Project Description

- **APN:** 1013-072-02
- **APPLICANT:** Al-Nur Islamic Center
- **APPELLANT:** Diane Schumann/Don Lange
- **COMMUNITY:** Chino/4th Supervisorial District
- **LOCATION:** 4797 Phillips Blvd. Approximately 330’ east of Yorba Avenue
- **PROJECT NO:** P201600095
- **STAFF:** Heidi Duron
- **PROPOSAL:** APPEAL TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF STAFF’S DECISION TO APPROVE A TEMPORARY USE PERMIT FOR 12 MONTHS TO ESTABLISH A PLACE OF WORSHIP WITHIN AN EXISTING 2,200 SQUARE FOOT RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE FOR A MAXIMUM OF 30 PEOPLE ON 1.54 ACRES.

**SITE INFORMATION:**
- **Parcel Size:** 1.54 acres
- **Terrain:** Generally flat
- **Vegetation:** Native vegetation

**SURROUNDING LAND DESCRIPTION:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
<th>LAND USE ZONING DISTRICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SITE</td>
<td>Single-family Residence</td>
<td>Single Residential 1-acre minimum lots (RS-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
<td>Single Residential (RS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
<td>Single Residential 1-acre minimum lots (RS-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
<td>Single Residential 1-acre minimum lots (RS-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
<td>Single Residential 1-acre minimum lots (RS-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AGENCY**
- **City Sphere of Influence:** City of Chino
- **Water Service:** Monte Vista Water District
- **Sewer Service:** EHS – On-site system
- **COMMENT**
- Referral not required
- Already served
- As conditioned

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** That the Planning Commission **DENY** the Appeal and **UPHOLD** Staff’s conditional approval of an Interim Operation Temporary Use Permit.

In accordance with Section 86.08.010 of the Development Code, this action may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors.
LOCAL AERIAL MAP
PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

On February 18, 2016, Staff conditionally approved a third Interim Operation Temporary Use Permit (TUP) to establish a temporary place of worship within an existing 2,200 square-foot residential structure located on 1.54 acres (TUP Project). The TUP Project is approved for a maximum occupancy of 30 persons, which will be utilized for daily prayers during the hours of: 5:00 a.m. – 6:00 a.m., 1:00 p.m. – 2:00 p.m., 3:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m., 6:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m., and 8:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. The TUP Project site is located on the south side of Phillips Boulevard, approximately 330 feet east of Yorba Avenue, within the sphere of influence of the City of the Chino in the Fourth Supervisorial District.

An application for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to establish a 7,512 square-foot religious center on this same site (CUP Project) is on file with the San Bernardino County Land Use Services Department. In compliance with the San Bernardino County Development Code (Development Code) [§ 84.25.060(a)], a TUP may be issued for the interim operation of any use requiring a CUP provided the review authority makes the findings required for approval of a CUP.

BACKGROUND:

CUP Project: On December 8, 2011, the Planning Commission approved the CUP Project. This was appealed to the Board, which on February 28, 2012 (Item No. 64) denied the appeal and approved the CUP Project. A neighborhood group, Save Our Uniquely Rural Community Environment (SOURCE), filed a lawsuit pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) challenging the County’s approval of the CUP Project. On February 6, 2013, the Court found that the approval was in compliance with CEQA in all aspects except for sanitation. Per the Court’s ruling, the Board vacated and set aside its approvals of the CUP Project on April 8, 2014 (Item No. 23). The application remains on file and the environmental review of the sanitation issue is currently in process.

TUP Project: An Interim Operation TUP for the use of the existing single-family residence for prayer meetings was approved by staff on September 7, 2012. Appeals of this approval before the Planning Commission (February 7, 2013) and Board (May 21, 2013 (Item No. 78)), were unsuccessful. On April 10, 2014, the Applicant filed an application for a subsequent TUP, which was approved by staff on June 3, 2014. This approval was appealed, which appeal was denied by the Planning Commission on August 21, 2014, and by the Board on January 27, 2015 (Item 88).

APPEAL OF CURRENT TUP PROJECT APPROVAL:

On February 25, 2016, two residents of the neighborhood in which the TUP Project is located filed a timely appeal of the County staff action to approve the TUP Project. The appeal application cites seven specific concerns, which are summarized by the two following material issues. Staff’s response is also included:
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Alleged Errors in Procedure

Appellants’ Contention:  
Planning Division decision to grant the TUP ignores the County Code and inappropriately favors the applicant; and staff did not provide written notice or conditions of approval for the permit granted.

Staff Response: 
In compliance with the Development Code [§ 84.25.060(a)], a TUP may be issued for the interim operation of any use requiring a CUP for a period of time not to exceed 12 months, provided the TUP does not authorize the construction or establishment of new permanent structures and the review authority makes the findings required for approval of a CUP in compliance with Chapter 85.06 (Conditional Use Permit/Minor Use Permit). The permit may be extended for an additional 12 months, and may be extended multiple times not to exceed a total of 5 years [§ 85.15.080(f)(5)].

In compliance with the Development Code (Code) [§ 85.15.060(b)], the procedure required for issuance of a TUP is staff review without notice, meaning the applicable review authority can render a decision without giving notice to surrounding property owners and other parties. However, the Code also requires that within 10 days of a final decision on an application for a permit or other approval, the County shall provide notice of its final action to the applicant and to any person(s) who specifically requested notice of the County’s final action and has provided a self-addressed stamped envelope [§ 85.03.110(a)].

Staff received an email request from one resident asking to be notified of any approvals issued for the TUP Project site. A Notice of Decision was mailed per that request and this appeal was filed as a result of this notice. Subsequent to the filing of the appeal, a copy of the conditions of approval were provided to the Appellant via email on February 26, 2016. These are virtually identical to the previous conditions for the TUP Project.

Conditions of Approval Allegedly Not Met

Appellants’ Contention:  
The conditions of approval from the original TUP have not been met.

Staff Response: 
The second TUP requested by the applicant was given conditional approval by Staff. Conditions are imposed to ensure conformance of the use with the Development Code and applicable development standards, both prior to and as a part of the operation. Staff has verified that all conditions have been satisfied.

The fact that the current structure is a residence and that the anticipated use relates to the exercise of religion is significant. The structure at the project site remains fully permitted and usable as a single-family residence, which fact accords the owner the right to use it within the parameters of that legally established use. As such, there is the possibility of a degree of overlap between uses common to single family residences and the more intense
uses contemplated by the TUP. Thus, whether the current permit is approved or not, the County will continue to receive and evaluate complaints as they are made, but that does not mean that enforcement actions are necessarily justified.

