SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

This form and the descriptive information in the application package constitute the contents of Initial Study pursuant to County Guidelines under Ordinance 3040 and Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

PROJECT LABEL:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APN:</th>
<th>0496-011-75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT:</td>
<td>Matcon Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY:</td>
<td>Hinckley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>Approximately 1.25 miles southwest of Halstead Road and the Black Canyon Road intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT NO:</td>
<td>AP20150002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF:</td>
<td>Reuben Arceo, Contract Planner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REP(S):</td>
<td>Webber and Webber Mining Consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSAL:</td>
<td>Reestablish Mining and Reclamation Plan 90M-010 to expand the existing quarry and reclamation plan boundary from 25 acres of disturbance to 48.2 acres and provide PCC aggregate, landscape and fill material for the construction of the SR 58 Hinckley Expressway project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS Quad:</td>
<td>Twelve Gauge and Hinckley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, R, Section:</td>
<td>T: 10N R:4W Sec: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bros.:</td>
<td>Page 349, Grid: B-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Area:</td>
<td>Hinckley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use Zoning:</td>
<td>Resource Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlays:</td>
<td>AR-4 (Airport Safety Review Area 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lead agency:</th>
<th>County of San Bernardino</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Land Use Services Department - Current Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>385 North Arrowhead Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>San Bernardino, CA 92415-0182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact person:</th>
<th>Reuben Arceo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phone No:</td>
<td>(909) 387-4015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax No.:</td>
<td>(909) 387-3223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Reuben.Arceo@ius.sbcounty.gov">Reuben.Arceo@ius.sbcounty.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Project Sponsor: | Matcon Corporation |
|                 | c/o Webber & Webber Mining Consultants Inc. |
|                 | 101 E. Redlands Boulevard, Ste. 240 |
|                 | Redlands, CA 92373 |
| Phone No:       | (909) 793-3416  |

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry is an existing and vested surface mining operation subject to Reclamation Plan 90M-010 requirements, as conditioned by San Bernardino County on June 28, 1990. The mine is designated by the State of California as CA Mine ID# 90-36-0049. The Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry site is an existing mine site with nearly 12 acres of land disturbance as of 2014.
The purpose of the Project is to re-establish Reclamation Plan 90M-010 and request authorization to allow for temporary use of the quarry as a borrow pit to provide PCC aggregate, landscape and fill material exclusively for use during construction of the State Route 58 Hinkley Expressway Project (SR-58 Project). The Project requests authorization for a limited mining period of two years, or upon extraction of 4.5 million tons of material, whichever comes first. Reclamation of the site will commence immediately upon termination of mining. Mined products will include PCC aggregates, rock and cobble for use in landscape improvements and retention areas, and general fill material along the SR-58 Project.

Mining

The Project proposes to continue mining the original quarry area and expand southward to provide materials for the SR-58 Expressway Project exclusively. All excavations will be limited within the mining boundary shown in detail on both Mining and Reclamation Plan Maps. The quarry design shown on the maps provides for a total reserves estimate of 16 million tons; however, the Project proposes to mine a total maximum quantity of 4.5 million tons over 16-24 months, exclusively to satisfy the SR-58 project demand and projected schedule.

SR-58 Project activities are proposed to begin April 1, 2015 for 9 months in calendar year 2015 and continuing for 7 months in calendar year 2016 through July 31, 2016. Four (4) million tons of fill material will be loaded by excavators and loaders directly onto street legal 25-ton haul trucks for export to the construction alignment project. 0.5 million tons of material will be transported by loader or 35-ton off-road haul trucks to be processed on-site through the portable crushing and screening plant. Approximately 20% of the material will be washed. After processing, the sized material will be transported off-site by street legal 25-ton haul trucks. After the 16-24 month period, or extraction of 4.5 million tons, whichever comes first, mine operations will be discontinued and reclamation activities will commence.

Conventional hillside open pit quarrying methods will be implemented at the mine site, including drilling and blasting, when necessary, to extract mined material. The mine may operate up to 24 hours per day and 7 days per week to maintain Caltrans SR-58 project schedules. Typical operation is expected to be 6 days per week and 16 hours per day. Blasting will be restricted to daylight hours Monday through Friday. A single phased development is proposed and the mine will operate continuously until fully reclaimed. In the event of an unforeseen interruption in the operation resulting in an extended period of non-operation, the operator will secure the site.

All processing equipment will be mobilized to the quarry as needed to meet SR-58 project parameters and will be removed as soon as demand is met and stockpiles are depleted. During any period of non-operation or limited operations for a period of 12 months or greater, the operator (or his representative) will prepare and submit an Interim Management Plan to San Bernardino County and the State Office of Mine Reclamation. During extended periods of non-operation the operator will conduct regular inspections of the entire quarry site to ensure that all gates are locked, berms have not been disturbed and that the site is generally secured during these periods of non-operation.

Excavations of the granitic aggregate material will be preceded by removal of any loose overburden material, including any vegetation. Overburden will be removed and placed into stockpiles for use during reclamation activities. The 4.0 million tons of fill material will be loaded 16 hours per day by excavators and loaders into 25-ton haul trucks for direct export offsite to the SR-58 project site. Aggregate extraction and stockpiling will be accomplished by Caterpillar D-10 dozer, or equivalent. A large loader or 35-ton off-road haul truck will typically haul and load material directly into the processing plant to crush/screen the 0.5 million tons of blasted granite required for the SR-58 project.

The portable crushing and screening rock plant will be located as near as practical to the working face of the quarry to minimize equipment movement and dust emissions. The processing plant will operate 8 hours per day. Blasting of the rock material will occur in areas of the quarry that possess competent rock, which cannot be ripped by dozers. Standard drill and blast techniques will be utilized to accomplish ore
extraction. Blasted rock will then be loaded into the processing plant by wheel loader.

In portions of the proposed quarry operation that expose the hard rock formation, benching will occur. Bench parameters will be 40 feet high with 15-foot wide benches and 0.5:1 (horizontal: vertical) faces, for an overall slope angle of approximately 50 degrees. Bench excavations will adhere to standard hillslope mining techniques and will comply with Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) requirements. In the remainder of the site, sloping of project areas will be graded to no steeper than 2:1 (horizontal: vertical).

During peak demand periods of operation, the project may operate up to 24 hours per day, 7 days per week, 12 months per year for the estimated 16 month duration of the SR-58 project. Volume of offsite truck traffic will be 450 trucks per day, hauling 11,250 truck trips or loads per month for the 16-24 month period. Project operations will require up to 8 employees onsite during two shifts per day.

Mine Waste

Mining activities at the Project site produce three types of waste: overburden (topsoil), waste oils/solvents, and domestic garbage.

Overburden on the project site primarily consists of seed-containing "topsoil" and rubble and generally comprises the top 0'-12" of surface material. The existence of this overburden material is not consistent throughout the site, especially in the exposed hard rock areas. This material will be removed from the surface where it exists, and stockpiled for subsequent use for reclamation/revegetation.

Equipment and vehicle maintenance servicing may produce waste oils, lubricants and solvents. It is projected that maintenance of processing equipment will generally occur offsite, but during periods of maximum production it may take place onsite. When onsite maintenance does occur, all servicing of equipment will be performed consistent with San Bernardino County Department of Environmental Health Services regulations for draining/collecting waste oils and other hazardous materials. All collected waste oils, lubricants and solvents shall be placed in covered containers and stored within secondary containment structures while onsite. These collected materials will continue to be transferred to a County approved hazardous waste handler for proper disposal or to an approved re-use facility. Ordinary refuse will continue to be collected in bins and disposed of at permitted landfills.

Use of other chemicals or hazardous materials are not proposed during normal operations at the project site and no flotation, amalgamation, smelting, leaching or other processes will occur throughout the life of the project.

Production Water Data

Fresh water will be used at the project site for dust suppression activities and aggregate washing. Water shall be provided for project operations by an offsite vendor or onsite well. At maximum production of operations, up to 160,000 gallons of water daily may be utilized for dust suppression and aggregates washing. This temporary water usage may occur over a period of 24 months according to the SR-58 project construction estimates. Up to 70% of aggregate wash water will be recycled through a sedimentation pond system, so approximately 48,000 gallons of fresh water per day will be consumed by project operations. Bottled water will be provided for employee consumption.
Water will be recycled to the extent practical, minimizing any potential excess processing water. Minimal amounts of water will be lost through seepage from the sedimentation pond. Storm runoff water will continue to be directed into an onsite containment area within the quarry excavations where it will either evaporate or percolate back into the water table. No contaminants, such as processing chemicals, detergents, acid drainage, fuel oil or gasoline will be exposed to runoff throughout the life of the project. No septic systems are proposed.

Erosion and Sedimentation Control

The Project has been designed to provide for complete retention of any onsite water flows. As quarry excavations progress, retention area(s) will be maintained to prevent water from discharging to offsite areas. No headward erosion from the quarry areas is anticipated due to the composition of the gray granite formation. Any movement of sediment will be retained onsite and will not affect offsite properties. Occasional heavy rainfall is anticipated a potential source of erosion and offsite sedimentation is mitigated for in the proposed site development design. Any rills and gullies will be address by use of non-erodible rock materials to minimize future degradation during potentially heavy precipitation events. At the end of mining, any water retained within the project boundary will remain until evaporation and percolation has reduced the quantity of standing water onsite. Any accumulated sediments that may be deposited in the project retention area will be removed and utilized during reclamation activities.

Product stockpiles, quarry roads, and active quarry faces will continue to be periodically wetted to reduce potential wind erosion. Any stockpiles or mined materials that may remain inactive for an extended period of time will be covered with coarse aggregate or planted with native vegetation to prevent long-term wind/water erosion. Other potential project areas subject to wind and water erosion will receive the same treatment.

Blasting

Blasting of rock material is required for extraction activities at the Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry and will used periodically during the short term project. Therefore, it is proposed to continue the use of blasting during future quarry expansion activities.

All blasting related activities will be performed and managed by a licensed blasting contractor. Typically, a track drill will bore a series of 3-8 inch diameter holes vertically into the surface in a predetermined pattern. Explosives will then be loaded into the holes; in this case, Ammonium Nitrate (ANFO) will most likely be used. The blasting contractor will control access to the blast site during bench round charging as well as during/immediately after the actual detonation. The blast site will always be visually checked for persons in addition to using loud auditory alerts prior to blasting.

Storage of explosives will not occur onsite throughout the life of the project. The blasting contractor will be responsible for all handling/storage of explosives. Explosives will only be brought onsite for active blasting. Any blasting contractor utilized at the mine site will be licensed by the State of California and possess required explosives handling permits from San Bernardino County and the U.S. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms. Additionally, blasting contractors will be required to follow California OSHA and Federal Mine Health and Safety Administration (MHSA) regulations that apply to handling explosives during all activities onsite.
Reclamation

The goal of reclamation of the Project site is to return the site to privately-owned vacant open space consistent with the Resource Conservation Land Use District. Complete reclamation of the site will include:

- Complete removal of all processing/miscellaneous equipment, scale/scale house, and refuse
- Final grading of project slopes
- Mitigation of any potential hazards
- Revegetation with indigenous species

As the purpose of the Project is solely to provide aggregate material for the SR-58 construction project, reclamation of disturbed areas will commence immediately after mining is completed. Final reclamation will occur within two years of the termination of the excavation activities.

