## FINAL Traffic Assessment

July 7, 2023

| To: | Rincon Consultants, Inc. | Project: | Sienna Solar and Storage Traffic <br> Assessment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Attn: | David Daitch, Ph.D., Principal, RC Inc. |  |  |
| From: | Peter Galloway; Senior Transportation <br> Planner, Kiera Bryant, EIT | Ref/Job No.: | 12617192 (12557185 archive) |
| CC: | Andrea Maben, Senior Environmental <br> Project Manager, RC Inc.; Frank <br> Penry, PE, PE, GHD | File No.: | 12617192-Sienna Solar and Storage <br> Traffic Assessment.docx |
| RE: | FINAL Traffic Assessment |  |  |

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this Traffic Assessment is to present analysis for transportation impacts potentially related to construction and operation of the Sienna Solar Farm (Project) in San Bernardino County, California (reference Figure 1, Project Location Map). The proposed Sienna Solar Project is a 525-megawatt (MW) utility-scale solar farm with 525 MW battery storage located in unincorporated San Bernardino County. The site is located east of Barstow Road/State Route (SR) 247 roughly between Northside Road and Wilshire Road, northeast of the community of Lucerne Valley.

The project consists of the installation of a photovoltaic (PV) solar facility, Battery Energy Storage System (BESS), project substation, Operations and Maintenance building(s), underground collection system, a 230 kV generation-interconnect (gen-tie) line. The Sienna Project will interconnect at the SCE Calcite Substation (currently pending environmental clearance and construction) via a proposed overhead and/or underground $230-\mathrm{kV}$ gen-tie line in addition to other ancillary features utilizing private and potentially public ROWs. The Project area encompasses 1,854 acres with an additional 77 -acre substation site. Approximately 39 miles of collector lines and gen-tie alternatives will be analyzed in Traffic Assessment, although not all routes will be developed.

The information in this Traffic Assessment has been prepared using information provided by the Project proponent and reference to past studies for similar solar facilities. Primary users of the transportation system will be during the construction phase (roughly 12-24 months) and on-site personnel (operations and routine maintenance). Personnel is considered under two categories: 1) construction workers and 2 ) an estimated fifteen (15) employees charged with operations and maintenance when the facility is expected to be operating.
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## 2. Existing Conditions

### 2.1 Existing Roadway System

Encompassing 20,105 square miles, San Bernardino County is California's largest county in land area. It is located in southern California and is bounded by Inyo County to the north; Orange and Riverside Counties to the south; Los Angeles and Kern Counties to the west; and Clark County (Nevada) and Mohave County (Arizona) to the east. San Bernardino County includes a diverse geography of mountainous areas, distinct valleys, agricultural/mineral lands, sparse and high-density urban areas, and desert areas.

Roadways that provide primary circulation in the vicinity of the Project area include Barstow Road (State Route 247), State Route 18, Rabbit Springs Road, and Camp Rock Road.

State Route 247 (Barstow Road) serves as a north-south route in San Bernardino County. This 24 lane highway passes through the Mojave Desert, connecting State Route 62 in Yucca Valley to Interstate 15 (I-15) in Barstow. Near I-15, State Route 247 is a 4-lane facility with the remaining 2lane route extending through the Project study area and beyond. State Route 247 is eligible for the State Scenic Highway System but is not officially designated as a scenic highway by Caltrans.

According to 2019 Traffic Volumes on the California State Highways, State Route 247 annual average daily traffic (AADT) ranges between 2,300 and 2,900. Higher volumes are found near Barstow; however, this area is outside of the study area.

State Route 18 is an east-west state highway primarily located in western San Bernardino County. This highway serves as a primary route into the San Bernardino Mountains, both from the RiversideSan Bernardino metropolitan area from the south and the Mojave Desert from the north.

State Route 18 extends between State Route 210 in San Bernardino and State Route 138 in Llano (Los Angeles County). Near the study area, AADT is estimated to be 9,000 (2019 Traffic Volumes on the California State Highways). State Route 18 is generally a two-lane undivided highway with limited and/or no shoulders.

Rabbit Springs Road is an east-west oriented facility that provides access to several properties, including educational, industrial, and agricultural. Currently, Rabbit Springs Road extends from State Route 18 and Kendall Road in the west connecting to Camp Rock Road south and east of the study area. Rabbit Springs Road is an undivided, two-lane road with limited and/or no shoulders. Lucerne Valley Middle/High School, with an enrollment of over 400 students, is located on Rabbit Springs Road just east of State Route 247 (Barstow Avenue).

Camp Rock Road is a two-lane undivided north-south local street. This roadway extends from State Route 18 northward to Northside Road. North of Northside Road, Camp Rock Road continues as a dirt road where it terminates as State Route 40 in Daggett, which is just east of Barstow. Camp Rock Road bisects the proposed solar farm facility and will provide access to the site.

### 2.1.1 Existing Traffic Data

Peak period (7:00-9:00 a.m. \& 4:00-6:00 p.m.) intersection turning movement counts encompassing the proposed site were conducted on Tuesday, July 20, 2021, under favorable weather and traffic operating conditions. The following intersections are analyzed for peak hour operating conditions as follows:

## Intersections:

- Northside Road/Barstow Road (State Route 247)
- Northside Road/Huff Road
- Granite Road/Harrod Road
- Granite Road/Camp Rock Road
- Wilshire Road/Lincoln Road
- Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road (State Route 247)

In addition to intersection analyses, average daily traffic (ADT) volumes were collected on the following roadway segments:

## Roadway Segments:

- Barstow Road n/o Rabbit Springs Road
- Northside Road e/o Barstow Road
- Rabbit Springs Road e/o Barstow Road
- State Route 247: Camp Rock Road to State Route 18
- State Route 247: State Route 18 to Rabbit Springs Road
- State Route 247: Rabbit Springs Road to Lucerne Valley Cutoff Road
- State Route 18: Lucerne Valley, Jct. State Route 247

Existing AM and PM peak hour intersection volumes and roadway segment ADT are shown in Figure 3.

## 3. Level of Service Methodology and Guidelines

Intersection level of services (LOS) have been calculated for all control types using the methods documented in the Transportation Research Board Publication Highway Capacity Manual 2016 (HCM 6) and Highway Capacity Manual 2000 (HCM 2000). Traffic operations have been quantified through the determination of "Level of Service" (LOS). LOS is a qualitative measure of traffic operating conditions, whereby a letter grade "A" through " $F$ " is assigned to an intersection of roadway segment representing progressively worsening traffic conditions.

The following section outlines the methodology and analysis parameters used to quantify existing conditions.

### 3.1 Intersection Capacity

For All-Way-Stop-Control (AWSC) intersections, overall intersection delay and LOS represent the average delay for all intersection approaches. For Two-Way Stop-Control (TWSC) intersections, LOS is based upon worst approach delay for the stop-sign controlled movement(s). Table 3.1 presents the delay-based LOS criteria for different types of intersection control.

Table 3.1 - Level of Service (LOS) Criteria for Intersections

| Level of Service | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { Flow } \end{aligned}$ | Delay | Maneuverability | Stopped Delay/Vehicle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Signalized | Unsignalized | All-Way Stop |
| A |  | Very slight delay. Progression is very favorable, with most vehicles arriving during the green phase not stopping at all. | Turning movements are easily made, and nearly all drivers find freedom of operation. | <10.0 | <10.0 | <10.0 |
| B |  | Good progression and/or short cycle lengths. More vehicles stop than for LOS A, causing higher levels of average delay. | Vehicle platoons are formed. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted within groups of vehicles. | $>10.0$ <br> and <20.0 | $>10.0$ <br> and <15.0 | $>10.0$ <br> and $<15.0$ |
| C |  | Higher delays resulting from fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many still pass through the intersection without stopping. | Back-ups may develop behind turning vehicles. Most drivers feel somewhat restricted | >20.0 <br> and $<35.0$ | $>15.0$ <br> and <25.0 | $>15.0$ <br> and <25.0 |
| D |  | The influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high volume-to-capacity ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable. | Maneuverability is severely limited during short periods due to temporary backups. | >35.0 <br> and <br> <55.0 | >25.0 <br> and $<35.0$ | $>25.0$ <br> and $<35.0$ |
| E |  | Generally considered to be the limit of acceptable delay. Indicative of poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high volume-tocapacity ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences. | There are typically long queues of vehicles waiting upstream of the intersection. | $>55.0$ <br> and <br> $<80.0$ | $>35.0$ <br> and <br> < 50.0 | $>35.0$ <br> and <br> < 50.0 |
| F |  | Generally considered to be unacceptable to most drivers. Often occurs with over saturation. May also occur at high volume-tocapacity ratios. There are many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also be major contributing factors. | Jammed conditions. Backups from other locations restrict or prevent movement. Volumes may vary widely, depending principally on the downstream back-up conditions. | >80.0 | >50.0 | >50.0 |

Reference: Highway Capacity Manual $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition

### 3.2 Agency LOS Guidelines

### 3.2.1 San Bernadino County LOS Guidelines ${ }^{1}$

San Bernadino County Level of Service Assessment for General Plan Consistency:
Consistent with the acceptable LOS for the Desert, Valley, and Mountain regions as described in the General Plan, the County should consider the following unsignalized intersection criteria when identifying operational deficiencies:

## Intersections:

An operational improvement would be required if the study determines that either section a) or both sections b) and c) occur:
a) The addition of project related traffic causes the intersection to degrade from an LOS D or better to a LOS E or worse in the Valley and Mountain regions or from an LOS C or better to an LOS D or worse in the Desert region.