Inquiries to both County Code Enforcement and the Sheriff’s Department concluded that there have not been any complaints or noted violations for the site in the past 12 months. Two complaints, dated March 17, 2016 and March 18, 2016, relative to ongoing nuisances at the Project site were sent to Supervisor Hagman’s office. These complaints were subsequently forwarded to the Code Enforcement Division.

**CEQA DETERMINATION:**

Pursuant to CEQA, a Class 1 Categorical Exemption (15301 Use or Minor Alteration to Existing Facilities) will apply to the operation of existing structures involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency’s determination. A Class 3 Exemption (Conversion of Small Structures) provides for the conversion of existing small structures from one use to another where only minor modifications are made to the structure. The TUP proposes to establish a temporary place of worship within the existing 2,200 square-foot residential structure with a maximum occupancy of 30 persons. In coordination with County Building and Safety, it was determined that there are no new construction requirements, as the threshold for an assembly occupancy is 50 persons. As such, the proposed temporary use of the existing structure qualifies for both Class 1 and Class 3 Categorical Exemptions.

Although the employment of these Categorical Exemptions is appropriate, it should be noted that a categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances. Staff does not believe that this exception applies. As described above, the CUP Project was challenged in court on the basis of alleged CEQA violations in its approval, yet the court found that the MND adequately evaluated every potential environmental impact, with the exception of sewage disposal.

In regards to the issue of sewage disposal, the TUP proposal was reviewed by the County’s Department of Public Health, Division of Environmental Health Services (DEHS). The Conditions of Approval for the TUP require certification of the existing septic system to verify that the system functions properly, meets code, and has the capacity required for the proposed interim use. A Private Sewage Disposal System Certification was provided to EHS and the project has been conditioned accordingly (Condition #33).

Therefore, as previously found for the two prior approvals, the TUP Project will not have a significant effect on the environment and qualifies for categorical exemptions under CEQA.
RECOMMENDATION:

That the Planning Commission:

1) **DENY** the appeal and **UPHOLD** the approval of an Interim Operation Temporary Use Permit to establish a temporary place of worship within an existing 2,200 square-foot residential structure for a maximum occupancy of 30 persons on 1.54 acres, subject to the conditions of approval attached to the Staff Report;

2) **FILE** a Notice of Exemption.

ATTACHMENTS:

Exhibit A: Appeal Application and Attachments
Exhibit B: Applicant Response to Appeal
Exhibit C: Temporary Use Permit Approval and Conditions
Exhibit D: Conditional Use Permit Findings
EXHIBIT A

Appeal Application and Attachments
LAND USE SERVICES DEPARTMENT
Planning Division

San Bernardino Office
385 N. Arrowhead Ave., First Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415
Phone: (909) 387-8311
Fax: (909) 387-3223

Hesperia Office
15900 Smoke Tree St.
Hesperia, CA 92345
Phone: (760) 995-8140
Fax: (760) 995-8167

APPEAL
INFORMATION SHEET AND APPLICATION

Prior to its effective date, any land use decisions made by any County agency, department, office or officer may be appealed to the Planning Commission and any land use decision made by the Planning Commission may be appealed to the Board of Supervisors, except those decisions exempted per Section 86.08.010(b)(2). Actions of the Board of Supervisors are final and may not be appealed.

The Planning officer’s decision to require preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) may be appealed to the Planning Commission for final decision.

An appeal must be filed prior to the effective date for the project action being appealed. Land use decisions made by the Planning Commission become effective 11 days after the action. Decisions made by a reviewing authority, other than the Planning Commission are effective 11 days after the written decision has been mailed.

FEES:

Fees must be submitted at the time of submittal of a completed Appeal Application and must be a check or money order made payable to “San Bernardino County.” Fees for appeals submitted by persons other than the applicant and for applicants of average cost (set fee) projects are:

| Appeal to the Planning Commission (L695) | $1,712.00 |
| Appeal to the Board of Supervisors (L696) | $1,331.00 |
| Appeal to the Chief Executive Officer (L696) | Currently No Fee |

Appeals by the applicant of actual cost projects will be charged to the actual cost deposit as follows:

“Actual Cost Initial Deposit” – If your Appeal is to be processed as an “actual cost” application, your money is deposited into an account and the reviewing staff records the time spent processing your application. Your account is then charged for the staff time at established hourly rates ($63 to $226/hr.). You are responsible for all charges made to the project account. If account funds are depleted an additional deposit will be required. If an additional deposit is required it must be paid to allow staff to continue processing. Any failure to pay the required deposit will result in suspension and possible termination of the review process. For more information on fees, please contact County Planning.

San Bernardino County

Appeal – 8/1/15
APPEAL APPLICATION

Complete all portions of this application. If you believe that an item does not apply to your appeal, mark it "N/A". Do not leave any blank spaces.

You may attach additional pages or other documentation to this application.

Project Action Date: 

File/Index #: 

Building Permit No.: 

Project Applicant(s): 

Appellant’s Name(s): 

Appellant’s Address: 

City: 

Zip: 

Phone: 

Assessor’s Parcel No. of Subject Property: 

General Location of Property: 

Community/Area: 

1. I/We hereby appeal to the San Bernardino County: (check one)

☑ Planning Commission from action by: (check one)

☑ Director of Land Use Services

☐ Division Chief of Environmental Health Services (EHS)

☐ Director of Transportation/Flood Control/Surveyor

☐ Board of Supervisors from action by the County Planning Commission.

☐ Chief Executive Officer from action by the Directors of Land Use Services and Public Works.
(Only for appeals of right-of-way dedication and/or street improvement waiver or modification decisions).

To be completed by County Staff: Filing Date: Project No.: JCS Project No.: 

San Bernardino County -2- Appeal – 8/1/15
2. I/we are appealing the project action taken to:

☐ DENY the project/request
☐ APPROVE the project/request
☐ ADOPT a Negative Declaration
☐ OTHER (specify) ________________________________

☐ DENY the project without prejudice
☐ APPROVE the project with conditions. (Attached a copy of the conditions, if they are the subject of the appeal).

3. Detail what is being appealed and what action or change you seek. Specifically address the findings, mitigation measure, conditions and/or policies with which you disagree. Also state exactly what action/changes you would favor.

SEE ATTACHED 10 PAGES

4. State why you are appealing. Be specific. Reference any errors or omissions. Attach any supporting documentation, including any Conditions of Approval that are being appealed.

SEE ATTACHED 10 PAGES

I/we certify that I/we are the:

☐ Legal Owner(s)
☐ Authorized Legal Agent(s)
☒ Other Interested Person(s)

[Signature of Appellant(s)]
[Signature of Appellant(s)]

Date: FEbruary 25, 2016

ADJACENT NEIGHBORS
NOTICE OF DECISION

As requested, the County of San Bernardino is notifying you of the decision made by the Planning Division for the application described below.