Revegetation

The goal of revegetation of the Project site is to accelerate the reestablishment of native vegetation subsequent to land disturbance, eventually leading to vegetative conditions that existed prior to mining. Once ripping of the surfaces is completed, the revegetation process will begin with the placement of soil islands on 25% of all accessible, horizontal areas. Soil islands will basically consist of vegetative growth media, including any stockpiled topsoil material, fine-textured waste tailings from the screening operation, and any site collected organics (shrubs, bushes, grasses). The soil island concept was developed by the National Park Service and has been successful in revegetation projects at the Joshua Tree National Monument, as well as other locations. The Park Service research concluded that desert vegetation has a much better chance of success developing naturally from an established soil island. The soil island essentially acts as a base from which other naturally occurring seeds can potentially develop and spread.

Immediately following completion of the requirement for aggregate material for the SR-58 highway project, soil islands will be placed in a manner that covers approximately 25% of all accessible horizontal surfaces. Results from the revegetation test plot will provide the most effective composition and method of seeding that will be used to revegetate the remainder of the site subsequent to mining activities. The soil islands should average 6-12 inches in thickness, depending on available stockpiled soil/organics. Depending on the results of the revegetation test plots, it’s projected that revegetation efforts will not include any irrigation, fertilizers, mulch, lime or other non-native constituents unless recommended by the Revegetation Manager upon unsatisfactory results.

Under the supervision of the designated Revegetation Manager, a seed mixture described in the Revegetation Plan will be implanted in the soil islands. Seeds will be obtained from a Department of Conservation-recommended list of commercial seed suppliers, or collected from the project site and nearby areas. Progress of the revegetation activities will be assessed regularly by a qualified individual. If necessary, recommendations for adjustments to the seed mixture/seeding method will be made so the desired results can be achieved. This will be done in coordination with officials of San Bernardino County.

Successful revegetation of the site will be achieved when the success criteria set forth in the Revegetation Plan is met. This will result in enough native plant cover reestablished to resist the incursion and spread of invasive annual grasses and forbs, to help reduce erosion, and to reestablish appropriate habitat for the desert tortoise and other wildlife.
Cleanup

Upon cessation of mining activities at the end of the SR-58 highway construction project, all mobile equipment not required for further revegetation activities will be removed from the site. This would include all loaders, dozers, crushing and screening plant equipment, conveyors, etc. Refuse in any form will not remain on site and will be appropriately disposed of in permitted landfills. Any structures/footings will also be completely removed from the site.

Post Reclamation

Upon completion of final reclamation activities, the Project site will visually appear as a moderately-sized enclosed quarry with the quarry floor situated somewhere between 40-100 feet below the existing surrounding surface. The quarry floor will be enclosed by the mining benches/slopes which will extend up the hillside along the eastern boundary of the quarry. All accessible slopes and horizontal areas will be vegetated with site-indigenous plants. There are no highways, residences or commercial developments within the vicinity that will be visually affected by the Project after reclamation.

Slopes and Slope Treatment

All excavated benches and quarry cut slopes will remain after cessation of mining activities, as long as they achieve acceptable factor of safety. A Slope Stability Investigation has been prepared for the Project by CHJ, Inc. and details the factor of safety for the proposed quarry slopes. The final quarry walls will remain stable both statically and dynamically pursuant to the Recommendations section of the Slope Stability Investigation. Should any final quarry slopes fail to attain the minimum factor of safety standards, the operator will implement such measures necessary to bring the slopes into compliance. No fill slopes or waste/tailings stockpiles will remain subsequent to reclamation.

Ponds, Reservoirs, Tailings, Wastes

There will be no reservoirs, dams, or embankments established throughout the life of the Project for purposes of major water retention. Ponding will occur within the quarry floor during heavy rainfall as the proposed drainage is self-contained with virtually 100% water/sediment retention upon completion of reclamation. Retained storm waters will then be allowed to percolate toward the natural groundwater table below and any deposited sediments removed for incorporation into revegetation activities.

If a sedimentation pond system is established to recycle processing water during site operation, it will be drained and regraded immediately following completion of the SR-58 highway construction project. Much of the sediments (tailings) produced from the pond will be utilized in aggregate products supplied to the SR-58 project. Any remaining tailings that are not sold as product will be utilized to help produce the growth media required for the soil islands.

Soils and Fine-Textured Waste

A sandy-rocky type of "topsoil" exists on some portions of the Project site around the base of the granite hills, in a thickness of one to twelve inches. Other areas contain virtually no topsoil material, allowing the granitic rock to be clearly exposed. Topsoil that exists on proposed excavation areas will be removed and stockpiled prior to aggregate extraction activities. Topsoil will be removed only to allow advancement of the working excavations, so as to preclude any unnecessary surface disturbance. All topsoil that exists will be stored within topsoil stockpiles located as shown on the Mine Plan Map. This secured topsoil will be utilized to enhance the composition of the growth media for the revegetation soil islands discussed previously. Any unsold fine-textured waste tailings comprised of fine material produced by the crushing/screening operations
will be blended with stockpiled topsoil material to aid production of the growth media for the soil islands, as previously described.

_Drainage and Erosion Controls_

The drainage system remaining after mining activities cease will essentially be confinement of any flows entering the site. Erosion occurring within the quarry area during and after reclamation will be limited by the non-erosional aspect of the granite rock. Any water retained within the Project site will not impact local roads or adjacent properties due to the lack of discharge from the Project site. Additionally, revegetation of all appropriately finished slopes will minimize any erosion that may occur on site.

**ENVIRONMENTAL/EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS:**

CEQA Guidelines §15125 establishes requirements for defining the environmental setting to which the environmental effects of a proposed project must be compared. The environmental setting is defined as "...the physical environmental conditions in the vicinity of the project, as they exist at the time the Notice of Preparation is published, or if no Notice of Preparation is published, at the time the environmental analysis is commenced..." (CEQA Guidelines §15125[a]).

The Project does not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report and a Notice of Preparation is not required. Thus, the environmental setting for the Project is the approximate date that the Project’s Initial Study Checklist commenced on February 6, 2015.

The proposed mine area is the southern 48.2 acre portion of a 81.53 acre land holding owned by MATCON Corporation. Access to the site from Highway 58 will be provided by Hinkley Road north to Santa Fe Avenue west, then northwesterly via an unnamed BLM managed dirt road.

The existing quarry site currently rises from about the 2150-foot elevation in the western portion, to 2350 feet (Lynx Cat Mountain) in the eastern portion of the site. The topography of the immediate region is gently-sloping alluvium punctuated by scattered groups of small granitic hills.

State Highway 58 is located approximately 4.25 miles due south of the site with Highway 395 to the west and Barstow to the east. Highway 58 is the nearest major development to the project site. All surrounding land is vacant open space comprised of private lands and public land holdings managed by the U.S. Department of Interior – Bureau of Land Management. The nearest residence is located approximately 3 miles to the east of the site, along Hinkley Road. The nearest residential enclave is the Town of Hinkley, California located approximately 5 miles southeast of the Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry. In addition to the areas proposed for mining, the Project also includes an off-site area used for access. This area consists of a 3.4-mile long unimproved road administered by BLM, which connects to Santa Fe Avenue to the south. Surrounding land uses are shown on Table 1.
Table 1. Existing Land Use and Land Use/Overlay Districts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
<th>LAND USE/OVERLAY DISTRICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Quarry</td>
<td>RC (Resource Conservation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>RC (Resource Conservation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>RC (Resource Conservation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>RC (Resource Conservation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>RC (Resource Conservation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

**Federal:** The Project is under an existing contract with the Bureau of Land Management for extraction of fill/dirt material. No further Federal approvals are required.

**State of California:** California Department of Fish and Wildlife

**County of San Bernardino:** Land Use Services Department-Planning, Public Health-Environmental Health Services.

**Regional:** Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District.

**Local:** None
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MATCON CORPORATION - LYNX CAT MOUNTAIN QUARRY
VICINITY MAP
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EVALUATION FORMAT

This initial study is prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21000, et seq. and the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations Section 15000, et seq.). Specifically, the preparation of an Initial Study is guided by Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines. This format of the study is presented as follows. The project is evaluated based upon its effect on seventeen (17) major categories of environmental factors. Each factor is reviewed by responding to a series of questions regarding the impact of the project on each element of the overall factor. The Initial Study Checklist provides a formatted analysis that provides a determination of the effect of the project on the factor and its elements. The effect of the project is categorized into one of the following four categories of possible determinations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Substantiation is then provided to justify each determination. One of the four following conclusions is then provided as a summary of the analysis for each of the major environmental factors.

1. **No Impact:** No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

2. **Less than Significant Impact:** No significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

3. **Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated:** Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as a condition of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant. The required mitigation measures are: (List of mitigation measures)

4. **Potentially Significant Impact:** Significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required to evaluate these impacts, which are (List of the impacts requiring analysis within the EIR).

At the end of the analysis the required mitigation measures are restated and categorized as being either self-monitoring or as requiring a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a “Potentially Significant Impact” as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- [ ] Aesthetics
- [ ] Agriculture and Forestry Resources
- [ ] Air Quality
- [ ] Biological Resources
- [ ] Cultural Resources
- [ ] Geology / Soils
- [ ] Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- [ ] Hazards & Hazardous Materials
- [ ] Hydrology / Water Quality
- [ ] Land Use / Planning
- [ ] Mineral Resources
- [ ] Noise
- [ ] Population / Housing
- [ ] Public Services
- [ ] Recreation
- [ ] Transportation / Traffic
- [ ] Utilities / Service Systems
- [ ] Mandatory Findings of Significance
Because none of the environmental factors above are “checked”, the Project does not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report.

**DETERMINATION:** (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation, the following finding is made:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>The proposed project <strong>COULD NOT</strong> have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION shall be prepared.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there shall not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION shall be prepared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The proposed project <strong>MAY</strong> have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The proposed project <strong>MAY</strong> have a &quot;potentially significant impact&quot; or &quot;potentially significant unless mitigated&quot; impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared by: Ernest Perea, Contract Planner

Reuber Arco, Contract Project Manager

George Kenline, Engineering Geologist

Date

Appendices: (On Compact Disk)

A. Mining and Reclamation Plan
B. Air Quality Assessment
C. General Biological Resources Assessment
D. Application for CDFW 2081 Incidental Take Permit
E. Traffic Assessment
I. **AESTHETICS** - Would the project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check ☐ if project is located within the viewshed of any Scenic Route listed in the General Plan):

I a) **No Impact.** The County General Plan Open Space Element, Policy OS 5.1. states that a feature or vista can be considered scenic if it:

- Provides a vista of undisturbed natural areas;
- Includes a unique or unusual feature that comprises an important or dominant portion of the viewshed; or,
- Offers a distant vista that provides relief from less attractive views of nearby features such as views of mountain backdrops from urban areas.

The Project site has been highly disturbed by past mining activities. The Project site itself does not meet the criteria for a scenic vista pursuant to County General Plan Open Space Element Policy OS 5.1. Lynx Cat Mountain to the east of the Project site meets the criteria of a scenic vista pursuant to County General Plan Open Space Element Policy OS 5.1. The Project site is approximately 100 to 300 feet below the highest elevations of Lynx Cat Mountain. As such, public views of the Lynx Cat Mountain will not be impacted and the Project will have no impact on a scenic vista.

