

P.O. Box 9496 Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067-4496 T: 858.692.0760 F: 858.832.1402 wayne@changconsultants.com

December 26, 2018

Adam Guernsey Harrison, Temblador, Hungerford & Johnson LLP 2801 T Street Sacramento, CA 95816

#### Subject: Devil Creek Diversion Channel

Dear Adam:

The Devil Creek Diversion Channel (Channel) conveys storm flows in a southwest direction along Vulcan Materials Company's future Cajon Creek Area Q mining site in San Bernardino County. This reach is a concrete trapezoidal channel and confluences with the Cajon Wash near the south end of Area Q. The Federal Emergency Management Agency has mapped a Zone A floodplain along the channel (see the attached floodplain map). A Zone A floodplain is an approximate 100-year floodplain. Detailed engineering analyses were not performed to establish the floodplain, so the water surface elevations are unknown and the delineation is not precise. The FEMA mapping shows the floodplain extending beyond the Channel and into a portion of Area Q. I have performed research to assess the accuracy of the FEMA floodplain. As discussed below, 100-year and greater flows will be contained within the Channel and will have no impact on, or be impacted by, Vulcan's future operations in Area Q.

Tetra Tech prepared two July 2009 reports titled *Devil Creek Diversion Channel, County of San Bernardino, California, Hydrologic Analysis* and *Devil Creek Diversion Channel, County of San Bernardino, California, Hydraulic Analysis.* These reports contain detailed engineering analyses of the Channel and its watershed as part of a levee study. The levee reach is just upstream of the Area Q reach, but the analyses extend into Area Q. The San Bernardino County Flood Control District stated that the reports were approved. Relevant excerpts from the reports are attached.

The *Hydrologic Analysis* report determined the 100-year flow rate in the Channel just upstream of Area Q. The Watershed Map shows that the watershed area tributary to the Channel as it enters Area Q is 9.78 square miles. Table 9 indicates that the 100-year flow rate contributed from this area is 4,380 cubic feet per second (cfs). The flow rate along Area Q will be similar because the additional tributary drainage area added along Area Q is minor compared to the 9.78 square mile watershed area.

The *Hydraulic Analysis* report contains a HEC-RAS analysis of the Channel from Cajon Creek to upstream of Area Q. The report includes tabulated results, a work map showing cross-section locations, and cross-section plots. Area Q is located along HEC-RAS cross-section -29+35.00 to

27+49.42. The Table 1 results indicate that the 100-year flow depths along Area Q vary between 6.22 to 12.21 feet. The cross-section plots show that the channel has several feet of freeboard over these depths, so the 100-year flow will be contained with excess capacity.

I performed a normal depth analysis based on the average longitudinal slope and channel crosssection along Area Q using topographic mapping provided by Vulcan. The attached results show that the approximate capacity is just over 12,000 cfs. The *Hydrologic Analysis* report determined a 500-year flow rate of 11,800 cfs, so the channel can convey an extreme event above the 100-year design storm.

In summary, Tetra Tech's detailed engineering analyses of the Devil Creek Diversion Channel along Area Q are more accurate than FEMA's approximate Zone A floodplain. Tetra Tech's analyses were approved by the San Bernardino County Flood Control District. The Channel and watershed conditions have not changed significantly since the 2009 studies. Based on this, the 100-year and greater flows will be contained within the Channel and will have no impact on, or be impacted by, Vulcan's future operations in Area Q.

Sincerely,

Wayne W. Chang, M.S., P.E.