APN: 1013-072-02
Project Description: INTERIM OPERATION TEMPORARY USE PERMIT APPROVAL TO ESTABLISH A PLACE OF WORSHIP WITHIN AN EXISTING 2,200 SQUARE FOOT RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE FOR A MAXIMUM OF 30 PEOPLE ON 1.54 ACRES
Applicant: AL-NUR ISLAMIC CENTER
Project #: P201600004

On February 18, 2016, Staff APPROVED this application, subject to compliance with conditions. The project becomes effective on March 1, 2016.

If you have any questions regarding this decision, please contact this office using the information above.

Pursuant to Title 8, Section 86.08.010 of the San Bernardino County Code, within ten days of the date of this decision, any interested person may appeal the decision in writing to the Planning Commission. Any appellant must file this appeal in writing on forms available from the Public Information Counter at the address above, or available on the San Bernardino County Land Use Services webpage (http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus) with the appropriate fee. The appeal period for this project ends at 4:30 p.m. on February 29, 2016.
WHAT IS BEING APPEALED

This is an administrative appeal of the County of San Bernardino Land Use Services
Department, Planning Division’s Notice of Decision dated February 18, 2016, to grant a
temporary use permit to the site at 4797 Phillips Boulevard, Ontario, California, Project #
P2016000004, to take effect on March 1, 2016. This appeal is filed to exhaust administrative
remedies prior to judicial review. As required by San Bernardino County Development Code §§
86.06.020, 86.07.070, 86.08.030, this appeal is timely filed, before 4:30 p.m. on February 29,
2016, on the required forms.

The appellants, Diane Schumann and Don Lange, on behalf of themselves as well as many other
homeowners in the area, appeal the decision of the Planning Division to grant a Temporary Use
Permit to the Al-Nur Islamic Center, to be located in a rural area of single family homes at 4797
Phillips Blvd., Ontario, California. Appellants prevailed in an earlier CEQA lawsuit on
sanitation issues, which still have not been formally addressed.

This appeal is based on the fact that there are multiple significant land use issues, including (1)
sanitation, (2) water diversion and drainage, (3) traffic and air pollution, (4) noise/congestion,
and (5) creation of public and private nuisances in the neighborhood by repeated operation of
secular activities and a private club without a Temporary Use Permit or any other legal authority
to do so. The County appears to be relying on multiple false and misleading statements, without
performing any independent review of the facts. Further, there is no provision in the San
Bernardino County Code of Ordinances that allows a temporary use permit when no Conditional
Use Permit is available.

There have been (1) significant misrepresentations of material facts by the Al-Nur Mosque
proponents, and (2) almost no written notices whatsoever to appellants or to their neighbors,
concerning any modifications of the project or specifying what conditions are to be met prior to
the Temporary Use Permit taking effect, thus depriving appellants of the opportunity to prepare
or research the impact of any changes to the original plans and/or any conditions imposed by the
County. In spite of numerous requests by several different individuals, the County Planning
Staff has not provided a copy of the conditions of approval with its February 18, 2016 Notice of
Decision.

It appears this project remains as originally proposed – up to 80 people at a time, operating 20
hours a day, 7 days a week, 52 weeks a year, on a 2-lane county road that is 11 feet 4 inches
wide (less than the required 12 feet per lane), without storm drains or sewer hookups, with many
secular activities not allowed in residential neighborhoods, and without any valid Temporary Use
Permit (because appeals have been timely filed for years). Further, the project applicants have
already announced at two prior hearings that they will not follow the 30-person limit specified in
the Conditions of Approval.
WHAT CHANGES ARE SOUGHT

Appellants request that the County of San Bernardino Planning Division deny a temporary use permit to the applicants. Essentially none of the original Conditions of Approval have been met, and most of these original Conditions of Approval are unlikely to ever be met, making this project doomed from its inception.

Appellants request that any temporary use permit be stayed until the current conditions are provided to appellants, and a suitable time allowed after receipt for the appellants to respond.

Appellants request that the Planning Division independently verify the "facts" given them by the mosque proponents, some of which are set forth below.

Appellants have provided a significant amount of written material to the Planning Division at prior appeals, and hereby incorporate their written materials by reference into this appeal.
PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Applicants applied for a conditional use permit to build a massive Islamic Community Center, and this project was approved prior to any public hearings. At the first public hearing, neighbors raised a number of significant land use issues, but the conditional use permit was granted without discussion by the Planning Commission.

Neighbors then appealed this decision to the Board of Supervisors, who also approved the project. Neighbors then filed a CEQA lawsuit, which they won because the sanitation issue was not addressed. The Al-Nur mosque project cannot proceed without an Environmental Impact Report, as ordered by the court. This order has been provided to the Planning commission.

While the CEQA lawsuit was pending – and the conditional use permit automatically stayed – the applicants somehow were granted a temporary use permit, to use the existing single family home for gatherings, in violations of numerous codes and ordinances.

This grant of a temporary use permit was appealed to the Planning Commission on February 7, 2013. The Planning Commission approved the project, without the Conditions of Approval being met.

On May 21, 2013, appellants appealed the Planning Division’s decision to the Board of Supervisors, which upheld the decision to grant his first temporary use permit, subject to the conditions of approval.

The County issued a second TUP on June 3, 2014, Project # P201400161, to take effect June 16, 2014. This second TUP was also timely appealed to the Planning Commission, then to the Board of supervisors, which upheld the TUP on January 27, 2015. A writ from this decision is currently pending. In spite of these appeals, which stayed the TUP, applicants continued to hold large gatherings at the property.

The County issued a third TUP in March 2015. There is no provision for “extending” a TUP, so this was a third TUP. Appellants and their neighbors filed two lawsuits, which were consolidated with numerous causes of action and a motion for contempt, which is still pending.

The County issued a fourth TUP on February 18, 2016, which is also being appealed.

During the past year, many of the conditions of approval have not been met, far more than the allowed 30 individuals have been at the property at one time, an outdoor loudspeaker has been used repeatedly, and traffic has been delayed significantly by the mosque. Calls by neighbors to code enforcement and the sheriff’s office complaining of nuisance activities have either been ignored, or the callers told to contact Heidi Duron at the Planning Division. There has been no enforcement whatsoever of the conditions of approval.

Appellants filed a lawsuit for trespass in the fall of 2013, and a larger lawsuit for declaratory relief in March 2014. These cases were consolidated on June 12, 2014, and litigation is ongoing.
SUMMARY OF THE PROJECT

Applicants are an out-of-area group with no ties to the community, who seek to build a massive community center, with primarily secular activities, operating 20 hours a day, 7 days a week, 52 weeks a year, in an area zoned only for single family homes and surrounded by single family homes, in a quiet rural community.