I b) **No Impact.** According to The San Bernardino County General Plan the Project site is not within a scenic route (Ref. General Plan Pg. IV-16). Therefore, no impact is anticipated.

I c) **Less than Significant.** Visibility of the Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry is limited to the immediate vicinity west of the site. The existing quarry is on the western portion of a group of small hills, limiting any visibility of the site from the east.

The Project will continue to be positioned to reduce visibility of ongoing operations. The nearest public dedicated road is Santa Fe Avenue approximately 2 miles to the southwest, which is the primary potential viewpoint by the greatest number of individuals in the immediate vicinity. Santa Fe Avenue is a dirt road that is lightly traveled, so only a small number of individuals will typically have the opportunity to view the mine site.
There are no residences, commercial developments or developed recreation areas in the vicinity of the proposed project.

The Project will increase the size of mining operations, but will remain confined to the western hillside of one of the many small hills in the region. The proposed revision project will quickly be mined below the existing surface, which will minimize exposure of activities visually.

As each new level is mined, the westernmost-portion of that level will be last to be removed, keeping most activities relatively hidden from view. All other project activities such as crushing, screening, loading, maintenance of equipment, etc. will be visually noticeable only to the few travelers of Santa Fe Avenue.

Based on the above, the continued operation of mining activities will not significantly impact the existing visual character of the area. Therefore, a less than significant impact is anticipated.

I d) **No Impact.** No new light sources are proposed. As such, the Project would not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area. No impacts will occur.
II. AGRICULTURE and FORESTRY RESOURCES - In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTANTIATION  (Check ☐ if project is located in the Important Farmlands Overlay):

II a) **No Impact.** The site does not contain any lands designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance as mapped by the State Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. As such, the Project has no potential to convert such lands to a non-agricultural use and no impact would occur.

II b) **No Impact.** Generally, a conflict with existing zoning for agriculture use would occur if a project would intrude into agricultural areas and create conflicts between agriculture uses and non-agriculture uses. The Project site is zoned RC (Resource Conservation). The RC land use zoning district allows mining as a conditional use. There are no agricultural uses on the Project site or in the vicinity of the Project site.
Pursuant to the California Land Conservation Act of 1965, a Williamson Act Contract enables private landowners to voluntarily enter into contracts with local governments for the purpose of restricting specific parcels of land to agricultural or related open space use. In return, landowners receive lower property tax assessments based upon farming and open space uses as opposed to full market value. The Project site is not under a Williamson Act Contract. As such, there is no impact with respect to a Williamson Act Contract.

Il c No Impact. The Project site is zoned RC (Resource Conservation). The Project site does not contain any forest lands, timberland, or timberland zoned as Timberland Production, nor are any forest lands or timberlands located on or nearby the Project site. Because no lands on the Project site are zoned for forestland or timberland, the Project has no potential to impact such zoning.

Il d No Impact. The Project site and surrounding properties do not contain forest lands, are not zoned for forest lands, nor are they identified as containing forest resources by the General Plan. Because forest land is not present on the Project site or in the immediate vicinity of the Project site, the proposed Project has no potential to result in the loss of forest land or the conversion of forest land to non-forest use.

Il e) No Impact. The Project site is not being used for agricultural uses and is surrounded on all by vacant desert. As such, the Project would not result in conversion of Farmland to non-agricultural use and no impacts would occur.
### III. AIR QUALITY

Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION

(Discuss conformity with the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management Plan, if applicable): ☒

The following responses are based in part on the project Air Quality Assessment prepared by Litburn Corporation dated February 2, 2015 (Appendix B) and the State Route 58 (SR-58) Hinkley Expressway Project, Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement, Caltrans, June 2013.

The Project Site is located in the Mojave Desert Air Basin. The Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District has jurisdiction over air quality issues and regulations within the Mojave Desert Air Basin. To assist local agencies to determine if a project’s emissions could pose a significant threat to air quality, the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District has prepared the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines, August 2011. The air and dust emissions from the operational use of the Project were evaluated and compared to the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District standards and evaluated against the most recent thresholds applicable.

### III a) Less than Significant

The Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District ("District") is responsible for preparing and updating an Air Quality Management Plan. The primary purpose of an Air Quality Management Plan is for controlling emissions to maintain all federal and state ambient air standards for the District. The District has adopted a variety of attainment plans for a variety of non-attainment pollutants which together comprise the Air Quality Management Plan for the District.

A Project is non-conforming if it conflicts with or delays implementation of any applicable attainment or maintenance plan. A project is conforming if it complies with all applicable District rules and regulations, complies with all proposed control measures that are not yet adopted from the applicable plan(s), and is consistent with the growth forecasts in the applicable plan(s) (or is directly
included in the applicable plan). Conformity with growth forecasts can be established by demonstrating that the project is consistent with the land use plan that was used to generate the growth forecast.

The Project is consistent with the zoning and land use classifications that were used to prepare the variety of Attainment Plan, (Resource Conservation/RC). In addition, based on Table 2 below, Project-generated emissions generated will not exceed emission thresholds. Therefore, the Project’s emissions are in compliance with the thresholds established by the District. The Project would not significantly increase local air emissions and therefore would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the Attainment Plans. Therefore, no impact is anticipated.

III b) **Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated.** Operational emissions associated with the project are primarily a result of material mining and transport of materials to the State Route 58 Hinkley Expressway Project (SR-58 Project). Operational emissions for the Project were estimated by using the Emission Factors for On-Road Heavy-Heavy Duty Diesel Trucks (EMFAC 2012), SCAQMD Off-Road Mobile Source Emissions Factors (years 2015 and 2016), and AP-42 Chapters 11.19 and 13.2.2, and SCAQMD Particulate Matter Emissions Factors.

A Project is considered to have significant impacts if it generates total emissions (direct and indirect) in excess of the thresholds established by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District. The Project is evaluated in comparison to the District’s yearly thresholds as shown in Table 2.

### Table 2. Estimated Air Pollutant Emissions and Significance (Tons/Year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mine Site Equipment Exhaust</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>18.57</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>12.47</td>
<td>11.22</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-Road Haul Trucks</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.08 (1)</td>
<td>0.84 (2)</td>
<td>0.075 (1)</td>
<td>0.75 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing Plant</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Road Truck On-Site</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01 (1)</td>
<td>2.1 (2)</td>
<td>0.01 (1)</td>
<td>1.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fugitive Dust (loading, unloading, &amp; stockpiles)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission Totals</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>20.51</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDAQMD ECQA Thresholds (Tons/year)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exceeds Threshold?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Air Quality Assessment, Lilburn Corporation, February 2, 2015*

(1) Exhaust Emissions
(2) Road Dust Emissions
As shown in Table 2, emissions would not exceed Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District thresholds. However, in order to ensure that impacts are reduced to the maximum extent feasible, the following mitigation measures are required:

AQ-1: The mine operator shall maintain and operate construction equipment so as to minimize exhaust emissions. During mining, trucks and vehicles in loading and unloading queues shall have their engines turned off when not in use, to reduce vehicle emissions.

AQ-2: The mine operator shall ensure that all equipment shall be properly tuned and maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications.

AQ-3: The mine operator shall ensure use on-site mobile equipment powered by alternative fuel sources (i.e., methanol, natural gas, propane, or butane) as feasible.

AQ-4: The mine operator shall ensure that periodic watering for short-term stabilization of disturbed surface area to minimize visible fugitive dust emissions occurs. For purposes of this requirement, use of a water truck to maintain moist disturbed surfaces and actively spread water during visible dusting episodes shall be considered sufficient to maintain compliance;

AQ-5: The mine operator shall take actions sufficient to prevent project-related trackout onto paved surfaces and cover loaded haul vehicles while operating on publicly maintained paved surfaces.

AQ-6: The mine operator shall stabilize graded site surfaces upon completion of earth moving activity when subsequent earth moving activity is delayed or expected to be delayed more than 30 days, except when such a delay is due to precipitation that dampens the disturbed surface sufficiently to eliminate visible fugitive dust emissions.

AQ-7: The mine operator shall clean-up project-related trackout or spills on publicly maintained paved surfaces within 24 hours.

AQ-8: The mine operator shall reduce nonessential earth-moving activity under high wind conditions. For purposes of this requirement, a reduction in earth-moving activity when visible dusting occurs from moist and dry surfaces due to wind erosion shall be considered sufficient to maintain compliance.

With implementation of Mitigation Measures AQ-1 through AQ-8, emissions would be reduced by to the maximum extent feasible.

In addition, once the haul trucks reach the SR-58 Project site, impacts relating to vehicle emissions and unloading activities would be subject to the exhaust emission and dust control measures contained in the State Route 58 (SR-58) Hinkley Expressway Project, Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement, Caltrans, June 2013.

III c) Less than Significant. The Project is located in a region that has been identified as being in Non-Attainment for Ozone and PM10 (State) according to the California Air Resources Board Area Designation Maps. This means that the background concentration of these pollutants have historically been over the Federal and/or State Ambient Air Quality Standards. With respect to air quality, no individual project would by itself result in Non-Attainment of the Federal or State Ambient
Air Quality Standards. However, a project’s air pollution emissions although individually limited, may be cumulatively considerable when taken in combination with past, present, and future development projects. In order to be considered significant, a project’s air pollutant emissions must exceed the emission thresholds established by the regional Air Quality Management District.

As shown in Table 2, the thresholds for the above referenced criteria pollutants would not be exceeded by the Project. Therefore, impacts from the Project are not cumulatively considerable when included with other past, present, and future probable projects.

III d) **Less Than Significant Impact.**

*On-Site Operations*

The nearest sensitive receptors are located at least 3 miles to the east of the Project site. Therefore, no on-site operational impacts are anticipated.

*Off-Site Impacts*

The haul route from the Project site to the SR-58 Project site will be via an unnamed BLM managed dirt road south to Santa Fe Avenue then southeasterly to Hinkley Road, then south to State Route 58. Along this route are approximately six (6) residential uses (Google Earth 8/20/14) and the Hinkley Elementary School at the intersection of Santa Fe Avenue and Hinkley Road.

According to the *Air Quality Assessment* prepared by Lilburn Corporation, the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District does not consider diesel-related cancer risks from construction equipment (i.e. haul trucks) to be an issue due to the short-term nature of construction activities. Hauling activities associated with the Project would be sporadic, transitory, and short-term in nature. The assessment of cancer risk is typically based on a 70-year exposure period. Because exposure to diesel exhaust would be well below the 70-year exposure period, haul truck activity associated with the Project is not anticipated to result in an elevated cancer risk to exposed persons due to the short-term nature of construction of the SR-58 Project.