Enclosures



C. REVIEW FEE

Has the review fee for the appropriate request category be	e review fee for the appropriate request category been included?			Fee amo	unt: \$	
				nanation	Exemptions	
Please see the DHS-FEWIA Web site at http://www.lehi	D. SIGN			iounto une		
	reat to the heat of m		dorstand that an	v falso stat	ament may be punishable by	
fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Con	ode, Section 1001.	iy knowledge. Tur		y laise state	ement may be punishable by	
Name: Granville M. Bowman		Company: Coun	ity of San Bernard	dino		
Mailing Address: 825 E Third Street, Room 101		Daytime Telephone No.: 909-387-7906 Fax No.: 909-387-			Fax No.: 909-387-7911	
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835	/	E-Mail Address: gbowman@dpw.sbcounty.gov				
Signature of Requester (required):	Junor	~	Date: 7/23	3/09		
As the community official responsible for floodplain management, I hereby acknowledge that we have received and reviewed this Letter of Map Revision (LOMR) or conditional LOMR request. Based upon the community's review, we find the completed or proposed project meets or is designed to meet all of the community floodplain management requirements, including the requirement that no fill be placed in the regulatory floodway, and that all necessary Federal, State, and local permits have been, or in the case of a conditional LOMR, will be obtained. In addition, we have determined that the land and any existing or proposed structures to be removed from the SFHA are or will be reasonably safe from flooding as defined in 44CFR 65.2(c), and that we have available upon request by FEMA, all analyses and documentation used to make this determination.						
Community Official's Name and Title: Granville M. Bowma	an, Flood Control E	ngineer	Community Na	me: Count	y of San Bernardino	
Mailing Address:		Daytime Telephone No.: 909-387-7906 Fax No.: 909-387		Fax No.: 909-387-7911		
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835		E-Mail Address: gbowman@dpw.sbcounty.gov			jov	
Community Official's Signature (required):	no-	Date: 223	109			
CERTIFICATION BY REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AND/OR LAND SURVEYOR						
This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed land surveyor, registered professional engineer, or architect authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.2(b) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.						
Certifier's Name: Yen Hsu Chen		License No.: 37763		Expira	Expiration Date: 12-31-201	
Company Name: Tetra Tech Inc.		Telephone No.: (949) 250-6788		Fax N	Fax No.: (949) 250-6776	
Signature:			Date:	07/24/09		
Ensure the forms that are appropriate to your revision	n request are inclu	ded in your subn	nittal.		AFFOR	
Form Name and (Number)	Required if				ED PROFESSIONA	
☑ Riverine Hydrology and Hydraulics Form (Form 2)	New or revised dis	charges or water-	surface elevation	s S	TEN-HOU CHER ER	
☑ Riverine Structures Form (Form 3)	Channel is modifie addition/revision o	ed, addition/revisio f levee/floodwall, a	n of bridge/culver addition/revision o	nts,	No 27763	
Coastal Analysis Form (Form 4)	Coastal Analysis Form (Form 4) New or revised co			coastal elevations		
Coastal Structures Form (Form 5)	Coastal Structures Form (Form 5) Addition/revision o			ion of coastal structure		
Alluvial Fan Flooding Form (Form 6)	Alluvial Fan Flooding Form (Form 6) Flood control measures on alluvial fans			F OF CALIFOR		

# **County of San Bernardino Certification of Tetra Tech Studies**

## **Devil Creek Diversion Channel County of San Bernardino, California**

FEMA ID No.: 70 County System No.: 2-307-1A Flood Source: Devil and Bailey Canyons and West Badger Channel Communities: City of San Bernardino

Hydrologic Analysis

**July 2009** 



streamflow data and the FEMA-approved analytical approach (Bulletin #17B). The Standard Project Flood (SPF) peak discharge documented in design memorandums for Devil Creek Diversion Channel is 16,500 cfs.

Table 9 – Adopted Discharge-Frequency				
Results for Devil Creek Diversion Channel				
(D.A. = 9.78  sq. mi.)				
	Adopted Discharge-			
Return Frequency (years)	Frequency Results for			
	Devil Creek Diversion			
	Channel <sup>1</sup> (Flow, cfs)			
2	125			
5	410			
10	790			
20	1,400			
50	2,740			
100	<mark>4,380</mark>			
200	6,820			
500	11,800			

In order to perform a risk based analysis it was necessary to determine the appropriate equivalent record length to describe uncertainty based on the USACE's EM 1110-2-1619 (Risk-Based Analysis for Flood Damage Reduction Studies). Using the equivalent record length guidelines in Table 4-5 of the EM, 60 years should be used as the equivalent record length for the Devil Creek Diversion channel discharge-frequency relationship based on: (1) using 75 percent of the 81 year period of record for the Devil Canyon Creek gage, and (2) the fact that the USGS regional flood frequency analysis results were consistent with the adopted results at the Devil Diversion Channel.



Figure 3 – Devil Creek Diversion Channel Watershed Map

## **Devil Creek Diversion Channel County of San Bernardino, California**

FEMA ID No.: 70 County System No.: 2-307-1A Flood Source: Devil and Bailey Canyons and West Badger Channel Communities: City of San Bernardino

**Hydraulic Analysis** 

July 2009



#### **Starting Water Surface Elevations**

The critical flow depth elevations for each magnitude flood event were used as the starting upstream water surface elevation for each water surface profile. The computed water surface elevations along Cajon Creek at its confluence with the channel were used as the downstream controlling water surface elevations.