Applicants purchased property that they knew was zoned for single family residences, yet they sought to build their massive community center with many secular activities on a very small parcel, without infrastructure in the area to support such a massive project.

Applicants are now using their property without any regard whatsoever for the rights of the neighbors, and in violation of the conditions of their temporary use permit. There has NOT been any valid TUP for more than a year, yet applicants continue to do as they wish, and the County refuses to engage in any enforcement.

Applicants contend that they are exempt from land use regulations based on their religious affiliation.
A. THE GROUNDS FOR APPEAL

1. The Planning Division staff did not provide written notice of any changes or any modifications of the original plan for the site, and refused to provide a copy of the current conditions for the temporary use permit granted on June 3, 2014, so there was no opportunity for appellants to review, investigate, and prepare any challenges.

2. The Planning Division has ignored the substantial evidence presented by the opponents of the project, and has not independently verified “facts” that appellants have pointed out are erroneous.

3. The Planning Division’s decision was arbitrary and capricious, and ignores the San Bernardino County Code of Ordinances.

4. The temporary use permit is subject to meeting original Conditions of Approval, as well as the conditions of approval imposed on June 3, 2014, and on February 18, 2016 (still not provided to appellants). No valid temporary use permit can be in effect until the Conditions of Approval are ALL met, as required of every other developer.

5. The County has never allowed a project like this to proceed, when the city in whose sphere of influence the project is based, has denied the project.

6. The County’s actions are clearly favoring a religious group, which is a violation of the Establishment clause.

7. The County has ignored the legitimate concerns of its own residents, taxpaying property owners.
B. THE DESIGN BEING APPEALED

The specific design being appealed is unknown. There were many numbers thrown out at various meetings and hearings – 150 people a day, 100 people a day, 114 people a day, 30 people five times a day, or 30 people an hour. Nothing in writing has been provided to appellants.

It has become obvious that the mosque proponents knowingly violate the conditions of approval of the temporary use permit by allowing far more than 30 people at a time on the premises, on a frequent basis, and repeatedly create nuisance conditions.
C. Land Use Applications at Issue

1. Septic concerns - the project proponents plan to have 114 individuals visiting the site a day, for 20 hours a day. One 1200 gallon septic system is not sufficient to handle this amount of discharge. Neighbors will find themselves living next to a sewage holding tank that needs to be pumped regularly, with all the attendant noise and highly noxious odors. There is a court order in place that no further activities occur until the sanitation issues are adequately addressed, which has NOT been done to date.

2. Hours of operation - any facility operating 20 hours a day, 7 days a week, 52 weeks a year, is unheard-of in a residential neighborhood. The large gatherings at the site are already a problem for neighbors, with the increased traffic, noise, and air pollution from so many additional cars, and headlights from exiting vehicles shining into neighbors’ bedrooms until well after midnight.

3. Vehicular traffic – The County has already determined that a home business can have only 10 vehicles a day, to prevent disturbance of the neighborhood. However, the Planning Division allowed a total of 114 vehicles a day – almost 15 times the amount of the vehicular traffic allowed for a home business. There is no logic whatsoever to this decision.

4. Phillips Avenue is not sufficient to handle the traffic - Phillips Avenue between Yorba and Monte Vista is only 22 feet wide – barely a two-lane country road. There is a jag in the road at Monte Vista, which makes for frequent accidents already. The City of Ontario requires all traffic lanes to be 15 feet for safety, so such a narrow street already does not meet safety standards. The traffic congestion has already become a significant hassle from so many people and all the secular activities, and poses a significant safety hazard to the many children who walk along its streets.

5. Unpermitted structures – applicants have installed three (3) large streetlights without permits, which pose a safety hazard and a fire hazard. There has been an addition to the front of the house without permits. Applicants are being granted exemptions for numerous codes and ordinances, without justification.

6. The San Bernardino County General Plan, which is presumed valid and correct, seeks to preserve neighborhoods, local cultures, and scenic views. All of these are violated by the decision to allow commercial activity in this residential area of single family homes. Thus, this project is not consistent with the general plan.

7. Noise and air pollution from the traffic - The sounds of automobiles are significant, with the motors running, opening and closing of doors, and clicking to lock and unlock doors, as well as many people talking to each other in the parking lot. Just stand by a Starbucks and imagine that in your backyard.

8. The mosque does not fit into the community. The neighborhood consists of single-story, ranch and frame style homes. This project is three to six times as tall as the surrounding homes, and will tower over the surrounding homes, destroying all privacy in the neighbors’ backyards,
and blocking sunlight, air circulation, and views of the mountains. This project is a big rectangular box, similar to the newer commercial buildings along Haven Avenue north of the 10 freeway. It is far too close to existing homes – only 16 feet from the property line of one neighbor, and 25 feet from another neighbor’s property line.

9. The lot size is far too small. The current lot is only 1.48 acres including to the middle of the street. The actual buildable area is only 1.32 acres. If the county easement for road widening is enforced, the parcel will be closer to one acre buildable area. If the required setbacks of 15 feet on all sides are enforced, the buildable size will be less than one acre.
D. JUSTIFICATION FOR APPEAL

1. The Planning Division did not follow the required procedures, as there was no written description of the changed or modified project or the new conditions provided to opponents of the project.

2. The Planning Division acted in an arbitrary and capricious manner, ignoring all the evidence presented by the neighbors, while accepting false and misleading information from the applicants.

3. The Planning Division’s decision is not supported by substantial evidence. The substantial evidence presented at the hearing and at prior hearings indicates the project is completely unsuitable for the location. There is no other such project in a similar neighborhood. No reasonable person could have reached the same conclusion, that this project is appropriate for the area.

4. The Planning Division relied on false information. The traffic engineer indicated the street was 31 feet wide, when it measures only 11 feet 4 inches each lane – far less than the 15 feet per lane required by the City of Ontario (which is the postal address for the site). The traffic study was done on a nearby quiet side street, not on Phillips Avenue near the proposed mosque. The septic expert report submitted by the project proponents indicated the septic tank could support 100 people for one day – there was no calculation for daily use for 20 hours a day by 114 people.
E. SOLUTIONS SOUGHT

Appellants request that the use of the property be limited to what it was designed for – a single family residence, subject to the same restrictions as every other parcel in the area.

The project applicants cannot have had any reasonable expectation that they could build whatever they wished on a residentially zoned parcel in an area without infrastructure, so they are suffering no harm whatsoever.