OR
b) The project adds 5.0 seconds or more of delay to an intersection that is already projected to operate without project traffic at an LOS E or F in the Valley and Mountain regions or at an LOS D, E, or F in the Desert region (per Section 10.5.2 b))

## AND

c) One or both of the following conditions are met:

1) The project adds ten (10) or more trips to any minor street approach 2) The intersection meets the peak hour traffic signal warrant after the addition of project traffic (per Section 10.5.2 c)).

If the conditions above are satisfied, improvements should be identified that achieve the following:

- In the Valley and Mountain regions, improvements should be identified that would achieve LOS D or better for case a) above or to pre-project LOS and delay for case b) above.
- In the Desert region, improvements should be identified that would achieve LOS C or better for case a) above or to pre-project LOS and delay for case b) above.


## Roadway Segments:

Consistent with the acceptable LOS for the Desert, Valley, and Mountain regions as described in the current General Plan, the County should consider the following roadway segment thresholds and improvement requirements:

[^0]- Any study roadway segment in the Valley or Mountain regions that is operating at an LOS D or better without project traffic in which the addition of project traffic causes the segment to degrade to an LOS E or F should identify improvements to achieve LOS D.
- Any study roadway segment in the Desert region that is operating at an LOS C or better without project traffic in which the addition of project traffic causes the segment to degrade to an LOS D, E, or F should identify improvements to achieve LOS D.
- Any roadway segment that operates unacceptably in the no project scenario where the project adds traffic in excess of $5 \%$ of the roadway capacity (e.g., a volume-to-capacity ratio increase of 0.05 ) should identify improvements to add capacity to the segment.

For this analysis, LOS "C" is the minimum standard that will be used for all County intersection control types in this report. Based on the County's Land Use Map (Policy Map LU-1C North Desert Region, Victor Valley \& Barstow), the proposed project area would be located in the North Desert. LOS "C" will be also used for state facilities.

### 3.3 Intersection Operation Analysis Software

Synchro 10 software suite was used to analyze the LOS analysis for unsignalized intersections analyzed within this study. This software is based upon the latest assumptions provided in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition.

### 3.4 Technical Analysis Parameters

This assessment provides evaluation of traffic operating conditions by incorporating appropriate heavy vehicle adjustment factors, peak hour factors (PHF), and reports the resulting intersection delays and LOS as estimated using Synchro 10. The following section describes all technical parameters incorporated into intersection analysis. Table 3.2 presents technical parameters that were applied to study intersections during the analysis.

Table 3.2 - Intersection LOS: Technical Analysis Parameters

| Technical Parameters | Assumption |
| :--- | :--- |
| \% Trucks | Intersection approach, based on existing counts, min. 2\% |
| PHF for Existing | Intersection approach, based on existing counts |
| PHF for Future <br> Conditions Scenarios | Intersection overall, 0.92 or higher |
| Grade | $2 \%$ or less at all intersections |
| Passenger Car <br> Equivalent (PCE) | 2.0 passenger cars per heavy duty vehicle |

Additionally, in terms of factors that affect how a road or intersection operates, PHFs are a significant measure of how concentrated traffic is during the busiest portion of the peak hour. A PHF at a given intersection is the sum of the traffic entering the intersection over the busiest 60 minutes divided by four times the entering volume of the busiest 15-minutes within the hour. A PHF of 1.0 means traffic levels are
evenly spread out over the whole hour, where a lower number means traffic spikes for a short period (e.g., school site drop-offs/pick-ups).

### 3.5 Roadway Capacity

Roadway segment LOS were estimated using Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 6 th Edition) methodologies. For standard roadways, LOS was estimated using ADT-based LOS thresholds, as presented in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Daily Roadway Capacity by Facility Type

|  | Average Daily Traffic (ADT) - Total of Both Directions |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Type | A | B | C | D | E |
| Six-Lane Freeway | 42,000 | 64,800 | 92,400 | 111,600 | 120,000 |
| Four-Lane Freeway | 28,000 | 43,200 | 61,600 | 74,400 | 80,000 |
| Six-Lane Divided Expressway | 35,500 | 42,200 | 46,200 | 55,800 | 60,000 |
| Four-Lane Divided Expressway | 23,667 | 28,133 | 30,800 | 37,200 | 40,000 |
| Four-Lane Divided Arterial | 22,000 | 25,000 | 29,000 | 32,500 | 36,000 |
| Four-Lane Arterial (w/LTL) | 22,000 | 25,000 | 29,000 | 32,500 | 36,000 |
| Four-Lane Arterial (No LTL) | 18,000 | 21,000 | 24,000 | 27,000 | 30,000 |
| Two-Lane Divided Arterial | 11,000 | 12,500 | 14,500 | 16,000 | 18,000 |
| Two-Lane Arterial (w/LTL) | 11,000 | 12,500 | 14,500 | 16,000 | 18,000 |
| Two-Lane Arterial (No LTL) | 9,000 | 10,500 | 12,000 | 13,500 | 15,000 |
| Two-Lane Roundabout Arterial | 14,300 | 16,250 | 18,850 | 20,800 | 23,400 |
| Four-Lane Collector | 12,000 | 15,000 | 18,000 | 21,000 | 24,000 |
| Two-Lane Collector | 6,000 | 7,500 | 9,000 | 10,500 | 12,000 |
| Two-Lane Local | 1,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 |

Notes:

1. w/LTL indicates arterials with either continuous center left turn lane (LTL) or left turn lanes at major intersections.
2. No LTL indicates arterials without left turn lanes ( $L T L$ ) at most major intersections.
3. Daily volume to capacity on roadway types does not supplant the need to perform peak-hour HCM-based analysis.

## 4. Existing Conditions

Existing conditions is the analysis scenario in which current operations at study locations are analyzed and establishes the baseline traffic conditions. Traffic counts were conducted while school was not in session; however, local school peak hour volumes from the Lucerne Valley Elementary and Middle Schools (10788 Barstow Road) with 440 students were added to existing volume data to develop Existing Base conditions. ITE Trip Generation was utilized to calculate school trips for Daily, AM and PM peak periods as shown in Attachment A.

Existing Lane Geometrics and Control for the Project study intersections is shown in Figure 2. Existing peak hour and daily traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3.

### 4.1 Existing Intersection Operations

Existing weekday AM and PM peak hour intersection traffic operations were quantified utilizing the existing traffic volumes and intersection lane geometrics and control. Table 4.1 presents intersection operations for the Existing conditions.
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Table 4.1 - Existing Intersection Operations

| \# | Intersection | Control Type ${ }^{1,2}$ | Target LOS | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Delay | LOS | Warrant Met? ${ }^{3}$ | Dela <br> y | LOS | Warra nt Met? ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1 | Northside Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 9.7 | A | No | 10.0 | A | No |
| 2 | Northside Road/Huff Road | Yield | C | 8.8 | A | No | 5.2 | A | No |
| 3 | Granite Road/Harrod Road | TWSC | C | 8.7 | A | No | 9.6 | A | No |
| 4 | Granite Road/Camp Rock Road | TWSC | C | 8.9 | A | No | 9.0 | A | No |
| 5 | Wilshire Road/Lincoln Road | Yield | C | 7.3 | A | No | 8.0 | A | No |
| 6 | Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 11.6 | B | No | 12.6 | B | No |
| Notes: <br> 1. AWSC = All Way Stop Control; TWSC = Two Way Stop Control; RNDBT = Roundabout <br> 2. LOS = Delay based on worst minor street approach for TWSC intersections, average of all approaches for AWSC, Signal, RNDBT <br> 3. Warrant $=$ Based on California MUTCD Warrant 3 <br> 4. Bold = Unacceptable Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As presented in Table 4.1, all study intersections for Existing conditions currently operate at acceptable LOS $B$ conditions or better during the AM and/or PM peak hour conditions.

### 4.2 Existing Roadway Segment Operations

LOS for the traffic counts were established using the capacities in Table 3.3. Table 4.2 contains a summary of the Existing roadway segment analysis and LOS conditions.

Table 4.2 Existing Roadway Segment LOS Conditions

| \# | Roadway | Facility Type <br> (\# of Lanes) | Target <br> LOS | Average <br> Daily <br> Traffic | LOS |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Notes:

1. No $L T L$ arterials without left turn lanes (LTL) at most major intersections (within study area).
2. Daily volume to capacity on roadways does not supplant the need to perform peak-hour HCM-based analysis.