III e) **No Impact.** The generation of objectionable odors is typically not associated with surface mining operations and there are no sensitive receptors within the Project vicinity. Therefore, no impact is anticipated.
### BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- **a)** Have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?  
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact  
  - [x] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.  
  - [ ] Less than Significant  
  - [ ] No Impact

- **b)** Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?  
  - [x] Potentially Significant Impact  
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.  
  - [ ] Less than Significant  
  - [ ] No Impact

- **c)** Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc...) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?  
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact  
  - [x] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.  
  - [ ] Less than Significant  
  - [x] No Impact

- **d)** Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?  
  - [ ] Potentially Significant Impact  
  - [x] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.  
  - [ ] Less than Significant  
  - [ ] No Impact

- **e)** Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?  
  - [x] Potentially Significant Impact  
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.  
  - [ ] Less than Significant  
  - [ ] No Impact

- **f)** Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan?  
  - [x] Potentially Significant Impact  
  - [ ] Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.  
  - [ ] Less than Significant  
  - [ ] No Impact

---

**SUBSTANTIATION**  
(Check if project is located in the Biological Resources Overlay or contains habitat for any species listed in the California Natural Diversity Database):  

The following responses are based in part on the Focused Surveys for Agassiz’s Desert Tortoise, Habitat Assessments for Burrowing Owl and Mohave Ground Squirrel, and General Biological Resource Assessment, Circle Mountain Biological Consultants, Inc., dated December, 2014 (Appendix C) and Application for California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2081 Incidental Take Permit, Allowing Take of Mojave Ground Squirrel and Desert Tortoise During Development of the Lynx Cat Mountain Mine Site Near the Community of Hinkley, San Bernardino County, California dated November, 2014. (Appendix D) Please reference these documents for further details.
Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated. A complete Biological Resource Assessment, including Focused Survey for Agassiz's Desert Tortoise and Habitat Assessments for Burrowing Owl and Mojave Ground Squirrel survey, was completed for the proposed 48.2-acre project site and is included as Append C. Following is a summary of the Biological Assessment report findings.

Vegetation:

The Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry site vegetation is typical of the western Mojave Desert in San Bernardino County. The plant communities found on the site are a blend of white bursage series and allscale series on the flats to the west, and white bursage series and creosote bush series on slightly higher elevations to the east. The dominant shrubs include creosote bush (Larrea tridentate), burro bush (Ambrosia dumosa), allscale (Atriplex polycarpa), peach thorn (Lycium coopen), and desert goldenhead (Acamptopappus sphaerocephalus). Silver cholla and cottontop cactus are protected plant species found onsite that may be subject to pertinent development codes. Salvage of these cacti may be required.

There are six reports of Barstow woolly sunflower (Eriophyllum mohavensis) from the CNDDB (CDFW 2014a) for the Hinkley, Twelve Gauge Lake, and Water Valley USGS 7.5’ quadrangles. This species is designated as a List I B.2 species by the CNPS (2014) and is considered sensitive by the BLM. Barstow woolly sunflower is found mostly in open, silty, or sandy areas in saltbush scrub or creosote bush scrub habitats. It is known from barren ridges or the margins of playas, at elevations from 500 to 900 meters. The "miniature playas" that occur immediately north of the mine site and in adjacent areas appear to be ideal habitat for the species, which would likely not have been detectable since the plants are very small and would have dried up by the time of surveys, including the May 2014 effort. There are no suitable habitats on the mine site or the off-site access road. The closest records for this plant are approximately 4.2 miles south (1985), 4.8 miles west-southwest (1983), and 5.8 miles southwest (2010).

Desert cymopterus (Cymopterus deserticola) has been reported seven times from the CNDDB (CDFW 2014a) for the Hinkley, Twelve Gauge Lake, and Water Valley USGS 7.5’ quadrangles. This species is designated as a List I B.2 species by the CNPS (2014) and is considered sensitive by the BLM. Desert cymopterus typically occurs in Joshua tree woodland and Mojavean desert scrub, on fine to coarse, loose, sandy soil of flats in old dune areas with well-drained sand, at elevations between 625 and 910 meters. There are no dune areas on the mine site, although soils are very sandy. The closest records for desert cymopterus are 3.6 miles west-northwest (1998) and 4.8 miles northeast (2001). The species was not detected during biological surveys, and if present, would likely have been detectable, since it is a fairly large plant with persisting parts when it dies. The Biological Assessment considers the desert cymopterus absent from the mine site and the off-site access road.

At the County level, the San Bernardino County Development Code was revised and adopted on 12 April 2007. Chapter 88.01 Plant Protection and Management, Section 88.01.020 states, "The provisions of this Chapter apply to the removal and relocation of regulated trees or plants and to any encroachment (for example, grading) within the protected zone of a regulated tree or plant on all private land within the unincorporated areas of the County and on public lands owned by the County, unless otherwise specified..."

Silver cholla (Cylindropuntia echinocarpa) and cottontop cactus (Echinocactus polycephalus) are two plant species that were observed on the subject property that are afforded protection under Chapter 88.01 Plant Protection and Management.
Wildlife:

Some of the common species identified included the desert iguana (Dipsosaurus dorsalis), long-nosed leopard lizard (Gambelia wislizenii), horned lark (Eremophila alpestris), rock wren (Salpinctes obsoletus), black-tailed hare (Lepus californicus), and coyote (Canis latrans). Numerous signs of the Agassiz's Desert Tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) were identified throughout the project site. The project site is not found within Agassiz's desert tortoise critical habitat, nor is it within a Desert Wildlife Management Area.

*Agassiz's Desert Tortoise*

Based on the presence of numerous sign and two adult animals, Agassiz's desert tortoise occurs on the mine site, more so in level areas but also in rocky, mountainous areas, and alongside the entire length of the off-site access road. Based on information given in Section 3.2 of the Biological Assessment, there may be fewer than 10 tortoises onsite. However, in the interest of avoiding re-consultation under Section 7 between BLM and USFWS should this limit be exceeded, the Biological Assessment estimates there may be between 13 and 22 tortoises on the mine site and adjacent to the off-site access road.

*Burrowing Owl*

Burrowing owls (Athene cunicularia) have been reported in the CNDDB approximately 3.0 miles and 4.0 miles to the west-northwest in 2006 and 1989, respectively, as well as 3.8 miles west-southwest of the mine site in 2007 (CDFW 2014a), so the species is known to occur in the area. Although no evidence was found on the mine site, diagnostic evidence was found in three locations adjacent to the off-site access road.

As part of the formal habitat assessment for burrowing owl, biologists recorded UTM coordinates for 43 badger digs and 58 tortoise burrows/cover sites on and adjacent to the mine site and 136 badger digs, 47 tortoise burrows, and 12 kit fox dens along the off-site access road. Diagnostic burrowing owl sign was found at one badger dig, one tortoise burrow, and one kit fox den along the off-site access road.

*LeConte's Thrashers*

A pair of LeConte's thrashers (Toxostoma lecontei) was observed by Dougherty and LaRue approximately 5 miles west of the mine site following the 4 May 2014 survey. Then on the subsequent survey of the mine site in September 2014, LaRue observed a single individual on one occasion and a pair of adults on a second occasion, although they were proximate enough that only the pair (and not a third bird) may occur. Suitable habitat for the species is present on the site and along the entire length of the off-site access road.

*Loggerhead Shrike*

Though not observed during any of the four surveys, loggerhead shrike (Lanius ludovicianus) has been reported approximately 3.7 miles west-northwest of the mine site in 2006 (CDFW 2014a). Habitat on the site is suitable for the species. Large spiny shrubs, such as peach thorn, could provide nest sites and there is suitable foraging habitat throughout the mine site adjacent to the off-site access road.

*Prairie Falcons*

Suitable foraging habitat for prairie falcons (Falco mexicanus) and golden eagles (Aquila chrysaetos) is present on the mine site and in adjacent areas, and these species are known to occur in the region (LaRue, personal observation in Hinkley and Black Mountain to the northwest). No evidence of current nesting by raptors was found on the mine site or in adjacent areas, but suitable nesting habitat may be present on steeper parts of Lynx Cat Mountain to the east.
Mohave Ground Squirrel

Mohave ground squirrel (Xerothermus ph Woronsowskii) is designated as a threatened species by the California Fish and Game Commission but is not federally listed. The Biological Assessment concludes that there is potential for Mohave ground squirrels to occur on the subject property and along the entire length of the off-site access road; CMBC cannot conclude that the species is absent.

American Badger

American badger (Taxidea taxus) is a California Species of Special Concern and not designated by USFWS (CDFW 2014a). This species, which occurs throughout California except for the far northwestern corner of the state (Zeiner et al. 1990), is relatively intolerant of urbanization. 179 badger digs were recorded during the four surveys for the mine site and for the off-site access road. As evidenced by the lack of digs, badgers may be absent from the rockier portions of the mine site but likely occur throughout all level, sandy areas.

Conclusions:

- Development of the mine site will likely impact the Agassiz’s desert tortoise, which, as a state-listed species, would be considered a significant impact under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Since it is a threatened species, the Proponent will need to minimize and mitigate the impact to avoid "take" under state (CESA) and federal endangered species acts (FESA). A California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2081 Incidental Take Permit is required.

- The other special status species identified during the surveys are burrowing owl, Le Conte's thrasher, and American badger. The species that may occur, and if so would require mitigation, include Mohave ground squirrel and loggerhead shrike.

- Since the California Department of Fish and Wildlife will require a 2081 Incidental Take Permit for take of desert tortoise, it is prudent that the incidental take permit also include Mohave ground squirrel as a covered species. Mitigation for this species will be combined with that given for desert tortoise, so that CDFW’s incidental take permit, inclusive of specified minimization and mitigation measures, covers both species.

The following Mitigation Measures are required to reduce impacts to less than significant:

BIO-1. Prior to the disturbing soil or vegetation, the Project Proponent shall provide evidence to the Planning Division that the California Department of Fish and Wildlife has issued a 2081 Incidental Take Permit for Desert Tortoise and Mohave Ground Squirrel.

BIO-2. The Project Proponent shall comply with the proposed measures to minimize and fully mitigate the impacts of the proposed taking specified in the Application for California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2081 Incidental Take Permit, Allowing Take of Mohave Ground Squirrel and Desert Tortoise During Development of the Lynx Cat Mountain Mine Site Near the Community of Hinkley, San Bernardino County, California dated November, 2014 attached to this Initial Study Checklist as Appendix D and hereby incorporated by reference.
BIO-3. Prior to disturbing soil or vegetation, a qualified biologist shall survey all shrubs and structures within the project site for nesting birds. Surveys shall end no more than three days prior to clearing. Documentation of surveys and findings shall be submitted to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and County of San Bernardino Planning Division within ten days of the last survey. If no nesting birds are observed, project activities may begin. If an active bird nest is located, the plant in which it occurs should be left in place until the birds leave the nest. No construction is allowed near active bird nests of threatened or endangered species.

IV c.) **No Impact.** Based on the General Biological Resources Assessment, the Project will impact any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service.

IV d) **Less Than Significant.** Based on the General Biological Resources Assessment, the Project will not substantially interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites as none exist on the site.

IV e) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated:** The San Bernardino County Native Plant Protection policy (1989) provides protection for Silver cholla (*Cylindropuntia echinocarpa*) andcottontop cactus. The Project may impact these species. Mitigation Measure BIO-4 is required.

BIO-4. Silver cholla (*Cylindropuntia echinocarpa*) andcottontop cactus shall be transplanted during growth media salvage to areas which remain undisturbed until they are used in revegetation of the site.

