is prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21000, et seq. and the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Section 15000, et seq.). Specifically, the preparation of an Initial Study is guided by Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines. This format of the study is presented as follows. The project is evaluated based upon its effect on seventeen (17) major categories of environmental factors. Each factor is reviewed by responding to a series of questions regarding the impact of the project on each element of the overall factor. The Initial Study Checklist provides a formatted analysis that provides a determination of the effect of the project on the factor and its elements. The effect of the project is categorized into one of the following four categories of possible determinations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Substantiation is then provided to justify each determination. One of the four following conclusions is then provided as a summary of the analysis for each of the major environmental factors.

1. **No Impact**: No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

2. **Less than Significant**: No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

3. **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated**: Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as a condition of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant. The required mitigation measures are: (List of mitigation measures)

4. **Potentially Significant Impact**: Significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required to evaluate these impacts, which are (List of the impacts requiring analysis within the EIR).

At the end of the analysis the required mitigation measures are restated and categorized as being either self-monitoring or as requiring a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

|☐ Aesthetics|☐ Agriculture and Forestry Resources|☐ Air Quality|
|☐ Biological Resources|☐ Cultural Resources|☐ Geology / Soils|
|☐ Greenhouse Gas Emissions|☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials|☐ Hydrology / Water Quality|
|☐ Land Use/ Planning|☐ Mineral Resources|☐ Noise|
|☐ Population / Housing|☐ Public Services|☐ Recreation|
|☐ Transportation / Traffic|☐ Utilities / Service Systems|☐ Mandatory Findings of Significance|

**DETERMINATION:** (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation, the following finding is made:

|☐ The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION shall be prepared.|
|☒ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there shall not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION shall be prepared.|
|☐ The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.|
|☐ The proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.|
|☐ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.|

Signature: prepared by Chris Warrick, Senior Planner  
Date

Signature: Dave Prusch, Supervising Planner  
Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. AESTHETICS - Would the project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[X]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[X]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[X]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[X]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check [ ] if project is located within the view-shed of any Scenic Route listed in the General Plan):

The proposed project is not located within a Scenic Corridor, as designated by the Scenic Corridor Overlay District of the General Plan. The proposed project is consistent with other surrounding development in the area and is architecturally compatible with the visual character of the surrounding urban development.

I a) **Less than significant.** The project will have a less than significant impact on scenic vistas. The proposed project is located within an area where surrounding lands are already substantially developed. The applicant's Preliminary Development Plan (PDP) proposes complementary architecture and a palette of materials that will further blend the project with the surrounding development. The PDP sets forth standards and guidelines for the development of multi-family structures and other improvements within the proposed project.

I b) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway. The site is not adjacent to a state scenic highway. There are no protected trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings on the project site.

I c) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings. The project is consistent with the existing visual character of the area and will incorporate landscaping, screen walls and landscaping for exterior mechanical equipment and parking areas. The subject property is located within an area that is surrounded by existing development that includes a mix of commercial, warehouses and other multi-family residential development.

I d) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project will not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. Lighting proposed on site will be hooded and down-shielded to protect surrounding properties from any resultant glare.

The project is designed to be architecturally compatible with surrounding development. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
### II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES

In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526) or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check ☑ if project is located in the Important Farmlands Overlay):

The proposed project is not located on Important Farmland, as mapped by the State of California. The site was once occupied by a citrus orchard, although all citrus trees have been removed and the site is now vacant and contains moderate vegetation cover consisting of non-native grasses and weeds. The site is located in an area that is considered urbanizing and is not located in an Agricultural Preserve area.
II a) **Less than Significant.** This site is identified as Grazing Land on the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program map prepared by the Department of Conservation. Grazing Land is considered land for which the existing vegetation is suited for grazing of livestock. The County of San Bernardino General Plan contemplated the loss of designated farmland in its 2007 EIR. In it, the County found that the loss of designated farmland would occur, especially in the project area. However the project site is located in an area that does not contain prime agricultural soils, and was re-zoned for urban development with the adoption of the East Valley Area Plan in the 1990s. The area surrounding the project site has been rapidly changing from agricultural uses and grazing land to urban uses, in accordance with the East Valley Area Plan. Approval of the project would authorize removal of vegetation suitable for grazing, but it would not constitute a significant loss of an agricultural resource. The project site is not considered prime farmland, unique farmland or farmland of statewide importance. Therefore, the project’s impact to designated farmland is considered less than significant.

II b) **No Impact.** The subject property is not designated or zoned for agricultural use and the proposed project does not conflict with any agricultural land use or Williamson Act land conservation contract.

II c) **No Impact.** The subject property is not forest land or timberland, and the project does not propose re-zoning.

II d) **No Impact.** The subject property is not forest land.

II e) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project will not involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to a non-agricultural use because, although the project involves the development of a multi-family residential project, the site is currently not used for agricultural purposes. The subject property, and surrounding areas, are planned for urban uses pursuant to the East Valley Area Plan and is not zoned for agricultural use. Impacts are considered less than significant.

The site is not located on forest land, nor on farmland that is important to agricultural resources. No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.
### ISSUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### III. AIR QUALITY - Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION (Discuss conformity with the South Coast Air Quality Management Plan, if applicable):

An Air Quality Impact Analysis of this project was prepared by Rincon Consultants, Inc., September, 2017.

III a) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project does not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan. The proposed project involves the construction of 360-unit apartment project and associated amenities. A project may be inconsistent with the SCAQMD’s AQMP if it would generate population, housing, or employment growth exceeding the forecasts used in the development of the AQMP. The 2016 AQMP relies on local city general plans’ and SCAG’s Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) forecasts of population, housing and employment growth for managing Basin air quality. In the 2016 RTP/SCS, SCAG estimates that the population of unincorporated San Bernardino County will increase to 344,100 by 2040 (SCAG 2015).

The development of 360 residential units on the project site would cause a direct increase in the County’s population. Based on Department of Finance (DOF) 2017 estimates for the average household size in unincorporated San Bernardino County (3.14 persons per household), the project would accommodate approximately 1,130 new residents (i.e., 360 units x 3.14 persons/unit). In 2017, the population of the unincorporated area was approximately 308,906 persons (DOF 2017). Thus, the project would increase the population of the unincorporated area by approximately 0.4 percent to 310,036 people. The project would not cause the population of unincorporated San Bernardino County to exceed SCAG population forecasts. Therefore, the project would not conflict with the population forecasts on which the AQMP is based.
SCAG forecasts 854,300 households in San Bernardino County in 2040, which includes the incorporated and unincorporated areas. In 2017, the number of housing units in the County was approximately 715,634 (DOF 2017). Thus, the increase in housing from the proposed project would total approximately 0.2 percent of the projected increase in housing units within the County through 2040. The project would not cause housing in San Bernardino County to exceed SCAG’s housing forecasts, nor would the project substantially increase existing housing. In addition, as a residential development, the project would not generate a substantial number of jobs and would not cause job projections in unincorporated San Bernardino County to exceed SCAG forecasts. Therefore, the project would not conflict with the AQMP.

III b) **Less than significant with Mitigation Incorporated.** The proposed project would not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Project construction would generate temporary air pollutant emissions. These impacts are associated with fugitive dust (PM10 and PM2.5) and exhaust emissions from heavy construction vehicles, in addition to ROG that would be released during the drying phase upon application of architectural coatings. Construction would generally consist of site preparation, grading, construction of the proposed buildings, paving, and architectural coating.

The site preparation phase would involve the greatest concentration of heavy equipment use and the highest potential for fugitive dust emissions. This analysis assumes that graded soils would be balanced on the project site and that no soil import or export would be required. The project would be required to comply with SCAQMD Rule 403, which identifies measures to reduce fugitive dust and is required to be implemented at all construction sites located within the South Coast Air Basin.

Construction emissions modeling for site preparation, grading, building construction, paving, and application of architectural coatings is based on the overall scope of the proposed development and construction phasing, which is expected to begin in 2018. In addition to SCAQMD Rule 403 requirements, emissions modeling also accounts for the use of low-VOC paint (150 g/L for nonflat coatings) as required by SCAQMD Rule 1113. Table 5 summarizes the estimated maximum daily emissions of pollutants occurring during 2018 and 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction Phase</th>
<th>Maximum Emissions (lbs/day)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROG</td>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>PM2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 Maximum lbs/day</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Maximum lbs/day</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum lbs/day</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>91.9</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAQMD Regional Thresholds</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Exceeded</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Construction of the proposed project would not generate emissions exceeding the SCAQMD regional thresholds during 2018 or 2019. *RINCON*
Both short-term and long-term emissions from the project will not exceed the SCAQMD established significance thresholds and therefore the air quality impact of the project is considered less than significant with mitigation measures incorporated. The project will not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, because the proposed use(s) do not exceed established thresholds of concern as established by the SCAQMD.

III c) **Less than Significant** Table 6 (below) summarizes emissions associated with operation of the proposed project. Operational emissions include emissions from electricity consumption (energy sources), vehicle trips (mobile sources), and area sources, including fireplaces, landscape equipment and architectural coating emissions as the structures are repainted over the life of the project. The majority of operational emissions are associated with vehicle trips to and from the project site.

Project-related emissions would not exceed the SCAQMD thresholds for ROG, NOX, CO, SOX, PM10 or PM2.5. Therefore, the project’s regional air quality impacts (including impacts related to criteria pollutants, sensitive receptors and violations of air quality standards) would be less than significant.

**Table 6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Operational Emissions</th>
<th>Estimated Emissions (lbs/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ROG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum lbs/day</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAQMD Thresholds</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold Exceeded?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Appendix B for CalEEMod results.

III d) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.** The potential impact of Project-generated air pollutant emissions at sensitive receptor locations has also been considered in the Air Quality Analysis. The California Air Resources Board’s (ARB’s) *Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective* (April 2005) recommends against siting sensitive receptors within 500 feet of a freeway, urban roads with 100,000 vehicles per day, or rural roads with 50,000 vehicles per day. It also recommends against siting receptors within 1,000 feet of distribution centers that accommodates more than 100 diesel trucks per day, more than 40 trucks with operating transport refrigeration units (TRUs) per day, or where TRU unit operations exceed 300 hours per week. The primary concern with respect to heavy-traffic roadway and warehouse adjacency is the long-term effect of TACs, such as diesel exhaust particulates, on sensitive receptors.

The project site is located approximately 1,000 feet north of Interstate 10. As documented in the Traffic Impact Study prepared for the proposed project by Kunzman Associates, Inc. (2017), average daily vehicle volumes on Lugonia Avenue are projected to be approximately 12,100 trips in the year 2020. Average daily volumes on Nevada Street are projected to be 7,400. These traffic levels do not meet or exceed the CARB criteria of 100,000 vehicles per day for avoidance of exposure to...
diesel PM generated by traffic on adjacent roadways. The project would place residences within 1,000 feet of six warehouses. Two of these warehouses accommodate more than 100 truck trips per day and would exceed ARB’s recommended screening threshold. The nearest warehouse, the Almond Avenue Industrial Project, generates fewer than 100 truck trips per day. All of the facilities within 1,000 feet of the project site are non-refrigerated based on tenant and property information that indicates they are used for manufacturing of HVAC, auto parts, packaging supplies, and other non-refrigerated uses. Because the facilities are not refrigerated, it is assumed that no trucks with TRUs operate on-site.