As presented in Table 4.2, all roadway segments are operating at acceptable LOS A conditions under Existing conditions scenario.

## 5. Approved/Pending Projects

In order to determine if any approved/pending projects in Lucerne Valley, San Bernardino County, fall within a 2-mile radius of the Project, GHD contacted the San Bernardino Land Use Services Department of the Planning Division. Through their website, GHD was able to review two years of all building permits in Supervisor District 3, which includes the Lucerne Valley.

Based upon our review, it was determined that there are no critical approved/pending projects near the study site. Many of the planning applications that were reviewed were lot line adjustments, inclusion of a second home, re-modeling efforts, minor zone changes, etc. There were no high trip generating land use proposals within Lucerne Valley. As such, a short-term cumulative analysis scenario is not required. It should be noted that projects identified in the EIRs and NOPs are included in the long-term cumulative analysis (Year 2040) in a subsequent section of this report.

## 6. Existing plus Project

### 6.1 Project Description

As described in the introduction, the proposed Sienna Solar Project is a 525-megawatt (MW) utility-scale solar farm with 525 MW battery storage located in unincorporated San Bernardino County. The site is located east of Barstow Road/State Route (SR) 247 roughly between Northside Road and Wilshire Road, northeast of the community of Lucerne Valley.

The project consists of the installation of a photovoltaic (PV) solar facility, Battery Energy Storage System (BESS), project substation, Operations and Maintenance building(s), underground collection system, a 230 kV generation-interconnect (gen-tie) line. The Sienna Project will interconnect at the SCE Calcite Substation (currently pending environmental clearance and construction) via a proposed overhead and/or underground 230-kV gen-tie line in addition to other ancillary features utilizing private and potentially public ROWs. The Project area encompasses 1,854 acres with an additional 77-acre substation site. Approximately 39 miles of collector lines and gen-tie alternatives will be analyzed in Traffic Assessment, although not all routes will be developed.

### 6.2 Construction Vehicle and Passenger Car Equivalent (PCE) Trip Generation

As indicated in the Introduction, the proposed Project is a commercial solar-generating facility situated in San Bernardino County as identified in Figure 1. Typically, trip generation rates would be estimated utilizing documentation from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). However, the proposed land use is not a represented in ITE Trip Generation (10 Edition). Therefore, in order to calculate trip generation for the proposed Project, GHD corresponded with the applicant, or Project proponent, to discuss operations.

Detailed trip generation was estimated for six phases: 1) Site Preparation; 2) Grading and Earthwork; 3) Foundations; 4) Steel Installation; 5) Electrical Installation; and 6) Collector Line Installation. Each phase
describes off-road equipment, construction vehicle types, number of units, phase duration, daily hours, and daily mileage per vehicle. Types of vehicles include passenger (commuters), and truck type (pickup, water, flatbed, gravel, concreted, delivery trucks, etc.).

Because the six phases are staggered and overlap, i.e., they will not occur simultaneously, GHD assumed the worst-case construction phases (based upon vehicle/truck trips) that could potentially occur at the same time. This is based upon the Project Schedule provided by the applicant. It was determined that the combination of Phases 3, 4 and 5 make up the most trips that could potentially overlap with a total of 860 workers and associated construction equipment. As a result, Attachment B provides a detailed summary of Sienna Solar Farm Project Trip Generation implemented for this analysis.

In addition, a passenger car equivalent (PCE) was applied to vehicle type. A PCE is a metric used in transportation engineering to assess traffic-flow rate on a highway. A PCE is essentially the impact that a mode of transport has on highway variable (e.g., headway, speed, density, etc.) compared to a single passenger car. For this analysis, a conservative PCE of 2.0 was applied to account for large trucks. This is consistent with the methodology presented in HCM $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition.

Trip generation for the construction phase is based on types of vehicles used and number of workers that are anticipated to report to the job site. Based on San Bernadino County Ordinance 83.01 .080 (Noise); "Temporary construction, maintenance, repair, or demolition activities between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., (except Sundays and Federal holidays)" are considered exempt from County noise regulations. Therefore, construction may occur during the a.m. peak (7:00-9:00 a.m.) and the p.m. peak (4:00 to 6:00 p.m.) commute periods, even though construction activities will occur throughout the day.

In order to simulate the worst-case trip generation scenario, construction workers are assumed to arrive in the a.m. peak hour and leave during the p.m. peak hour each weekday. Although some construction workers may carpool, this is not assumed, i.e., each worker will drive alone to/from work. Based upon our understanding of the Project, a PCE of 860 construction workers are anticipated to commute to and from the proposed Project area during phases 3 through 5 (worst-case scenario).

As shown in Attachment B, 1,830 daily trips (including PCE factor) are forecasted to be generated for shortterm construction purposes. This would include short-term AM and PM peak hour trips of 813 in and out, respectively, during construction phases. Following construction, it is estimated that day to day operations and maintenance trips would be minimal, i.e., 17 peak hour trips per day (discussed further under Cumulative plus Project conditions). This would include 15 full-time employees (commute and lunch break trips) with any deliveries and/or visitors.

### 6.3 Project Trip Distribution

The Project is expected to "generate" and "attract" construction-related trips throughout the County and from other locations throughout the region. However, the majority of Project trips will be to/from the west and east on State Route 18. Remaining Project trips are expected to be to/from State Route 247 via northern and southern origins. Based upon existing traffic flow patterns, geographical location of Project area, location of lodging and/or employment bases, and previous traffic impact studies, these considerations resulted in a distribution of Project trip types throughout the study area shown in Figure 4 and as follows:
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## Estimated Project Trip Distribution:

- $50 \%$ to/from State Route 18 (Old Woman Springs Road) west of State Route 247
- 30\% to/from State Route 18 south of State Route 247
- $15 \%$ to/from State Route 247 (Barstow Road) north of Rabbit Springs Road
- 5\% to/from State Route 247 (Old Woman Springs Road) east of Granite Road


### 6.4 Project Area Access

Given the existing grid network of paved and semi-paved streets providing access to multiple development parcels, access to the Project area is provided by a variety of primary and secondary driveways. Access to the Project driveways would be gained via Barstow Road, Camp Rock Road, Old Woman Springs Road to parcels located in the southern portion of the development area. Parcels located in the northern half would gain access from Haynes Road and No End Road east of State Route 247.

### 6.5 Existing plus Project Intersection Operations

Existing plus Project weekday AM and PM peak hour intersection traffic operations were quantified by superimposing traffic volumes generated by the proposed Project onto Existing conditions (reference Figure 5). Table 6.1 shows the summary of the Existing plus Project roadway analysis and LOS conditions.

Table 6.1 - Existing plus Project Intersection Operations

|  | Intersection | Control Type ${ }^{1,2}$ | Target LOS | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# |  |  |  | Delay | LOS | Warrant Met? ${ }^{3}$ | Delay | LOS | Warrant Met? ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1 | Northside Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 11.2 | B | No | 10.6 | B | No |
| 2 | Northside Road/Huff Road | Yield | c | 9.4 | A | No | 7.6 | A | No |
| 3 | Granite Road/Harrod Road | TWSC | c | 8.6 | A | No | 9.5 | A | No |
| 4 | Granite Road/Camp Rock Road | TWSC | C | 9.0 | A | No | 9.1 | A | No |
| 5 | Wilshire Road/Lincoln Road | Yield | c | 7.8 | A | No | 7.5 | A | No |
| 6 | Rabbit Springs <br> Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 21.8 | C | No | 26.1 | D | No |
| 7 | Access Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 12.0 | B | No | 24.6 | C | No |

Notes:

1. TWSC $=$ Two Way Stop Control
2. LOS = Delay based on worst minor street approach for TWSC and Yield intersections
3. Warrant = Based on California MUTCD Warrant 3 (70\% Factor)
4. Bold = Unacceptable Conditions


As presented in Table 6.1, all intersections are forecasted to operate at acceptable LOS C conditions or better under Existing plus Project conditions scenario with one exception. The Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road intersection is projected to operate at LOS D during the PM peak hour. However, this intersection is not anticipated to meet Warrant 4 (70\%) under any scenario. Recommended improvements are identified in subsequent section of this report.

### 6.6 Existing plus Project Roadway Segment Operations

Table 6.2 contains a summary of the Existing plus Project roadway segment analysis and LOS conditions.
Table 6.2 Existing plus Project Roadway Segment LOS Conditions

|  |  |  | Facility Type <br> (\# of Lanes) | Target <br> LOS | Average <br> Daily <br> Traffic | LOS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \# | Roadway | Location |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. No $L T L$ arterials without left turn lanes (LTL) at most major intersections (within study area).
2. Daily volume to capacity on roadways does not supplant the need to perform peak-hour HCM-based analysis.

As presented in Table 6.2, all roadway segments are forecasted to operate at acceptable LOS A or B conditions under Existing plus Project conditions scenario.

