# AIR QUALITY and GHG IMPACT ANALYSES VICTORVILLE LANDFILL SOLAR PROJECT SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

Prepared by:

Giroux & Associates 1820 E Garry St., #211 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Prepared for:

Rafik Albert EPD Solutions 2030 Main St., Ste. 1200 Irvine, CA 92614

Date:

September 4, 2014

Project No.: P14-027 AQ

# METEOROLOGY CLIMATE

The climate of the Victor Valley, technically called an interior valley subclimate of Southern California's Mediterranean-type climate, is characterized by hot summers, mild winters, infrequent rainfall, moderate afternoon breezes, and generally fair weather. The clouds and fog that form along the Southern California coastline rarely extend across the mountains to Victorville and surrounding high desert communities. The most important local weather pattern is associated with the funneling of the daily onshore sea breeze through El Cajon Pass into the upper desert to the northeast of the heavily developed portions of the Los Angeles Basin. This daily airflow brings polluted air into the area late in the afternoon from late spring to early fall. This transport pattern creates both unhealthful air quality as well as destroying the scenic vistas of the mountains surrounding the Victor Valley.

The low annual humidity, moderate temperature swings, very low rainfall and frequent breezy conditions are typical of California's "Upper Desert" subclimate. Most years do not see temperatures drop below about 20°F or above about 105°F. Occasionally, however, there are some very hot temperatures over 105°F with a record high of 113°F in 1995, and some colder temps down to a record low of -1°F in December, 1949.

The Victor Valley is located in a transition area between the semi-arid conditions of the Los Angeles Basin and the completely arid portions of the Mojave Desert. The Valley's location in the "rainshadow" of the San Gabriel Mountains further enhances its dryness. Rainfall averages around 6 inches per year .with light to moderate rain falling on only 10 days per year. Because of Southern California's location on the edge of the mid-latitude storm track, a shift in the jet stream aloft of a few hundred miles north or south can mean the difference between a year with twice the annual average rainfall and one with drought conditions where less than one-half of the normal rainfall is observed. The project area area may occasionally experience a light winter snowfall (1-2 inches per year), but temperatures do not remain cold enough for the snow to stay on the ground for very long.

Winds blow primarily from south to north and from west to east in response to the regional pattern of airflow from the cool ocean to the heated interior. A large portion of the airflow across the proposed project area therefore has its origin in more developed areas of the Los Angeles Basin. Over 50 percent of all airflow derives from a narrow sector from south through west. These winds are moderately strong, averaging from 8-12 mph, but become light and variable at night with about 10 percent of all hours almost complete calm. Afternoon winds may, at times, exceed 20 mph and begin to pick up fine dust and other loose material.

The wind distribution is an important atmospheric parameter because it controls both the initial rate of pollutant dispersal near the source as well as the ultimate regional trajectory of air pollution. These prevailing winds provide a vehicle for visible smog to be transported from the South Coast Air Basin through the mountain passes to the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB). The rapid daytime heating of the lower air leads to convective activity. This exchange of upper air tends to accelerate surface winds during the warm part of the day when convection is at a maximum. During the winter, the rapid cooling of the surface layers at night retards this exchange of momentum which often results in calm winds.

In addition to winds which govern the horizontal dispersion of locally generated emissions, vertical temperature structure controls the depth through which pollutants can be mixed. The strong surface heating by day in the Mojave Desert usually creates a vertical temperature distribution that decreases rapidly with height (unstable). At night, especially in winter, cool air settles in low-lying areas and forms shallow radiation-induced temperature inversions (stable) that may temporarily restrict the dispersion of low-level pollutant emissions. Such inversions "burn off" rapidly after sunrise. The elevated subsidence/marine inversions that create major air quality problems in coastal environments are rarely observed in the desert. When they do form, their bases are from 6 - 8,000 feet mean sea level and thus do not impede vertical dispersion. The low-level radiation inversions, however, play an important role in limiting the dispersive capacity of the local airshed from late evening to the next morning. Because they burn off rapidly in the morning, their importance to the dispersion of air contaminants is limited to localized effects.

# AIR QUALITY SETTING

## AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (AAQS)

In order to gauge the significance of the air quality impacts of the proposed Victorville Solar project, those impacts, together with existing background air quality levels, must be compared to the applicable ambient air quality standards. These standards are the levels of air quality considered safe, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health and welfare. They are designed to protect those people most susceptible to further respiratory distress such as asthmatics, the elderly, very young children, people already weakened by other disease or illness, and persons engaged in strenuous work or exercise, called "sensitive receptors." Healthy adults can tolerate occasional exposure to air pollutant concentrations considerably above these minimum standards before adverse effects are observed. Recent research has shown, however, that chronic exposure to ozone (the primary ingredient in photochemical smog) may lead to adverse respiratory health even at concentrations close to the ambient standard.

National AAQS were established in 1971 for six pollution species with states retaining the option to add other pollutants, require more stringent compliance, or to include different exposure periods. The initial attainment deadline of 1977 was extended several times in air quality problem areas like Southern California. In 2003, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) adopted a rule, which extended and established a new attainment deadline for ozone for the year 2021. Because the State of California had established AAQS several years before the federal action and because of unique air quality problems introduced by the restrictive dispersion meteorology, there is considerable difference between state and national clean air standards. Those standards currently in effect in California are shown in Table 1. Sources and health effects of various pollutants are shown in Table 2.

The Federal Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 required that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) review all national AAQS in light of currently known health effects. EPA was charged with modifying existing standards or promulgating new ones where appropriate. EPA subsequently developed standards for chronic ozone exposure (8+ hours per day) and for very small diameter particulate matter (called "PM-2.5"). New national AAQS were adopted in 1997 for these pollutants.

Planning and enforcement of the federal standards for PM-2.5 and for ozone (8-hour) were challenged by trucking and manufacturing organizations. In a unanimous decision, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that EPA did not require specific congressional authorization to adopt national clean air standards. The Court also ruled that health-based standards did not require preparation of a cost-benefit analysis. The Court did find, however, that there was some inconsistency between existing and "new" standards in their required attainment schedules. Such attainment-planning schedule inconsistencies centered mainly on the 8-hour ozone standard. EPA subsequently agreed to downgrade the attainment designation for a large number of communities to "non-attainment" for the 8-hour ozone standard.