MERV 13 high efficiency filters are capable of removing both large and small particulates. According to the advisory, MERV 13 filters remove more than 90 percent of particulates 1.0 to 10 microns in diameter, and more than 75 percent of particulates less than 1.0 microns in diameter. The following measures are consistent with the strategies identified by the ARB’s 2017 advisory for reducing exposure to diesel particulate matter from heavy duty trucks:

- The developer shall install a central HVAC system (as is typical of three to four-story suburban residential projects with multiple buildings) that includes high efficiency particulate filters with a MERV rating of 13 for all residential units within 1,000 feet of distribution facility loading docks. Filtration systems must operate to maintain positive pressure within the building interior to prevent entrainment of outdoor air indoors.

- Windows and doors shall be fully weatherproofed with caulking and weather-stripping that is rated to last at least 20 years. Weatherproof shall be maintained and replaced by the property owner, as necessary, to ensure functionality for the lifetime of the project.

- The developer shall inform occupants regarding the proper use of any installed air filtration system.

These measures would provide for the removal of particulates prior to entering into the indoor environment, thereby reducing the overall exposure of individual future residents. It is also important to note that heavy duty trucks are prohibited from traveling on Nevada Street east of the project site, further reducing future residential exposure to TACs from truck traffic accessing distribution facilities in the vicinity of the project site.

III e) Less than Significant. The Project does not contain land uses typically associated with emitting objectionable odors. Potential odor sources associated with the proposed Project may result from construction equipment exhaust and the application of asphalt and architectural coatings during construction activities, and the temporary storage of typical solid waste (refuse) associated with the proposed Project’s (long-term operational) uses. Standard construction requirements would minimize odor impacts resulting from construction activity. It should be noted that any construction odor emissions generated would be temporary, short-term, and intermittent in nature and would cease upon completion of the respective phase of construction activity and is thus considered less than significant. It is expected that Project-generated refuse would be stored in covered containers and removed at regular intervals in compliance with the County’s solid waste regulations. The proposed Project would also be required to comply with SCAQMD Rule 402 to prevent occurrences of public nuisances. Therefore, odors associated with the proposed Project construction and operations would be less than significant and no mitigation is required.

Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.
The project will be subject to air quality regulations implemented by the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), notably the nuisance and dust control regulations of SCAQMD Rules 402 and 403. In addition to these requirements, the following mitigation measures are recommended to reduce potential impacts of the project:

**AIR QUALITY MITIGATION MEASURES:**

**III-1 AQ-Dust Control Plan.** The “developer” shall prepare, submit for review and obtain approval from County Planning of both a Dust Control Plan (DCP) consistent with SCAQMD guidelines and a signed letter agreeing to include in any construction contracts/ subcontracts a requirement that project contractors adhere to the requirements of the DCP. The DCP shall include the following requirements:

- Exposed soil shall be kept continually moist to reduce fugitive dust during all grading and construction activities, through application of water sprayed a minimum of three times each day during dry weather. Watering, with complete coverage of disturbed areas, shall occur at least three times a day, preferably in the mid-morning, afternoon, and after work is done for the day.
- The contractor shall ensure that traffic speeds on unpaved roads and the project site areas are reduced to 15 miles per hour or less to reduce PM10 and PM2.5 fugitive dust haul road emissions.
- Any portion of the site to be graded shall be pre-watered to a depth of three feet prior to the onset of grading activities.
- During high wind conditions (i.e., wind speeds exceeding 25 mph), areas with disturbed soil shall be watered hourly and activities on unpaved surfaces shall cease until wind speeds no longer exceed 25 mph.
- Any area that will remain undeveloped for a period of more than 30 days shall be stabilized using either chemical stabilizers and/or a desert wildflower mix hydroseed on the affected portion of the site.
- Storage piles that are to be left in place for more than three working days shall be sprayed with a non-toxic soil binder, covered with plastic or revegetated.
- Imported fill and exported excess cut shall be adequately watered prior to transport, covered during transport, and watered prior to unloading on the project site.
- Storm water control systems shall be installed to prevent off-site mud deposition.
- All trucks hauling dirt away from the site shall be covered.
- Construction vehicle tires shall be washed, prior to leaving the project site.
- Rumble plates shall be installed at construction exits from dirt driveways.
- Paved access driveways and streets shall be washed and swept daily when there are visible signs of dirt track-out.
- Street sweeping shall be conducted daily when visible soil accumulations occur along site access roadways to remove dirt dropped or tracked-out by construction vehicles. Site access driveways and adjacent streets shall be washed daily, if there are visible signs of any dirt track-out at the conclusion of any workday and after street sweeping.

**[Mitigation Measure III-1] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning**

**III-2 MERV 13 High Efficiency Filters.** The project shall include the following measures to reduce exposure to diesel particulate matter from heavy duty trucks:

- The developer shall install a central HVAC system (as is typical of three to four-story suburban residential projects with multiple buildings) that includes high efficiency particulate filters with a MERV rating of 13 for all residential units within 1,000 feet of distribution facility loading docks. Filtration systems must operate to maintain positive pressure within the building interior to prevent entrainment of outdoor air indoors.
- Windows and doors shall be fully weatherproofed with caulking and weather-stripping that is rated to last at least 20 years. Weatherproof shall be maintained and replaced by the property owner, as necessary, to ensure functionality for the lifetime of the project.
c) The developer shall inform occupants regarding the proper use of any installed air filtration system.

[Mitigation Measure III-2] Prior to Building Permits/Planning
### IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc...) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION

(Check if project is located in the Biological Resources Overlay or contains habitat for any species listed in the California Natural Diversity Database ☐): Michael Baker International conducted a habitat assessment for the proposed project on March 28, 2017. The proposed project is not on the Biotic Resources Overlay Map of the County General Plan. The entire project site consists of undeveloped, vacant land that has been heavily disturbed from previous agricultural activities and routine maintenance activities. On-site and surrounding land uses have heavily disturbed, if not completely eliminated, most of the naturally occurring habitats around the project footprint, reducing the suitability of the habitat to support sensitive plant and wildlife species. As a result, no significant adverse impacts to biological resources are identified or anticipated. Therefore, it was determined that implementation of the proposed project will have “no effect” on federally or State listed species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site.
IV a) **Less than significant with mitigation.** Sixteen (16) sensitive plant species have been recorded in the Redlands quadrangle. Since the project site and surrounding properties no longer support undisturbed native plant communities, they do not provide suitable habitat for any of the identified sensitive plant species. The majority of the project site has been heavily disturbed by human activities, mechanically disturbing surface soils, and removing naturally occurring habitats. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of habitats needed by each sensitive plant species, it was determined that the project site does not provide suitable habitat that would support any of the sensitive plant species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site.

Thirty-six (36) sensitive wildlife species have been recorded in the Redlands quadrangle. Since the project site and surrounding properties no longer support undisturbed native plant communities, they do not provide suitable habitat for most sensitive wildlife species. The majority of the project site has been heavily disturbed by human use and no longer supports naturally occurring habitats. Based on habitat requirements for specific species and the availability and quality of habitats needed by each sensitive wildlife species, it was determined that the project site has does not provide suitable habitat that would support any of the sensitive wildlife species known to occur in the general vicinity of the project site.

The CNDDB lists three (3) sensitive plant communities as having been recorded in the Redlands quadrangle: Riversidean alluvial fan sage scrub, southern coast live oak riparian forest, and southern sycamore alder riparian woodland. No sensitive plant communities were observed on the project site during the habitat assessment.

**Nesting Birds**

Pursuant to the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and Fish and Game Code (Sections 3503, 3503.3, 3511, and 3513), removal of any trees, shrubs, or any other potential nesting habitat should be conducted outside the avian nesting season. The nesting season generally extends from February 1 through August 31, but can vary slightly from year to year based upon seasonal weather conditions. If ground disturbance and vegetation removal cannot occur outside of the nesting season, a pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds should be conducted within three (3) days of the start of any ground disturbing activities to ensure that no nesting birds will be disturbed during construction. The biologist conducting the clearance survey should document a negative survey with a brief letter report indicating that no impacts to active avian nests will occur.

If an active nest is found, the bird shall be identified by species and the approximate distance from the closest work site to the nest shall be estimated. No additional measures need to be implemented if active nests are more than the following distances from the nearest work site: a) 500 feet for raptors or listed species; or b) 300 feet for non-listed passerines. Any nests occurring within these distances shall have a no-disturbance buffer implemented around them. It is recommended that a biological monitor be present to delineate the boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely affected by the construction activity. The buffer will be staked and flagged. This distance may be changed according to the judgment of the qualified biologist.

**Burrowing Owls**

Out of an abundance of caution, and to ensure burrowing owl remain absent from the project site it is recommended that a burrowing owl clearance survey be conducted prior to any ground disturbing activities in accordance with the CDFW 2012 Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. Two preconstruction clearance surveys shall be conducted 14-30 days and 24 hours prior to ground disturbing activities to document the continued absence of burrowing owl from the project site. If burrowing owls are observed on the project site during the pre-construction surveys, a burrowing owl
relocation plan will need to be prepared and submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to commencement of vegetation clearing/grubbing, grading, and construction activities on the project site. The burrowing owl relocation plan will outline methods to relocate any burrowing owls occurring on the project site and ensure compliance with the MBTA and Fish and Game Code.

IV b) **No Impact.** This project will not have an effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service because the project site does not contain riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community. There is no blue line stream, nor any surface waters on the project site. The ruderal plant community that has established on site after removal of the citrus orchard is not a sensitive plant community.

It should be noted that there is a basin located on the western boundary of the project site. The constructed basin was excavated on dry land in topography that would not naturally support a drainage basin, and only receives runoff water during significant storm events. Since the Corps typically does not assert jurisdiction over nontidal drainage, irrigation ditches, basins that are excavated on dry land wholly in the uplands, collect water from adjacent upland areas, and do not convey relatively permanent flow, it is expected that the Corps, Regional Board, and CDFW will not assert jurisdiction over the on-site basin.

IV c) **No Impact.** This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means, because there are no identified wetlands or streambeds on the project site.

IV d) **No Impact.** This project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites, because there are no such corridors or nursery sites within or near the project site. Surrounding properties are substantially developed with commercial or industrial land uses. The few remaining vacant properties do not provide connectivity to open space that supports wildlife movement.

IV e) **Less than significant.** There are no local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources that are applicable to the proposed project site. The site is not located within the Biotic Resources Overlay District of the County General Plan. Therefore, development of the proposed project would not conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biotic resources.

Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.

**BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES MITIGATION MEASURES:**

**IV-1 Nesting Birds.** If an active nest is found, the bird shall be identified by species and the approximate distance from the closest work site to the nest shall be estimated. No additional measures need to be implemented if active nests are more than the following distances from the nearest work site: a) 500 feet for raptors or listed species; or b) 300 feet for non-listed passerines. Any nests occurring within these distances shall have a no-disturbance buffer implemented around them. A biological monitor shall be present to delineate the boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely affected by the construction activity. The buffer will be staked and flagged. This distance may be changed according to the judgment of the qualified biologist. [Mitigation Measure IV-1] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

**IV-2 Burrowing Owl.** Out of an abundance of caution, and to ensure burrowing owl remain absent from the project site, a burrowing owl clearance survey shall be conducted prior to any ground disturbing
activities in accordance with the CDFW 2012 Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. Two preconstruction clearance surveys shall be conducted 14-30 days and 24 hours prior to ground disturbing activities to document the continued absence of burrowing owl from the project site. If burrowing owls are observed on the project site during the pre-construction surveys, a burrowing owl relocation plan will need to be prepared and submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to commencement of vegetation clearing/grubbing, grading, and construction activities on the project site. The burrowing owl relocation plan will outline methods to relocate any burrowing owls occurring on the project site and ensure compliance with the MBTA and Fish and Game Code. [Mitigation Measure IV-2] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if the project is located in the Cultural or Paleontologic Resources overlays or cite results of cultural resource review):

The proposed project is not located in a Cultural or Paleontological Resources Overlay area. A Cultural Resources Assessment was prepared for this area by BRC Consulting on May 4, 2017.

V a/b) Less Than Significant. Based on the Cultural Resources Assessment prepared by BRC Consulting, which included records search, historical research, and a field survey failed to indicate sensitivity for buried cultural resources. Therefore, development of the subject property is not expected to result in any adverse impacts to historical or archaeological resources.

As mentioned above, a comprehensive report was prepared for the subject property and found no indication that any historical, archeological, or paleontological resource would be directly or indirectly impacted as a result of the proposed project. The site-specific report concludes that there are no paleontological resources or unique geological features onsite. However, if previously undocumented cultural resources are identified during earthmoving activities, a qualified archaeologist shall be contacted to assess the nature and significance of the find, diverting construction excavation if necessary.

V c/d) Less than Significant. According to the Cultural Resources Assessment prepared for this project, development of the proposed project will not directly or indirectly impact paleontological resources or disturb human remains. The field survey did not find any indication that human remains are present onsite or have the potential to be present. If human remains are encountered during clearing or earthmoving activities, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), which will determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of notification by the NAHC.

A standard condition of approval will be applied to the project requiring the developer to contact the County Coroner and/or a qualified archaeologist in the event of discovery of any human remains or artifact during construction. No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and therefore no mitigation measures are required.
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:

i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map Issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

iv. Landslides?

   ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 181-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property?

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

   ☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

SUBSTANTIATION (Check ☐ if project is located in the Geologic Hazards Overlay District):

A Geotechnical Engineering Investigation was prepared for this project by Soils Southwest, Inc. dated May 18, 2017. A Geologic Hazards Report was prepared for this project by Earth Systems Southwest dated April 27, 2017. This project is not located in a Geologic Hazard (GH) Overlay District, as defined in the County General Plan.

VI a) i) Less than Significant. A Geotechnical Investigation was prepared by Soils Southwest, Inc. in order to identify the site’s geotechnical conditions. The study determined that the project site does not lie within a State of California Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. The potential for a surface fault rupture within the project boundary is considered unlikely since the USGS and CDMG fault lines are well delineated and do not intersect with the project site nor are they inferred by patterns of area faulting. The subject property is located in proximity to a number of faults and has the
potential to be subject to severe ground shaking. The closest significant active fault is the San Jacinto-San Bernardino fault zone (Type B Fault), which is approximately 4 miles away. The nearest Type A fault is the San Andreas-Southern fault zone, which is approximately 5.5 miles away. While the potential for onsite ground rupture cannot be totally discounted the likelihood of such an occurrence is considered low due to the absence of known faults within the site.

ii) Less than Significant. The subject site is within an area that is subject to strong earthquakes due to its location to the San Andreas fault. The site is located within Seismic Zone IV. Structures within this zone must meet the minimum design standards to allow a structure to remain standing after a seismic event. Further the primary purpose for earthquake design standards is to safeguard against major structural failures and loss of life, not to limit damage or maintain function. Accordingly, significant damage to structures may be unavoidable during large earthquakes. However, the proposed structures will be designed to resist structural collapse and thereby provide reasonable protection from serious injury, catastrophic property damage and loss of life. With compliance with the UBC, impacts are considered less than significant.

iii) Less than Significant. The project site is expected to experience earthquake activity that is typical of the Southern California area. Liquefaction is caused by build-up of excess hydrostatic pressure in saturated cohesionless soils due to cyclic stress generated by ground shaking during an earthquake. The significant factors on which liquefaction potential of a soil deposit depends, among others include, soil type, relative soil density, intensity of earthquake, duration of ground shaking, and depth of ground water.

The potential for liquefaction at this site is considered to be very low due to the regional depth of groundwater in excess of 50 feet. Additionally, the site is beyond the limits of the liquefaction zone for the aforementioned earthquake faults. Therefore, based on the geotechnical investigation, impacts from liquefaction are considered less than significant.

iv) No Impact. The proposed project would not have any risks associated with landslides. Landslides are the downslope movement of geologic materials. The stability of slopes is related to a variety of factors, including the slope's steepness, the strength of geologic materials, and the characteristics of bedding planes, joints, faults, vegetation, surface water, and groundwater conditions. The project area is relatively flat terrain where landslides have not historically been an issue; therefore, no significant impacts are anticipated with respect to seismic-related (or other) landslide hazards.

VI b) Less Than Significant. The project will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil, because the site will be paved and landscaped. Erosion control plans will be required to be submitted, approved and implemented. Measures to reduce and control erosion of soil during construction and long term operation are required by SCAQMD through its Rule 403 for control of fugitive dust, the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) under its administration of the State’s General Construction Permit, and the County of San Bernardino Public Works Department through its Storm Water Management Program. Implementation of requirements under SCAQMD Rule 403 and the project dust control mitigation plan would reduce or eliminate the potential for soil erosion due to wind. Implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) that would be included in the applicant’s Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), would reduce soil erosion due to storm water or water associated with construction. Typical BMPs include use of soil binders, mulch, silt fencing, gravel bag berming, fiber rolls and other similar techniques of soil stabilization and sediment control.

VI c) Less Than Significant. The subject property is not located in an area that is geologically unstable or would become unstable as a result of development. As mentioned above, it is unlikely that a landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse would occur onsite or in the project vicinity. Slope stability analyses were not performed since no slopes over five (5) feet are anticipated. Seismically induced lateral spreading involves lateral
movement of soils due to ground shaking. Lateral spreading is demonstrated by near vertical cracks with predominantly horizontal movement of the soil mass involved. The topography of the site being near level, it is the opinion of the Geotechnical Engineer and Project Geologist that the potential for seismically induced lateral spreading should be considered "remote".

VI d) **No Impact.** The project site is not located in an area that has been identified by the County Building and Safety Geologist as having the potential for expansive soils.

VI e) **No Impact.** The project will be served by the City of Redlands Sewer System. No septic systems will be utilized as part of this project.

No significant adverse impacts related to Geology and soils are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VII GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Would the Project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION:**

A Greenhouse Gas Analysis and San Bernardino County Screening Table Evaluation was prepared for this project by *Rincon Consultants, Inc.*, September, 2017.

VII a) **Less than Significant.** The County’s Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan (GHG Plan) was adopted on December 6, 2011 and became effective on January 6, 2012. The GHG Plan establishes a GHG emissions reduction target for the year 2020 that is 15 percent below 2007 emissions. The Plan is consistent with AB 32 and sets the County on a path to achieve a more substantial long-term reduction in the post-2020 period. Achieving this level of emissions will ensure that the contribution to greenhouse gas emissions from activities covered by the GHG Plan will not be cumulatively considerable.

In 2007, the California State Legislature adopted Senate Bill 97 (SB97), which required that the CEQA Guidelines be amended to include provisions addressing the effects and mitigation of GHG emissions. New CEQA Guidelines have been adopted that require: inclusion of a GHG analyses in CEQA documents; quantification of GHG emissions; a determination of significance for GHG emissions; and, adoption of feasible mitigation to address significant impacts. The CEQA Guidelines [Cal. Code of Regulations Section 15083.5 (b)] also provide that the environmental analysis of specific projects may be tiered from a programmatic GHG plan that substantially lessens the cumulative effect of GHG emissions. If a public agency adopts such a programmatic GHG Plan, the environmental review of subsequent projects may be streamlined. A project’s incremental contribution of GHG emissions will not be considered cumulatively significant if the project is consistent with the adopted GHG plan.

Implementation of the County’s GHG Plan is achieved through the Development Review Process by applying appropriate reduction requirements to projects, which reduce GHG emissions. All new development is required to quantify a project’s GHG emissions and adopt feasible mitigation to reduce project emissions below a level of significance. A review standard of 3,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MTCO2e) per year is used to identify and mitigate project emissions. Based on the CalEEMod statistical analysis, multi-family residential projects with more than 85 units typically generate more than 3,000 MTCO2e. For projects exceeding 3,000 MTCO2e per year of GHG emissions, the developer may use the GHG Plan Screening Tables as a tool to assist with calculating GHG reduction measures and the determination of a significance finding. Projects that garner 100 or more points on the Screening Tables do not require quantification of project-specific GHG emissions. The point system was devised to ensure project compliance with the reduction measures in the GHG Plan such that the GHG emissions from new development, when considered together with those from existing development, will allow the County to meet its 2020 target and support longer-term reductions in GHG emissions beyond 2020. Consistent with the CEQA Guidelines, such projects are consistent with the Plan and, therefore, will be determined to have a
less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions.

The proposed project has garnered 123 points on the Screening Tables through the application of Building Energy Reduction Measures by 1) exceeding energy efficiency standards in Title 24 of the Building Code by 20%, 2) utilizing high-efficiency lighting fixtures and appliances, 3) providing pedestrian linkages to nearby commercial uses, 4) improving bicycle linkages between the site and other land uses, 5) utilizing EPA high efficiency shower heads, faucets and toilets, and 6) utilizing Vehicle Trip Reduction Measures the reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) for residential projects near existing retail centers and installing an electric vehicle charging station. The project is consistent with the GHG Plan and is therefore determined to have a less than significant individual and cumulative impact for GHG emissions. The GHG reduction measures proposed by the developer through the Screening Tables review process are included in the project design, and will be included as conditions of approval.

VII b) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project is not anticipated to conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. In January of 2012, the County of San Bernardino adopted a Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan (GHG Plan). The proposed project is consistent with the GHG Plan and potential impacts are expected to be less than significant.

Project design features selected from the GHG Plan Screening Tables will ensure that impacts of the project related to Greenhouse Gas emissions will be less than significant. These project design elements will be documented in the conditions of approval. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the Environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

d) Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTANTIATION:

VIII a) Less Than Significant. The planned land uses on the subject property are limited to open space and multi-family residential uses, neither of which generate hazardous or toxic materials that will require routine transport, use, or disposal. Onsite hazardous waste generation will be limited to household and/or non-toxic hazardous wastes (batteries, light bulbs, appliances, food products).

VIII b) Less than Significant. The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.
environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment, because any proposed use or construction activity that might use hazardous materials is subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department.

VIII c) **Less than Significant.** The future occupants of the proposed facilities will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school, because the project does not propose the use of hazardous materials. In addition, all existing and proposed schools are more than one-quarter mile from the project site.