## 7. Cumulative (2040) Conditions

San Bernadino County Transportation Authority (SBCTA) provided 2016 and 2040 outputs from their Regional Travel Demand Forecast Model (Model). The latest General Plans from all agencies land use and circulation elements in San Bernadino County are included in SBTA Model. GHD used the Model's 2016 (validated Base) and 2040 (Cumulative) traffic forecasts to identify the incremental change in traffic volumes by approach and applied the factor to known (existing) traffic counts to forecast 2040 traffic volumes. The count delta method forecasts adjustment is based upon the difference of recent counts from interpolation resulting from Base and Cumulative years. Following this process, GHD checked the forecasted turning movements for reasonableness considering existing and future circulation conditions.

### 7.1 Cumulative Intersection Operations

Cumulative traffic volumes were forecasted and are shown in Figure 6. Table 7.1 presents a summary of the Cumulative study intersection LOS conditions.

Table 7.1 - Cumulative Intersection Operations

|  | Intersection | Control Type ${ }^{1,2}$ | Target LOS | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# |  |  |  | Delay | LOS | Warrant <br> Met? ${ }^{3}$ | Delay | LOS | Warrant Met? ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1 | Northside Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 23.0 | C | No | 24.9 | C | No |
| 2 | Northside Road/Huff Road | Yield | C | 9.0 | A | No | 11.3 | B | No |
| 3 | Granite Road/Harrod Road | TWSC | C | 9.7 | A | No | 10.3 | B | No |
| 4 | Granite Road/Camp Rock Road | TWSC | C | 10.1 | B | No | 10.1 | B | No |
| 5 | Wilshire Road/Lincoln Road | Yield | C | 7.4 | A | No | 8.8 | A | No |
| 6 | Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | OVR | F | Yes | OVR | F | Yes |

Notes:

1. TWSC = Two Way Stop Control;
2. LOS = Delay based on worst minor street approach for TWSC and Yield intersections
3. Warrant = Based on California MUTCD Warrant 3 (70\% Factor)
4. Bold = Unacceptable Conditions
5. $O V R=>100$ seconds delay

Under Cumulative conditions, all of the study intersections, except for one, are forecasted to operate at acceptable LOS. Intersection \#6 (Rabbit Springs Road) is projected operate at LOS F condition and meets the Caltrans' Peak Hour Warrant 3 ( $70 \%$ ) under the Cumulative AM and PM peak hour scenarios. All recommended improvements, regardless of relationship to Project, are discussed in a subsequent section of this report.
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### 7.2 Cumulative Roadway Segment Operations

Table 7.2 contains a summary of the projected Cumulative roadway segment analysis and LOS conditions.

Table 7.2 Cumulative Roadway Segment LOS Conditions

| \# | Roadway | Location | Facility Type (\# of Lanes) | Target LOS | Average Daily Traffic | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Barstow Road | n/o Rabbit Springs Rd. | Two-Lane Collector | C | 6,270 | B |
| 2 | Northside Road | e/o Barstow Road | Two-Lane Collector | C | 890 | A |
| 3 | Rabbit Springs Rd. | e/o Barstow Road | Two-Lane Collector | C | 3,510 | A |
| 4 | State Route 247 | Camp Rock Rd.-SR 18 | Two-Lane Highway | C | 7,060 | A |
|  | State Route 247 | SR 18-Rabbit Springs Rd | Two-Lane Highway | C | 6,860 | A |
|  | State Route 247 | Rabbit Springs Rd.LVCR | Two-Lane Highway | C | 7,630 | A |
|  | State Route 18 | Lucerne Valley-Jct. SR247 | Two-Lane Highway | C | 10,900 | C |

Notes:

1. No $L T L$ arterials without left turn lanes (LTL) at most major intersections.
2. Daily volume to capacity on roadways does not supplant the need to perform peak-hour HCM-based analysis.

As presented in Table 7.2, all roadway segments are forecasted to operate at acceptable LOS A, B or C conditions under Cumulative conditions scenario.

## 8. Cumulative plus Project Conditions

Project trip generation under cumulative conditions are much lower than under Existing plus Project conditions that included development of the solar facility. Following completion of the construction phase, it is estimated that fifteen (15) employees will be assigned to the operations plant for maintenance and oversight. To calculate trip generation for post-construction activities, Table 8.1 was developed. It is assumed that that the 15 employees will generate 60 daily trips, including arrival and departure during the peak hour periods. It is further assumed that 4 daily trips would be generated by deliveries or "other" trip types.

Table 8.1 - Cumulative plus Project Trip Generation

| Land Use Category | Unit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Daily } \\ \text { Trip } \\ \text { Rate/Unit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AM Peak Hour Trip Rate/Unit |  |  | PM Peak Hour Trip Rate/Unit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total | In \% | Out \% | Total | In \% | Out \% |
| Solar Maintenance Worker | EMP | 4.0 | 0.25 | 100\% | 0\% | 0.25 | 0\% | 100\% |
|  | Quantity (Units) | Daily <br> Trips | AM Peak Hour Trips |  |  | PM Peak Hour Trips |  |  |
| Project Name |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Employee | 15 | 60 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 |
| Deliveries/Other | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Project Trips |  | 64 | 17 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 16 |

Notes:

1. Estimated based upon data provided by Project proponent.
2. Deliveries are shown during peak hours.
3. Errors due to rounding may occur.

As shown in Table 8.1, the Cumulative plus Project daily trip generation assumes 64 trips, with 17 trips occurring during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. 64 daily trips were distributed locally within Lucerne Valley.

### 8.1 Cumulative plus Project Intersection Operations

Cumulative plus Project traffic volumes were forecasted by applying traffic generated by the proposed Project (Table 8.1) onto Cumulative conditions (reference Figure 7). Cumulative plus Project weekday AM and PM peak hour intersection traffic operations are shown in Table 8.2.
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FIGURE 7

Table 8.2 - Cumulative plus Project Intersection Operations

| \# | Intersection | Control Type ${ }^{1,2}$ | Target LOS | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Delay | LOS | Warrant Met? ${ }^{3}$ | Delay | LOS | Warrant Met? ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1 | Northside Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 23.0 | C | No | 24.9 | C | No |
| 2 | Northside Road/Huff Road | Yield | C | 9.0 | A | No | 11.3 | B | No |
| 3 | Granite Road/Harrod Road | TWSC | C | 9.7 | A | No | 10.3 | B | No |
| 4 | Granite Road/Camp Rock Road | TWSC | C | 10.1 | B | No | 10.1 | B | No |
| 5 | Wilshire Road/Lincoln Road | Yield | C | 7.4 | A | No | 8.8 | A | No |
| 6 | Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | OVR | F | Yes | OVR | F | Yes |
| 7 | Access Road/Barstow Road | TWSC | C | 16.7 | C | No | 19.2 | C | No |
|  | s: <br> TWSC = Two Way Stop Control; LOS = Delay based on worst mino Warrant = Based on California MU <br> Bold $=$ Unacceptable Conditions <br> OVR = Overflow Conditions (>100 | street approa CD Warrant conds delay) | for TWS (70\% Fac | and Yield | tersection |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 8.2, six (6) of the study intersections are anticipated to operate at acceptable LOS under Cumulative plus Project conditions. However, Intersection \#6 (Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road) is projected to operate at unacceptable LOS conditions and meets the Caltrans' Peak Hour Warrant 3 (70\%) under the Cumulative plus Project AM and PM peak hour scenarios. Recommended improvements are discussed in a subsequent section of this report.

### 8.2 Cumulative Roadway Segment Operations

Table 8.3 contains a summary of the forecasted Cumulative roadway segment analysis and LOS conditions.
Table 8.3 Cumulative plus Project Roadway Segment LOS Conditions

| \# | Roadway | Facility Type <br> (\# of Lanes) | Target <br> LOS | Average <br> Daily <br> Traffic | LOS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Barstow Road | n/o Rabbit Springs Rd. | Two-Lane Collector | C | 6,270 | B |
| 2 | Northside Road | e/o Barstow Road | Two-Lane Collector | C | 890 | A |
| 3 | Rabbit Springs <br> Rd. | e/o Barstow Road | Two-Lane Collector | C | 3,510 | A |
| 4 | State Route 247 | Camp Rock Rd.-SR 18 | Two-Lane Highway | C | 7,060 | A |
|  | State Route 247 | SR 18-Rabbit Springs Rd | Two-Lane Highway | C | 6,870 | A |
|  | State Route 247 | Rabbit Springs Rd.-LVCR | Two-Lane Highway | C | 7,640 | A |
|  | State Route 18 | Lucerne Valley-Jct. SR247 | Two-Lane Highway | C | 10,900 | C |

## Notes:

1. No LTL arterials without left turn lanes (LTL) at most major intersections (within study area).
2. Daily volume to capacity on roadways does not supplant the need to perform peak-hour HCM-based analysis.

As presented in Table 8.3, all roadway segments are forecasted to operate at acceptable LOS A conditions under Cumulative plus Project conditions.

## 9. Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)

Transportation planners have used VMT as a metric for several purposes going back decades. VMT measures the amount of travel for all vehicles in a geographic region over a given period of time. It is calculated by adding up all the miles driven by all the cars and trucks on all the roadways in a region, or simply by multiplying the number of vehicles by distance in miles. This metric plays an integral role in the transportation planning, policymaking, and revenue estimation processes due to its ability to indicate travel demand and behavior.