Table	1
-------	---

Ambient Air Quality Standards								
	Averaging	California S	tandards <sup>1</sup>	National Standards <sup>2</sup>				
Pollutant	Time	Concentration <sup>3</sup>	Method 4	Primary 3,5	Secondary 3,6	Method 7		
07000 (0)	1 Hour	0.09 ppm (180 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ultraviolet	-	Same as	Ultraviolet		
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )	8 Hour	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Photometry	0.075 ppm (147 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Primary Standard	Photometry		
Respirable Particulate	24 Hour	50 µg/m³	Gravimetric or	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Same as	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric		
Matter (PM10) <sup>8</sup>	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Beta Attenuation	_	Primary Standard	Analysis		
Fine Particulate	24 Hour	_	_	35 μg/m³	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation		
Matter (PM2.5) <sup>8</sup>	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	12.0 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	15 µg/m³	and Gravimetric Analysis		
Carbon	1 Hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	No. Discoving	35 ppm (40 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	No. Discosting		
Monoxide	8 Hour	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)	9 ppm (10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	_	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometr (NDIR)		
(CO)	8 Hour (Lake Tahoe)	6 ppm (7 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		<u></u>	—	(NDIN)		
Nitrogen	1 Hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Gas Phase	100 ppb (188 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	—	Gas Phase		
Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>9</sup>	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (57 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Chemiluminescence	0.053 ppm (100 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Same as Primary Standard	Chemiluminescend		
	1 Hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		75 ppb (196 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	-			
Sulfur Dioxide	3 Hour	-	Ultraviolet	_	0.5 ppm (1300 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ultraviolet Flourescence; Spectrophotometry		
(SO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>10</sup>	24 Hour	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Fluorescence	0.14 ppm (for certain areas) <sup>10</sup>	-	(Pararosaniline Method)		
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	_		0.030 ppm (for certain areas) <sup>10</sup>	_			
	30 Day Average	1.5 µg/m <sup>3</sup>		_	_			
Lead <sup>11,12</sup>	Calendar Quarter	_	Atomic Absorption	1.5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (for certain areas) <sup>12</sup>	Same as	High Volume Sampler and Atomic Absorption		
	Rolling 3-Month Average	—		0.15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Primary Standard			
Visibility Reducing Particles <sup>13</sup>	8 Hour	See footnote 13	Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape		No			
Sulfates	24 Hour	25 μg/m³	Ion Chromatography	hy National Standards				
Hydrogen Sulfide	1 Hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ultraviolet Fluorescence					
Vinyl Chloride <sup>11</sup>	24 Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Gas Chromatography					

For more information please call ARB-PIO at (916) 322-2990

California Air Resources Board (6/4/13)

#### Table 1 (continued)

- California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1 and 24 hour), nitrogen dioxide, and
  particulate matter (PM10, PM2.5, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be
  equaled or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the
  California Code of Regulations.
- 2. National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM10, the 24 hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> is equal to or less than one. For PM2.5, the 24 hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.
- 3. Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the ARB to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
- 5. National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An "equivalent method" of measurement may be used but must have a "consistent relationship to the reference method" and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.
- 8. On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM2.5 primary standard was lowered from 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> to 12.0 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The existing national 24-hour PM2.5 standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup>. The existing 24-hour PM10 standards (primary and secondary) of 150 µg/m<sup>3</sup> also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
- 9. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
- 10. On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO<sub>2</sub> national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.

Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.

- 11. The ARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
- 12. The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 μg/m<sup>3</sup> as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
- 13. In 1989, the ARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

For more information please call ARB-PIO at (916) 322-2990

California Air Resources Board (6/4/13)

Pollutants	Sources	Primary Effects
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	<ul> <li>Incomplete combustion of fuels and other carbon-containing substances, such as motor exhaust.</li> <li>Natural events, such as decomposition of organic matter.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced tolerance for exercise.</li> <li>Impairment of mental function.</li> <li>Impairment of fetal development.</li> <li>Death at high levels of exposure.</li> <li>Aggravation of some heart diseases (angina).</li> </ul>
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	<ul> <li>Motor vehicle exhaust.</li> <li>High temperature stationary combustion.</li> <li>Atmospheric reactions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aggravation of respiratory illness.</li> <li>Reduced visibility.</li> <li>Reduced plant growth.</li> <li>Formation of acid rain.</li> </ul>
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )	Atmospheric reaction of organic gases with nitrogen oxides in sunlight.	<ul> <li>Aggravation of respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.</li> <li>Irritation of eyes.</li> <li>Impairment of cardiopulmonary function.</li> <li>Plant leaf injury.</li> </ul>
Lead (Pb)	Contaminated soil.	<ul> <li>Impairment of blood function and nerve construction.</li> <li>Behavioral and hearing problems in children.</li> </ul>
Fine Particulate Matter (PM-10)	<ul> <li>Stationary combustion of solid fuels.</li> <li>Construction activities.</li> <li>Industrial processes.</li> <li>Atmospheric chemical reactions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced lung function.</li> <li>Aggravation of the effects of gaseous pollutants.</li> <li>Aggravation of respiratory and cardio respiratory diseases.</li> <li>Increased cough and chest discomfort.</li> </ul>
Fine Particulate Matter (PM-2.5)	<ul> <li>Fuel combustion in motor vehicles, equipment, and industrial sources.</li> <li>Residential and agricultural burning.</li> <li>Industrial processes.</li> <li>Also, formed from photochemical reactions of other pollutants, including NOx, sulfur oxides, and organics.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Soiling.</li> <li>Reduced visibility.</li> <li>Increases respiratory disease.</li> <li>Lung damage.</li> <li>Cancer and premature death.</li> <li>Reduces visibility and results in surface soiling.</li> </ul>
Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	<ul> <li>Combustion of sulfur-containing fossil fuels.</li> <li>Smelting of sulfur-bearing metal ores.</li> <li>Industrial processes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Aggravation of respiratory diseases (asthma, emphysema).</li> <li>Reduced lung function.</li> <li>Irritation of eyes.</li> <li>Reduced visibility.</li> <li>Plant injury.</li> <li>Deterioration of metals, textiles, leather, finishes, coatings, etc.</li> </ul>

Table 2Health Effects of Major Criteria Pollutants

Source: California Air Resources Board, 2002.

Evaluation of the most current data on the health effects of inhalation of fine particulate matter prompted the California Air Resources Board (ARB) to recommend adoption of the statewide PM-2.5 standard that is more stringent than the federal standard. This standard was adopted in 2002. The State PM-2.5 standard is more of a goal in that it does not have specific attainment planning requirements like a federal clean air standard, but only requires continued progress towards attainment.

Similarly, the ARB extensively evaluated health effects of ozone exposure. A new state standard for an 8-hour ozone exposure was adopted in 2005, which aligned with the exposure period for the federal 8-hour standard. The California 8-hour ozone standard of 0.07 ppm is more stringent than the federal 8-hour standard of 0.075 ppm. The state standard, however, does not have a specific attainment deadline. California air quality jurisdictions are required to make steady progress towards attaining state standards, but there are no hard deadlines or any consequences of non-attainment. During the same re-evaluation process, the ARB adopted an annual state standard for nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) that is more stringent than the corresponding federal standard, and strengthened the state one-hour NO<sub>2</sub> standard.

As part of EPA's 2002 consent decree on clean air standards, a further review of airborne particulate matter (PM) and human health was initiated. A substantial modification of federal clean air standards for PM was promulgated in 2006. Standards for PM-2.5 were strengthened, a new class of PM in the 2.5 to 10 micron size was created, some PM-10 standards were revoked, and a distinction between rural and urban air quality was adopted. In December, 2012, the federal annual standard for PM-2.5 was reduced from 15  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> to 12  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> which matches the California AAQS. The severity of the basin's non-attainment status for PM-2.5 may be increased by this action and thus require accelerated planning for future PM-2.5 attainment.

In response to continuing evidence that ozone exposure at levels just meeting federal clean air standards is demonstrably unhealthful, EPA had proposed a further strengthening of the 8-hour standard. Draft standards were published. The anticipated future 8-hour standard was 0.065 ppm. Environmental organizations generally praised this proposal. Most manufacturing, transportation or power generation groups opposed the new standard as economically unwise in an uncertain fiscal climate. In recognition of the fact that a stronger ozone standard could adversely impact employment, that proposal has been placed on indefinite hold, but may be revisited within the next 1-2 years.