VIII d) **Less than Significant.** The project site is not located on a known site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. This has been confirmed by a query of the Envirostar on-line database. No features or elements of the proposed project would create a significant hazard to the public or the environment. No impacts related to hazardous materials can be anticipated as a result of implementing the proposed project and, therefore, no mitigation measures are required.

VIII e) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.** The project site is approximately 1.5 miles south of the San Bernardino International Airport (SBIA) (formerly Norton Air Force Base) which means the site is within the Airport Influence Area of the SBIA. For most civilian airports this distance equals 9,000 feet from the runway primary surface. Residents of the proposed project will not be subject to significant risk since the project site is not within the landing or takeoff zones of the airport runways. An Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan (ACLUP) has not been adopted for the SBIA, but is in preparation. Pending adoption of the ACLUP, project plans are referred to SBIA staff for compatibility reviews utilizing the Airport Layout Plan. The proposed primary residential structure height of 45 feet and the ‘clock tower’ feature of 60 feet do not conflict with any height restrictions required for safe airport operations. Mitigation measures are proposed to ensure compatibility with operations of SBIA.

Outside of the San Bernardino International Airport Influence Area the closest airstrip is Redlands Municipal Airport located approximately 3.5 miles east of the proposed site. The site is within the AR-3 Overlay District and the project will be required to comply with the AR-3 standards of the County Development Code.

VIII f) **No Impact.** The proposed project area is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip; therefore, it would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

VIII g) **Less than Significant.** The project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, because the project has adequate access from two or more directions via Lugonia Avenue, Alabama Street and Nevada Street.

VIII h) **No Impact.** The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, because there are no wildlands adjacent to this site. The project site is in an urban area and is not located in a fire safety overlay district. Therefore, it is not adjacent to wildlands or near the wildlands/urban interface, and will not expose people, structures or infrastructure to risks of wildland fires.

Possible significant adverse impacts related to airport compatibility have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.
HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MITIGATION MEASURES:

VIII-1 AR3 Operational Requirements. The project site is within an Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR3) Overlay, therefore the following standards and criteria shall apply to all operations, structures, and land uses:

a) All structures and land uses shall be designed and operated so that they shall not reflect glare, emit electronic interference, produce smoke, or store or dispense hazardous materials in such a manner that would endanger aircraft operations or public safety in the event of an aircraft accident. (to be confirmed prior to issuance of building permits)

b) Vegetation shall be maintained not to exceed the height limitations established in Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 77, unless otherwise provided by Form 7460-1

c) The “developer”/property owner shall include with all lease and rental agreements and separately to all renters, tenants, lessees or buyers; information that the site is subject to aircraft overflight from the appropriate airport, is subject to the potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations, and is subject to an Avigation and Noise Easement.

d) Proposed uses and structures shall be consistent with the San Bernardino International Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan (ACLUP).

[Mitigation Measure VIII-1] General Requirement/Planning

VIII-2 AR3 Design Requirements. The project is within the Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR-3) Overlay. The developer shall grant an Avigation and Noise Easement to the San Bernardino International Airport. The developer shall submit copies of the proposed Avigation & Noise Easement to both County Planning and the affected airport for review and approval. Also, notice shall be provided to any renters, lessees or buyers of the subject property that the site is subject to this Avigation and Noise Easement and that there will be aircraft over-flight with potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations. This information shall be incorporated into the CC & R’s, if any, and in all lease and rental agreements. [Mitigation Measure VIII-2] Prior to Building Permit/Planning
### IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor.</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure that would impede or redirect flood flows?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A preliminary Drainage Study and a preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) were prepared for this project by Transtech Engineers, Inc., April 2017. The project is not located in a Flood Hazard Overlay District, as defined by the General Plan, or in a Flood Zone, as mapped by FEMA.

IX a) **Less Than Significant.** The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, because a Preliminary Hydrology Study and a Final Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) have been reviewed and approved by the County Public Works Department. The proposed project storm drain system will collect runoff from the building roofs, parking areas and other impervious surfaces in an on-site storm drainage system. Storm water runoff will generally be conveyed and directed to the southwesterly portion of the project site. The low flow water quality flows will be diverted to a combination of underground infiltration basins and bio retention infiltration basins. Storm water flows greater than the water quality flow rate will be discharged to the existing 51-inch storm drain pipe located in Lugonia Avenue.

The project will be served by the City of Redlands, an established water and wastewater purveyor that is subject to independent regulation by local and state agencies that ensure compliance with both water quality and waste discharge requirements.

IX b) **Less Than Significant.** The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. The site plan retains 20.5% of the site area as pervious surfaces. The project is served by an existing water purveyor, the City of Redlands that has indicated that there is currently sufficient capacity in the existing water system to serve the anticipated needs of this project, in conformance with the City’s urban water management plan.

IX c) **Less Than Significant.** The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. The project does not propose any substantial alteration to a drainage pattern. There is no stream or river on the site or in the vicinity that would be affected by construction of the project. The project is required to submit and implement an erosion control plan, and construction will be subject to a Storm Water Pollution Protection Plan (SWPPP) to ensure that no erosion or sedimentation will result from the project.

IX d) **Less Than Significant.** The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site, because the project does not propose any substantial alteration to a drainage pattern, stream or river. The proposed project storm drain system will collect runoff from the building roofs, parking areas and other impervious surfaces in an on-site storm drainage system. Storm water runoff will generally be conveyed and directed to the southwesterly portion of the project site. The low flow water quality flows will be diverted to a combination of underground infiltration basins and bio retention infiltration basins. Storm water flows greater than the water quality flow rate will be discharged to the existing 51-inch storm drain pipe located in Lugonia Avenue.

County Public Works has reviewed the Final Water Quality Management Plan and Hydrology Study for this project and has determined that all necessary drainage improvements, both on and off site, have been included in the project design, such that the quantity of runoff from the project site after development will not exceed the existing condition.

IXI e) **Less Than Significant.** The project will not create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff, because County Public Works has reviewed the proposed project...
hydrology and hydraulic study and has determined that the proposed systems are adequate to handle the anticipated flows. All necessary drainage improvements both on and off site will be required as conditions of the construction of the project, and will be subject to the same dust control measures, Best Management Practices for water quality and other standards and requirements that apply to on-site construction. There will be adequate capacity in the local and regional drainage systems so that downstream properties are not negatively impacted by any increases or changes in volume, velocity or direction of storm water flows originating from or altered by the project.

IX f) **Less Than Significant.** The proposed project would not otherwise substantially degrade water quality because appropriate measures relating to water quality protection, including erosion control measures have been required.

IX g) **No Impact.** The project will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, because The subject property is not mapped as occurring within a flood hazard zone.

IX h) **No Impact.** The project will not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows, because the site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard area and any area identified as being potentially affected by a 100-year storm the structures will be subject to a flood hazard review and will be required to be elevated a minimum of one foot above the base flood elevation.

IX i) **No Impact.** The project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam, because the project site is not within any identified path of a potential inundation flow that might result in the event of a dam or levee failure or that might occur from a river, stream, lake or sheet flow situation. This project is not located in the inundation area of the Seven Oaks dam.

IX j) **No Impact.** The project will not be impacted by inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow, because the project is not adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami nor is the project site in the path of any potential mudflow.

No significant adverse impacts related to hydrology and water quality are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Physically divide an established community?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

X a) **No Impact.** The proposed project will not physically divide an established community, because the proposed multi-family residential project is located in an urbanizing area planned for a mix of commercial, industrial and residential land uses. The project is located in the Special Development (SD) land use zoning district of the East Valley Area Plan (EVAP), which is intended to allow a mix of residential, commercial, and/or manufacturing activities that maximize the utilization of natural as well as man-made resources. Multi-family residential projects are permitted in the SD District subject to approval of a Planned Development providing adequate buffering from existing ‘high impact’ commercial and warehouse projects in the area, and ensuring that the project is provided with adequate amenities and infrastructure improvements.

In addition, the project provides greater connectivity between the existing community and uses by integrating residential uses within walking and biking distance of local retail uses and employers. This also helps to reduce vehicle trips and vehicle miles traveled. The project site is located in close proximity to a regional retail shopping district, and approximately 2 miles from ESRI and Loma Linda University and Medical Center, major employers in the area. The proposed project is sited and designed to enhance and be integrated with an established community.

X b) **Less Than Significant.** The project will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect, because the project is consistent with all applicable land use policies and regulations of the County Development Code, General Plan and the East Valley Area Plan. The project will comply with all hazard protection, resource preservation and land use modifying Overlay District regulations. The project site is designated as EV/SD (East Valley Area Plan/Special Development) and the proposed use is consistent with that designation, subject to the preparation and approval of a Planned Development application, as proposed.

X-c) **No Impact.** The proposed project does not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plans or natural community conservation plans. No such plan exists in the area.

No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated, and therefore no mitigation measures are required.
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

   a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

   b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

SUBSTANTIATION (Check ☐ if project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay):

XI a) **No Impact.** The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that will be of value to the region and the residents of the state, because there are no identified important mineral resources on the project site and the site is not within a Mineral Resource Zone Overlay. Additionally, mineral extraction would be incompatible with existing and planned land uses in the area.

XI b) **No Impact.** The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan, because there are no identified locally important mineral resources on the project site. The underlying soils in the area could be recovered, but the surrounding area has already been developed with primarily commercial and industrial uses and it is impractical to recover those resources. As such the area has not been identified as a locally important mineral resource.

No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and therefore no mitigation measures are required.
## XII. NOISE - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

|   | ☐ | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |

### b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?

|   | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ |

### c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

|   | ☐ | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |

### d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

|   | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ |

### e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

|   | ☐ | ☐ | ☒ | ☐ |

### f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

|   | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☒ |

### SUBSTANTIATION

The project site is not located in a Noise Hazard (NH) Overlay District and is not subject to severe noise levels according to the County General Plan Noise Element. A Noise Impact Analysis was prepared for this project by Rincon Consultants, Inc. dated May, 2017.

#### XII a) Less Than Significant.

A noise impact analysis was prepared by Rincon Consultants, Inc. to determine the noise exposure that may impact the proposed Project. The purpose of the on-site noise impact analysis is to demonstrate compliance with the County of San Bernardino's criteria for residential development.

Traffic is the primary post-construction noise source associated with the proposed project. The proposed project would contribute to increased traffic volumes primarily on Lugonia Avenue as that would be the primary point of ingress/egress. Secondary access would be provided to/from Nevada Street. The increased traffic volumes may cause or contribute to an increase in traffic-related noise on and adjacent to the project site.

All residential buildings on-site would be designed to meet or exceed California Energy Code Title 24 standards, which specify construction methods and materials that result in energy efficient structures and up to a 30 dBA reduction in exterior noise levels (assuming that windows are closed). This includes installation of mechanical ventilation (e.g. air conditioning), in combination with standard
building construction that includes dual-glazed windows with a minimum Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating of 26.

XII b) **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.** Construction activities may result in short term impacts to the noise environment including groundbourne vibration and noise. Potential impacts to noise will be short term during construction and will end once the project is operational. At buildout the project is not expected to generate groundbourne vibration or noise that is excessive. Short-term impacts associated with construction will be limited to the greatest extent practicable with the implementation of the mitigation measures outlined below.