Per CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b), VMT analysis under CEQA may be based on the following:

- Qualitative Analysis: If existing models or methods are not available to estimate VMT for the particular project being considered, a lead agency may analyze the project's VMT qualitatively. Such a qualitative analysis would evaluate factors such as the availability of transit, proximity to other destinations, etc. For many projects, a qualitative analysis of construction traffic may be appropriate.
- Methodology: A lead agency has discretion to choose the most appropriate methodology to evaluate a project's VMT, including whether to express the chance in absolute terms, per capita, per household or in any other measure. A lead agency may use models to estimate a project's vehicle miles traveled and may revise those estimates to reflect professional judgement based on substantial evidence. Any assumptions used to estimate vehicle miles traveled and any revisions to model outputs should be documented and explained in the environmental document prepared for the project.

CEQA Guidelines section 15064.3, subdivision (b) was adopted in December 2018 by the California Natural Resources Agency. These revisions to the CEQA Guidelines criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts are primarily focused on projects within transit priority areas and shifts the focus from driver delay to reduction of vehicular greenhouse gas emissions through creation of multimodal networks, and creation of a mix of land uses that can facilitate fewer and shorter vehicle trips.

VMT is a measure of the total number of miles driven for various purposes and is sometimes expressed as an average per trip or per person. Construction traffic would be temporary and would not permanently affect VMT characteristics in this part of San Bernardino County or elsewhere. Long-term, operational traffic would be limited, with a small work force of fifteen (15) employees. It was assumed that the employ resides near the Project, e.g., greater Lucerne Valley. According to technical guidance issued by the Office of Planning and Research (OPR), projects generating less than 110 or fewer daily vehicle trips may be presumed to have a less than significant impact involving VMT. This Project is anticipated to result in "Low VMT" based upon estimate of 64 daily trips and would have less than significant impact.

## 10. Recommended Improvements

This section presents a list of potential improvements to be considered for the study intersections and roadways based upon the results of the analysis presented in this report. Recommended improvements have been developed for worst case scenarios to achieve acceptable LOS conditions. The study intersections and roadway segments are projected to operate at acceptable LOS conditions if recommended improvements are implemented. Figure 7 identifies Mitigated Lane Geometrics and Control.

### 10.1 Existing Conditions

Under Existing Conditions, the study intersections and roadways currently operate at acceptable LOS. As such, no improvements at intersections or roadways are recommended.

### 10.2 Existing plus Project Conditions

Under Existing plus Project Conditions, the study intersections and roadways are projected to operate at acceptable LOS, with the exception of one intersection identified below. No improvement at other intersections or roadways are recommended.

Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road (State Route 247): This intersection is projected to operate a LOS "D" conditions during the PM peak hour. It does not meet Warrant 3 ( $70 \%$ ) under this scenario. Because this LOS deficiency is related to temporary construction impact, no improvements are recommended.

## It should be noted that Hours of Operation, regulated by San Bernardino County noise ordinance and

 identified earlier in this report, indicate that, "Temporary construction, maintenance, repair, or demolition activities between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., (except Sundays and Federal holidays)" are considered exempt from County noise regulations. Therefore, construction may occur during the a.m. peak (7:00-9:00 a.m.) and the p.m. peak (4:00 to 6:00 p.m.) commute periods, even though construction activities will occur throughout the day.
### 10.3 Cumulative Conditions

Under Cumulative Conditions, one (1) intersection is anticipated to operate at unacceptable LOS " F " conditions. As such the following improvements are recommended:

Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road (State Route 247): Install a traffic signal and widen northbound and southbound approaches to include dedicated left, thru and right lanes and widen the eastbound and westbound approaches to include dedicated left turn and thru-right movements. This intersection is forecasted to operate at LOS "D" conditions during the AM and PM peak hour and is anticipated to meet the Caltrans' Peak Hour Warrant 3 ( $70 \%$ ). With installation of a traffic signal and modification of approach lanes as described, this intersection is forecasted to operate at LOS "C" under AM and PM peak hour conditions as displayed in Appendix D.
[Note that according to the CA MUTCD, the satisfaction of a traffic signal warrant or warrants shall not in itself require the installation of a traffic control signal. Warrant 3 (70\%) is one of 9 traffic signal warrants. Should the County desire to pursue a future traffic signal at this location, an engineering study should be prepared to determine if installing a traffic control signal will improve the overall safety and/or operation of the intersection].

This recommended improvement is a non-project impact, i.e., this intersection is projected to operate poorly with or without the Project. Under this scenario, the applicant has no responsibility. The improvement is identified to assist the local jurisdiction is planning for future improvements at this location.

### 10.4 Cumulative plus Project Conditions

Under Cumulative plus Project Conditions, Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road (State Route 247) is again forecasted to operate at unacceptable LOS "F" conditions. Implementing recommended improvements identified under Cumulative Conditions will similarly result in LOS " C " conditions. The applicant is responsible for $1.08 \%$ of the Project cost (installation of a traffic signal and associated widening) based upon the number of trips generated by permanent employees following construction of the solar facility. This calculation is described below.

## 11. Pro Rata Share

The project applicant is charged with all improvement costs identified in this report that would benefit the proposed project, i.e., "plus Project" impacts. In circumstances where a project proponent will be receiving a substantial benefit from the identified improvements, the project should take full responsibility toward providing the necessary infrastructure, as is the case with CEQA mitigation measures. ${ }^{2}$

Table 11.1 below is a listing of the study intersection warranting improvements, the corresponding improvements that the proposed project would be required to pay a Fair-Share of Improvement cost towards, and the proposed project's equitable share of these improvements. The proposed project's equitable share is calculated using the method for calculating equitable mitigation measures outlined in the Caltrans Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies (State of California, DOT, December 2002), which is shown below:

$$
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{T} /\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)
$$

Where:
$\mathrm{P}=$ The equitable share for the proposed project's traffic impact.
$\mathrm{T}=$ The vehicle trips generated by the project during the peak hour of adjacent State highway facility in vehicles per hour (vph).
TB = The forecasted traffic volume on an impacted State highway facility at the time of general plan build-out (e.g., 20 -year model or the furthest future model date feasible), vph.

TE = The traffic volume existing on the impacted State highway facility plus other approved projects that will generate traffic that has yet to be constructed/opened, vph.

Note that the percent Fair-Share calculated using the above formula is reported based upon the highest fair share percentage from AM peak hour scenarios, which were higher than the PM peak hour.

Table 11.1 - Summary of Fair Share Calculations for Intersections

| $\#$ | Intersection | T | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | P |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Rabbit Springs Road/Barstow Road | 17 | 1,970 | 393 | $\mathbf{1 . 0 8 \%}$ |

According to the methodology described in Caltrans' Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies, Table 14 is neither intended as nor does it establish a legal standard for determining equitable responsibility and cost of the project's traffic impact; the intent is to provide:

1. A starting point for early discussions to address traffic mitigation equitably;
2. A means for calculating the equitable share for mitigating traffic impacts; and
3. A means for establishing rough proportionality [Dolan vs. City of Tigard, 1994, 512 U.S. 374 (114 S. Ct. 2309)].
${ }^{2}$ Caltrans' Guide for the Preparation of Traffic Impact Studies (December 2002).

Additionally, this formula is not intended in circumstances where a project proponent will be receiving a substantial benefit from the identified mitigation measures. In cases, (e.g., mid-block access and signalization to a shopping center) the project should take full responsibility to toward providing the necessary infrastructure.
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# Attachment A - ITE Trip Genera for Elementary School (520): Weekday, AM and PM Peak Periods 

## Elementary School (520)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Students
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 35
Avg. Num. of Students: 603
Directional Distribution: 54\% entering, 46\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Student

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.67 | $0.24-1.47$ | 0.27 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Elementary School (520)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Students
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 37
Avg. Num. of Students: 590
Directional Distribution: 48\% entering, 52\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Student

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.17 | $0.05-0.44$ | 0.11 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Elementary School (520)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Students On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 9
Avg. Num. of Students: 760
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Student

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.89 | $1.51-2.45$ | 0.34 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Attachment B - Project Trip Generation