Of the standards shown in Table 1, those for ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), and particulate matter (PM-10) are exceeded at times in the MDAB. They are called "non-attainment pollutants." Because of the variations in both the regional meteorology and in area-wide differences in levels of air pollution emissions, patterns of non-attainment have strong spatial and temporal differences.

## BASELINE AIR QUALITY

Monitoring of air quality in the MDAB is the responsibility of the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD) headquartered in Victorville, California. The closest monitoring station to the project site is the Victorville Station at 14306 Park Avenue. Table 4 summarizes the last five years of monitoring data from the available data at for this Victorville monitoring station. Findings are summarized below:

- 1. Photochemical smog (ozone) levels frequently exceed standards. The 1-hour state standard was violated an average of 8 times a year in the last five years at the monitoring station closest to the project site and the 8-hour state standard was violated an average of 44 times per year. The Mojave Desert Air Basin does not generate enough ozone precursor emissions to substantially affect ozone levels. Attainment of ozone standards is most strongly linked to air quality improvements in upwind communities.
- 2. PM-10 levels have exceeded the state 24-hour standard on three measurement days within the last five years near Victorville. The three times less stringent federal 24 hour-standard not been exceeded during this period. No significant trend can be seen in regards to maximum 24-hour PM-10 concentrations over the most recent years.
- 3. PM-10, however, is affected by construction, by unpaved road travel, by open fires and/or by agricultural practices. These emissions can be controlled to some extent, and are, therefore, components in a respirable range (10-micron diameter) particulate matter (PM-10) attainment plan developed by the Mojave Desert AQMD. An attainment plan for PM-10 was adopted in July 1995, for designated federal PM-10 non-attainment areas in the MDAB. Any project-related PM-10 generation activities require an enhanced level of controls consistent with the control measures that are part of that plan.
- 4. A fraction of PM-10 is comprised of ultra-small diameter particulates capable of being inhaled into deep lung tissue (PM-2.5). Year 2012 showed the lowest maximum 24-hour concentration in the past five years. The 24-hour federal standard has not been exceeded in the recent past.
- 5. More localized pollutants such as carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides, etc. are generally very low near the project site because background levels in the Mojave Desert area never exceed allowable levels except perhaps during rare wildfire events such as in 2010. There is substantial excess dispersive capacity to accommodate localized vehicular air pollutants such as NOx or CO without any threat of violating applicable AAQS.

## Table 3

Pollutant/Standard	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Ozone					
1-Hour > 0.09 ppm (S)	16	8	6	2	6
8-Hour > 0.07 ppm (S)	59	53	35	13	58
8- Hour > 0.075 ppm (F)	30	23	19	5	28
Max. 1-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.109	0.111	0.111	0.098	0.111
Max. 8-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.098	0.097	0.093	0.085	0.095
Carbon Monoxide					
1-Hour > 20. ppm (S)	0	0	0	0	0
1-Hour > 9. ppm (S, F)	0	0	0	0	0
Max 1-Hour Conc. (ppm)	1.4	1.8	15.9*	1.9	XX
Max 8-Hour Conc. (ppm)	1.0	1.1	5.2*	1.5	1.8
Nitrogen Dioxide					
1-Hour > 0.18 ppm (S)	0	0	0	0	0
Max 1-Hour Conc. (ppm)	0.074	0.064	0.137	0.075	0.056
Inhalable Particulates (PM-10)					
24-Hour > 50 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> (S)	2/58	1/61	0/60	0/60	0/xx
24-Hour > 150 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> (F)	0/58	0/61	0/60	0/60	0/xx
Max. 24-Hr. Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	72.	51	40.	34.	40.
Ultra-Fine Particulates (PM-2.5)					
24-Hour > 35 $\mu$ g/m <sup>3</sup> (F)*	0/56	0/61	0/62	0/48	0/xx
Max. 24-Hr. Conc. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	19.	20.	20.	16.	12.

#### Project Area Air Quality Monitoring Summary 2008-2012 (Days Standards Were Exceeded and Maximum Observed Levels)

xx data not available

\*high wind/wildfire event

Source: Victorville Air Monitoring Station Data Summary.

data: www.arb.ca.gov/adam/

# AIR QUALITY IMPACTS

## STANDARDS OF SIGNIFICANCE

The Victorville Solar project proposes a 13.0-megawatt solar photovoltaic energy generation facility. The facility will be automated and not require personnel to be onsite. Occasional visits to the project by maintenance and security personnel would be required to do repairs, clean equipment, and generally monitor the site. Otherwise, the facility does not generate operational air quality emissions. The sole purpose of the use is to convert solar energy into electricity. Potential air quality impacts to the immediate project vicinity would therefore derive almost exclusively during construction of the proposed improvements.

The Mojave Desert AQMD has adopted numerical emissions thresholds as indicators of potential significant impact even if the actual air quality increment cannot be directly quantified. The MDAQMD thresholds are as follows:

Carbon Monoxide (CO)	548 pounds/day	100 tons/year
Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)	137 pounds/day	25 tons/year
Sulfur Oxides (SOx)	137 pounds/day	25 tons/year
Reactive Organic Gases (ROG)	137 pounds/day	25 tons/year
Particulate Matter (PM-10)	82 pounds/day	15 tons/year
Particulate Matter (PM-2.5)	82 pounds/day	15 tons/year

## **ADDITIONAL INDICATORS**

In its CEQA Handbook (2007), the MDAQMD also states that additional indicators should be used as screening criteria to determine the need for further analysis with respect to air quality. The additional indicators relevant to this project are as follows:

- Generates total emissions (direct and indirect) in excess of the MDAQMD thresholds.
- Generate a violation of any ambient air quality standard when added to the local background
- Creates odors that could be considered a nuisance by any substantial number of people.
- Does not conform to applicable attainment or maintenance plans.
- Emits hazardous or toxic emissions that create an excess cancer risk of more than 10 in a million or a non-cancerous health index (HI) or more than 1.0.

Except in special circumstances, the CEQA Handbook notes that meeting the daily or annual emissions thresholds is normally sufficient to demonstrate a less-than-significant impact.

## **CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IMPACTS**

The California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) was developed by the South Coast AQMD to provide a model by which to calculate both construction emissions and operational emissions from a variety of land use projects. It calculates both the daily maximum and annual average emissions for criteria pollutants as well as total or annual greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

On-site grading and construction equipment emissions for the proposed project were calculated utilizing the CalEEMod2013.2.2 computer model. Construction crew commuting emissions as well as truck trips (deliveries, equipment transport, servicing) to the site were calculated with CalEEMod using crew and truck traffic estimates typical for a project of this size.

Although exhaust emissions will result from on and off-site heavy equipment, the exact types and numbers of equipment will vary among contractors such that such emissions cannot be quantified with certainty. The project is expected to begin construction in 2015. Estimated construction emissions were modeled using CalEEMod2013.2.2 to identify maximum daily emissions for each pollutant during each project construction activity. Construction emissions include all emissions associated with the construction equipment, worker trips, and on-road diesel truck traffic including deliveries and equipment transport. The modeled prototype construction equipment fleet and schedule is indicated in Table 4. Grading equipment and quantities have been increased to account for substantial on site cut and fill. On-road truck mileage for solar panel delivery was assumed to begin upon entry to the air basin with a 50 mile one-way trip length. All solar panel vendor trips occurring during installation were modeled using this 100 mile round trip length. Other construction related items such as sand and gravel deliveries and concrete trucks were assumed to require a 10 mile one-way trip length.