IV f) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** The Project site and the northern 1.25 miles of the proposed (existing) access road are found within the Superior-Cronese Desert Wildlife Management Area (DWMA) as recommended in the Desert Tortoise (Mojave Population) Recovery Plan (USFWS 1994b) and formally adopted in March 2006 as a result of the West Mojave Plan Record of Decision (BLM 2006). However, neither is found within designated critical habitat (USFWS 1994b). The DWMA designation in this area is only two miles wide, located between areas to the north associated with Harper Lake and to the south associated with the community of Hinkley. The 2.1± linear miles of access road between Santa Fe Avenue and the DWMA are in BLM-designated Category 3 habitats.

Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-4 ensures that the Project would not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan.
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?
   - Potentially Significant Impact: ☐
   - Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated: ☒
   - Less than Significant: ☐
   - No Impact: ☒

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?
   - Potentially Significant Impact: ☐
   - Less than Significant: ☒
   - Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated: ☐
   - No Impact: ☐

c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?
   - Potentially Significant Impact: ☐
   - Less than Significant: ☒
   - Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated: ☐
   - No Impact: ☐

d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?
   - Potentially Significant Impact: ☐
   - Less than Significant: ☒
   - Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated: ☐
   - No Impact: ☒

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if the project is located in the Cultural ☐ or Paleontologic ☐ Resources overlays or cite results of cultural resource review):

V a) No Impact. The site has a generally surficial building, remnants, and improvements extensively associated with cultural resources not expected to be disturbed, destroyed, or removed during operations, either directly or indirectly. The site has no historically significant style, design, or achievement. Damaging or demolition of historic resources is typically considered to be a significant impact. Impacts to historic resources can occur through direct impacts, such as destruction or removal, and indirect impacts, such as a change in the setting of a historic resource.

CEQA Guidelines §15064.5(a) clarifies that historical resources include the following:

1. A resource listed in, or determined to be eligible by the State Historical Resources Commission, for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources.

2. A resource included in a local register of historical resources, as defined in section 5020.1(k) of the Public Resources Code or identified as significant in an historical resource survey meeting the requirements [of] section 5024.1(g) of the Public Resources Code.

3. Any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript which a lead agency determines to be historically significant or significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California.

The site has been highly disturbed by previous mining activities. Therefore, there will be no impact to historical resources as a result of the Project and no mitigation measures are required.

V b) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated: Archaeological sites are locations that contain resources associated with former human activities, and may contain such resources as human skeletal remains, waste from tool manufacture, tool concentrations, and/or discoloration or accumulation of soil or food remains.

The existing Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry site is an existing mine site with approximately 10 acres of land disturbance as of 2014. There is a potential that archaeological resources not previously identified may be uncovered during earth moving activities. The following Mitigation
Measure is required:

CR-1: The developer/property owner shall submit a letter to County Planning agreeing to adhere to the following requirements:

- In the event archaeological resources are uncovered during earthmoving activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately the County and the Bureau of Land Management shall be notified. A qualified archaeologist shall be retained to access the findings, and if necessary provide appropriate disposition of the resources. Earthmoving shall be diverted temporarily around the deposits until they have been evaluated, recorded, excavated, and/or recovered as necessary. Earthmoving shall be allowed to proceed on the site when the archaeologist, in consultation with the appropriate Native American Tribe(s) and the County of San Bernardino Museum, determines the resources are recovered to their satisfaction.

V c) Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated: Paleontological resources are the preserved fossilized remains of plants and animals. Fossils and traces of fossils are preserved in sedimentary rock units, particularly fine- to medium grained marine, lake, and stream deposits, such as limestone, siltstone, sandstone, or shale, and in ancient soils. They are also found in coarse-grained sediments, such as conglomerates or coarse alluvium sediments. Fossils are rarely preserved in igneous or metamorphic rock units. Fossils may occur throughout a sedimentary unit and, in fact, are more likely to be preserved subsurface, where they have not been damaged or destroyed by previous ground disturbance, amateur collecting, or natural causes such as erosion.

The existing Lynx Cat Mountain Quarry site is an existing mine site with approximately 10 acres of land disturbance as of 2014. There is a potential that paleontological resources not previously identified may be uncovered during earth moving activities. The following Mitigation Measure is required:

CR-2: The developer/property owner shall submit a letter to County Planning agreeing to adhere to the following requirements:

- In the event paleontological resources are uncovered during earthmoving activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately the County and the Bureau of Land Management shall be notified. A qualified paleontologist shall be retained to access the findings, and if necessary provide appropriate disposition of the resources. Earthmoving shall be diverted temporarily around the deposits until they have been evaluated, recorded, excavated, and/or recovered as necessary. In consultation with the Project proponent, the County, and the Bureau of Land Management, the qualified paleontologist shall develop a plan of mitigation which shall include salvage excavation and removal of the find, removal of sediment from around the specimen (in the laboratory), research to identify and categorize the find, curation in the find a local qualified repository, and preparation of a report summarizing the find.

V d) Less Than Significant Impact. The Project site does not contain a cemetery and no known formal cemeteries are located within the immediate site vicinity. In the event that human remains are discovered during Project grading or other ground disturbing activities, the Project would be required to comply with the applicable provisions of California Health and Safety Code §7050.5 as well as Public Resources Code §5097 et. seq. California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary
findings as to origin. Pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 5097.98(b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and disposition has been made by the Coroner.

If the Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the California Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) must be contacted and the NAHC must then immediately notify the “most likely descendant(s)” of receiving notification of the discovery. The most likely descendant(s) shall then make recommendations within 48 hours, and engage in consultations concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.
### GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:

i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

iv. Landslides?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 181-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION

(Check ☐ if project is located in the Geologic Hazards Overlay District):

Sources: Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix A), California Department of Conservation, County of San Bernardino Geologic Hazards Overlay Maps

VI a) **No Impact.** The Project site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. Fault rupture can be a potential hazard to structures and infrastructure but are not generally considered to be hazardous to open-pit aggregate mines.

a) **No Impact.** Seismic ground shaking is influenced by the proximity of the site to an earthquake fault, the intensity of the seismic event, and the underlying soil composition. The Project site is not located in close proximity to an earthquake fault zone. The Project site is to be used for a mining
operation and seismic ground shaking is not generally considered to be hazardous to open-pit aggregate mines.

a iii) No Impact. The site is not located within a liquefaction hazard area. The Project would not build permanent structures or construct facilities with foundations that could fail as a result of liquefaction during an earthquake.

a iv) Less Than Significant Impact. In portions of the proposed quarry operation that contain the hardest rock, benching will occur. Bench parameters will be 40 feet high with 15-foot wide benches and 0.5:1 (horizontal: vertical) faces, for an overall slope angle of approximately 50 degrees. Bench excavations will adhere to standard hillside mining techniques and will comply with Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) requirements. In the remainder of the site, sloping of project areas will be graded to no steeper than 2:1 (horizontal: vertical). Therefore, the Project site would not expose people to landslide hazard.

VI b) Less Than Significant Impact. The Project has been designed to provide for complete retention of any onsite water flows. As quarry excavations progress, retention area(s) will be maintained to prevent water from discharging to offsite areas. No headward erosion from the quarry areas is anticipated due to the composition of the generally non-erodible gray granite material. Any erosion sediments will continue to be retained onsite and will not affect offsite properties. Occasional heavy rainfall is the only potential source of erosion and offsite sedimentation, and this occurrence has been anticipated and mitigated. Additionally, all other active project areas will continue to be graded, inspected monthly. Any rills and gullying will be repaired with compacted non-erodible rock materials to prevent erosion during potentially heavy precipitation events. At the end of mining, any water retained within the project boundary will remain until evaporation and percolation have reduced the quantity of standing water onsite. Any accumulated sediments that may be deposited in the project retention area will be removed and utilized during reclamation activities.

Product stockpiles, quarry roads, and active quarry faces will continue to be periodically wetted to reduce potential wind erosion. Any stockpiles or mined materials that may remain inactive for an extended period of time will be covered with coarse aggregate or planted with native vegetation to prevent wind/water erosion. Other potential project areas subject to wind/water erosion will receive the same treatment.

All storm water discharge is regulated by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board pursuant to site specific Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans to manage soil erosion.

Control of surface drainage, erosion, and sedimentation of planned operations involves the following typical components:

- Limiting surface disturbance to the minimum area required for active operations.
- Diverting run-off from undisturbed areas around the active mining area as necessary.
- Using berms, ditches, sediment basins, and localized control and maintenance measures to intercept and control disturbed area drainage as necessary.
- Stabilizing disturbed areas through grading or revegetation.

The revegetation program is designed to reestablish a self-sustaining native plant community upon the conclusion of mining.

Based on the above, impacts are anticipated to be less than significant.
VI c-d) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project site is located in a rocky hillside area mantled with and surrounded by aprons of unconsolidated alluvial and colluvial sediments, typical of the Mojave Desert province. The terrain in the mine area includes boulder outcrops and surface deposits/mantle of colluvium and alluvium. Bedrock types in the quarry area include Mesozoic-age quartz monzonite and quartz diorite (located off site to the east). The oldest rocks in the mine area, consist of Mesozoic intrusive quartz monzonite while young colluvium and alluvium form a mantle on flatter slopes and low-lying areas of the site.

The dominant feature of the rock mass within the mine boundary is a steeply dipping, continuous and parallel north-to-south-trending joint system with the strike of these joints typically ranging between 50 and 170 degrees. Additional structures include two steeply dipping orthogonal joint systems striking between 150 and 170 degrees and 65 to 75 degrees. Together with a fourth, flat-lying, less continuous joint system, these structures constitute an orthogonal joint system common to granitic outcrops.

The granitic bedrock within the quarry area has moderately- to well-developed joint systems and few highly weathered zones. Natural outcrops include common features of arid weathering of granitic rock such as dark surface patina, exfoliation joints and orthogonal joints systems. The surface weathering profile is generally thin-extending about 3 to 5 feet below natural surface outcrop areas.

The Project is an open-pit mining operation. There are no structures on the site nor are any proposed. The Project would involve excavation to depths no greater than 40 feet below the existing ground elevation. All quarry slopes shall be designed such that they do not exceed a 40-feet vertical height. All finished quarry slopes shall have no less than a 2:1 slope ratio, horizontal to vertical. Existing slopes where re-vegetation is established will be left at 2:1 or gentler in conformance with SMARA stipulations. Therefore, impacts related to landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse are considered less than significant.

VI e) **No Impact.** Septic tanks and/or alternative water supply systems are not proposed as part of the Project. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VII GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS - Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTANTIATION

VII a) **Less Than Significant Impact.** In December September 2011, the County of San Bernardino adopted the "Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan" ("GHG Plan"). The purpose of the GHG Plan is to reduce the County's internal and external GHG emissions by 15 percent below current (2011) levels by year 2020 in consistency with State climate change goals pursuant to AB32. The GHG Plan has been designed in accordance with Section 15183.5 of the State CEQA Guidelines which provides for streamline review of climate change issues related to development projects when found consistent with an applicable greenhouse gas emissions reduction plan.

According to CEQA Guidelines section 15064.4, when making a determination of the significance of greenhouse gas emissions, the "lead agency shall have discretion to determine, in the context of a particular project, whether to (1) use a model or methodology to quantify greenhouse gas emissions resulting from a project, and which model or methodology to use." Moreover, CEQA Guidelines section 15064.7(c) provides that "a lead agency may consider thresholds of significance previously adopted or recommended by other public agencies or recommended by experts" on the condition that "the decision of the lead agency to adopt such thresholds is supported by substantial evidence."