Per the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act (RLUIPA) “No government shall impose or implement a land use regulation in a manner that treats a religious assembly or institution on less than equal terms with a non-religious assembly or institution.” Here, the County of San Bernardino would be unlikely to allow a four-story J.C. Penny store or a six story office building on the parcel, so there is no genuine “less-than-equal” argument. If anything, the County of San Bernardino is favoring a religion, which is unconstitutional.

With no other similar projects in the past thirty (30) years, a RLUIPA lawsuit would fail. There simply is no precedent for this huge project. Note that California has NOT adopted the Religious Freedom Restoration Act.
ISLAMIC CENTER RESPONSE TO APPEAL.

This is the Al Nur Islamic Center’s (the “Islamic Center”) response to the “Appeal Application” and the “Appeal Statement” which were filed by Diane Schumann and Don Lange (the “Appellants”) on August 28, 2014.

The Appellants object to the Temporary Use Permit issued to the Islamic Center by the Land Services Department of the County, which is dated February 18, 2016 (the “2016 TUP”). The 2016 TUP is identical to the TUP issued by the Board of Supervisors, after appeal, on January 27, 2015 (the “2015 TUP”). This is nearly identical to the TUP issued by the Board of Supervisors, after appeal, on May 21, 2013.

All the objections raised by the Appellants (or other Appellants) against the earlier TUP’s have been defeated. At issue here is only the issuance of another TUP which allows the continued use of the Existing Building for temporary, small scale worship services which use was begun under the 2013 TUP on the same conditions of approval.

2. **All Environmental Issues Resolved.** The Superior Court in two CEQA lawsuits brought by the Appellants has rejected all the arguments of the Appellants regarding all environmental issues related to the Project and have found that all environmental issues have been properly addressed and, if required, mitigated: (a) Sanitation, (b) Traffic, (c) Drainage, (d) Air Quality and Emissions, and (e) Aesthetics and Noise. The Superior Court did, however, order more study on the waste water disposal in relationship to the Conditional Use Permit. That work is on-going, however, waste water disposal is not an issue regarding the grant of the TUP.

3. **Waste Water Treatment Is Not At Issue.** The Islamic Center will continue to use the Existing House and its existing septic system without any changes from the former TUP. The existing septic system can handle 114 persons in any given day.

4. **Traffic Issues.** The Appellants continue to exaggerate the effect of traffic on the neighborhood. Though the Appellants have dropped claims of epic clouds of dust, they continue to allege that traffic related to the Al Nur Islamic Center causes traffic delays. An informal car count completed by the Al Nur Islamic Society for the period June 8 to September 20, 2014 found that the average number of cars which visited the Al Nur Islamic Center in an entire week was 23. No car counts have been completed lately because the patterns of use are clear and there is little or no effect on traffic. The County’s Traffic Engineer testified before the Board of Supervisors that Phillips Boulevard (which fronts the Property) presently provides a Level of Service A, that peak traffic is about 278 vehicles per hour, and average daily traffic is 2,900 vehicles per day. He testified that a street the size of Phillips Boulevard could handle approximately 1,100 vehicles per hour and still maintain Level of Service A. He further testified that 105 cars per hour during the peak traffic hour could be added to Phillips Boulevard and it...
would still provide Level of Service A. In light of these figures, the Islamic Center’s presumed present contribution of 23 cars per week is truly insignificant and cannot possibly cause a traffic problem for the neighborhood.

5. **Maximum Occupancy of 30 Persons.** The current TUP provides for a maximum occupancy of 30 persons. This is the same maximum occupancy as in the earlier TUP, even though the Operational Fire Permit of the Chino Valley Fire Department effective August, 2014 sets the maximum occupancy at (a) 68 persons, or (b) 114 persons in any one 24 hour period. Larger crowds which visited the Existing House during Ramadan in 2015 did not violate the fire code or any other law. The County Development Code allows “religious festivals” (such as Ramadan) to be held without applying for a special event permit so long as no more than 500 persons visit the Property in a day. The average Ramadan crowd rarely exceeds 100. And, since Ramadan crowds gather in the back yard and not in the Existing House, there were no violations of the Fire Department’s limit of Sixty-eight (68) people inside the Existing House.

6. **No Code Violations.** As in their appeal to the earlier TUP’s, the Appellants make a general allegation that the Al Nur Islamic Center has ignored the County Development Code and regularly violates the Code. However, the Appellants do not cite one specific time when a call was made to Code Enforcement or to the Sheriff’s office. In response to this same allegation for the earlier TUP, the Islamic Center inquired of both offices and was advised that there was no record of any complaints from neighbors regarding the Islamic Center during 2013 and 2014. No inquiry was made by the Al Nur Islamic Center regarding 2015 because no violations were raised by neighbors, Code Enforcement or the Sheriff’s department during 2015.

7. **Conditions of Approval All Satisfied.** All the conditions of approval to the earlier TUP were satisfied. Because the conditions of approval to the current TUP are identical to the earlier TUP, all the conditions of approval for the current TUP have already been satisfied.

**ACTION BEING REQUESTED BY THE ISLAMIC CENTER**

The Islamic Center asks that the Planning Commission do justice in the following manner:

O Deny the Appeal brought by Appellants.
O Grant the current TUP.
EXHIBIT C

Temporary Use Permit Approval and Conditions
February 18, 2016

Al-Nur Islamic Center
4797 W. Phillips Blvd.
Ontario, CA 91762

Attn: Rashid Ahmed

RE: INTERIM OPERATION TEMPORARY USE PERMIT APPROVAL TO ESTABLISH A PLACE OF WORSHIP WITHIN AN EXISTING 2,200 SQUARE FOOT RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE FOR A MAXIMUM OF 30 PEOPLE ON 1.54 ACRES; ASSESSOR PARCEL NUMBER: 1013-072-02; APPLICANT: AL-NUR ISLAMIC CENTER; PROJECT #: P201600004

Dear Mr. Ahmed,

In our judgment, your proposal is permitted subject to the provisions of the Development Code, Chapter 84.25 (Temporary Structures and Uses). As such, your application for an Interim Operation Temporary Use Permit to establish a place of worship within an existing 2,200 square foot residential structure for a maximum of 30 people on 1.54 acres has been approved subject to your compliance with the original Conditions of Approval (attached). The anticipated hours for daily prayers will be: 5:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m., 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., and 8:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

This approval is limited to the use described above, and in the attached Conditions of Approval. Any operations outside of the approved project description would be a violation of this permit, and subject to enforcement action. While completion of the conditions prior to use has been verified, all conditions must be maintained and the use must be operated in compliance with the Conditions of Approval at all times.