Table 4	

Phase Name and Duration	Equipment			
	2 Dozer			
	2 Loader/Backhoes			
Site Prep and Grading	2 Graders			
(40 days)	4 Scrapers			
(40 days)	30 person worker crew vehicles or 60 one way trips (daily)			
	30 flatbed truck deliveries at 20 miles round trip			
	281 gravel dump trucks at 20 miles round trip			
	3 Trenchers			
	3 Welders			
	2 Rough Terrain Forklifts			
PV Installation	1 Generator Set			
(80 days)	2 Loader/Backhoes			
(80 days)	75 person worker crew vehicles or 150 one way trips (daily)			
	50 flatbed vendor deliveries at 50 miles round trip			
	65 flatbed truck deliveries at 200 miles round trip (solar panels)			
	60 concrete trucks at 20 miles round trip			

**Construction Activity Equipment Fleet** 

Utilizing this indicated equipment fleets shown in Tables 4 the worst case daily construction emissions are calculated by CalEEMod and are listed in Table 5. As shown in Table 5, peak construction emissions would occur during site preparation and grading would not exceed MDAQMD significance thresholds. The only construction mitigation measure modeled was to water exposed site surfaces at least 3 times per day. However, because the MDAQMD emissions guidelines are also based on an annual tons per year basis, the emissions were also compared to these thresholds as shown in Table 6.

2015 Construction Activity Maximum Daily Emissions (pounds/day)							
Activity	ROG	NOx	СО	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5	CO <sub>2</sub>
Grading and Installation							
Unmitigated	11.7	134.0	90.1	0.1	26.1	13.2	11,255.9
w/Fugitive Dust Mitigation*	11.7	134.0	90.1	0.1	14.3	8.7	11,255.9
Thresholds	137	137	548	137	82	82	-

 Table 5

 2015 Construction Activity Maximum Daily Emissions (pounds/day)

\* fugitive dust control measures provided in Mitigation section of this report Source: CalEEMod output in report appendix

Activity	ROG	NOx	CO	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5	CO <sub>2</sub>
Grading and Installation							
Unmitigated	0.46	4.09	3.18	0.00	0.69	0.38	376.08
w/Fugitive Dust Mitigation*	0.46	4.09	3.18	0.00	0.44	0.29	376.08
Thresholds	25	25	100	25	15	15	-

Table 6 2015 Construction Activity Annual Emissions (tons/year)

\* fugitive dust control measures provided in Mitigation section of this report Source: CalEEMod output in report appendix

As with daily emissions annual construction related emissions are well below their respective CEQA significance thresholds.

## **OPERATIONAL IMPACTS**

Project generated traffic associated with operations and maintenance activities would be minimal. No permanent staff will be based at the project site. Cleaning of solar panels would occur occasionally and security personnel would visit the site periodically. As a conservative estimate, it was assumed that one light truck could visit the site daily for either cleaning or security purposes.

EMFAC2011, the California Air Resources Board tool for estimating emissions from on-road vehicles, was used to calculate vehicular emissions associated with cleaning and security travel. EMFAC2011 emissions calculations were made for a 50 mile round trip distance for a light/heavy duty truck (Category LHD2) for year 2015. Daily operational emissions and associated thresholds and are shown in Table 7 and annual emissions are provided in Table 8.

Operational Activity Emissions (lbs/day)								
Activity	ROG	NOx	СО	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5	CO <sub>2</sub>	
Light Truck 50 miles								
Cleaning and Security	0.01	0.07	0.24	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.49	
MDAQMD Threshold	137	137	548	137	82	82	-	

Table 7	
<b>Operational Activity Emissions</b>	(lbs/day

Table 8 provides the annual operational emissions assuming one truck visit every day (365 days per year).

Activity	ROG	NOx	CO	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5	CO <sub>2</sub>
Light Truck 50 miles							
Cleaning and Security	0.002	0.013	0.044	0.000	0.000	0.000	13.050
MDAQMD Threshold	25	25	100	25	15	15	-

 Table 8

 Operational Activity Emissions (tons/year)

Operational emissions are less than their respective daily or annual thresholds.

## HAZARDOUS EMISSIONS

There are no sensitive land uses in the project vicinity. The privately owned Osborne Airport is directly south of the site. The Victorville Landfill is to the north of the site. The proposed solar facility will not result in significant emissions of hazardous air pollutants; therefore, an assessment of the potential risk to the population attributable to emissions of hazardous air pollutants from the proposed project is not required. The project will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. There are no schools within the general vicinity of the proposed facilities.

Additionally, the project is not a facility that is expected to be a source of odors. Odors from the adjacent landfill may occasionally be perceptible on-site, but the landfill employs active odor control measures. During project operations, there will typically be few, if any, personnel present at the solar plant who could be affected by any infrequent odor.

# **GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS**

"Greenhouse gases" (so called because of their role in trapping heat near the surface of the earth) emitted by human activity are implicated in global climate change, commonly referred to as "global warming." These greenhouse gases contribute to an increase in the temperature of the earth's atmosphere by transparency to short wavelength visible sunlight, but near opacity to outgoing terrestrial long wavelength heat radiation in some parts of the infrared spectrum. The principal greenhouse gases (GHGs) are carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, ozone, and water vapor. For purposes of planning and regulation, Section 15364.5 of the California Code of Regulations defines GHGs to include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons and sulfur hexafluoride. Fossil fuel consumption in the transportation sector (on-road motor vehicles, off-highway mobile sources, and aircraft) is the single largest source of GHG emissions, accounting for approximately half of GHG emissions globally. Industrial and commercial sources are the second largest contributors of GHG emissions with about one-fourth of total emissions.

California has passed several bills and the Governor has signed at least three executive orders regarding greenhouse gases. GHG statues and executive orders (EO) include AB 32, SB 1368, EO S-03-05, EO S-20-06 and EO S-01-07.

AB 32 is one of the most significant pieces of environmental legislation that California has adopted. Among other things, it is designed to maintain California's reputation as a "national and international leader on energy conservation and environmental stewardship." It will have wide-ranging effects on California businesses and lifestyles as well as far reaching effects on other states and countries. A unique aspect of AB 32, beyond its broad and wide-ranging mandatory provisions and dramatic GHG reductions are the short time frames within which it must be implemented. Major components of the AB 32 include:

- Require the monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions beginning with sources or categories of sources that contribute the most to statewide emissions.
- Requires immediate "early action" control programs on the most readily controlled GHG sources.
- Mandates that by 2020, California's GHG emissions be reduced to 1990 levels.
- Forces an overall reduction of GHG gases in California by 25-40%, from business as usual, over the next 13 years (by 2020).
- Must complement efforts to achieve and maintain federal and state ambient air quality standards and to reduce toxic air contaminants.

Statewide, the framework for developing the implementing regulations for AB 32 is under way. Additionally, through the California Climate Action Registry (CCAR now called the Climate Action Reserve), general and industry-specific protocols for assessing and reporting GHG emissions have been developed. GHG sources are categorized into direct sources (i.e. company owned) and indirect sources (i.e. not company owned). Direct sources include combustion

emissions from on-and off-road mobile sources, and fugitive emissions. Indirect sources include off-site electricity generation and non-company owned mobile sources.