According to the County of San Bernardino GHG Plan, measurable reductions of GHG emissions will be achieved through the County's GHG Development Review Process by applying appropriate reduction requirements as part of the discretionary approval of new development projects. A review screening guidance standard of 3,000 MTCO2e is applied to all land uses when the County is the lead agency. Projects that exceed 3,000 MTCO2e per year of GHG emissions are required to calculate GHG reduction measures and the determination of a significant findings using the County's GHG Plan Screening Tables. Projects that garner 100 or more points on the Screening Tables do not require quantification of project specific GHG emissions.

The County may also consider the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) guidance and incorporate all applicable standards. The MDAQMD significance threshold for GHGs (100,000 tons/yr), while higher than the County's GHG Plan of 3,000 MTCO2e/yr is more applicable to this type of project. Upon review of the Screening Tables, it was determined that the GHG reduction measures listed are related to typical long-term residential, commercial, and industrial structural development and the project activities do not apply.

Project-related GHG emissions from on-site equipment, power generators, and trucks are shown in Table 3. The CEQA threshold of 100,000 MTCO2e per year has been utilized by the MDAQMD as potentially significant to global warming. Utilizing this threshold, proposed operations of the mine...
project would be well below the threshold. In addition, the GHG emissions generated from project activities are less than the County’s GHG Plan Screening Guidance Standard of 3,000 MTCO2E. The mitigation measures listed as AQ-1a through AQ-1e will also reduce operational GHG emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source/Phase</th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>CH4</th>
<th>N2O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onsite Truck Trips</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>negl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onsite Equipment</td>
<td>2,877</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>negl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Per Year</td>
<td>2,916</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>negl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total MTCO2e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,921</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDAQMD Threshold</td>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significant?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County GHG Plan Threshold</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Significant?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GHG Report, Liburn Corporation

The following Performance Standards apply to all Projects, including those that are emit less than 3,000 MTCO2E/YR, and will be included as Conditions of Approval for the Project.

The following are the Performance Standards (Conditions of Approval) that are applicable to the Project:

1. **The developer shall implement the following as greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation during the operation of the approved project:**
   
a) **Waste Stream Reduction.** The “developer” shall provide to all project employees County-approved informational materials about methods and need to reduce the solid waste stream and listing available recycling services.

b) **Vehicle Trip Reduction.** The “developer” shall provide to all project employees County approved informational materials about the need to reduce vehicle trips and the program elements this project is implementing. Such elements may include: participation in established ride-sharing programs, creating a new ride-share employee vanpool, designating preferred parking spaces for ride sharing vehicles, designating adequate passenger loading and unloading for ride sharing vehicles with benches in waiting areas, and/or providing a web site or message board for coordinating rides.

c) **Select construction equipment based on low-emissions factors and high-energy efficiency.** All diesel/gasoline-powered construction equipment shall be replaced, where possible, with equivalent electric or CNG equipment.

d) **All construction equipment engines shall be properly tuned and maintained in accordance with the manufacturers specifications prior to arriving on site and throughout construction duration.**

**VII b) Less Than Significant Impact.** The State and local regulatory programs for GHG emissions and climate change are described in the response to Question VIIa above. The Performance Standards described above will ensure that there would be no conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation; therefore, impacts will be less than significant, and no mitigation would be required.
## VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Create a significant hazard to the public or the Environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g)</td>
<td>Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h)</td>
<td>Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION

*Source: Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix A)*

VII a-b) **Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.** Mining and reclamation activities for the Project would involve the use of heavy equipment and vehicles containing fuel, oil, and grease.
These fluids could leak from construction vehicles or be inadvertently released in the event of an accident, potentially releasing petroleum compounds and metals. Unless properly managed, such releases could result in adverse health effects, present an increased risk of fire or explosion or contaminate exposed soil. This analysis assumes the routine use, storage, and disposal of hazardous materials during mining and reclamation would be in compliance with applicable regulations and codes.

Additional site-specific controls are recommended to ensure hazardous materials are not inadvertently released to the environment. This impact is considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated. Implementation of the following mitigation measure would reduce reclamation-related hazardous materials impacts to a less-than significant level:

HAZ-1. All spills or leakage of petroleum products during mining or reclamation activities shall be remediated in compliance with applicable state and local regulations regarding cleanup and disposal of the contaminant released. The contaminated waste shall be collected and disposed of at an appropriately licensed disposal or treatment facility.

VIII c) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project involves the use of materials common to the mining industry and includes the transport, storage and use of fuels, and lubricants. The operator would continue to comply with all applicable federal and state safety rules and regulations regarding hazardous materials. During operation, diesel exhaust would be generated by heavy construction equipment; however, no school facilities or proposed school facilities are located within one-quarter mile radius of the Project Site. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.

VIII d) **Less than Significant impact.** The Project Site is not identified on the list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5. The operator would comply with all applicable federal and state safety rules and regulations regarding hazardous materials. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.

VIII e/f) **No Impact.** As shown on San Bernardino County General Plan, Hazards Overlay Map the Project Site is located within Airport Safety Review Area 4 (AR-4). AR4 includes the low-altitude/high speed corridors designated for military aircraft use. The Project will not reflect glare, emit electronic interference, produce smoke, or store or dispense hazardous materials in such a manner that would endanger aircraft operations or public safety in the event of an aircraft accident. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.

VIII g) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project site is remotely located and relatively inaccessible to the public. Activities associated with the Project would not impede existing emergency response plans for the Project Site and/or other land uses in the project vicinity. All vehicles and stationary equipment would be staged off public roads and would not block emergency access routes. Therefore, implementation of the Project would not impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.

VIII h) **Less Than Significant Impact.** As shown on San Bernardino County General Plan, Hazards Overlay Map, the Project site is not located within Fire Safety Overlay District. Because the site is proposed for surface mining and will not contain permanent habitable structures, it would not result in any safety hazard impacts from wild fires. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure that would impede or redirect flood flows?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**SUBSTANTIATION**

*Source: Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix A)*

IX a) **Less Than Significant Impact.** Mining waste discharges are regulated under Article 7 of Chapter 15 (Cal. Code of Regs.). Further regulations for mines are contained in the California Water Code, Section 13260. All mining operations are subject to the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act (SMARA, CA Public Resources Code, Title 14, Division 2, Chapter 9). The Project’s *Mining and Reclamation Plan* contains the following measures and design features to meet waste discharge requirements:

- Erosion of the banks due to natural rainwater run-off will be minimized by cutting to a 2:1 slope and corrected by removal of any material carried into the excavation.

- Stockpiles of topsoil and fill-dirt shall be managed to minimize water erosion. The pit floor will be graded with a slight slope rising to the east and north to permit natural drainage at a slope less than that of the natural slope of the alluvial deposit from the Slate Range Mountains to the east.

- Limiting surface disturbance to the minimum area required for active operations.

- Stabilizing disturbed areas through grading or revegetation.

- Final reclamation shall include adequate provisions to intercept and conduct off-site tributary drainage flow around or through the site to minimize erosion.

With implementation of the above, impacts are anticipated to be less than significant.

IX b) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** The Lynx Cat Mountain Mine is located in the Harper Valley Groundwater Basin and managed within the adjudicated Centro hydrologic subarea by the Mojave Water Agency. MWA is a State Water Project contractor, a regional groundwater management agency, and serves as Watermaster for the adjudicated Mojave Basin. This groundwater basin is defined and underlies Harper Valley in western San Bernardino and eastern Kern Counties of the central Mojave Desert. The natural recharge of the basin is mainly from infiltration of rainfall and percolation of surface runoff through alluvial fans around the edges of the valley. Harper Valley also receives some groundwater underflow from the Middle Mojave River Valley and Cuddeback Valley Groundwater Basins. In general, groundwater flows towards Harper Lake, predominantly from the southern part of the valley.

The Lynx Cat Mountain Mine is not located within an area serviced by a public water system and the project is not explicitly defined in the Water Code by project definitions, however the project is best described as an existing industrial/manufacturing activity with a proposed land use that will expand its existing approved 25 acres of disturbance to 48 acres. The applicant has indicated the surface mining operation will obtain its principal source of water from groundwater beneath the site.

Water usage may occur over a period of 24 months according to the SR-58 project construction estimates. Up to 70% of aggregate wash water will be recycled through a sedimentation pond system, so approximately 48,000 gallons of ground water per day will be consumed by project operations. Bottled water will be provided for employee consumption. *Water Code Section 10910(f)(5)* does not mandate a detailed “basin-wide” analysis to compare all existing and projected future groundwater pumping against the safe yield of the entire groundwater basin nor specify a particular methodology for a sufficiency analysis, and in that
respect, affords the County substantial discretion in determining how to measure groundwater sufficiency. For groundwater basins that have not been adjudicated, information as to whether the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) has identified basins as over drafted or has projected that the basin will become over drafted if poor management conditions continue, a detailed description by the nearest public water system or County is required to comply in part pursuant to Water Code Section 10910(b), and describe efforts being undertaken in the basin or basins to eliminate the potential for a long-term overdraft impacts.

The Mojave Water Agency has been granted authority to regulate groundwater withdrawal and charge for replenishment water. The Adjudication established a “physical solution” for the Mojave Basin Area Judgment, wherein, limits were set on the amount of groundwater production that can occur in each subarea without incurring an obligation to buy imported water.

The applicant is allowed to produce as much water as needed to meet the project’s demand as long as the operation is subjected to compliance with the “Physical Solution” set forth in the Mojave Basin Area Judgment (Final Judgment after trial in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. 208568, January 10 1996). The underlying assumption of the Adjudication was that sufficient water will be made available to meet the needs of the Mojave Basin producers in the future from a combination of natural supply, imported water, water conservation, water reuse and transfers of Free Production Allowance (FPA) among parties. If a project applicant stipulates to the Adjudication, the resulting impact of increased pumping to meet the proposed project’s demand is legally considered a “net zero impact” on the available water supplies. The Physical Solution defines individual rights of all water producers with the adjudicated Basin area in a manner which will equitably allocate the natural water supplies and which will provide for equitable sharing of costs for Supplemental Water. The Judgment provided sufficient information and data to formulate a reasonable and just allocation of existing water supplies as between the individual hydrologic Subareas within the Basin Area and as among the water users within each Subarea to proceed with orderly water resource planning and development.

The applicant has indicated a water demand of 8 acre-feet per year for dust control, which can be supplied by an on-site well for the proposed life of the operation. At maximum production of operations, up to 160,000 gallons of water daily may be utilized for dust suppression and aggregates washing. According to the MWA, (Final 2010 Urban Water Management Plan, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, June 2011) water levels within the Centro Subarea have been relatively stable with seasonal fluctuations and declines during dry years followed by recovery during wet periods. Water levels in the Harper Lake area indicate a slow recovery due primarily to reduced pumping during the past several years. Declines in water levels in wells in the vicinity of Hinkley (away from the river) show the effects of pumping and limited recharge, primarily due to agriculture.

Implementation of the following mitigation measures would reduce reclamation-related hazardous materials impacts to a less-than significant level:

**HYD-1.** Water may be supplied through the use of groundwater. Evidence shall be provided that the well is constructed to public water supply standards, will provide the quantity of water projected as required for the project, meets quality standards for domestic and industrial use, and the well is properly permitted with the County. Evidence shall be submitted to DEHS/Water Section for approval. For information, call DEHS/Water Section at (909) 387-4666.