Any person may file an appeal to the Planning Commission prior to the effective date of March 1, 2016. The appeal, along with the current fee, must be made in writing on forms available from the Public Information Counter or on the San Bernardino County Land Use Services webpage (http://cms.sbcounty.gov/lus/Planning/Applications.aspx). This approval shall expire after 12 months on March 1, 2017. To extend the permit, you must apply for a new Temporary Use Permit.

If you need additional information, please feel free to contact me by phone at (760) 995-8140 or by email at Heidi.Duron@lus.sbcounty.gov.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

HEIDI DURON, Supervising Planner

Attachments: Conditions of Approval for Temporary Use Permit

HD/cks
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Interim Operation Temporary Use Permit
Al-Nur Islamic Center

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS
Conditions of Operation and Procedures

LAND USE SERVICES/Planning (909) 387-8311

1. **Project Approval Description.** This Temporary Use Permit (TUP) is approved in compliance with the San Bernardino County Code (SBCC), subject to the following conditions of approval and the approved site plan. This project is to establish a temporary place of worship within an existing 2,200 square-foot residential structure on 1.54 acres. The project is approved for a maximum occupancy of 30 persons, which will be utilized for daily prayers during the hours of: 5 a.m. – 6 a.m., 1 p.m. – 2 p.m., 3 p.m. – 4 p.m., 6 p.m. – 7 p.m., and 8 p.m. – 9 p.m.
   Project APN: 1013-072-02; Project Number P201600004 (P200900631).

2. **Project Location.** The Project is located on the south side of Phillips Boulevard, approximately 330 feet east of Yorba Avenue within the sphere of the City of the Chino.

3. **Effective Date and Expiration.** The procedures and requirements in Chapter 86.06 (Time Limitations), and those related to appeals and revocation in Division 6 (Development Code Administration), shall apply following the TUP approval. This project permit approval is effective on March 1, 2016 and shall expire and become void after 12 months on March 1, 2017.

4. **Indemnification.** In compliance with SBCC §81.01.070, the developer shall agree, to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County or its “indemnities” (herein collectively the County’s elected officials, appointed officials (including Planning Commissioners), Zoning Administrator, agents, officers, employees, volunteers, advisory agencies or committees, appeal boards or legislative body) from any claim, action, or proceeding against the County or its indemnities to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the County by an indemnitee concerning a map or permit or any other action relating to or arising out of County approval, including the acts, errors or omissions of any person and for any costs or expenses incurred by the indemnitees on account of any claim, except where such indemnification is prohibited by law. In the alternative, the developer may agree to relinquish such approval.

Any condition of approval imposed in compliance with the County Development Code or County General Plan shall include a requirement that the County acts reasonably to promptly notify the developer of any claim, action, or proceeding and that the County cooperates fully in the defense. The developer shall reimburse the County and its indemnitees for all expenses resulting from such actions, including
any court costs and attorney fees, which the County or its indemnitees may be required by a court to pay as a result of such action.

The County may, at its sole discretion, participate at its own expense in the defense of any such action, but such participation shall not relieve the developer of their obligations under this condition to reimburse the County or its indemnitees for all such expenses.

This indemnification provision shall apply regardless of the existence or degree of fault of indemnitees. The developer’s indemnification obligation applies to the indemnitees’ “passive” negligence but does not apply to the indemnitees’ “sole” or “active” negligence or “willful misconduct” within the meaning of Civil Code Section 2782.

5. **Continuous Maintenance.** The property owner and “developer” shall continually maintain the property so that it is visually attractive and not dangerous to the health, safety and general welfare of both on-site users (e.g. employees) and surrounding properties. The “developer” shall ensure that all facets of the development are regularly inspected, maintained and that any defects are timely repaired. Among the elements to be maintained, include but are not limited to:

- **a)** Annual maintenance and repair inspections shall be conducted for all structures, fencing/walls, walks, parking lots, driveways, and signs to assure proper structural, electrical and mechanical safety and a properly operating irrigation system.

- **b)** **Graffiti and debris** shall be removed immediately with weekly maintenance.

- **c)** **Landscaping** shall be maintained in a continual healthy thriving manner at proper height for required screening. Drought-resistant, fire retardant vegetation shall be used where practicable. Where landscaped areas are irrigated, it shall be done in a manner designed to conserve water, minimizing aerial spraying.

- **d)** **Erosion control** measures shall be maintained to reduce water run off, siltation, and promote slope stability.

- **e)** **Architectural controls** shall be enforced by the property owner to maintain compatibility of theme, materials, unfaded colors, building mass, size and height.

- **f)** **External Storage,** loading, recycling and trash storage areas shall be kept neat, orderly, and fully screened from public view with a solid masonry wall not less than 6 feet in height. The wall shall include sight-obscuring gates. The solid wall(s) and gate(s) shall be continuously maintained in good repair. Commercial outside storage shall be fully screened from public view and not exceed the height of screening walls. No outdoor storage is allowed within any required setback.

- **g)** **Signage.** All on-site signs, including posted area signs (e.g. “No Trespassing”) shall be maintained in a clean readable condition at all times and all graffiti and vandalism shall be removed and repaired on a regular weekly basis. Signs on the site shall be of the size and general location as shown on the approved site plan or an approved sign plan.
6. **Performance Standards.** The approved land uses shall operate in compliance with the general performance standards listed in the County Development Code Chapter 83.01, regarding air quality, electrical disturbance, fire hazards (storage of flammable or other hazardous materials), heat, noise, vibration and the disposal of liquid waste. In addition to these, none of the following shall be perceptible without instruments at any point outside the project boundaries at adjoining property lines:
   - **Odors:** No offensive or objectionable odor
   - **Emissions:** No emission of dirt, dust, fly ash, and other forms of particulate matter.
   - **Smoke:** No smoke from any project source shall be emitted of a greater density than that described in No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart (as published currently by the United States Bureau of Mines)
   - **Radiation:** No dangerous amount of radioactive emissions.
   - **Toxic Gases:** No emission of toxic, noxious or corrosive fumes of gases.
   - **Glare:** No intense glare that is not effectively screened from view at any point outside the project boundary.

7. **Lighting.** The glare from any luminous source, including on-site lighting shall not exceed one-half (0.5) foot-candle at property line. All lighting shall be limited to that necessary for security purposes. This is to allow minimum obstruction of night sky remote area views. No light shall project onto adjacent roadways in a manner that interferes with on-coming traffic.

8. **Clear Sight Triangle.** Adequate visibility for vehicular and pedestrian traffic shall be provided at clear sight triangles at all 90 degree angle intersections of public rights-of-way and private driveways. All signs, structures and landscaping located within any clear sight triangle shall comply with the height and location requirements specified by County Development Code (SBCC§ 83.02.030) or as otherwise required by County Traffic.