#### Greenhouse Gas Emissions Significance Thresholds

In response to the requirements of SB97, the state Resources Agency developed guidelines for the treatment of GHG emissions under CEQA. These new guidelines became state laws as part of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations in March, 2010.

Section 15064.4 of the Code specifies how significance of GHG emissions is to be evaluated. The process is broken down into quantification of project-related GHG emissions, making a determination of significance, and specification of any appropriate mitigation if impacts are found to be potentially significant. At each of these steps, the new GHG guidelines afford the lead agency with substantial flexibility.

Emissions identification may be quantitative, qualitative or based on performance standards. CEQA guidelines allow the lead agency to "select the model or methodology it considers most appropriate". The most common practice for infrastructure/combustion GHG emissions quantification is to use a computer model such as CalEEMod or EMFAC2011 as was used in the ensuing analysis.

The significance of those emissions then must be evaluated; the selection of a threshold of significance must take into consideration what level of GHG emissions would be cumulatively considerable. The guidelines are clear that they do not support a zero net emissions threshold. If the lead agency does not have sufficient expertise in evaluating GHG impacts, it may rely on thresholds adopted by an agency with greater expertise.

The California Air Resources Board (ARB) has developed an interim significance guideline for industrial projects or 7,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent annual emissions. Solar power generation is not strictly an "industrial" process. However, in the absence of any adopted significance thresholds, this screening level will be used in the following analysis.

## GHG Impact Analysis

GHG emissions would be potentially significant if the project would:

- Generate greenhouse gas emissions either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.
- Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.

#### **Construction Activity GHG Emissions**

During project construction, the CalEEMod computer model predicts that the indicated activities could generate 376 CO<sub>2</sub>(e) in 2015. For screening purposes, the temporary construction activity GHG emissions were compared to the chronic operational emissions in the ARB's interim thresholds. The screening level operational threshold is 7,000 metric tons (MT) of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>(e)) per year. Worst year construction activities generating a total of 376 MT are well below this threshold.

#### **Operational Activity GHG Emissions**

Operational travel for cleaning of the panels and security travel will create a very small amount of annual CO<sub>2</sub>, shown to be approximately 8 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> per year. However, the project is GHG positive because it will provide 10.0 MW of energy generation. The development of renewable energy resources is an integral component of the California AB-32 implementation strategy. Project operational GHG impacts are therefore considered positive.

#### Net GHG Emissions Displacement (Off-set)

As designed, the Victorville Solar project, a 10.0 MW rated plant with a 20% solar capacity factor, would annually produce 17,520 megawatt-hour (MW-HR) of electrical energy. The generation of 1 MW-HR of electricity in California produces an average of 0.331 MT of CO<sub>2</sub>(e). The off-set created by 17,520 MW-HR per year of solar generation would be 5,800 MT CO<sub>2</sub>(e). The corresponding operational GHG emissions would be approximately 13 metric tons of  $CO_2(e)$ . The net GHG benefit for this project would be more than 5,785 MT CO<sub>2</sub>(e) per year.

The displacement/off-set effect of solar power is enhanced by the fact that the displaced generation reduction would likely occur at fossil-fueled power plants that have higher GHG emission rates than 0.331 MT per MW-HR. The most highly efficient combined cycle gas-fired plant in California generates 0.35 MT per MW-HR. Coal-fired plants in the western United States may produce almost 1.0 MT of CO<sub>2</sub>(e) per MW-HR. Therefore gas turbine or coal-fired plants would produce from 6,000 to 18,000 MT without the proposed project.

# MITIGATION

## **CONSTRUCTION EMISSIONS MITIGATION**

Short-term emissions are primarily related to the grading and construction of the project and are recognized to be short in duration and without lasting impacts on air quality. With the enhanced dust control mitigation measures listed below, construction activity air pollution emissions are not expected to exceed MDAQMD CEQA thresholds for any pollutant even if the phases are under simultaneous construction. Regardless, the PM-10 non-attainment status of the Mojave Desert area requires that Best Available Control Measures (BACMs) be used as required by the Mojave AQMD Rule 403. Recommended construction activity mitigation includes:

#### **Dust Control**

- Apply soil stabilizers such as hay bales or aggregate cover to inactive areas.
- Prepare a high wind dust control plan and implement plan elements and terminate soil disturbance when winds exceed 25 mph.
- Stabilize previously disturbed areas if subsequent construction is delayed.
- Water exposed surfaces and haul roads 3 times/day.
- Cover all stock piles with tarps.
- Replace ground cover in disturbed areas quickly.
- Reduce speeds on unpaved roads to less than 15 mph.
- Trenches shall be left exposed for as short a time as possible.
- Identify proper compaction for backfilled soils in construction specifications.

# APPENDIX

CalEEMod2013.2.2 Computer Model Output

# **CalEEMod Input Assumptions**

#### **Construction Duration**

1/1/2015 - 2/25/2015	Site Prep and Grading (40 days)
5/1/2015 - 8/20/2015	PV Install (80 days)

## Equipment:

#### Site Prep/

Grading	30 worker crew vehicles		
	2 Graders	8 hours/day	174 hp
	2 Dozer	8 hours/day	255 hp
	2 Tractor/Loader/Backhoes	8 hours/day	97 hp each
	4 Scrapers	8 hours/day	361 hp each
		<b>•</b> • • •	

- 30 flatbed truck trips at 20 miles per round trip total (modeled as vendor trucks)= 600 on-road flatbed truck miles/40 days = 15 miles per day
- 281 gravel dump trucks at 20 miles round trip total (modeled as haul trucks)= 5,620 on-road dump truck miles

## PV Install 75 worker crew vehicles

3 Trenchers	7 hours/day	80 hp	
3 Forklifts	8 hours/day	89hp each	
1 Generator Set	8 hours/day	84 hp	
2 Tractor/Loader/Backhoes	7 hours/day	97 hp each	
3 Welders	8 hours/day	46 hp	

- 65 flatbed truck trips (total during phase) at 200 miles per round trip total (modeled as vendor trucks) = 13,000 on-road flatbed truck miles or 162 miles per day
- 50 vendor delivery trucks (total during phase) at 50 miles per round trip = 2,500 on-road vendor delivery miles = 31 miles per day
- 60 ready mix truck trips (total during phase) at 20 miles round trip (modeled as haul trucks) = 1200 on-road heavy truck miles

## Mitigation

Water exposed surfaces 3 times per day

#### Victorville Solar

#### Mojave Desert Air Basin, Annual

#### **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
User Defined Industrial	65.00	User Defined Unit	65.00	0.00	0

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.6	Precipitation Freq (Days)	31
Climate Zone	10			<b>Operational Year</b>	2015
Utility Company	Southern California Edisc	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	630.89	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

#### 1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - 65 acre disturbance area

Construction Phase - 80 days prep and grade, 100 days PV install

Off-road Equipment - Prep and Grade: 2 graders, 2 dozer, 2 loader/backhoes, 4 scrapers

Off-road Equipment - PV Install: 3 trenchers, 1 gen set, 2 loader/backhoes, 3 welders

Trips and VMT - Prep and Grade: 30 workers, 600 flatbed miles, 5620 dump trk miles PV Install: 75 workers, 2500 vendor miles, 13,000 solar panel delivery miles, 1200 concrete trk miles