## Attachment B: Sienna Solar Farm Project Construction Phase Trip Generation

| Phase |  | Construction Vehicles |  |  |  | Vehicle Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  | PCE Trip Generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ADT | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| Activity/Description | Duration |  | Description | \# | Vehicle Type | PCE | In | Out | Tot | In | Out | Tot | ADT | In | Out | Tot | In | Out | Tot |
| 1) Site Preparation | 79 Days | Workers | 100 | Passenger | 1.0 | 200 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 | 200 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 100 | 100 |
|  |  | Pickup Truck (On-site) | 5 | Passenger | 1.0 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | Water, Flatbed, Gravel, Concrete, Delivery Trucks, etc. | 25 | Large Truck | 2.0 | 50 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 100 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 130 |  |  | 260 | 107 | 2 | 109 | 2 | 107 | 109 | 310 | 109 | 4 | 113 | 4 | 109 | 113 |
| 2) Grading \& Earthwork | 79 Days | Workers | 400 | Passenger | 1.0 | 800 | 400 |  | 400 |  | 400 | 400 | 800 | 400 |  | 400 |  | 400 | 400 |
|  |  | Pickup Truck (On-site) | 5 | Passenger | 1.0 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | Water, Flatbed, Gravel, Concrete, Delivery Trucks, etc. | 25 | Large Truck | 2.0 | 50 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 100 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 430 |  |  | 860 | 409 | 4 | 413 | 4 | 409 | 413 | 910 | 413 | 8 | 421 | 8 | 413 | 421 |
| 3,4,5) Foundations, Steel, Elec. | 237 Days | Workers | 800 | Passenger | 1.0 | 1,600 | 800 |  | 800 |  | 800 | 800 | 1,600 | 800 |  | 800 |  | 800 | 800 |
|  |  | Pickup Truck (On-site) | 5 | Passenger | 1.0 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | Water, Flatbed, Gravel, Concrete, Delivery Trucks, etc. | 55 | Large Truck | 2.0 | 110 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 220 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 860 |  |  | 1,720 | 809 | 4 | 813 | 4 | 809 | 813 | 1,830 | 813 | 8 | 821 | 8 | 813 | 821 |
| 6) Collector Line Installation | 38 Days | Workers | 75 | Passenger | 1.0 | 150 | 75 |  | 75 |  | 75 | 75 | 150 | 75 |  | 75 |  | 75 | 75 |
|  |  | Pickup Truck (On-site) | 5 | Passenger | 1.0 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |
|  |  | Water, Flatbed, Gravel, Concrete, Delivery Trucks, etc. | 15 | Large Truck | 2.0 | 30 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 60 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 95 |  |  | 190 | 84 | 4 | 88 | 4 | 84 | 88 | 220 | 88 | 8 | 96 | 8 | 88 | 96 |
|  |  | TOTAL TRIPS |  |  |  | 3,030 | 1,409 | 14 | 1,423 | 14 | 1,409 | 1,423 | 3,270 | 1,423 | 28 | 1,451 | 28 | 1,423 | 1,451 |

PCE = Passenger Car Equivalent
Note: Rounding Errors May Occur

## Appendix A - AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Counts (Metro Traffic Data)

##  Metro Trafific Datalanc:

## Metro Traffic Data Inc.

Turning Movement Report 310 N. Irwin Street - Suite 20
Hanford, CA 93230
Prepared For:
GHD
943 Reserve Drive
800-975-6938 Phone/Fax Roseville, CA 95678

| LOCATION | Northside Rd @ Barstow Rd |
| ---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | San Bernardino |
| COLLECTION DATE | Tuesday, July 20, 2021 |


| LATITUDE | 34.5311 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -116.9452 |
| WEATHER | Clear |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7:00 AM - 7:15 AM | 0 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM - 7:30 AM | 0 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| 7:30 AM - 7:45 AM | 0 | 18 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM - 8:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 8:00 AM - 8:15 AM | 0 | 18 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 8:15 AM - 8:30 AM | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 8:30 AM - 8:45 AM | 0 | 27 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM - 9:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 113 | 16 | 32 | 23 | 100 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 17 | 5 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 4:00 PM - 4:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM - 4:30 PM | 0 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 4:30 PM - 4:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM - 5:00 PM | 0 | 27 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM - 5:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 5:15 PM - 5:30 PM | 0 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM - 5:45 PM | 0 | 21 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| 5:45 PM - 6:00 PM | 0 | 20 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 136 | 28 | 29 | 14 | 158 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 32 | 12 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK HOUR | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM | 0 | 70 | 10 | 22 | 13 | 50 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 |
| 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM | 0 | 77 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 74 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 22 | 8 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PHF | Trucks |
| AM |  |  |
|  | 0.853 | $22.3 \%$ |
| PM |  |  |



## 田 田 田 田 田 Metro Traticic Data Inc：

Metro Traffic Data Inc．
310 N．Irwin Street－Suite 20
Hanford，CA 93230
800－975－6938 Phone／Fax
www．metrotrafficdata．com

## Turning Movement Report

Prepared For：
GHD
943 Reserve Drive
Roseville，CA 95678

| LOCATION | Northside Rd＠Huff Rd |
| ---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | San Bernardino |
| COLLECTION DATE | Tuesday，July 20，2021 |


| LATITUDE | 34.5313 |
| :---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -116.9192 |
|  | Clear |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－7：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：15 AM－7：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：30 AM－7：45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 7：45 AM－8：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 8：00 AM－8：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 8：15 AM－8：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 8：30 AM－8：45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：45 AM－9：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 18 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 3 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 4：00 PM－4：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 4：15 PM－4：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 4：30 PM－4：45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：45 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 5：00 PM－5：15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：15 PM－5：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 5：30 PM－5：45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5：45 PM－6：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 9 | 3 | 40 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 21 | 8 | 7 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK HOUR | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：15 AM－8：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 2 |
| 5：00 PM－6：00 PM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 3 |



## 田 田 田 田 田 Metoto Tafficic Data Inc：

Metro Traffic Data Inc．
310 N．Irwin Street－Suite 20
Hanford，CA 93230
800－975－6938 Phone／Fax
www．metrotrafficdata．com

## Turning Movement Report

Prepared For：

GHD
943 Reserve Drive
Roseville，CA 95678

| LOCATION | Granite Rd＠Harrod Rd |
| ---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | San Bernardino |


| LATITUDE | 34.5017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -116.8579 |
|  |  |
| WEATHER Clear |  |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－7：15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7：15 AM－7：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7：30 AM－7：45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7：45 AM－8：00 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：00 AM－8：15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：15 AM－8：30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：30 AM－8：45 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：45 AM－9：00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 1 | 21 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 4：00 PM－4：15 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：15 PM－4：30 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：30 PM－4：45 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：45 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：00 PM－5：15 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5：15 PM－5：30 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5：30 PM－5：45 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：45 PM－6：00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 44 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK HOUR | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：30 AM－8：30 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4：00 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |



## 田 田 田 田 田 Metoto Tafficic Data Inc：

Metro Traffic Data Inc．
310 N．Irwin Street－Suite 20
Hanford，CA 93230
800－975－6938 Phone／Fax
www．metrotrafficdata．com

## Turning Movement Report

Prepared For：
GHD
943 Reserve Drive
Roseville，CA 95678
LOCATION＿＿Granite Rd＠Camp Rock Rd

COLLECTION DATE $\qquad$

LATITUDE $\qquad$
LONGITUDE $\qquad$
WEATHER $\qquad$

|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－7：15 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：15 AM－7：30 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：30 AM－7：45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：45 AM－8：00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：00 AM－8：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：15 AM－8：30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：30 AM－8：45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：45 AM－9：00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 4：00 PM－4：15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：15 PM－4：30 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：30 PM－4：45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：45 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：00 PM－5：15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：15 PM－5：30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：30 PM－5：45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：45 PM－6：00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK HOUR | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－8：00 AM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：00 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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## 田 田 田 田 田 Metro Traticic Data Inc：

Metro Traffic Data Inc．
310 N．Irwin Street－Suite 20 Hanford，CA 93230

800－975－6938 Phone／Fax
www．metrotrafficdata．com

## Turning Movement Report

Prepared For：
GHD
943 Reserve Drive
Roseville，CA 95678

| LOCATION | Wilshire Rd＠Lincoln Rd |
| ---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | San Bernardino |
| COLLECTION DATE | Tuesday，July 20，2021 |


| LATITUDE | 34.4728 |
| ---: | :---: |
| LONGITUDE | -116.8931 |
| WEATHER | Clear |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－7：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：15 AM－7：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：30 AM－7：45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7：45 AM－8：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：00 AM－8：15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：15 AM－8：30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：30 AM－8：45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：45 AM－9：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 4：00 PM－4：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：15 PM－4：30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：30 PM－4：45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：45 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：00 PM－5：15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：15 PM－5：30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：30 PM－5：45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5：45 PM－ $6: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK HOUR | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－8：00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4：30 PM－5：30 PM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## 田 止 田 田 田 田 Natro Traticic Data Inc：

Metro Traffic Data Inc．
310 N．Irwin Street－Suite 20
Hanford，CA 93230
800－975－6938 Phone／Fax
www．metrotrafficdata．com