#### **Determination of Stage-Discharge Uncertainty**

Corps of Engineers guidelines for certification of levee systems are based on explicit incorporation of risk in assessing levee performance for hydrology and hydraulic engineering aspects. Hence a determination of stage-discharge uncertainty was performed in accordance with Chapter 5 of the Corps Engineering Manual 1110-2-1619 (Risk-Based Analysis for Flood Damage Reduction Studies). The results from the stage-discharge study are included in the Risk and Uncertainty Analysis Report.

#### **HEC-RAS Results**

A risk-based analysis of levee performance requires water surface profile determinations for a wide range of flow magnitudes. Water surface profiles were computed for the 2-year through 1000-year flood events using discharge frequency values determined in the Tetra Tech hydrologic analysis. Since FEMA certification requirements are based on the 100-year flood event (one percent chance exceedance flood), hydraulic results summarized below display only the 100-year flood event results. The hydrologic analysis was conducted using the 100-year peak discharges determined in the Tetra Tech hydrologic analysis of 4,380-cfs and 7,690-cfs at beginning of the channel and at the Cable Creek confluence, respectively. Table 1 shows the computed channel hydraulics of the 100-year peak discharge based on the mixed flow regime analysis. The computed water surface profile was plotted on Figure 2. The HEC-RAS computer printouts are presented in Appendix A.

T	Table 1100-year Flood Event Computed Channel Hydraulics					;	
HEC- RAS Station	100-Year Discharge (cfs)	Minimum Channel Elevation (ft.)	Estimated Water Surface Elevation (ft.)	Maximum Flow Depth (ft.)	Average Flow Velocity (ft./sec.)	Top Width (ft.)	Froude Number
77+10.77	4,380	1,576.72	1,579.69	2.97	9.83	150.00	1.00
76+31.91	4,380	1,565.47	1,566.63	1.16	27.12	139.50	4.44
75+48.36	4,380	1,555.27	1,556.35	1.08	31.58	128.40	5.35
73+94.91	4,380	1,555.27	1,557.31	2.04	19.93	107.90	2.46
72+49.94	4,380	1,552.28	1,559.29	7.01	7.06	89.27	0.47
70+90.61	4,380	1,551.44	1,558.68	7.24	8.99	67.30	0.59
69+38.99	4,380	1,550.29	1,557.02	6.73	13.01	50.00	0.88
68+81.71	Kendall Drive Bridge						

68+31.02	4,380	1,548.49	1,556.57	8.08	10.84	50.00	0.67
66+38.71	4,380	1,548.74	1,555.63	6.89	12.72	50.00	0.85
64+52.92	4,380	1,548.08	1,555.71	7.63	11.48	50.00	0.73
62+77.38	4,380	1,547.98	1,555.44	7.46	11.74	50.00	0.76
61+09.99	4,380	1,547.84	1,555.19	7.35	11.91	50.00	0.77
59+54.95	4,380	1,547.43	1,555.17	7.74	11.33	50.00	0.72
57+90.35	4,380	1,547.17	1,555.01	7.84	11.17	50.00	0.70
56+44.24	4,380	1,547.22	1,554.61	7.39	11.85	50.00	0.77
54+93.14	4,380	1,547.02	1,554.42	7.40	11.83	50.00	0.77
53+37.31	4,380	1,546.76	1,554.26	7.50	11.69	50.00	0.75
52+82.68		I-215	Freeway Brid	ge (Upstream	end of Lev	ee)	
51+59.66	4,380	1,546.43	1,554.09	7.66	11.44	50.00	0.73
50+25.34	4,380	1,546.20	1,553.97	7.77	11.28	50.00	0.71
48+65.43	4,380	1,546.14	1,553.71	7.57	11.57	50.00	0.74
47+36.18	4,380	1,546.23	1,553.03	6.80	12.87	50.00	0.87
46+79.95			Industri	al Pkwy. Brid	ge		
46+24.43	4,380	1,545.60	1,552.40	6.80	12.89	50.00	0.87
44+75.15	4,380	1,545.35	1,552.17	6.82	12.85	50.00	0.87
43+10.12	4,380	1,545.15	1,551.80	6.65	13.18	50.00	0.90
41+41.01	4,380	1,544.85	1,551.03	6.18	14.17	50.00	1.00
39+89.99	4,380	1,544.06	1,549.94	5.88	14.89	50.00	1.08
38+05.32	4,380	1,541.90	1,546.38	4.48	19.56	50.00	1.63
36+15.66	4,380	1,539.66	1,543.73	4.07	21.52	50.00	1.88
34+42.94	4,380	1,537.69	1,541.59	3.90	22.44	50.00	2.00
32+72.56	4,380	1,536.14	1,540.03	3.89	22.49	50.00	2.01
31+11.43	4,380	1,534.11	1,539.12	5.01	21.85	40.00	1.72
29+64.18	4,380	1,532.47	1,537.25	4.78	22.92	40.00	1.85
29+14.02		BNSF R	ailroad Bridg	e (Downstream	n End of L	evee)	
28+74.28	4,380	1,531.42	1,536.13	4.71	23.26	40.00	1.89
28+41.60	4,380	1,530.95	1,535.54	4.59	23.85	40.00	1.96
27+90.49			Cajon	Blvd. Bridge			
27+49.42	4,380	1,529.46	1,541.06	11.60	5.03	75.00	0.26
26+91.83	7,690	1,529.38	1,541.05	11.67	8.79	75.00	0.45
26+28.36	7,690	1,528.67	1,534.89	6.22	20.78	79.55	1.70
25+40.42	7,690	1,528.34	1,539.49	11.15	8.66	110.78	0.54
24+34.11	7,690	1,527.65	1,539.35	11.70	8.98	103.42	0.55
23+21.91	7,690	1,527.00	1,538.89	11.89	10.19	92.87	0.63
22+00.73	7,690	1,526.00	1,538.21	12.21	11.75	81.34	0.73
20+81.76	7,690	1,525.20	1,536.50	11.30	15.22	70.66	1.00
19+66.33	7,690	1,525.00	1,535.64	10.64	16.06	69.57	1.07
18+45.60	7,690	1,524.00	1,534.97	10.97	15.80	69.66	1.02
17+21.77	7,690	1,523.56	1,533.90	10.34	16.71	67.75	1.10
15+93.19	7,690	1,523.00	1,533.28	10.28	16.60	69.57	1.09
14+65.63	7,690	1,522.00	1,532.32	10.32	16.82	68.95	1.10
13+31.79	7,690	1,521.00	1,531.13	10.13	17.10	68.55	1.12