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - water three times per day

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	1,110.00	80.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	110.00	40.00
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	6/17/2015	8/20/2015
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	2/26/2015	5/1/2015
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	200.00	275.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.00	65.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Generator Sets
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	4.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	3.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	0.00	3.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	0.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	PhaseName		PVInstall
tblOffRoadEquipment	PhaseName		PVInstall
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2015
tblTripsAndVMT	HaulingTripNumber	0.00	281.00
tblTripsAndVMT	HaulingTripNumber	0.00	60.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripLength	7.30	15.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripLength	7.30	194.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	1.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	1.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	30.00	60.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	0.00	150.00

## 2.0 Emissions Summary

#### 2.1 Overall Construction

## Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
2015	0.4633	4.0935	3.1824	4.1600e- 003	0.4548	0.2263	0.6811	0.1664	0.2110	0.3773	0.0000	374.2942	374.2942	0.0851	0.0000	376.0811
Total	0.4633	4.0935	3.1824	4.1600e- 003	0.4548	0.2263	0.6811	0.1664	0.2110	0.3773	0.0000	374.2942	374.2942	0.0851	0.0000	376.0811

#### Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	tons/yr												МТ	/yr		
2015	0.4633	4.0935	3.1824	4.1600e- 003	0.2189	0.2263	0.4452	0.0760	0.2110	0.2870	0.0000	374.2939	374.2939	0.0851	0.0000	376.0808
Total	0.4633	4.0935	3.1824	4.1600e- 003	0.2189	0.2263	0.4452	0.0760	0.2110	0.2870	0.0000	374.2939	374.2939	0.0851	0.0000	376.0808

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	51.87	0.00	34.63	54.31	0.00	23.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## 2.2 Overall Operational

## Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	MT/yr										
Area	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Water	n					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003

## 2.2 Overall Operational

#### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	MT/yr										
Area	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Waste						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Water						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reductio	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

## **3.0 Construction Detail**

#### **Construction Phase**

	Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1		Grading	Grading	1/1/2015	2/25/2015	5	40	
2		PVInstall	Building Construction	5/1/2015	8/20/2015	5	80	

#### Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 275

#### Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating - sqft)

#### OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Grading	Graders	2	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	4	8.00	361	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
PVInstall	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	7.00	97	0.37
PVInstall	Trenchers	3	8.00	80	0.50
PVInstall	Welders	3	8.00	46	0.45
PVInstall	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74

#### Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Grading	12	60.00	1.00	281.00	10.80	15.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
PVInstall	13	150.00	1.00	60.00	10.80	194.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

Water Exposed Area

Clean Paved Roads

## 3.2 Grading - 2015

#### Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.3867	0.0000	0.3867	0.1482	0.0000	0.1482	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.2233	2.6354	1.6614	1.9200e- 003		0.1221	0.1221		0.1124	0.1124	0.0000	183.1659	183.1659	0.0547	0.0000	184.3142
Total	0.2233	2.6354	1.6614	1.9200e- 003	0.3867	0.1221	0.5088	0.1482	0.1124	0.2605	0.0000	183.1659	183.1659	0.0547	0.0000	184.3142

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	3.8000e- 003	0.0352	0.0498	1.0000e- 004	2.4200e- 003	8.9000e- 004	3.3100e- 003	6.7000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	1.4800e- 003	0.0000	9.2807	9.2807	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.2819
Vendor	3.8000e- 004	3.4500e- 003	4.8400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	3.5000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	1.5000e- 004	0.0000	0.7991	0.7991	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.7992
Worker	5.5100e- 003	8.8800e- 003	0.0847	1.2000e- 004	9.6800e- 003	8.0000e- 005	9.7600e- 003	2.5700e- 003	7.0000e- 005	2.6400e- 003	0.0000	8.9287	8.9287	6.3000e- 004	0.0000	8.9418
Total	9.6900e- 003	0.0475	0.1393	2.3000e- 004	0.0124	1.0600e- 003	0.0134	3.3200e- 003	9.7000e- 004	4.2700e- 003	0.0000	19.0084	19.0084	7.0000e- 004	0.0000	19.0229

## 3.2 Grading - 2015

## Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Fugitive Dust					0.1508	0.0000	0.1508	0.0578	0.0000	0.0578	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	0.2233	2.6354	1.6614	1.9200e- 003		0.1221	0.1221		0.1124	0.1124	0.0000	183.1657	183.1657	0.0547	0.0000	184.3140
Total	0.2233	2.6354	1.6614	1.9200e- 003	0.1508	0.1221	0.2729	0.0578	0.1124	0.1701	0.0000	183.1657	183.1657	0.0547	0.0000	184.3140

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	3.8000e- 003	0.0352	0.0498	1.0000e- 004	2.4200e- 003	8.9000e- 004	3.3100e- 003	6.7000e- 004	8.2000e- 004	1.4800e- 003	0.0000	9.2807	9.2807	6.0000e- 005	0.0000	9.2819
Vendor	3.8000e- 004	3.4500e- 003	4.8400e- 003	1.0000e- 005	2.6000e- 004	9.0000e- 005	3.5000e- 004	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005	1.5000e- 004	0.0000	0.7991	0.7991	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.7992
Worker	5.5100e- 003	8.8800e- 003	0.0847	1.2000e- 004	9.6800e- 003	8.0000e- 005	9.7600e- 003	2.5700e- 003	7.0000e- 005	2.6400e- 003	0.0000	8.9287	8.9287	6.3000e- 004	0.0000	8.9418
Total	9.6900e- 003	0.0475	0.1393	2.3000e- 004	0.0124	1.0600e- 003	0.0134	3.3200e- 003	9.7000e- 004	4.2700e- 003	0.0000	19.0084	19.0084	7.0000e- 004	0.0000	19.0229

## 3.3 PVInstall - 2015

## Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Off-Road	0.1981	1.2811	0.9068	1.2000e- 003		0.1004	0.1004		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	105.5736	105.5736	0.0265	0.0000	106.1291
Total	0.1981	1.2811	0.9068	1.2000e- 003		0.1004	0.1004		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	105.5736	105.5736	0.0265	0.0000	106.1291

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
Hauling	8.1000e- 004	7.5100e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	7.1000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1.7000e- 004	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.9817	1.9817	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.9819
Vendor	3.9100e- 003	0.0776	0.0410	2.2000e- 004	6.8300e- 003	2.1600e- 003	8.9900e- 003	1.9400e- 003	1.9900e- 003	3.9200e- 003	0.0000	19.9214	19.9214	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	19.9238
Worker	0.0275	0.0444	0.4233	5.8000e- 004	0.0484	4.0000e- 004	0.0488	0.0129	3.6000e- 004	0.0132	0.0000	44.6433	44.6433	3.1400e- 003	0.0000	44.7091
Total	0.0323	0.1295	0.4749	8.2000e- 004	0.0557	2.7500e- 003	0.0585	0.0149	2.5200e- 003	0.0175	0.0000	66.5463	66.5463	3.2600e- 003	0.0000	66.6148

#### 3.3 PVInstall - 2015

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
Off-Road	0.1981	1.2811	0.9068	1.2000e- 003		0.1004	0.1004	1 1 1	0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	105.5735	105.5735	0.0265	0.0000	106.1290
Total	0.1981	1.2811	0.9068	1.2000e- 003		0.1004	0.1004		0.0951	0.0951	0.0000	105.5735	105.5735	0.0265	0.0000	106.1290