**LAND USE SERVICES/Code Enforcement (909) 884-4056**

9. **Enforcement.** If any County agency is required to enforce compliance with the conditions of approval, the property owner and “developer” shall be charged for such enforcement activities in accordance with the County Code Schedule of Fees. Failure to comply with these conditions of approval or the approved site plan design required for this project approval shall be enforceable against the property owner and “developer” (by both criminal and civil procedures) as provided by the San Bernardino County Code, Title 8 - Development Code; Division 6 - Administration, Chapter 86.09 - Enforcement.

10. **Weed Abatement.** The developer shall comply with San Bernardino County weed abatement regulations [SBCC§ 23.031-23.043] and periodically clear the site of all non-complying vegetation. This includes removal of all Russian thistle (tumbleweeds).
PUBLIC HEALTH/Environmental Health Services (DEHS) (800) 442-2283

11. **Noise.** Noise level shall be maintained at or below County Development Code Standards, Section 83.01.080 Contact DEHS – Land Use Section for information.

12. **Septic System.** The septic system shall be maintained so as not to create a public nuisance and shall be serviced by a DEHS permitted pumper. If the septic system fails the center must connect to sewer agency, repair the existing septic system or replace the existing septic system with a system sized for the intended use. Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board approval may be needed depending on the proposed repairs or size of the septic system. For information, please call DEHS/Wastewater Section at: 800-442-2283.

13. **Refuse Storage/Removal.** All refuse generated at the premises shall at all times be stored in approved containers and shall be placed in a manner so that visual or other impacts, and environmental public health nuisances are minimized. All refuse not containing garbage shall be removed from the premises at least one (1) time, and refuse containing garbage shall be removed from the premises at least two (2) times per week to an approved solid waste facility in conformance with San Bernardino County Code Chapter 8, Section 33.081 et. seq. For information, please call DEHS/LEA at: 800-442-2283.

CHINO VALLEY FIRE DISTRICT (909) 302-5280

14. **Fire Jurisdiction.** The above referenced project is under the jurisdiction of the Chino Valley Fire District herein (“Fire Department”). Prior to any construction occurring on any parcel, the developer shall contact the Fire Department for verification of current fire protection requirements. All new construction shall comply with the current Uniform Fire Code requirements and all applicable statutes, codes, ordinances and standards of the Fire Department.

PUBLIC WORKS/Land Development - Drainage (909) 387-8218

15. **Tributary Drainage.** Adequate provisions should be made to intercept and conduct the tributary off site - on site drainage flows around and through the site in a manner, which will not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties at the time the site is developed.

16. **Additional Drainage Improvements.** In addition to drainage requirements stated herein, other "on-site" and/or "off-site" improvements may be required which cannot be determined from tentative plans at this time and would have to be reviewed after more complete improvement plans and profiles have been submitted to this office.
LAND USE SERVICES/Land Development Division – Road Section (909) 387-8311

17. **Road Standards.** All required street improvements shall comply with latest San Bernardino County Road Planning and Design Standards and the San Bernardino County Standard Plans.

**PRIOR TO OCCUPANCY/USE**

The following shall be completed:

LAND USE SERVICES/Building and Safety (909) 387-8311

18. **Van Accessible Parking.** Provide van accessible parking spaces for the disabled. One in every eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle eight feet wide and shall be designated “Van Accessible”. The words “NO PARKING” shall be painted on the ground within each eight-foot wide loading area as specified in the California Building Code.

19. **Path of Travel.** Provide a path of travel from all parking spaces for the disabled up to the primary entrances of each building.

LAND USE SERVICES/Land Development Division – Drainage Section (909) 387-8311

20. **Drainage Facility Design.** A Registered Civil Engineer shall investigate and design adequate drainage facilities to intercept and conduct the off-site and on-site drainage flows around and through the site in a manner which will not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties.

21. **Drainage Improvements.** The applicant shall complete all required drainage improvements. Public Works will then inspect and approve them.

PUBLIC WORKS/Land Development - Roads (909) 387-8218

22. **Improvement.** The developer shall submit for review and obtain approval from the County Public Works of the following plans and permits for the listed required improvements, designed by a Registered Civil Engineer (RCE), licensed in the State of California. These shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works (DPW), located at 825 E. Third Street, San Bernardino CA 92415-0835. Phone: (909) 387-8145.

**Phillips Blvd. (Secondary Highway – 88')**

- Design driveway approach per San Bernardino County Standard 129, and located per Standard 130.

23. **Encroachment Permits.** Prior to installation of road and drainage improvements, a permit is required from County Public Works, Transportation Operations Division, Permit Section, (909) 387-8039, as well as other agencies prior to work within their jurisdiction.
24. **Transitional Improvements.** Right-of-way and improvements (including off-site) to transition traffic and drainage flows from proposed to existing, shall be required as necessary.

25. **Road Improvements.** All required on-site and off-site improvements shall be completed by the applicant, inspected and approved by County Public Works.

**PUBLIC WORKS/Traffic Division (909) 387-8186**

26. **Traffic Controls.** The main driveway shall be right in/right out only. An R3-2 sign shall be placed for the westbound traffic restricting the left turning movement into the property at the main access. An R3-2 sign shall be placed leaving the project driveway on the main access. All signs shall be placed within County Road right of way per CA-MUTCD and County of San Bernardino Standards.

**PUBLIC HEALTH/Environmental Health Services (DEHS) (800) 442-2283**

27. **Fees Required.** The Environmental Health Services (EHS) Temporary Use Permit (TUP) review fee of $564.00 must be paid to EHS.

28. **Water.** Water purveyor shall be Monte Vista Water District.

29. **Water Letter.** Applicant shall procure a verification letter from the water agency with jurisdiction. This letter shall state whether or not water connection and service shall be made available to the project by the water agency. This letter shall reference the Assessor's Parcel Number. For projects with current active water connections, a copy of water bill with project address may suffice. For information, contact the Water Section at 800-442-2283.

30. **Sewer.** Method of sewage disposal shall be City of Chino, Montclair, Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA), or, EHS approved onsite wastewater treatment system (OWTS).

31. **Sewer Letter.** Applicant shall procure a verification letter from the sewer agency with jurisdiction. This letter shall state whether or not sewer connection and service shall be made available to the project by the sewer agency. The letter shall reference the Assessor’s Parcel Number.