## Turning Movement Report

Prepared For：
GHD
943 Reserve Drive
Roseville，CA 95678

| LOCATION | Rabbit Springs Rd＠Barstow Rd |
| ---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | San Bernardino |
| COLLECTION DATE | Tuesday，July 20，2021 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 7：00 AM－7：15 AM | 0 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 7：15 AM－7：30 AM | 0 | 16 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 7：30 AM－7：45 AM | 2 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 7：45 AM－8：00 AM | 1 | 16 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：00 AM－8：15 AM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：15 AM－8：30 AM | 0 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8：30 AM－8：45 AM | 0 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 2 |
| 8：45 AM－9：00 AM | 1 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 4 | 108 | 25 | 30 | 5 | 85 | 35 | 26 | 28 | 41 | 8 | 9 | 34 | 46 | 3 | 4 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 4：00 PM－4：15 PM | 1 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 |
| 4：15 PM－4：30 PM | 2 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 4：30 PM－4：45 PM | 1 | 20 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 0 |
| 4：45 PM－5：00 PM | 0 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| 5：00 PM－5：15 PM | 0 | 21 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 5：15 PM－5：30 PM | 1 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 5：30 PM－5：45 PM | 1 | 28 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 28 | 31 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 5：45 PM－6：00 PM | 1 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 128 | 60 | 33 | 9 | 162 | 79 | 41 | 41 | 55 | 8 | 1 | 53 | 52 | 12 | 2 |


|  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK HOUR | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks | Left | Thru | Right | Trucks |
| 8：00 AM－9：00 AM | 1 | 58 | 15 | 12 | 3 | 50 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 23 | 0 | 2 |
| 4：45 PM－5：45 PM | 2 | 71 | 33 | 15 | 3 | 79 | 54 | 24 | 23 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 33 | 20 | 6 | 2 |



Appendix B - Synchro 10 Worksheet Output Files

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 1 |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 15 | 7 | 77 | 17 | 13 | 58 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 15 | 7 | 77 | 17 | 13 | 58 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Mvmt Flow | 18 | 8 | 91 | 20 | 15 | 68 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 199 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 101 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 98 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.62 | 6.42 | - | - | 4.32 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.698 | 3.498 | - | - | 2.398 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 747 | 902 | - | - | 1363 | - |
| Stage 1 | 875 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 878 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 739 | 902 | - | - | 1363 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 739 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 875 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 868 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 9.7 |  | 0 |  | 1.4 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NB | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 784 | 1363 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.033 | 0.011 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 9.7 | 7.7 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.1 | 0 | - |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 11 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) 11Sign Control |  | 182 |  | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  | Free |  | Free |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 28 |  |  | 23 |  |  | 83 | 76 | 22 | 75 | 76 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 28 |  |  | 23 |  |  | 83 | 76 | 22 | 75 | 76 | 27 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.4 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.5 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.4 |  |  | 2.4 |  |  | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.5 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1438 |  |  | 1444 |  |  | 834 | 762 | 987 | 852 | 762 | 981 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 36 | 28 | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1438 | 1444 | 1700 | 965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 18.3\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  | ${ }_{*}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |  | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 |  |



|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 80 | 52 | 0 | 52 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.57 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 843 | 857 | 826 | 957 |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.8 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 7.3 |  | A |
| Level of Service | A | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $17.7 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |





| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 200 | 102 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 102 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 98 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.56 | 6.36 |  | - | 4.26 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.644 | 3.444 | - | - | 2.344 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 758 | 916 | - | - | 1394 | - |
| Stage 1 | 888 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 892 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 754 | 916 | - | - | 1394 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 754 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 888 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 888 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 796 | 1394 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.104 | 0.004 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10 | 7.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - |  | 0.3 | 0 | - |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 3 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 3 |



|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $t$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 8 | 4 | 2 | 5 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |
| Hadj (s) | 1.24 | 1.14 | 0.84 | 0.66 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.2 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 4.6 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 692 | 706 | 740 | 777 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.6 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.2 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 13.3\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{*}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{+}{4}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 23 | 31 | 12 | 39 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 75 | 39 | 3 | 83 | 54 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 23 | 31 | 12 | 39 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 75 | 39 | 3 | 83 | 54 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 32 | 43 | 17 | 54 | 28 | 8 | 11 | 104 | 54 | 4 | 115 | 75 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 15 | 8 | 78 | 17 | 93 | 99 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 15 | 8 | 78 | 17 | 93 | 99 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 18 | 9 | 92 | 20 | 109 | 116 |



|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 11 | 18 | 82 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) 11Sign Control |  | 18Free |  | 0 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  | Free | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |  |  | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 21 | 95 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 28 |  |  | 116 |  |  | 130 | 122 | 68 | 122 | 169 | 27 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 28 |  |  | 116 |  |  | 130 | 122 | 68 | 122 | 169 | 27 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.2 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.3 |  |  | 2.3 |  |  | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1535 |  |  | 1424 |  |  | 813 | 747 | 973 | 830 | 704 | 1026 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 129 | 28 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 95 | 2 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1535 | 1424 | 813 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 0.8 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.8 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 23.3\% |  | U Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






|  | $\stackrel{*}{4}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | , | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 26 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 40 | 26 | 162 | 28 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.03 | -0.52 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.03 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 768 | 781 | 853 | 946 |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 6.8 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.7 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 6.8 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 7.8 |  | A |
| Level of Service | A | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $18.7 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 1 | 87 | 485 | 40 | 74 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 1 | 87 | 485 | 40 | 74 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 1 | 95 | 527 | 43 | 80 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 525 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 622 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 359 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 166 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.55 | 6.35 | - | - | 4.25 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.55 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.55 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.635 | 3.435 | - | - | 2.335 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 491 | 657 | - | - | 899 | - |
| Stage 1 | 679 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 833 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 466 | 657 | - | - | 899 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 466 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 679 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 791 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12 |  | 0 |  | 3.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NB | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 516 | 899 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.006 | 0.048 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 12 | 9.2 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{\uparrow}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 51 | 102 | 120 | 20 | 6 | 77 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 51 | 102 | 120 | 20 | 6 | 77 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Mvmt Flow | 58 | 116 | 136 | 23 | 7 | 88 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 250 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 148 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 102 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.51 | 6.31 | - | - | 4.21 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.51 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.51 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.599 | 3.399 | - | - | 2.299 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 719 | 876 | - | - | 1367 | - |
| Stage 1 | 858 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 900 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 715 | 876 | - | - | 1367 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 715 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 858 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 896 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.6 |  | 0 |  | 0.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 815 | 1367 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.213 | 0.005 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.6 | 7.6 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.8 | 0 | - |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 8 |



|  | $\stackrel{*}{ }$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | ¢ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 82 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 109 | 4 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 8 | 4 | 4 | 113 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.17 | 0.07 | -0.08 | 0.05 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.0 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 807 | 825 | 891 | 898 |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 7.5 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 7.5 |  | A |
| Level of Service | A | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $14.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 23 | 31 | 12 | 80 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 77 | 40 | 3 | 568 | 54 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 23 | 31 | 12 | 80 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 77 | 40 | 3 | 568 | 54 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 25 | 34 | 13 | 87 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 84 | 43 | 3 | 617 | 59 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 17.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 486 | 41 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 127 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 486 | 41 | 100 | 2 | 1 | 127 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Mvmt Flow | 528 | 45 | 109 | 2 | 1 | 138 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{Y}$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 30 | 15 | 235 | 55 | 115 | 500 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 30 | 15 | 235 | 55 | 115 | 500 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Mvmt Flow | 33 | 16 | 255 | 60 | 125 | 543 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1078 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 285 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 793 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.62 | 6.42 | - | - | 4.32 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.698 | 3.498 | - | - | 2.398 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 222 | 709 | - | - | 1140 | - |
| Stage 1 | 720 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 413 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 187 | 709 | - | - | 1140 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 187 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 720 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 348 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 23 |  | 0 |  | 1.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 248 | 1140 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.197 | 0.11 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 23 | 8.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.7 | 0.4 | - |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 35 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 20 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 35 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 20 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 85 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Mumt Flow | 41 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 24 |



|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 47 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 94 | 41 | 6 | 24 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.03 | -0.57 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.6 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 858 | 867 | 810 | 950 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 7.4 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 21.0\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 319 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 90 | 95 | 175 | 125 | 70 | 0 | 65 | 200 | 100 | 25 | 530 | 105 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 90 | 95 | 175 | 125 | 70 | 0 | 65 | 200 | 100 | 25 | 530 | 105 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Mvmt Flow | 98 | 103 | 190 | 136 | 76 | 0 | 71 | 217 | 109 | 27 | 576 | 114 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 80 | 35 | 370 | 95 | 25 | 380 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 80 | 35 | 370 | 95 | 25 | 380 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Mvmt Flow | 91 | 40 | 420 | 108 | 28 | 432 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 962 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 528 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 474 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 488 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.56 | 6.36 | - | - | 4.26 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.644 | 3.444 | - | - | 2.344 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 268 | 563 | - | - | 972 | - |
| Stage 1 | 598 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 589 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 258 | 563 | - | - | 972 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 258 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 598 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 567 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 24.9 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 309 | 972 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.423 | 0.029 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 24.9 | 8.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | - |







|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $t$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 29 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 58 | 94 | 12 | 18 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |  |
| Hadj (s) | 1.24 | 1.14 | 0.84 | 0.74 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 665 | 675 | 665 | 675 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 19.4\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 135 | 55 | 285 | 145 | 45 | 35 | 310 | 165 | 15 | 405 | 265 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 135 | 55 | 285 | 145 | 45 | 35 | 310 | 165 | 15 | 405 | 265 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 125 | 169 | 69 | 356 | 181 | 56 | 44 | 388 | 206 | 19 | 506 | 331 |