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Hauling	8.1000e- 004	7.5100e- 003	0.0106	2.0000e- 005	5.2000e- 004	1.9000e- 004	7.1000e- 004	1.4000e- 004	1.7000e- 004	3.2000e- 004	0.0000	1.9817	1.9817	1.0000e- 005	0.0000	1.9819
Vendor	3.9100e- 003	0.0776	0.0410	2.2000e- 004	6.8300e- 003	2.1600e- 003	8.9900e- 003	1.9400e- 003	1.9900e- 003	3.9200e- 003	0.0000	19.9214	19.9214	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	19.9238
Worker	0.0275	0.0444	0.4233	5.8000e- 004	0.0484	4.0000e- 004	0.0488	0.0129	3.6000e- 004	0.0132	0.0000	44.6433	44.6433	3.1400e- 003	0.0000	44.7091
Total	0.0323	0.1295	0.4749	8.2000e- 004	0.0557	2.7500e- 003	0.0585	0.0149	2.5200e- 003	0.0175	0.0000	66.5463	66.5463	3.2600e- 003	0.0000	66.6148

## 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

#### 4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	/yr		
Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

## 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00		

## 4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %	
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by	
User Defined Industrial	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 0 0			

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.438259	0.068659	0.177752	0.157314	0.054401	0.008756	0.006679	0.071289	0.001152	0.000993	0.009678	0.000675	0.004393

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

## Page 12 of 19

#### 5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							MT	'/yr		
Electricity Mitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Electricity Unmitigated						0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							МТ	'/yr		
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

## Page 13 of 19

## 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

#### Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

# 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		МТ	/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

## 5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity <u>Mitigated</u>

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kWh/yr		МТ	/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

## 6.0 Area Detail

## 6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					ton	s/yr							МТ	/yr		
, v	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003
, i	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003

## 6.2 Area by SubCategory

## <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Architectural Coating	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0000	,				0.0000	0.0000	,	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003
Total	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003

#### Mitigated

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					ton	s/yr							МТ	7/yr		
Consumer Products	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003
Architectural Coating	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total	6.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005	6.2000e- 004	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	1.2300e- 003

## 7.0 Water Detail

#### Page 16 of 19

# 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e					
Category	MT/yr								
Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000					
Unmitigated		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000					

# 7.2 Water by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		МТ	7/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

# 7.2 Water by Land Use

#### Mitigated

	Indoor/Out door Use	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	Mgal		МТ	/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

#### 8.0 Waste Detail

# 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

### Category/Year

	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e							
	MT/yr										
iningatod	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							
Grinnigatou	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000							

# 8.2 Waste by Land Use

<u>Unmitigated</u>

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	7/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

#### **Mitigated**

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	tons		МТ	7/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

# 9.0 Operational Offroad

_							
	Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

# 10.0 Vegetation

#### Victorville Solar

#### Mojave Desert Air Basin, Summer

#### **1.0 Project Characteristics**

#### 1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
User Defined Industrial	65.00	User Defined Unit	65.00	0.00	0

#### **1.2 Other Project Characteristics**

Urbanization	Urban	Wind Speed (m/s)	2.6	Precipitation Freq (Days)	31
Climate Zone	10			<b>Operational Year</b>	2015
Utility Company	Southern California Edisc	n			
CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	630.89	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.029	N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)	0.006

### 1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - 65 acre disturbance area

Construction Phase - 80 days prep and grade, 100 days PV install

Off-road Equipment - Prep and Grade: 2 graders, 2 dozer, 2 loader/backhoes, 4 scrapers

Off-road Equipment - PV Install: 3 trenchers, 1 gen set, 2 loader/backhoes, 3 welders

Trips and VMT - Prep and Grade: 30 workers, 600 flatbed miles, 5620 dump trk miles PV Install: 75 workers, 2500 vendor miles, 13,000 solar panel delivery miles, 1200 concrete trk miles

Construction Off-road Equipment Mitigation - water three times per day

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	1,110.00	80.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	110.00	40.00
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseEndDate	6/17/2015	8/20/2015
tblConstructionPhase	PhaseStartDate	2/26/2015	5/1/2015
tblGrading	AcresOfGrading	200.00	275.00
tblLandUse	LotAcreage	0.00	65.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentType		Generator Sets
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	2.00	4.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	3.00	2.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	1.00	3.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	0.00	3.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount	0.00	1.00
tblOffRoadEquipment	PhaseName		PVInstall
tblOffRoadEquipment	PhaseName		PVInstall
tblProjectCharacteristics	OperationalYear	2014	2015
tblTripsAndVMT	HaulingTripNumber	0.00	281.00
tblTripsAndVMT	HaulingTripNumber	0.00	60.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripLength	7.30	15.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripLength	7.30	194.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	1.00
tblTripsAndVMT	VendorTripNumber	0.00	1.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	30.00	60.00
tblTripsAndVMT	WorkerTripNumber	0.00	150.00

# 2.0 Emissions Summary

#### 2.1 Overall Construction (Maximum Daily Emission)

Unmitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
2015	11.6687	133.9878	90.1040	0.1079	19.9646	6.1592	26.1237	7.5760	5.6664	13.2424	0.0000	11,191.79 96	11,191.79 96	3.0519	0.0000	11,255.88 86
Total	11.6687	133.9878	90.1040	0.1079	19.9646	6.1592	26.1237	7.5760	5.6664	13.2424	0.0000	11,191.79 96	11,191.79 96	3.0519	0.0000	11,255.88 86

#### Mitigated Construction

	ROG	NOx	СО	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Year	lb/day									lb/day						
2015	11.6687	133.9878	90.1040	0.1079	8.1701	6.1592	14.3293	3.0573	5.6664	8.7237	0.0000	11,191.79 96	11,191.79 96	3.0519	0.0000	11,255.88 86
Total	11.6687	133.9878	90.1040	0.1079	8.1701	6.1592	14.3293	3.0573	5.6664	8.7237	0.0000	11,191.79 96	11,191.79 96	3.0519	0.0000	11,255.88 86

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.08	0.00	45.15	59.64	0.00	34.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 2.2 Overall Operational

# Unmitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Area	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0151

#### Mitigated Operational

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	lay		
Area	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	1	0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151
Energy	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mobile	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Total	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0151

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# 3.0 Construction Detail

#### **Construction Phase**

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
1	Grading	Grading	1/1/2015	2/25/2015	5	40	
2	PVInstall	Building Construction	5/1/2015	8/20/2015	5	80	

#### Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 275

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating - sqft)

#### OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Grading	Graders	2	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Scrapers	4	8.00	361	0.48
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	8.00	97	0.37
PVInstall	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	7.00	97	0.37
PVInstall	Trenchers	3	8.00	80	0.50
PVInstall	Welders	3	8.00	46	0.45
PVInstall	Generator Sets	1	8.00	84	0.74

#### Trips and VMT

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Count	Worker Trip Number	Vendor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Grading	12	60.00	1.00	281.00	10.80	15.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
PVInstall	13	150.00	1.00	60.00	10.80	194.00	20.00	LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT

#### **3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction**

Water Exposed Area

Clean Paved Roads

#### 3.2 Grading - 2015

#### Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day		_	_			-	lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					19.3351	0.0000	19.3351	7.4077	0.0000	7.4077			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	11.1632	131.7697	83.0720	0.0961		6.1066	6.1066		5.6181	5.6181		10,095.29 10	10,095.29 10	3.0139		10,158.58 22
Total	11.1632	131.7697	83.0720	0.0961	19.3351	6.1066	25.4417	7.4077	5.6181	13.0258		10,095.29 10	10,095.29 10	3.0139		10,158.58 22

# 3.2 Grading - 2015

# Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.1709	1.6581	2.0400	5.0400e- 003	0.1231	0.0443	0.1674	0.0338	0.0408	0.0745		512.0403	512.0403	3.1300e- 003		512.1060
Vendor	0.0175	0.1625	0.2017	4.4000e- 004	0.0134	4.2500e- 003	0.0177	3.8000e- 003	3.9100e- 003	7.7200e- 003		44.1172	44.1172	2.8000e- 004		44.1230
Worker	0.3172	0.3976	4.7904	6.3300e- 003	0.4929	3.9900e- 003	0.4969	0.1307	3.6300e- 003	0.1344		540.3511	540.3511	0.0346		541.0774
Total	0.5055	2.2182	7.0320	0.0118	0.6295	0.0526	0.6820	0.1683	0.0483	0.2166		1,096.508 6	1,096.508 6	0.0380		1,097.306 4

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/c	lay		
Fugitive Dust					7.5407	0.0000	7.5407	2.8890	0.0000	2.8890			0.0000			0.0000
Off-Road	11.1632	131.7697	83.0720	0.0961		6.1066	6.1066		5.6181	5.6181	0.0000	10,095.29 10	10,095.29 10	3.0139		10,158.58 22
Total	11.1632	131.7697	83.0720	0.0961	7.5407	6.1066	13.6473	2.8890	5.6181	8.5071	0.0000	10,095.29 10	10,095.29 10	3.0139		10,158.58 22

# 3.2 Grading - 2015

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/d	day		
Hauling	0.1709	1.6581	2.0400	5.0400e- 003	0.1231	0.0443	0.1674	0.0338	0.0408	0.0745		512.0403	512.0403	3.1300e- 003		512.1060
Vendor	0.0175	0.1625	0.2017	4.4000e- 004	0.0134	4.2500e- 003	0.0177	3.8000e- 003	3.9100e- 003	7.7200e- 003		44.1172	44.1172	2.8000e- 004		44.1230
Worker	0.3172	0.3976	4.7904	6.3300e- 003	0.4929	3.9900e- 003	0.4969	0.1307	3.6300e- 003	0.1344		540.3511	540.3511	0.0346		541.0774
Total	0.5055	2.2182	7.0320	0.0118	0.6295	0.0526	0.6820	0.1683	0.0483	0.2166		1,096.508 6	1,096.508 6	0.0380		1,097.306 4

# 3.3 PVInstall - 2015

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/c	lay		
Off-Road	4.9520	32.0276	22.6688	0.0301		2.5101	2.5101		2.3774	2.3774		2,909.374 8	2,909.374 8	0.7290		2,924.683 8
Total	4.9520	32.0276	22.6688	0.0301		2.5101	2.5101		2.3774	2.3774		2,909.374 8	2,909.374 8	0.7290		2,924.683 8

#### 3.3 PVInstall - 2015

#### Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0182	0.1770	0.2178	5.4000e- 004	0.0132	4.7300e- 003	0.0179	3.6100e- 003	4.3500e- 003	7.9600e- 003		54.6662	54.6662	3.3000e- 004		54.6732
Vendor	0.0966	1.8125	0.9982	5.4000e- 003	0.1735	0.0540	0.2275	0.0491	0.0497	0.0988		549.0661	549.0661	3.1500e- 003		549.1323
Worker	0.7929	0.9939	11.9759	0.0158	1.2322	9.9800e- 003	1.2422	0.3268	9.0700e- 003	0.3359		1,350.877 8	1,350.877 8	0.0865		1,352.693 4
Total	0.9077	2.9834	13.1919	0.0218	1.4188	0.0687	1.4875	0.3795	0.0631	0.4426		1,954.610 1	1,954.610 1	0.0899		1,956.498 9

#### Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Off-Road	4.9520	32.0276	22.6688	0.0301		2.5101	2.5101	1 1 1	2.3774	2.3774	0.0000	2,909.374 8	2,909.374 8	0.7290		2,924.683 8
Total	4.9520	32.0276	22.6688	0.0301		2.5101	2.5101		2.3774	2.3774	0.0000	2,909.374 8	2,909.374 8	0.7290		2,924.683 8

### 3.3 PVInstall - 2015

#### Mitigated Construction Off-Site

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/	day							lb/c	day		
Hauling	0.0182	0.1770	0.2178	5.4000e- 004	0.0132	4.7300e- 003	0.0179	3.6100e- 003	4.3500e- 003	7.9600e- 003		54.6662	54.6662	3.3000e- 004		54.6732
Vendor	0.0966	1.8125	0.9982	5.4000e- 003	0.1735	0.0540	0.2275	0.0491	0.0497	0.0988		549.0661	549.0661	3.1500e- 003		549.1323
Worker	0.7929	0.9939	11.9759	0.0158	1.2322	9.9800e- 003	1.2422	0.3268	9.0700e- 003	0.3359		1,350.877 8	1,350.877 8	0.0865		1,352.693 4
Total	0.9077	2.9834	13.1919	0.0218	1.4188	0.0687	1.4875	0.3795	0.0631	0.4426		1,954.610 1	1,954.610 1	0.0899		1,956.498 9

# 4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

#### 4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000
Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000

#### 4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	rage Daily Trip Ra	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
User Defined Industrial	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total	0.00	0.00	0.00		

# 4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles			Trip %			Trip Purpos	e %
Land Use	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
User Defined Industrial	9.50	7.30	7.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
0.438259	0.068659	0.177752	0.157314	0.054401	0.008756	0.006679	0.071289	0.001152	0.000993	0.009678	0.000675	0.004393

# 5.0 Energy Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

# 5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	lay							lb/c	lay		
NaturalGas Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NaturalGas Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

# 5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

# <u>Unmitigated</u>

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/o	day							lb/c	lay		
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

#### Mitigated

	NaturalGa s Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Land Use	kBTU/yr					lb/o	day							lb/c	day		
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

# 6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
Category					lb/d	day							lb/o	day		
Mitigated	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151
Ŭ Ŭ	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	 - - -	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151

# 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### <u>Unmitigated</u>

	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory					lb/e	day							lb/d	day		
Architectural Coating	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000	1 1 1 1 1	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151
Total	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151

#### 6.2 Area by SubCategory

#### **Mitigated**

	ROG	NOx	со	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	NBio- CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e
SubCategory	lb/day						lb/day									
Consumer Products	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Landscaping	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151
Architectural Coating	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
Total	6.8000e- 004	7.0000e- 005	6.8600e- 003	0.0000		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005		0.0142	0.0142	4.0000e- 005		0.0151

# 7.0 Water Detail

#### 7.1 Mitigation Measures Water

# 8.0 Waste Detail

#### 8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

# 9.0 Operational Offroad

Equipment Type	Number	Hours/Day	Days/Year	Horse Power	Load Factor	Fuel Type

# **10.0 Vegetation**