XII c) **Less Than Significant.** Primary noise sources in the vicinity of the project site originate from motor vehicle activities and traffic. The analysis of anticipated roadway noise impacts is based on the Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) for the project prepared by Kunzman Associates, Inc. (2017). The project would generate a total of 1,955 daily trips with a total of 108 morning and 140 afternoon peak hour trips (Kunzman Associates, Inc. 2017). Project traffic would increase most along the segment of Lugonia Avenue between California Street and Nevada Street, an increase of 10 percent. Traffic noise would increase roadway noise by up to 0.4 dBA, which is below the threshold of perception of 3 dBA and would not represent a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels.

XII d) **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated.** Project construction could occur within 70 feet of the nearest sensitive receptors, a multifamily building undergoing constructed east of the project site. These sensitive land uses would experience a temporary noise increase during construction activities on the project site. Construction noise levels would range from 71 dBA Leq during the architectural coating phase to 84 dBA Leq during the grading phase. These noise levels exceed the measured ambient level of 70 dBA by up to 14 dBA. Thus, construction noise would be audible at nearby receptor locations and may cause temporary disturbance. Short-term impacts associated with construction will be limited to the greatest extent practicable with the implementation of the mitigation measures outlined below.

XII e) **Less than Significant.** The project is located within the airport land use plan area of the San Bernardino International Airport (SBIA), formerly Norton Air Force Base. The airport is used minimally for cargo planes, the fire department, and small private planes. The proposed project is approximately 1.8 miles from the airport runway, outside the 65 CNEL noise contour mapped for SBIA. Therefore, considering the project’s proximity to this airport it is not expected that persons residing and working at the project will be exposed to excessive noise levels.

XII f) **No Impact.** The proposed project area is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.

**NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES**

**XII-1 Construction Noise.** The “developer” shall submit and obtain approval from County Planning of a signed letter agreeing to include as a condition of all construction contracts/subcontracts requirements to reduce noise impacts during construction, which shall include the following vehicle and equipment emissions and other impacts to air quality by implementing the following measures and submitting documentation of compliance: The developer/construction contractors shall do the following:

a. During the project site excavation and grading, the construction contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers,
consistent with the manufactures standards.
b. The construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest the project site.
c. The construction contractor shall limit all construction-related activities that would result in high noise levels between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday excluding holidays.
d. The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction.
e. The construction contractor shall limit haul truck deliveries to the same hours specified for construction equipment. To the extent feasible, haul routes shall not pass sensitive land uses or residential dwellings. [Mitigation Measure XII-1] - Prior to Grading Permit/Planning
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:

a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

SUBSTANTIATION

XIII a) Less than Significant. The proposed project is not expected to induce substantial population growth in the area, either directly or indirectly, because the projected Maximum Population Density Average (MPDA) of this project is below the MPDA limits of the Special Development (SD) District of the County General Plan.

The MPDA of the SD District is 43,187 persons per square mile in the Valley Region of San Bernardino County. This equates to 67.5 persons per acre. The proposed project is 8.58 acres, which would allow for a maximum population of 579 persons in the SD District. Based on the 2010 Census for San Bernardino County, the average household size of renter occupied housing units is 3.4 persons per unit. This is a Countywide average that does not take into consideration either lower or higher averages of specific geographic areas in the County. The proposed project is located in the East Valley Area Plan, and although the City of Redlands does not have land use authority over this area, the East Valley Area Plan is located within the Corporate boundaries of the City of Redlands. Based on the 2010 Census for the City of Redlands, the average household size of renter occupied units is 2.61 persons per unit, which is obviously more specific to this region than the Countywide average of 3.4 units per acre. The proposed project offers a majority of studio and 1 bedroom units (approximately 57% of all units) that could apply an even lower occupancy threshold of 2.0 persons per unit to be considered feasible.

Using the City of Redlands Census data of 2.61 persons per unit, the maximum anticipated number of residents would be 940, which exceeds the maximum population density of 614 persons. Using the 2.0 persons per unit average would be 720 persons, still exceeding the MPD by a small margin. Although the Countywide average of 3.4 persons per unit is not a realistic indicator of the anticipated population density for this area, if it was applied to this project, it would yield a maximum population of 1,224, which is higher than the allowed maximum population density. However, the fact that the surrounding properties in the SD district are substantially developed with commercial and industrial land uses, development of the project for an occupancy of 1,224 persons would not exceed the MPDA for the overall SD district, keeping the population of the area well under the average contemplated in the General Plan.

Growth induced by a project could be considered a significant impact if it directly or indirectly affects the ability of public agencies to provide services. Public services for this project will be provided by a number of public agencies, including the County of San Bernardino and the City of Redlands. No service provider has indicated inability to serve the project. There are benefits derived from this project as it provides a ‘transit oriented’ environment and generous on-site...
amenities that reduce the dependency or need for residents to utilize existing and more traditional community services. Therefore, the population growth associated with the proposed project is less than significant.

XIII b) **No Impact.** The proposed project would not displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because the project site is currently undeveloped. No significant adverse impacts are anticipated and, therefore, no mitigation measures are required.

XIII c) **No Impact.** The proposed project would not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because the project site is currently undeveloped. No significant adverse impacts are anticipated and, therefore, no mitigation measures are required.

No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

- **Fire Protection?**
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.
  - [x] Less than Significant
  - [ ] No Impact

- **Police Protection?**
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.
  - [x] Less than Significant
  - [ ] No Impact

- **Schools?**
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.
  - [x] Less than Significant
  - [ ] No Impact

- **Parks?**
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.
  - [x] Less than Significant
  - [ ] No Impact

- **Other Public Facilities?**
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.
  - [x] Less than Significant
  - [ ] No Impact

#### SUBSTANTIATION

A full range of urban public services is available to serve the project site.

**XIV a) Less than Significant.** The proposed project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks or other public facilities. Construction of the project will increase property tax revenues to provide a source of funding that is sufficient to offset any increases in the anticipated demands for public services generated by this project, and a full array of public services will be available to serve the project site.

**Fire Protection.** Fire protection services for the proposed project will be provided by the City of Redlands Fire Department. There are three City of Redlands fire stations within a 10 minute response time of the project site. The closest fire station is located at 1270 Park Street, which is 1.7 miles from the project with a 5 minute response time. The proposed project will generate additional need for fire protection, but is not expected to require additional services beyond those currently available and planned.

**Police Protection.** Police services for the proposed project will be provided by the City of Redlands Police Department. The proposed project will generate additional need for police protection, but is not expected to require additional services beyond those currently available. Standard lighting and crime prevention through environmental design will be integrated into landscaping plans and other project design features, which will serve as a safety feature and as a crime deterrent. In addition, the project is proposed as gated community, which will further deter crime.

**Schools.** School services for the project site are provided by the Redlands Unified School District, including bus services to elementary and middle schools. According to the Redlands School District there are no elementary schools within the district close enough or with capacity to serve the
proposed project. The average multi-family student generation rate of 0.15 elementary school students per unit would yield 54 elementary school students from the proposed project, who would attend Victoria Elementary School located at 1505 Richardson Street in San Bernardino. Victoria Elementary is approximately 2.25 miles from the project. Middle school multi-family student generation at 0.06 students per unit will contribute 22 middle school students from the project site to attend Beattie Middle School, which is in the Redlands School District. Beattie Middle School is located at 7800 Orange Street in the City of Highland, approximately 3 miles north of the project site. High school students from the project site may attend Citrus Valley High School or Redlands High School, both in the Redlands School District. Citrus Valley High is located at 800 West Pioneer Avenue in Redlands and is approximately 1.5 miles northeast of the project. Redlands High is located at 840 Citrus Avenue in Redlands and is approximately 2.5 miles east of the project. The multi-family student generation rate of 0.08 high school students per unit would result in 29 high school students from the project site. The School District will receive School Fees to offset the cost of providing school facilities for these students of all levels.

Parks. This project will be provided with an abundance of recreational facilities on site. The project includes a 7,830 square foot recreation center with two (2) pools, spa, cabana, exercise room, kitchen, lounges, restrooms, and a leasing office. The project also includes open space and recreation areas consisting of landscape courtyards, dog park, picnic and barbeque areas, water features and several outdoor dining areas. The total landscaped and open space area consists of 2.94 acres, which is 34.3 percent of the site area. The Santa Ana River Trail, a regional recreation trail, is located approximately 1 mi. from the project site. Therefore, no impacts to recreational amenities are expected.

Other Public Facilities: The County Department of Public Works maintains most roads, drainage easements and regional flood control facilities in the general project vicinity. The City of Redlands will provide water and sewer service to the project site per an existing agreement between the County and the City.

No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.
XV. RECREATION

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?  

- Potentially Significant Impact: No
- Less than Significant Impact: No
- Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation: Yes
- No Impact: No

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

- Potentially Significant Impact: No
- Less than Significant Impact: Yes
- Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation: No
- No Impact: No

SUBSTANTIATION

XV a) Less than Significant. The proposed 360 unit multi-family residential project is not expected to result in an increase in the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated. The project includes a 7,830 square foot recreation center with two (2) pools, spa, cabana, exercise room, kitchen, lounges, restrooms, and a leasing office. The project also includes open space and recreation areas consisting of landscape courtyards, picnic and barbeque areas, water features and several outdoor dining areas. The total landscaped and open space area consists of 2.94 acres, which is 34.3 percent of the site area. These recreational facilities will meet neighborhood park needs of future residents. It is anticipated that the project’s residential units will be predominantly occupied by young and mature adults without children and therefore will have limited impacts on community parks. Community parks are available throughout the City of Redlands. Existing regional parks are adequate to handle regional park needs of future residents in the 360 apartment units. However, since future residents are likely use the Santa Ana Regional Trail in the vicinity of the project site, the project has been conditioned to pay an impact fee in the amount of $1,435 per acre that will contribute toward the construction costs of completing this regional trail.

XV b) Less than Significant. The project includes a 7,830 square foot recreation center with two (2) pools, spa, cabana, exercise room, kitchen, lounges, restrooms, and a leasing office. The project also includes open space and recreation areas consisting of landscape courtyards, dog park, picnic and barbeque areas, water features and several outdoor dining areas. The total landscaped and open space area consists of 2.94 acres, which is 34.3 percent of the site area. These recreational facilities will not have an adverse physical effect on the environment. The project does not require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.

No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC – Would the Project:

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways, freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the County congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

e) Result in inadequate emergency access?

f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?

SUBSTANTIATION

A Traffic Impact Analysis was prepared for this project by Kunzman Associates, dated April 24, 2017.