HCM LOS

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 756 | - | - | - | - | 935 | - |

## Notes

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined *: All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{Y}$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 30 | 15 | 235 | 55 | 115 | 500 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 30 | 15 | 235 | 55 | 115 | 500 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Mvmt Flow | 33 | 16 | 255 | 60 | 125 | 543 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1078 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 285 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 793 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.62 | 6.42 | - | - | 4.32 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.698 | 3.498 | - | - | 2.398 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 222 | 709 | - | - | 1140 | - |
| Stage 1 | 720 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 413 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 187 | 709 | - | - | 1140 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 187 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 720 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 348 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 23 |  | 0 |  | 1.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 248 | 1140 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.197 | 0.11 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 23 | 8.5 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.7 | 0.4 | - |







|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 47 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 94 | 41 | 6 | 24 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.03 | -0.57 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.11 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 858 | 867 | 810 | 950 |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 7.4 |  | A |
| Level of Service | A | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $21.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3 | 344.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 90 | 95 | 175 | 125 | 70 | 0 | 65 | 216 | 100 | 25 | 531 | 105 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 90 | 95 | 175 | 125 | 70 | 0 | 65 | 216 | 100 | 25 | 531 | 105 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Mvmt Flow | 98 | 103 | 190 | 136 | 76 | 0 | 71 | 235 | 109 | 27 | 577 | 114 |




| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 902 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 334 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 326 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 576 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 308 | 715 | - | - | 1225 | - |
| Stage 1 | 731 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 562 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 308 | 715 | - | - | 1225 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 308 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 731 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 562 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 16.7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 308 | 1225 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.004 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 16.7 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 80 | 35 | 370 | 95 | 25 | 380 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 80 | 35 | 370 | 95 | 25 | 380 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Mvmt Flow | 91 | 40 | 420 | 108 | 28 | 432 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 962 | 474 | 0 | 0 | 528 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 474 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 488 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.56 | 6.36 | - | - | 4.26 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.56 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.644 | 3.444 | - | - | 2.344 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 268 | 563 | - | - | 972 | - |
| Stage 1 | 598 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 589 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 258 | 563 | - | - | 972 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 258 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 598 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 567 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 24.9 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 309 | 972 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.423 | 0.029 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 24.9 | 8.8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | - |


|  | 3 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 150 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 10 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 150 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Yield |  |  | Yield |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 13 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 188 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 57 |  |  | 63 |  |  | 328 | 146 | 63 | 142 | 140 | 50 |
| VC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 57 |  |  | 63 |  |  | 328 | 146 | 63 | 142 | 140 | 50 |
| tC , single (s) | 4.2 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.3 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.3 |  |  | 2.3 |  |  | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 99 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 81 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1498 |  |  | 1490 |  |  | 492 | 725 | 980 | 799 | 731 | 995 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 76 | 57 | 12 | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 13 | 0 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 13 | 0 | 188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1498 | 1490 | 586 | 988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 26.1\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |






5: Lincoln Rd \& Wilshire Rd



| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 58 | 94 | 12 | 18 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |  |
| Hadj (s) | 1.24 | 1.14 | 0.84 | 0.74 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.1 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.03 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 665 | 675 | 665 | 675 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.8 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.8 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 19.4\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 100 | 135 | 55 | 285 | 145 | 45 | 35 | 311 | 165 | 15 | 421 | 265 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 100 | 135 | 55 | 285 | 145 | 45 | 35 | 311 | 165 | 15 | 421 | 265 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mvmt Flow | 125 | 169 | 69 | 356 | 181 | 56 | 44 | 389 | 206 | 19 | 526 | 331 |



HCM LOS

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 742 | - | - | - | - | 934 | - |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.059 | - | - | - | - | 0.02 | - |

## Notes

$\sim$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 1 |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 16 | 0 | 456 | 1 | 0 | 460 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 16 | 0 | 456 | 1 | 0 | 460 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 17 | 0 | 496 | 1 | 0 | 500 |



## Appendix C - California MUTCD Peak Hour Warrant 3 (70\%) Worksheets

| Both 1 Lane Approac |  | 2 or more Lane and One Lane Approaches |  | Both 2 or more Lane Approaches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach | Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach | Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach |
| 400 | 265 | 400 | 340 | 400 | N/A |
| 500 | 210 | 500 | 290 | 500 | 375 |
| 600 | 180 | 600 | 240 | 600 | 310 |
| 700 | 150 | 700 | 200 | 700 | 260 |
| 800 | 90 | 800 | 175 | 800 | 220 |
| 900 | 100 | 900 | 140 | 900 | 180 |
| 1000 | 85 | 1000 | 120 | 1000 | 150 |
| 1100 | 75 | 1100 | 95 | 1150 | 100 |
| 1200 | 75 | 1200 | 80 | 1200 | 100 |
| 1300 | 75 | 1250 | 75 | 1300 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Peak Hour Volume (Warrant 3) 70\% Factor


* NOTE:

100 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR MINOR STREET
APPROACH WITH TWO OR MORE LANES AND 75 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR A MINOR STREET APPROACHING WITH ONE LANE.

| Existing Plus Project (AM/PM) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach | Barstow Rd | Number of Lanes |
| Minor Approach | Rabbit Springs Rd | 1 |
|  | AM Peak | 1 |
| Major St. Volume: | 738 | PM Peak |
| Minor St. Volume: | 64 | 750 |
| Warrant Met?: | No | 106 |


| Both 1 Lane Approac |  | 2 or more Lane and | Lane Approaches | Both 2 or more Lane | proaches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach | Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach | Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach |
| 400 | 265 | 400 | 340 | 400 | N/A |
| 500 | 210 | 500 | 290 | 500 | 375 |
| 600 | 180 | 600 | 240 | 600 | 310 |
| 700 | 150 | 700 | 200 | 700 | 260 |
| 800 | 90 | 800 | 175 | 800 | 220 |
| 900 | 100 | 900 | 140 | 900 | 180 |
| 1000 | 85 | 1000 | 120 | 1000 | 150 |
| 1100 | 75 | 1100 | 95 | 1150 | 100 |
| 1200 | 75 | 1200 | 80 | 1200 | 100 |
| 1300 | 75 | 1250 | 75 | 1300 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Peak Hour Volume (Warrant 3) 70\% Factor

is NOTE:
100 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR MINOR STREET
APPROACH WITH TWO OR MORE LANES AND 75 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR A MINOR STREET APPROACHING WITH ONE LANE.

| Existing Plus Project (AM/PM) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Major Approach | Barstow Rd | Number of Lanes |
| Minor Approach | Access Road | 1 |
|  | AM Peak | 1 |
| Major St. Volume: | 686 | PM Peak |
| Minor St. Volume: | 3 | 230 |
| Warrant Met?: | No | 527 |


| Both 1 Lane Approac |  | 2 or more Lane and One Lane Approaches |  | Both 2 or more Lane Approaches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach | Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach | Major Street Total of Both Approaches | Minor Street High Volume Approach |
| 400 | 265 | 400 | 340 | 400 | N/A |
| 500 | 210 | 500 | 290 | 500 | 375 |
| 600 | 180 | 600 | 240 | 600 | 310 |
| 700 | 150 | 700 | 200 | 700 | 260 |
| 800 | 90 | 800 | 175 | 800 | 220 |
| 900 | 100 | 900 | 140 | 900 | 180 |
| 1000 | 85 | 1000 | 120 | 1000 | 150 |
| 1100 | 75 | 1100 | 95 | 1150 | 100 |
| 1200 | 75 | 1200 | 80 | 1200 | 100 |
| 1300 | 75 | 1250 | 75 | 1300 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Peak Hour Volume (Warrant 3) 70\% Factor


## is NOTE: <br> 100 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR MINOR STREET <br> APPROACH WITH TWO OR MORE LANES AND 75 VPH APPLIES AS THE LOWER THRESHOLD VOLUME FOR A MINOR STREET APPROACHING WITH ONE LANE.

| 2040 (AM/PM) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Lanes |
| Major Approach | Barstow Rd | 1 |
| Minor Approach | Rabbit Springs Rd | 1 |
|  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Major St. Volume: | 1,025 | 1,195 |
| Minor St. Volume: | 360 | 475 |
| Warrant Met?: | Yes | Yes |

## Appendix D - Mitigated Synchro 10 LOS Output Worksheets





[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ San Bernadino County, Transportation Impact Study Guidelines, July 9, 2019.

[^1]:    * Note: Values in Table are approximate, actual curves based upon 2nd order polynomial equation

[^2]:    * Note: Values in Table are approximate, actual curves based upon 2nd order polynomial equation

[^3]:    * Note: Values in Table are approximate, actual curves based upon 2nd order polynomial equation