**HYD-2.** Should an on-site or off-site well become a source of project water, the operator shall abide by the terms of the Stipulated Judgment for the Mojave River Basin Adjudication and shall coordinate with Mojave Water Agency to ensure compliance.
HYD-3. Any well, exploratory hole or test hole which is abandoned, out of service, or otherwise left unattended shall have a temporary cover over the well or opening which prevents the introduction of undesirable material into the well or hole, and ensures public and wildlife safety pursuant to Section 115700 of the California Health & Safety Code.

HYD-4. Upon final reclamation, evidence shall be provided that all wells, exploration holes or test holes, as defined by DWR Bulletin 74-81 as revised in 1988 or the latest revision are destroyed in accordance with DEHS regulations and in such a manner that will no longer be a hazard to the health and safety of people and wildlife.

IX c-f) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The drainage system remaining after mining activities cease will essentially be confinement of any flows entering the site. Erosion occurring within the quarry area during and after reclamation will be limited by the non-erosional aspect of the granite rock. Any water retained within the Project site will not impact local roads or adjacent properties due to the lack of discharge from the Project site. Additionally, revegetation of all appropriately finished slopes will minimize any erosion that may occur on site. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.

IX g/h) **No Impact.** The Project does not occur within a 100-year flood plain, nor does it include the construction of housing or would place housing within a flood plain. No impacts are anticipated.

IX i) **No Impact.** The Project Site and surrounding area is located outside of any designated dam inundation area. The Proposed Project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam, as no levee or dam is proposed as part of the this project. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

IX j) **No Impact.** A seiche is an oscillating surface wave in a restricted or enclosed body of water generated by ground motion, usually during an earthquake. Inundation from a seiche can occur if the wave overflows a containment wall or the banks of a water body. As the Project Site is not located adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami, no impacts are anticipated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Physically divide an established community?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

X a) **No Impact.** The Project Site is surrounded by vacant desert land. The Project is consistent with the County General Plan and would not physically divide an established community. No impact is anticipated.

X b) **Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.** The analysis contained in this Initial Study Checklist addressed the potential conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the Project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. Based on this analysis, it was determined that the Project could potentially have significant impacts on the following:

- Biological Resources as described in Section IV of this Initial Study Checklist would be impacted by the Project. Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-4 are required to ensure that the Project impacts to these biological resources are less than significant.

Based on the above, it can be determined that the Project is not in conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the Project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.

X c) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** The Project site and the northern 1.25 miles of the proposed (existing) access road are found within the Superior-Cronese Desert Wildlife Management Area (DWMA) as recommended in the Desert Tortoise (Mojave Population) Recovery Plan (USFWS 1994b) and formally adopted in March 2006 as a result of the West Mojave Plan Record of Decision (BLM 2006). However, neither is found within designated critical habitat (USFWS 1994b). The DWMA designation in this area is only two miles wide, located between areas to the north associated with Harper Lake and to the south associated with the community of Hinkley. The 2.1± linear miles of access road between Santa Fe Avenue and the DWMA are in BLM-designated Category 3 habitats.

Mitigation Measures BIO-1 through BIO-4 ensures that the Project would not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan.
### XI. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? [ ] [ ] [ ] [x]

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? [ ] [ ] [ ] [x]

### SUBSTANTIATION (Check ☒ if project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay):

**XI a-b)** **No Impact.** The Project involves the temporary use of the quarry as a borrow pit to provide PCC aggregate, landscape and fill material exclusively for use during construction of the State Route 58 Hinkley Expressway Project (SR-58 Project). The Project requests authorization for a limited mining period of two years, or upon extraction of 4.5 million tons of material, whichever comes first. Mined products will include PCC aggregates, rock and cobble for use in landscape improvements and retention areas, and general fill material. Therefore, the Project would not result in the loss of availability of a mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the State because the Project is providing mineral resources for the benefit of the region.
XII. NOISE - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

(Complete if the project is located in the Noise Hazard Overlay District ☐ or is subject to severe noise levels according to the General Plan Noise Element ☐):

XII a,c,d) **No Impact.** The nearest residence is located approximately 3 miles to the east of the site, along Hinkley Road. The nearest residential enclave is the Town of Hinkley located approximately 5 miles southeast. The Project is required to operate in conformance to all applicable noise control regulations. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

XII b) **Less Than Significant.** The Project involves blasting which may result in groundborne vibration. All blast-related activities will be performed and managed by a licensed blasting contractor. Typically, a track drill will bore a series of 3-8 inch diameter holes vertically into the surface in a predetermined pattern. Explosives will then be loaded into the holes; in this case, Ammonium Nitrate (ANFO) will most likely be used. The blasting contractor will control access to the blast site during bench round charging as well as during/immediately after the actual detonation.

The Project site is remotely located and relatively inaccessible to the public. The nearest residence is located approximately 3 miles to the east of the site, along Hinkley Road. The nearest
residential enclave is the Town of Hinkley located approximately 5 miles southeast. Therefore, the Project would not expose persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. Therefore, less than significant impact is anticipated.

**XI e/f)** **No Impact.** The Project Site is located within Airport Safety Review Area 4 (AR-4). AR4 includes the low-altitude/high speed corridors designated for military aircraft use from the Twenty-Nine Palms Marine Air Ground Task Force Training Command Facility located approximately 70 miles to the southeast. The Project involves open-pit surface mining and does not include land uses that are considered to be sensitive to aircraft noise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ ❌ ❌ ☐ ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ ❌ ❌ ☐ ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ ❌ ❌ ☐ ❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

XIII a) **No Impact.** The Project would not induce substantial population growth in the area either directly or indirectly because the Project consists of a temporary mining operation that will operates with an estimated 16 employees. In addition, the duration of the operation is approximately 2 years after which time the site will be reclaimed and returned to open space use. No impacts are anticipated.

XIII b) **No Impact.** The Project would not displace substantial numbers of existing housing units, or require the construction of replacement housing, as no housing units exist on the site.

XIII c) **No Impact.** Implementation of the Project would not displace substantial numbers of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere, as no housing exists on the Project Site.
## XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Protection?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Public Facilities?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUBSTANTIATION

Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix A)

XIV a) **No Impact.** The Project would not result substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, or hinder acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks or other public facilities because the Project consists of a mining operation with no permanent improvements proposed. After mining operations, the site would consist of vacant land. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.
### XV. RECREATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? 

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

---

**SUBSTANTIATION**

*Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix A),*

XVa/b) **No Impact.** The Project consists of a temporary mining operation that will operate with an estimated 16 employees. In addition, the duration of the operation is approximately 2 years after which time the site will be reclaimed and returned to open space use. As such, it does not generate the need for new jobs or housing which would induce population growth in adjacent areas, and ultimately increase the use of park facilities or other recreational facilities in the region. No impacts are anticipated.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Result in inadequate emergency access?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

The following responses are based in part on the project the Traffic Assessment prepared by George Dunn Engineering dated February 3, 2015 (Appendix E) and the State Route 58 (SR-58) Hinkle Expressway Project, Final Environmental Impact Report /Environmental Impact Statement, Caltrans, June 2013, and Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix E).

XVI a-b) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** For a period of approximately sixteen (16) months, the Project will deliver PCC aggregate, landscape and fill material via street legal 25-ton haul trucks exclusively for use during construction of the State Route 58 Hinkle Expressway Project (SR-58 Project) which is located approximately 5 miles from the Project site. At 25 tons per truck, it is estimated that 180,000 total truck trips are required. For sixteen (16) months, this is average of 11,250 truck load per month and an average of 450 loads per day based on 360 working days.

The haul route from the Project site to the SR-58 Project site will be from via an unnamed BLM managed dirt road south to Santa Fe Avenue then southeasterly via Highway 58. According to the State Route 58 (SR-58) Hinkle Expressway Project, Final Environmental Impact Report /Environmental Impact Statement, Caltrans, June 2013, construction relate traffic will could result in temporary localized disruptions along this route. These disruptions would be temporary and short-term in duration and are not expected to result in significant impacts to the roadway network in the
vicinity of the Project site with implementation of the following mitigation measure:

TR-1: During off-site hauling activities to the SR-58 project area, the mining operator is required to comply with the Caltrans Transportation Management Plan as required by Mitigation Measure TR-1 of the Final Environmental Impact Report /Environmental Impact Statement, Caltrans, June 2013.

With implementation of Mitigation Measure TR-1, impacts would be less than significant.

XVI c) **No Impact.** The nearest airport is Depue Airport (private use) located approximately 10 miles to the southeast and Barstow Daggett County Airport located approximately 26 miles to the southeast. In addition, the Project site is located within Airport Safety Review Area 4 (AR-4). AR4 includes the low-altitude/high speed corridors designated for military aircraft use from the Twenty-Nine Palms Marine Air Ground Task Force Training Command Facility located approximately 70 miles to the southeast. The Project involves open-pit surface mining and does not include land uses that are considered to affect air traffic patterns at any airport or airstrip.

XVI d) **No Impact.** Access to the site from Highway 58 will be provided by Hinkley Road north to Santa Fe Avenue west, then northwesterly via an unnamed BLM managed dirt road. These are existing roadway facilities that will not be changed by the Project. Therefore, the Project does not involve any road improvements or design features that could substantially increase hazards on public roads.

XVI e/g) **No Impact.** Activities associated with the Project would not impede existing emergency response plans for the Project Site and/or other land uses in the Project vicinity. All vehicles and stationary equipment would be staged off public roads and would not block public emergency access routes. The Project would not involve any long-term increase in traffic that would conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation because there are a limited amount of employees who come to work on the site. No impacts would result.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f)</td>
<td>Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g)</td>
<td>Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

Lynx Cat Mining & Reclamation Plan, (Appendix A),

*Source:*

**XVII a/e)**  
**No Impact.** The Project would not require sewer collection or treatment services and therefore no offsite discharge of treated wastewater would occur. No impacts related to wastewater treatment are anticipated.

**XVII b)**  
**Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** Ground water will be obtained by and used at the Project site for dust suppression activities and aggregate washing. Water shall be provided for Project operations by an offsite vendor and onsite well. At maximum production of operations, up to 160,000 gallons of water daily may be utilized for dust suppression and aggregates washing. The applicant has indicated a water demand of 8 acre-feet per year for dust control, which can be supplied by an on-site well for the proposed life of the operation. According to the MWA, (Final 2010 Urban Water Management Plan, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, June 2011) water levels within the Centro Subarea have been relatively stable with seasonal fluctuations and declines during dry years followed by recovery during wet periods. The natural recharge of the
basin is mainly from infiltration of rainfall and percolation of surface runoff through alluvial fans around the edges of the valley. Harper Valley also receives some groundwater underflow from the Middle Mojave River Valley and Cuddeback Valley Groundwater Basins. In general, groundwater flows towards Harper Lake, predominantly from the southern part of the valley.

The Mojave Water Agency has been granted authority to regulate groundwater withdrawal and charge for replenishment water. The Adjudication established a "physical solution" for the Mojave Basin Area Judgment, wherein, limits were set on the amount of groundwater production that can occur in each subarea without incurring an obligation to buy imported water.