XVI a/b) Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated. The Kunzman Associates Traffic Impact Analysis evaluated the potential traffic and circulation impacts associated with the proposed project on the surrounding roadway system, and recommended improvements required to mitigate impacts and maintain satisfactory levels of service. The project is estimated to generate a total of approximately 1,955 daily vehicle trips, 108 of which will occur during the morning peak hour and 140 of which will occur during the evening peak hour. The analysis provides baseline information for the existing year (2017), opening year (2020) analysis of conditions, including ambient growth and cumulative development, with and without project traffic, and a cumulative analysis of traffic with and without the project in the horizon year (2040). The study area for the analysis, including 20 existing and future intersections, was determined in cooperation with the County, the City of Redlands and Caltrans. Impacts on each intersection were analyzed based on the analysis methodology and level of service criteria of the agency with jurisdiction over the subject intersection. The traffic analysis identifies off-site improvements required to mitigate impacts on the
study area intersection attributable to the project, and assigns a fair-share contribution to the cost of the improvements, proportional to the project's contribution to projected traffic in each intersection. The April October 24, 2017 TIA prepared by Kunzman Associates shows that the project fair share contributions have been calculated for Buildout Year (2040) improvement locations. The project share of cost has been based on the proportion of project peak hour traffic contributed to the improvement location relative to the total new peak hour Buildout Year (2040) traffic volume. The intersection fair share cost calculations are based on the higher of the morning and evening peak hour traffic volumes. The project's fair share of identified intersection costs is $36,125. A regional transportation system fee (RTSF) program is in place to fund certain improvements in the study area. This project will be subject to the regional fee, which will mitigate project impacts on the fee program facilities. The project RTSF for this project is $791,280. Non-program improvements required to mitigate impacts of the project are detailed in the traffic analysis, and the project's fair share contributions toward the cost of the improvements are listed in the study and also presented herein as mitigation measures required to mitigate project impacts to a level less than significant.

**Project Fair Share Intersection Traffic Contribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Fair Share Construction Cost</th>
<th>Peak Hour</th>
<th>Existing Traffic</th>
<th>Horizon Year (2040) With Project Traffic</th>
<th>Project Traffic</th>
<th>Total New Traffic</th>
<th>Project % of New Traffic</th>
<th>Project Fair Share Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Street (NS) at: Lugonia Avenue (EW) - #1</td>
<td>Redlands</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>1,409</td>
<td>2,134</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,221</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>$1,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Street (NS) at: I-10 Freeway WB Ramps (EW) - #2</td>
<td>Caltrans/Redlands</td>
<td>$2,333</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>3,050</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Street (NS) at: I-10 Freeway EB Ramps (EW) - #3</td>
<td>Caltrans/Redlands</td>
<td>$2,310</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>2,767</td>
<td>3,118</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada Street (NS) at: Lugonia Avenue (EW) - #7</td>
<td>County/Redlands</td>
<td>$864</td>
<td>Morning</td>
<td>1,394</td>
<td>2,086</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,376</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>$79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama Street (NS) at: Lugonia Avenue (EW) - #10</td>
<td>Redlands</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>1,921</td>
<td>2,606</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1,685</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>$34,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$575,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Project contributes just over 50 trips during the evening peak hour; however, the project related trip do not have a significant impact in delay as per City of Redlands prescribed delay threshold.
2. Improvement included within the 2011 San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) Development Mitigation Nexus Study.
3. Project contributes less than 50 trips during the peak hours, and does not have a significant impact in delay as per City of Redlands prescribed delay threshold.

**XVI c) No Impact.** The project site is approximately 1.5 miles south of the San Bernardino International Airport. The project will not result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks, because there is no anticipated notable impact on air traffic volumes by passengers or freight generated by the proposed uses and no new air traffic facilities are proposed.
XVI d) **Less than Significant Impact.** The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses because the project site is adjacent to established roads that are accessed at points with good site distance and properly controlled intersections. There are no incompatible uses proposed by the project that will impact surrounding land uses.

XVI e) **Less than Significant Impact.** The project will not result in inadequate emergency access, because there is a minimum of two access points to the site. An emergency access plan has been prepared for this project and reviewed by the County Fire Department, and the project complies with all local and state Fire Code regulations with respect to access.

XVI f) **Less than Significant Impact.** The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit and alternative or non-motorized transportation (e.g., transit amenities) because all alternative transportation improvements have been included in the project design or will be addressed through standard conditions of approval regarding pedestrian access improvements.

**TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC MITIGATION MEASURES**

XVI -1 *Regional Transportation Mitigation Fees (RTMF).* This project falls within the Regional Transportation Facilities Mitigation Plan for the Redlands Donut Hole Subarea. This fee shall be paid by a cashier’s check to the Department of Public Works Business Office. The Plan fees shall be computed in accordance with the Plan fees in effect as of the date that the building plans are submitted and the building permit is applied for. These fees are subject to change periodically. Currently, the fee is $2,198 for a Multi Family Dwelling unit. Per the approved traffic study, there are 360 apartment dwelling units. The estimated Regional Transportation Fees for the apartment dwelling units are $791,280 (360 apartment dwelling units x $2,198 per dwelling unit). The total estimated Regional Transportation Fees for the apartment dwelling units is $791,280. The current Regional Transportation Fee Plan can be found at the following website: [http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/transportation/transportation_planning.asp](http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/transportation/transportation_planning.asp)

[Mitigation Measure XVI-1] Prior to Building Permit/LUS-Land Development

XVI-2 *Fair Share Contributions to Intersection Improvements.* A fair share contribution for this project is required and will be based on the fair share percentages calculated in the revised Kunzman Associates traffic study dated April 24, 2017. The study concluded that the additional traffic generated by this project will have an impact at the intersection of California Avenue at Lugonia Avenue for the Buildout Year (2040) traffic conditions.

The total fair share contribution shall be paid to the Department of Public Works - Traffic Division. At the present time, the total estimated fair share contribution is $36,125 as detailed in the table below. When an application for a building permit is filed, this amount will be adjusted to reflect actual construction costs incurred, if available, or will be adjusted to account for future construction costs using the Caltrans Construction Cost Index.

[Mitigation Measure XVI-2] Prior to Building Permit/County Traffic
**XVII. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES**

a) Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:

- i) Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or

  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [X] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation
  - [ ] Less than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

- ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code Section 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code Section 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.

  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact
  - [X] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation
  - [ ] Less than Significant Impact
  - [ ] No Impact

**SUBSTANTIATION:**

The proposed project is not located in a Cultural or Paleontological Resources Overlay area. The analysis in this section is based on the Cultural Resources Assessment, dated May 4, 2017 and prepared by BCR Consulting, LLC.

a) **Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation.** Assembly Bill (AB) 52 took effect on July 1, 2015. AB 52 requires a lead agency to make best efforts to avoid, preserve, and protect tribal cultural resources. The bill states that tribal cultural resources are:

1. Sites, features, places, cultural landscapes, sacred places, and objects with cultural value to a California Native American tribe that are either (i) included or determined to be eligible for inclusion in the California Register of Historical Resources; or included in a local register of historical resources;
2. A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in PRC Section 5024.1(c);
3. A cultural landscape that meets one of the criteria of 1), above, and is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape; and/or
4. A historical resource described in PRC 21084.1, a unique archaeological resource described in PRC 21083.2(g), or a non-unique archaeological resource as defined in PRC 21083(h) if it conforms with the criteria of 1), above.

Prior to the release of the CEQA document for a project, AB 52 requires the lead agency to initiate consultation with a California Native American tribe that is traditionally and culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the proposed project if: (1) the California Native American tribe requested the lead agency, in writing, to be informed by the lead agency through formal notification of proposed project in the geographic area that is traditionally and through formal notification of proposed projects in the geographic area that is traditionally and culturally affiliated with the tribe, and (2) the California Native American tribe responds, in writing, within 30 days of receipt of the formal notification, and requests
the consultation.

As the lead agency under CEQA, the County is responsible for and will be performing formal government-to-government consultation with Native American Tribes under California Assembly Bill 52. The County will conduct formal consultation, and any information obtained through those processes may be included in the EIR.

The project does have the potential to impact resources defined Public Resources Code section 21074. While there are no known resources identified in the area of disturbance, earth-moving activities such as grading, site clearing and perimeter wall construction do possess the potential to impact resources described above through inadvertent discovery. The proposed project area exists within Serrano and Gabrielino ancestral territory and, therefore, is of interest to Gebrielino and the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (SMBMI).

With the participation of affected California Native Tribes, it has been determined that a significant impact can be avoided with onsite tribal monitoring during such earth-moving activities. The County of San Bernardino ("Lead Agency") will continue to conduct Government-to-Government consultation in accordance with, CEQA, AB52, and/or SB18 for the life of the Project, including the timely and comprehensive dissemination of all project-related information and documentation to the SMBMI. The following mitigation measures have been incorporated to reduce impacts to less than significant:

SIGNIFICANCE: Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measure is required as a condition of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant:

TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES MITIGATION MEASURES:

XVII-1 Archaeological and Tribal Monitoring. Due to the heightened cultural sensitivity of the proposed project area, both an archaeological monitor that meets Secretary of Interior (SOI) qualifications for archaeology and Tribal monitors representing SMBMI shall be present for all ground-disturbing activities that occur within the proposed project APE (which includes, but is not limited to, tree/shrub removal and planting, clearing/grubbing, grading, excavation, trenching, compaction, fence/gate removal and installation, drainage and irrigation removal and installation, hardscape installation (benches, signage, boulders, walls, seat walls, fountains, etc.), and archaeological surveys, testing, and data recovery. A sufficient number of archaeological and Tribal monitors shall be present each work day to ensure that simultaneously occurring ground disturbing activities receive thorough levels of monitoring coverage.

The Lead Agency shall inform the Project developer/applicant of its responsibility to provide compensation (hourly wages, per diem, mileage, lodging, etc.) for all archaeological and Tribal monitors and the services these individuals provide as part of the monitoring effort for the Project and the need for a Monitoring Plan to be developed prior to the issuance of permits.

Prior to the issuance of any ground disturbance-related permits (such as grading permits), the Lead Agency shall contact and coordinate with SMBMI to facilitate communications with the Project developer/applicant so that all Parties can develop a mutually-acceptable Archaeological and Tribal Monitoring Plan. This Plan shall be approved and adopted by the Lead Agency prior to the issuance of any ground disturbance-related permits. The Plan’s implementation in the field shall be enforced by the Lead Agency for the life of the Project.

[XVII-1 Mitigation Measure TCR-1] Prior to Grading or Land Disturbance/Planning

XVII-2 Discovery Protocol and Treatment. If an archaeological deposit or tribal cultural resource is discovered within the Project area, ground disturbing activities shall be suspended 100 feet around the resource(s) and an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) physical demarcation/barrier
constructed. Representatives from SMBMI, the Archaeological Monitor, the Project applicant/developer, and the Lead Agency shall confer regarding treatment of the discovered resource(s). A treatment plan shall be prepared, reviewed and adopted by all Parties, and then implemented to protect the identified resources from damage and destruction. The treatment plan shall contain a research design to evaluate the resource for significance under both NHPA and CEQA criteria. This research design shall (1) acknowledge the necessity for additional, internal Tribal deliberations and culturally-appropriate treatments for all tribal cultural resources and (2) for archaeological resources, include fieldwork and sampling procedures appropriate to ascertain the boundaries, nature, and content of the resource in accordance with current, professional archaeological best practices, as agreed upon by SMBMI.