32. **On-site Wastewater Treatment System.** If a new onsite wastewater treatment system(s) will be constructed then: A soil percolation report shall be submitted to DEHS for review and approval. A plot plan showing the location of the septic system may be required by DEHS prior to the issuance of building permits. If the percolation report cannot be approved, the project may require an alternative OWTS. If sewer connection is available, a new onsite wastewater treatment system will not be approved for construction. For information, please contact the Wastewater Section at (800) 442-2283.
33. **Existing Septic System.** Existing septic system may be used if applicant provides certification from a qualified professional (i.e., Professional Engineer (P.E.), Registered Environmental Health Specialist (REHS), C42 contractor, Certified Engineering Geologist (C.E.G.), etc.) that the system functions properly, meets code, and has the capacity required for the proposed project. Applicant shall provide documentation outlining methods used in determining function. Based on the California Plumbing Code, flows from churches (sanctuary) are 5 gallons per seat (7 gallons per seat for facilities with kitchens). This means, if the current septic tank is 750 gallons, no more than 100 people (without kitchen) or 71 people (with kitchen) can visit the center per day. If the current septic tank is 1000 gallons, no more than 134 people (without kitchen) or 96 people (with kitchen) can visit the center per day. If the current septic tank is 1200 gallons, no more than 160 people (without kitchen) or 114 people (with kitchen) can visit the center per day. For information, please contact the wastewater section at (800) 442-2283.

34. **LAFCO Approval.** Submit verification of annexation to DEHS for any project that requires water or sewer connection outside a purveyor’s jurisdiction. For information, contact LAFCO at: 909-387-5866.

35. **Regional Board Approval.** If a new septic system is constructed, written clearance shall be obtained from the designated California Regional Water Quality Control Board (listed below) and a copy forwarded to the Division of Environmental health Services.

Santa Ana Region, 3737 Main St., Suite 500, Riverside, CA 92501-3339, 909-782-4130.

36. **Acoustical Information.** Submit preliminary acoustical information demonstrating that the proposed project maintains noise levels at or below San Bernardino County Noise Standard(s), San Bernardino Development Code Section 83.01.080. The purpose is to evaluate potential future on-site and/or adjacent off-site noise sources. If the preliminary information cannot demonstrate compliance to noise standards, a project specific acoustical analysis shall be required. Submit information/analysis to the DEHS for review and approval. For information and acoustical checklist, contact DEHS at 800-442-2283.

37. **Food Handling.** Plans for food establishments shall be reviewed and approved by DEHS. For information, call DEHS/Plan Check at: 800-442-2283.

**CHINO VALLEY FIRE DISTRICT (909) 902-5280**

38. **Fire Hydrants.** Provide the location of the closest existing fire hydrant. Based on this location, a new fire hydrant may be required to be installed closer to the property.

39. **Floor Plan.** Provide a demo plan and new floor plan for the existing structure. The floor plan shall include a seating diagram or proposed seating arrangement.
40. **Construction Plans.** Separate plan submittals are required for:
   a. Private On-Site Water Protection Improvements (Underground)
   b. Building Construction
   All plans shall be submitted to a contracted consultant with the Chino Valley Fire District. Plans shall be approved and a permit obtained prior to the commencement of work. The permit and an approved/stamped set of plans shall be maintained onsite during construction. Fees are to be paid at time of submittal.

41. **Addressing.** Addressing must comply with Chino Valley Fire Std. #122 – Minimum of 8” numbers shall be provided. The site shall have one main address and all other buildings on site shall be identified by a letter.

42. **Finish Requirements.** The decorations and interior finish shall comply with the current building and fire codes.

43. **Exit Hardware.** The existing exit hardware shall be changed out to comply with Chapter 10 of the building and fire codes.

44. **Operational Permit.** The building use will require an operational permit for a ‘Place of Assembly’ as stipulated in Section 105 of the California Fire Code.

COUNTY FIRE/Hazardous Materials Division (909) 386-8401


46. **Handlers Permit.** Prior to occupancy, developer shall be required to apply for one or more of the following: a Hazardous Materials Handler Permit, a Hazardous Waste Generator Permit, an Aboveground Storage Tank Permit, and/or an Underground Storage Tank permit. For information call County Fire Department/Hazardous Materials Division, Field Services at (909) 386-8401.

END OF CONDITIONS
Conditional Use Permit Findings
FINDINGS: Conditional Use Permit

1. The site for the proposed use is adequate in terms of shape and size to accommodate the proposed use and all landscaping, open spaces, setbacks, walls and fences, yards, and other required features pertaining to the application. The 1.54-acre site is able to accommodate the existing single-family residence and required parking area. All setbacks meet the requirements of the Development Code for the proposed land use and the existing zoning. In addition, the project meets the required percentage of landscaping and maximum lot coverage.

2. The site for the proposed use has adequate access, which means that the site design incorporates appropriate street and highway characteristics to serve the proposed use because the site is adjacent to Phillips Boulevard, which provides legal and physical access to the site.

3. The proposed use will not have a substantial adverse effect on abutting properties or the allowed use of the abutting properties, which means that the use will not generate excessive noise, traffic, vibration, lighting, glare, or other disturbance. The proposed project is the use of an existing single-family residence for prayer and is required to adhere to the general performance standards outlined in the Development Code, as well as the conditions of approval. In addition, the use will not substantially interfere with the present or future ability to use solar energy systems. The Project has incorporated the use of solar energy systems into the design of the proposed structure.

4. The proposed use and manner of development are consistent with the goals, maps, policies, and standards of the County General Plan and any applicable community or specific plan. The proposed site plan together with the provisions for its design and improvement are consistent with the County General Plan. The Project specifically implements the following goals:

   **Goal LU 1:** The County will have a compatible and harmonious arrangement of land uses by providing a type and mix of functionally well-integrated land uses that are fiscally viable and meet general social and economic needs of the residents.

   **Goal LU 8:** Beneficial facilities, such as schools, parks, medical facilities, sheriff and fire stations, libraries, and other public uses, as well as potentially hazardous sites, will be equitably distributed throughout the County.

5. There is supporting infrastructure, existing or available, consistent with the intensity of the development, to accommodate the proposed project without significantly lowering service levels, because County maintained access roads are adjacent to the project site and are required to be improved as a condition of the project approval. The project, which will serve the surrounding community, will not generate a significant increase in traffic.

6. The lawful conditions stated in the approval are deemed reasonable and necessary to protect the overall public health, safety and general welfare because the conditions of approval include measures to reduce air quality impacts and enforce performance standards.
7. The design of the site has considered the potential for the use of solar energy systems and passive or natural heating and cooling opportunities, through the orientation and design of the building to take advantage of passive solar heating capabilities.

8. In compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the proposed use is exempt under a Class 1 Categorical Exemption (15301 Use or Minor Alteration to Existing Facilities), which consists of the operation of existing structures involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing at the time of the lead agency's determination, and under a Class 3 Exemption (Conversion of Small Structures), which provides for the conversion of existing small structures from one use to another where only minor modifications are made to the structure. The County exercised independent judgment in making this determination.