The applicant is allowed to produce as much water as needed to meet the project’s demand as long as the operation is subject to compliance with the "Physical Solution" set forth in the Mojave Basin Area Judgment (Final Judgment after trial in the Riverside County Superior Court (Case No. 208568, January 10 1996). The underlying assumption of the Adjudication was that sufficient water will be made available to meet the needs of the Mojave Basin producers in the future from a combination of natural supply, imported water, water conservation, water reuse and transfers of Free Production Allowance (FPA) among parties. If a project applicant stipulates to the Adjudication, the resulting impact of increased pumping to meet the proposed project's demand is legally considered a “net zero impact” on the available water supplies.

This temporary water usage may occur over a period of 24 months according to the SR- 58 project construction estimates. Up to 70% of aggregate wash water will be recycled through a sedimentation pond system, so approximately 48,000 gallons of fresh water per day will be consumed by Project operations. Bottled water will be provided for employee consumption.

The Project does not require the any wastewater facilities or generate the need for new facilities because of the limited number of employees who work at the site.

With implementation of Mitigation Measure HYD-1, HYD-2, HYD-3 and HYD-4, as referenced in IX (Hydrology and Water Quality) Impacts would be less than significant

XVII c) **Less Than Significant Impact.** Rainfall in the area ranges from one to ten inches per year, averaging about four inches per year. Under current conditions, with the low rainfall in the area, little run-off occurs and any runoff is contained on-site it either percolates or evaporates.

The drainage system remaining after mining activities cease will essentially be confinement of any flows entering the site. Any water retained within the Project site will not impact local roads or adjacent properties due to the lack of discharge from the Project site. Therefore, less than significant impact are anticipated.

XVII d) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** Ground water will be used at the Project site for dust suppression activities and aggregate washing. Water shall be provided for Project operations by an offsite vendor or onsite well. At maximum production of operations, up to 160,000 gallons of water daily may be utilized for dust suppression and aggregates washing. This temporary water usage may occur over a period of 24 months according to the SR- 58 project construction estimates. Up to 70% of aggregate wash water will be recycled through a sedimentation pond system, so approximately 48,000 gallons of fresh water per day will be consumed by Project operations. Bottled water will be provided for employee consumption.

With implementation of Mitigation Measure HYD-1, HYD-2, HYD-3 and HYD-4, as referenced in IX (Hydrology and Water Quality) impacts would be less than significant. Also see discussion under XVIIb above.
XVII  **Less Than Significant Impact.** Equipment maintenance will be done onsite. Waste oil, lubricants and solvents will be removed from the site and disposed of at permitted facilities. All solid refuse will be kept in closed containers and removed from the site to permitted facilities as needed. The amount of solid waste is minimal and is not forecast to impact nearby landfills. Therefore, impacts are less than significant.
### MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUES</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?**

- [ ]

**b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?**

- [ ]

**c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?**

- [ ]

### SUBSTANTIATION

**a) Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** Based on the analysis contained in this Initial Study, impacts to Aesthetics, Agriculture and Forestry Resources, Air Quality, Geology and Soils, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Land Use and Planning, Mineral Resources, Noise, Population and Housing, Public Services, Transportation and Traffic, are considered as having a less than significant or no impact on the environment.

The results of the Initial Study show that there are potentially significant impacts to Biological Resources Cultural Resources, Hydrology and Water Quality, and Utilities and Service Systems. These impacts will be reduced to less than significant after incorporation of mitigation measures.

Therefore the Project will not degrade the quality of the environment and no habitat, wildlife populations, or plant and animal communities would be impacted.

**b) Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** The analysis in this Initial Study Checklist demonstrated that the Project is in compliance with all applicable regional plans including but not limited to, water quality control plan, air quality maintenance plan, and plans or regulations for the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Compliance with these regional plans serves to reduce impacts on a regional basis so that the Project would not produce impacts, that considered with the effects of other past, present, and probable future projects, would be cumulatively considerable. In addition, in instances where the Project has the potential to contribute to a cumulatively considerable
impact to the environment, mitigation measures have been imposed to reduce potential effects to less-than significant levels.

c) **Less Than Significant Impact With Mitigation Incorporated.** As discussed this Initial Study Checklist, the Project would not expose persons to adverse impacts related to Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Land Use and Planning, Population and Housing, or Transportation/Traffic hazards. These impacts were identified to have no impact or a less than significant impact.

With implementation of Mitigation Measure HAZ-1 and other measures identified in this Initial Study Checklist would result in a less than significant impact and there would be no substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly

**XVIII MITIGATION MEASURES. Include mitigation measures here.**

(Any mitigation measures which are not ‘self-monitoring’ shall have a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared and adopted at the time of project approval)

**AQ-1:** The mine operator shall maintain and operate construction equipment so as to minimize exhaust emissions. During mining, trucks and vehicles in loading and unloading queues shall have their engines turned off when not in use, to reduce vehicle emissions.

**AQ-2:** The mine operator shall ensure that all equipment shall be properly tuned and maintained in accordance with manufacturer’s specifications.

**AQ-3:** The mine operator shall ensure use on-site mobile equipment powered by alternative fuel sources (i.e., methanol, natural gas, propane, or butane) as feasible.

**AQ-4:** The mine operator shall ensure that periodic watering for short-term stabilization of disturbed surface area to minimize visible fugitive dust emissions occurs. For purposes of this requirement, use of a water truck to maintain moist disturbed surfaces and actively spread water during visible dusting episodes shall be considered sufficient to maintain compliance;

**AQ-5:** The mine operator shall take actions sufficient to prevent project-related trackout onto paved surfaces and cover loaded haul vehicles while operating on publicly maintained paved surfaces.

**AQ-6:** The mine operator shall stabilize graded site surfaces upon completion of earth moving activity when subsequent earth moving activity is delayed or expected to be delayed more than 30 days, except when such a delay is due to precipitation that dampens the disturbed surface sufficiently to eliminate visible fugitive dust emissions.

**AQ-7:** The mine operator shall clean-up project-related trackout or spills on publicly maintained paved surfaces within 24 hours.

**AQ-8:** The mine operator shall reduce nonessential earth-moving activity under high wind conditions. For purposes of this requirement, a reduction in earth-moving activity when visible dusting occurs from moist and dry surfaces due to wind erosion shall be considered sufficient to maintain compliance.
BIO-1. Prior to the disturbing soil or vegetation, the Project Proponent shall provide evidence to the Planning Division that the California Department of Fish and Wildlife has issued a 2081 Incidental Take Permit for Desert Tortoise and Mojave Ground Squirrel.

BIO-2. The Project Proponent shall comply with the proposed measures to minimize and fully mitigate the impacts of the proposed taking specified in the Application for California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2081 Incidental Take Permit, Allowing Take of Mojave Ground Squirrel and Desert Tortoise During Development of the Lynx Cat Mountain Mine Site Near the Community of Hinkley, San Bernardino County, California dated November, 2014 attached to this Initial Study Checklist as Appendix D and hereby incorporated by reference.

BIO-3. Prior to disturbing soil or vegetation, a qualified biologist shall survey all shrubs and structures within the project site for nesting birds. Surveys shall end no more than three days prior to clearing. Documentation of surveys and findings shall be submitted to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and County of San Bernardino Planning Division within ten days of the last survey. If no nesting birds are observed, project activities may begin. If an active bird nest is located, the plant in which it occurs should be left in place until the birds leave the nest. No construction is allowed near active bird nests of threatened or endangered species.

BIO-4. Silver cholla (Cylindropuntia echinocarpa) and crottontop cactus shall be transplanted during growth media salvage to areas which remain undisturbed until they are used in revegetation of the site.

CR-1: The developer/property owner shall submit a letter to County Planning agreeing to adhere to the following requirements:

- In the event archaeological resources are uncovered during earthmoving activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately the County and the Bureau of Land Management shall be notified. A qualified archeologist shall be retained to access the findings, and if necessary provide appropriate disposition of the resources. Earthmoving shall be diverted temporarily around the deposits until they have been evaluated, recorded, excavated, and/or recovered as necessary. Earthmoving shall be allowed to proceed on the site when the archaeologist, in consultation with the appropriate Native American Tribe(s) and the County of San Bernardino Museum, determines the resources are recovered to their satisfaction.

CR-2: The developer/property owner shall submit a letter to County Planning agreeing to adhere to the following requirements:

  e) In the event paleontological resources are uncovered during earthmoving activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately the County and the Bureau of Land Management shall be notified. A qualified paleontologist shall be retained to access the findings, and if necessary provide appropriate disposition of the resources. Earthmoving shall be diverted temporarily around the deposits until they have been evaluated, recorded, excavated, and/or recovered as necessary. In consultation with the Project proponent, the County, and the Bureau of Land Management, the qualified paleontologist shall develop a plan of mitigation which shall include salvage excavation and removal of the find, removal of sediment from around the specimen (in the laboratory), research to identify and categorize the find, curation in the find a local qualified repository, and preparation of a report summarizing the find.
HAZ 1. All spills or leakage of petroleum products during mining or reclamation activities shall be remediated in compliance with applicable state and local regulations regarding cleanup and disposal of the contaminant released. The contaminated waste shall be collected and disposed of at an appropriately licensed disposal or treatment facility.

HYD-1. Water may be supplied through the use of groundwater. Evidence shall be provided that the well is constructed to public water supply standards, will provide the quantity of water projected as required for the project, meets quality standards for domestic and industrial use, and the well is properly permitted with the County. Evidence shall be submitted to DEHS/Water Section for approval. For information, call DEHS/Water Section at (909) 387-4666.

HYD-2. Should an on-site or off-site well become a source of project water, the operator shall abide by the terms of the Stipulated Judgment for the Mojave River Basin Adjudication and shall coordinate with Mojave Water Agency to ensure compliance.

HYD-3. Any well, exploratory hole or test hole which is abandoned, out of service, or otherwise left unattended shall have a temporary cover over the well or opening which prevents the introduction of undesirable material into the well or hole, and ensures public and wildlife safety pursuant to Section 115700 of the California Health & Safety Code.

HYD-4. Upon final reclamation, evidence shall be provided that all wells, exploration holes or test holes, as defined by DWR Bulletin 74-81 as revised in 1988 or the latest revision are destroyed in accordance with DEHS regulations and in such a manner that will no longer be a hazard to the health and safety of people and wildlife.

GENERAL REFERENCES

CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G.

County of San Bernardino General Plan, 2007

County of San Bernardino Development Code, 2007

County of San Bernardino Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan, September 2011

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Federal Conformity Guidelines, August 2011.

PROJECT SPECIFIC REFERENCES

Air Quality Assessment prepared by Lilburn Corporation dated February 2, 2015

Focused Surveys for Agassiz’s Desert Tortoise, Habitat Assessments for Burrowing Owl and Mohave Ground Squirrel, and General Biological Resource Assessment, Circle Mountain Biological Consultants, Inc., dated December, 2014.

Application for California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2081 Incidental Take Permit, Allowing Take of Mojave Ground Squirrel and Desert Tortoise During Development of the Lynx Cat Mountain Mine Site Near the Community of Hinkley, San Bernardino County, California dated November, 2014

Traffic Assessment prepared by George Dunn Engineering dated February 3, 2015