Should the resource be determined to be significant under either federal- or state-level criteria, avoidance and preservation in place shall be the preferred treatment. Should the resource not be a candidate for avoidance or preservation in place, a resource-specific mitigation plan shall be developed, reviewed by all Parties, and implemented. Should the mitigation plan include archaeological data recovery, a research design shall be developed that exhausts the research potential of the resource in accordance with current professional archaeology standards. Any mitigation plan that results in the removal of cultural resources (artifacts, ecofacts, features, etc.) from their original provenience shall also include a comprehensive discussion of resource processing, analysis, curation, and reporting protocols and obligations.

a) All mitigation, treatment, and data recovery plans shall be developed in consultation with SMBMI.

b) All fieldwork related to treatment, mitigation, and data recovery plans shall require monitoring by an SMBMI Tribal Monitor.

c) All draft reports containing the significance and treatment findings and data recovery results shall be prepared by an SOI-qualified archaeologist hired by the applicant/developer and submitted to the Lead Agency and SMBMI for their review and comment.

d) All final reports are to be submitted to the local CHRIS Information Center, the Lead Agency, and SMBMI.

[Mitigation Measure TCR-2] General Requirement/Planning

**XVII-3 Treatment and Disposition of Artifacts, Ecofacts, Cultural Deposits.** SMBMI requests that culturally-appropriate and professionally proper procedures shall be followed with respect to all artifacts and remains affiliated with Native peoples—whether prehistoric, protohistoric, or historic.

a) Any sacred/ceremonial objects or objects of cultural patrimony discovered within the project area are to be offered to the MLD of record for appropriate treatment and all claims of ownership to such materials waived by the applicant/developer/landowner.

b) SMBMI requests that all other artifacts be permitted to be either (1) left in situ should avoidance or protection in place be guaranteed or (2) reburied, on site, in a location that will be protected from future disturbance. A fully executed reburial agreement shall be developed with SMBMI. This agreement shall include measures and provisions to protect the future reburial area from any future impacts (vis a vis project plans, conservation/preservation easements, deed riders, etc.). Reburial shall not occur until all ground-disturbing activities associated with the Project have been completed, all monitoring has ceased, all cataloguing and basic recordation of cultural resources have been completed, and a final monitoring report has been issued to Lead Agency, CHRIS, and SMBMI.

c) Should it occur that avoidance, preservation in place, or on-site reburial are not an option for some artifacts, SMBMI requests that the landowner relinquish all ownership and rights to this material and consult with the Tribes to identify an American Association of Museums (AAM)-accredited facility within San Bernardino County that can accession the materials into their permanent collections and provide for the proper care of these objects in accordance with the 1993 CA Curation Guidelines. A curation agreement with an appropriate qualified repository
shall be developed between the landowner and museum that legally and physically transfers the collections and associated records to the facility. This agreement shall stipulate the payment of fees necessary for permanent curation of the collections and associated records and the obligation of the Project developer/applicant to pay for those fees.

d) Where appropriate and agreed upon in advance by SMBMI, the SOI-qualified archaeologist hired by the applicant/developer may conduct analyses of certain artifact classes (including, but not limited to, shell, non-human bone, ceramic, stone) if required by CEQA, the Project’s mitigation measures, and/or conditions of approval for the Project. Upon completion of authorized and mandatory analyses, the applicant/developer shall provide said artifacts to SMBMI for reburial on site or to the aforementioned, identified curation facility within sixty (60) days from the completion of analyses and not to exceed one hundred and twenty (120) days after the initial recovery of the items from the field.

[Mitigation Measure TCR-3] General Requirement/Planning
### UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

**Would the project:**

a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

f) Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION

**XVIII a) Less than Significant.** Wastewater sewer service for the project will be provided by the City of Redlands Municipal Utilities Department. As such, the proposed project does not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region, as determined by County Public Health – Environmental Health Services. The project will comply with all regulation and requirements established by the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

**XVIII b) Less than Significant.** The proposed project will not require or result in a need for new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities. There is sufficient capacity in the existing system for the proposed use. The proposed project will be served by existing sewer and water lines in proximity to the project, provided by the City of Redlands Municipal Utilities Department. The City of Redlands has a baseline water consumption level of 360 gallons per capita per day (GPCD), and has established a conservation target consumption of 290 GPCD. The proposed project, including its water-efficient design features is anticipated to fall under the City’s target rate. The reduced water consumption has a proportional effect on sewage disposal.
XVIII c) **Less than Significant.** Flows from the project shall be conveyed from an on-site collection system into a storm drain/water quality system in Lugonia Avenue. With the development of the project, all storm drain flows from the proposed project will be conveyed to the Lugonia Avenue storm drain pipe. A percentage of these flows will be captured into an on-site underground detention system. County Public Works and the City of Redlands have reviewed the Water Quality Management Plan and Hydrology Study for this project and have determined that all necessary drainage improvements, both on and off site, have been included in the project design or are required as conditions of project construction.

The project is not expected to significantly alter drainage patterns off-site and no expansion or new storm drain facilities beyond what is already planned for area-wide drainage will be required.

XVIII d) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources. The local water purveyor (City of Redlands Municipal Utilities Department) has given assurance that it has adequate water service capacity to serve the projected demand for the project, in addition to the provider’s existing commitments. According to the Regional Urban Water Management Plan, the average multi-family residence in the City of Redlands service area uses 2.66 acre-ft. per year, making the demand of the proposed project 958 acre-ft. per year. The commitment by the City of Redlands indicates that the impact of the project on water supplies will be less than significant.

XVIII e) **Less than Significant.** The City of Redlands Municipal Utilities Department has notified the project proponent and the County that the City will provide water and sewer service to the project. The City of Redlands Municipal Utilities Department has made the determination that it has adequate capacity to serve the projected wastewater treatment demand for the project, in addition to the provider's existing commitments.

XVIII f) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project site is in the solid waste collection service area of the City of Redlands, and the City also operates the local landfill. Waste stream from the project area is hauled to the Redlands and San Timoteo landfill(s). Based on average waste generation rates published by CalRecycle for multi-family units (4 lbs. per unit per day), the project site would generate approximately 263 tons of solid waste per year. Existing landfills serving the project area have sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs.

XVIII g) **Less than Significant.** The proposed project would comply with all federal, state, and local statutes and regulation related to solid waste. The project would consist of short-term construction activities (with short-term waste generation limited to minor quantities of construction debris) and thus would not result in significant long-term solid waste generation. Solid waste produced during the construction phase of this project would be disposed of in accordance with all applicable regulations, including the County construction and demolition debris reduction ordinance. Therefore, no significant impacts related to landfill capacity are anticipated from the proposed project.

**No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required.**
XIX. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTANTIATION

XIX a) Less than Significant. The project does not appear to have the potential to significantly degrade the overall quality of the region’s environment, or substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population or drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. No potential impact on rare or endangered species or other species of plants or animals or habitat identified by the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) has been identified in the analysis of the proposed project, based on the disturbed condition of the project site. There are no identified historic or prehistoric resources identified on this site.

XIX b) Less than Significant. The project does not have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. Special studies prepared to analyze impacts of the proposed project consider and evaluate existing and planned conditions of the surrounding area and the region. Existing and planned infrastructure in the surrounding area has been planned to accommodate planned build out of the area, including the project site.

XIX c) Less than Significant. The design of the project, with application of County policies, standards, and design guidelines ensure that there would be no substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. Impacts of the proposed project would be less than significant.
XX. MITIGATION MEASURES

(Any mitigation measures, which are not 'self-monitoring' shall have a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared and adopted at time of project approval. (Compliance monitoring will be verified by existing procedures for condition compliance)

AIR QUALITY MITIGATION MEASURES

III-1  AQ-Dust Control Plan. The “developer” shall prepare, submit for review and obtain approval from County Planning of both a Dust Control Plan (DCP) consistent with SCAQMD guidelines and a signed letter agreeing to include in any construction contracts/subcontracts a requirement that project contractors adhere to the requirements of the DCP. The DCP shall include the following requirements:

a) Exposed soil shall be kept continually moist to reduce fugitive dust during all grading and construction activities, through application of water sprayed a minimum of three times each day during dry weather. Watering, with complete coverage of disturbed areas, shall occur at least three times a day, preferably in the mid-morning, afternoon, and after work is done for the day.

b) The contractor shall ensure that traffic speeds on unpaved roads and the project site areas are reduced to 15 miles per hour or less to reduce PM10 and PM2.5 fugitive dust haul road emissions.

c) Any portion of the site to be graded shall be pre-watered to a depth of three feet prior to the onset of grading activities.

d) During high wind conditions (i.e., wind speeds exceeding 25 mph), areas with disturbed soil shall be watered hourly and activities on unpaved surfaces shall cease until wind speeds no longer exceed 25 mph.

e) Any area that will remain undeveloped for a period of more than 30 days shall be stabilized using either chemical stabilizers and/or a desert wildflower mix hydroseed on the affected portion of the site.

f) Storage piles that are to be left in place for more than three working days shall be sprayed with a non-toxic soil binder, covered with plastic or revegetated.

g) Imported fill and exported excess cut shall be adequately watered prior to transport, covered during transport, and watered prior to unloading on the project site.

h) Storm water control systems shall be installed to prevent off-site mud deposition.

i) All trucks hauling dirt away from the site shall be covered.

j) Construction vehicle tires shall be washed, prior to leaving the project site.

k) Rumble plates shall be installed at construction exits from dirt driveways.

l) Paved access driveways and streets shall be washed and swept daily when there are visible signs of dirt track-out.

m) Street sweeping shall be conducted daily when visible soil accumulations occur along site access roadways to remove dirt dropped or tracked-out by construction vehicles. Site access driveways and adjacent streets shall be washed daily, if there are visible signs of any dirt track-out at the conclusion of any workday and after street sweeping.

[Mitigation Measure III-1] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

III-2  MERV 13 High Efficiency Filters. The project shall include the following measures to reduce exposure to diesel particulate matter from heavy duty trucks:

a) The developer shall install a central HVAC system (as is typical of three to four-story suburban residential projects with multiple buildings) that includes high efficiency particulate filters with a MERV rating of 13 for all residential units within 1,000 feet of distribution facility loading docks. Filtration systems must operate to maintain positive pressure within the building interior to prevent entrainment of outdoor air indoors.

b) Windows and doors shall be fully weatherproofed with caulking and weather-stripping that is rated to last at least 20 years. Weatherproof shall be maintained and replaced by the property
owner, as necessary, to ensure functionality for the lifetime of the project.

c) The developer shall inform occupants regarding the proper use of any installed air filtration system.

[Mitigation Measure III-2] Prior to Building Permits/Planning

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES MITIGATION MEASURES:

IV-1 Nesting Birds. If an active nest is found, the bird shall be identified by species and the approximate distance from the closest work site to the nest shall be estimated. No additional measures need to be implemented if active nests are more than the following distances from the nearest work site: a) 500 feet for raptors or listed species; or b) 300 feet for non-listed passerines. Any nests occurring within these distances shall have a no-disturbance buffer implemented around them. A biological monitor shall be present to delineate the boundaries of the buffer area and to monitor the active nest to ensure that nesting behavior is not adversely affected by the construction activity. The buffer will be staked and flagged. This distance may be changed according to the judgment of the qualified biologist.  [Mitigation Measure IV-1] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

IV-2 Burrowing Owl. Out of an abundance of caution, and to ensure burrowing owl remain absent from the project site, a burrowing owl clearance survey shall be conducted prior to any ground disturbing activities in accordance with the CDFW 2012 Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation. Two preconstruction clearance surveys shall be conducted 14-30 days and 24 hours prior to ground disturbing activities to document the continued absence of burrowing owl from the project site. If burrowing owls are observed on the project site during the pre-construction surveys, a burrowing owl relocation plan will need to be prepared and submitted to CDFW for review and approval prior to commencement of vegetation clearing/grubbing, grading, and construction activities on the project site. The burrowing owl relocation plan will outline methods to relocate any burrowing owls occurring on the project site and ensure compliance with the MBTA and Fish and Game Code.  [Mitigation Measure IV-2] Prior to Grading Permits/Planning

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS MITIGATION MEASURES:

VIII-1 AR3 Operational Requirements. The project site is within an Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR3) Overlay, therefore the following standards and criteria shall apply to all operations, structures, and land uses:

a) All structures and land uses shall be designed and operated so that they shall not reflect glare, emit electronic interference, produce smoke, or store or dispense hazardous materials in such a manner that would endanger aircraft operations or public safety in the event of an aircraft accident. (to be confirmed prior to issuance of building permits)

b) Vegetation shall be maintained not to exceed the height limitations established in Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 77, unless otherwise provided by Form 7460-1

c) The “developer”/property owner shall include with all lease and rental agreements and separately to all renters, tenants, lessees or buyers; information that the site is subject to aircraft overflight from the appropriate airport, is subject to the potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations, and is subject to an Avigation and Noise Easement.

d) Proposed uses and structures shall be consistent with the San Bernardino International Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan (ACLUP).

[Mitigation Measure VIII-1] General Requirement/Planning

VIII-2 AR3 Design Requirements. The project is within the Airport Safety Review Area Three (AR-3) Overlay. The developer shall grant an Avigation and Noise Easement to the San Bernardino International Airport. The developer shall submit copies of the proposed Avigation & Noise Easement to both County Planning and the affected airport for review and approval. Also, notice
shall be provided to any renters, lessees or buyers of the subject property that the site is subject to this Avigation and Noise Easement and that there will be aircraft over-flight with potential noise problems associated with aircraft operations. This information shall be incorporated into the CC & R's, if any, and in all lease and rental agreements.  [Mitigation Measure VIII-2] Prior to Building Permit/Planning

NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES

XII-1 Construction Noise. The “developer” shall submit and obtain approval from County Planning of a signed letter agreeing to include as a condition of all construction contracts/subcontracts requirements to reduce noise impacts during construction, which shall include the following vehicle and equipment emissions and other impacts to air quality by implementing the following measures and submitting documentation of compliance: The developer/construction contractors shall do the following:

a) During the project site excavation and grading, the construction contractors shall equip all construction equipment, fixed or mobile, with properly operating and maintained mufflers, consistent with the manufactures standards.

b) The construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest the project site.

c) The construction contractor shall limit all construction-related activities that would result in high noise levels between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday excluding holidays.

d) The construction contractor shall locate equipment staging in areas that will create the greatest distance between construction-related noise sources and noise sensitive receptors nearest the project site during all project construction.

e) The construction contractor shall limit haul truck deliveries to the same hours specified for construction equipment. To the extent feasible, haul routes shall not pass sensitive land uses or residential dwellings.  [Mitigation Measure XII-1] - Prior to Grading Permit/Planning

TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC MITIGATION MEASURES

XVI -1 Regional Transportation Mitigation Fees (RTMF). This project falls within the Regional Transportation Facilities Mitigation Plan for the Redlands Donut Hole Subarea. This fee shall be paid by a cashier's check to the Department of Public Works Business Office. The Plan fees shall be computed in accordance with the Plan fees in effect as of the date that the building plans are submitted and the building permit is applied for. These fees are subject to change periodically. Currently, the fee is $2,198 for a Multi Family Dwelling unit. Per the approved traffic study, there are 360 apartment dwelling units. The estimated Regional Transportation Fees for the apartment dwelling units are $791,280 (360 apartment dwelling units x $2,198 per dwelling unit). The total estimated Regional Transportation Fees for the apartment dwelling units is $791,280. The current Regional Transportation Fee Plan can be found at the following website: http://www.sbcounty.gov/dpw/transportation/transportation_planning.asp  [Mitigation Measure XVI-1] Prior to Building Permit/LUS-Land Development

XVI-2 Fair Share Contributions to Intersection Improvements. A fair share contribution for this project is required and will be based on the fair share percentages calculated in the revised Kunzman Associates traffic study dated April 24, 2017. The study concluded that the additional traffic generated by this project will have an impact at the intersection of California Avenue at Lugonia Avenue for the Buildout Year (2040) traffic conditions.

The total fair share contribution shall be paid to the Department of Public Works - Traffic Division. At the present time, the total estimated fair share contribution is $36,125 as detailed in the table below. When an application for a building permit is filed, this amount will be adjusted to reflect
actual construction costs incurred, if available, or will be adjusted to account for future construction costs using the Caltrans Construction Cost Index.

[Mitigation Measure XVI-2] Prior to Building Permit/County Traffic

TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES MITIGATION MEASURES

XVII-1 Archaeological and Tribal Monitoring. Due to the heightened cultural sensitivity of the proposed project area, both an archaeological monitor that meets Secretary of Interior (SOI) qualifications for archaeology and Tribal monitors representing SMBMI shall be present for all ground-disturbing activities that occur within the proposed project APE (which includes, but is not limited to, tree/shrub removal and planting, clearing/grubbing, grading, excavation, trenching, compaction, fence/gate removal and installation, drainage and irrigation removal and installation, hardscape installation (benches, signage, boulders, walls, seat walls, fountains, etc.), and archaeological surveys, testing, and data recovery. A sufficient number of archaeological and Tribal monitors shall be present each work day to ensure that simultaneously occurring ground disturbing activities receive thorough levels of monitoring coverage.

The Lead Agency shall inform the Project developer/applicant of its responsibility to provide compensation (hourly wages, per diem, mileage, lodging, etc.) for all archaeological and Tribal monitors and the services these individuals provide as part of the monitoring effort for the Project and the need for a Monitoring Plan to be developed prior to the issuance of permits.

Prior to the issuance of any ground disturbance-related permits (such as grading permits), the Lead Agency shall contact and coordinate with SMBMI to facilitate communications with the Project developer/applicant so that all Parties can develop a mutually-acceptable Archaeological and Tribal Monitoring Plan. This Plan shall be approved and adopted by the Lead Agency prior to the issuance of any ground disturbance-related permits. The Plan’s implementation in the field shall be enforced by the Lead Agency for the life of the Project.

[Mitigation Measure XVII-1] Prior to Grading or Land Disturbance/Planning

XVII-2 Discovery Protocol and Treatment. If an archaeological deposit or tribal cultural resource is discovered within the Project area, ground disturbing activities shall be suspended 100 feet around the resource(s) and an Environmentally Sensitive Area (ESA) physical demarcation/barrier constructed. Representatives from SMBMI, the Archaeological Monitor, the Project applicant/developer, and the Lead Agency shall confer regarding treatment of the discovered resource(s). A treatment plan shall be prepared, reviewed and adopted by all Parties, and then implemented to protect the identified resources from damage and destruction. The treatment plan shall contain a research design to evaluate the resource for significance under both NHPA and CEQA criteria. This research design shall (1) acknowledge the necessity for additional, internal Tribal deliberations and culturally-appropriate treatments for all tribal cultural resources and (2) for archaeological resources, include fieldwork and sampling procedures appropriate to ascertain the boundaries, nature, and content of the resource in accordance with current, professional archaeological best practices, as agreed upon by SMBMI.

Should the resource be determined to be significant under either federal- or state-level criteria, avoidance and preservation in place shall be the preferred treatment. Should the resource not be a candidate for avoidance or preservation in place, a resource-specific mitigation plan shall be developed, reviewed by all Parties, and implemented. Should the mitigation plan include archaeological data recovery, a research design shall be developed that exhausts the research potential of the resource in accordance with current professional archaeology standards. Any mitigation plan that results in the removal of cultural resources (artifacts, ecofacts, features, etc.) from their original provenience shall also include a comprehensive discussion of resource processing, analysis, curation, and reporting protocols and obligations.

a) All mitigation, treatment, and data recovery plans shall be developed in consultation with
SMBMI.

b) All fieldwork related to treatment, mitigation, and data recovery plans shall require monitoring by an SMBMI Tribal Monitor.

c) All draft reports containing the significance and treatment findings and data recovery results shall be prepared by an SOI-qualified archaeologist hired by the applicant/developer and submitted to the Lead Agency and SMBMI for their review and comment.

d) All final reports are to be submitted to the local CHRIS Information Center, the Lead Agency, and SMBMI.

[Mitigation Measure XVII-2] General Requirement/Planning

XVII-3 Treatment and Disposition of Artifacts, Ecofacts, Cultural Deposits. SMBMI requests that culturally-appropriate and professionally proper procedures shall be followed with respect to all artifacts and remains affiliated with Native peoples—whether prehistoric, protohistoric, or historic.

a) Any sacred/ceremonial objects or objects of cultural patrimony discovered within the project area are to be offered to the MLD of record for appropriate treatment and all claims of ownership to such materials waived by the applicant/developer/landowner.

b) SMBMI requests that all other artifacts be permitted to be either (1) left in situ should avoidance or protection in place be guaranteed or (2) reburied, on site, in a location that will be protected from future disturbance. A fully executed reburial agreement shall be developed with SMBMI. This agreement shall include measures and provisions to protect the future reburial area from any future impacts (vis-a-vis project plans, conservation/preservation easements, deed riders, etc.). Reburial shall not occur until all ground-disturbing activities associated with the Project have been completed, all monitoring has ceased, all cataloguing and basic recordation of cultural resources have been completed, and a final monitoring report has been issued to Lead Agency, CHRIS, and SMBMI.

c) Should it occur that avoidance, preservation in place, or on-site reburial are not an option for some artifacts, SMBMI requests that the landowner relinquish all ownership and rights to this material and consult with the Tribes to identify an American Association of Museums (AAM)-accredited facility within San Bernardino County that can accession the materials into their permanent collections and provide for the proper care of these objects in accordance with the 1993 CA Curation Guidelines. A curation agreement with an appropriate qualified repository shall be developed between the landowner and museum that legally and physically transfers the collections and associated records to the facility. This agreement shall stipulate the payment of fees necessary for permanent curation of the collections and associated records and the obligation of the Project developer/applicant to pay for those fees.

d) Where appropriate and agreed upon in advance by SMBMI, the SOI-qualified archaeologist hired by the applicant/developer may conduct analyses of certain artifact classes (including, but not limited to, shell, non-human bone, ceramic, stone) if required by CEQA, the Project’s mitigation measures, and/or conditions of approval for the Project. Upon completion of authorized and mandatory analyses, the applicant/developer shall provide said artifacts to SMBMI for reburial on site or to the aforementioned, identified curation facility within sixty (60) days from the completion of analyses and not to exceed one hundred and twenty (120) days after the initial recovery of the items from the field.

[Mitigation Measure XVII-3] General Requirement/Planning
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