Channel along Area Q in red. 4

11+81.31	7,690	1,519.17	1,529.31	10.14	18.60	65.29	1.24
10+55.47	7,690	1,519.00	1,529.21	10.21	16.56	70.54	1.11
09+42.19	7,690	1,517.87	1,527.14	9.27	19.42	64.68	1.37
08+32.22	7,690	1,517.00	1,525.70	8.70	20.95	62.86	1.53
07+02.70	7,690	1,516.00	1,524.29	8.29	22.10	61.03	1.61
05+83.29	7,690	1,515.00	1,523.29	8.29	22.66	60.61	1.69
04+44.43	7,690	1,513.15	1,521.50	8.35	24.01	58.04	1.80
03+33.02	7,690	1,513.00	1,520.97	7.97	23.81	59.82	1.80
02+13.23	7,690	1,512.00	1,519.84	7.84	24.34	58.75	1.85
00+93.61	7,690	1,510.41	1,518.50	8.09	25.02	57.28	1.90
00+14.84	7,690	1,510.00	1,517.89	7.89	25.14	57.64	1.92
-29+35.00	7,690	1,485.00	1,492.44	7.44	27.45	55.55	2.15

The computed water surface elevations with respect to the top of levee elevations are summarized in Table 2 for the 100-year flood event. Figure 2 depicts the profile of the top of levee, current channel invert, and computed water surface along the channel's leveed reach. The table and figure indicate that the top of levee is a minimum of 10.11-feet higher than the computed water surface for the 100-year flood event.

Table 2100-year Flood Event Computed Water Surface Elevations versus Top of Levee Elevations						
	Top of	Channel	Computed 100-year Water		FEMA	
HEC-	Levee	Invert	Surface		Required	
RAS	Elevation	Elevation	Elevation	Freeboard	Freeboard	
Station	(ft.)	(ft.)	( <b>ft.</b> )	(ft.)	(ft.)	
52+82.68	I-2	15 Freeway E	Bridge (Upstre	am end of Le	vee)	
51+59.66	1564.96	1546.43	1554.09	10.87	3.5	
50+25.34	1564.09	1546.20	1553.97	10.12	3	
48+65.43	1564.32	1546.14	1553.71	10.61	3	
47+36.18	1566.44	1546.23	1553.03	13.41	3	
46+24.43	1566.05	1545.60	1552.40	13.65	3	
44+75.15	1567.29	1545.35	1552.17	15.12	3	
43+10.12	1566.84	1545.15	1551.8	15.04	3	
41+41.01	1564.89	1544.85	1551.03	13.86	3	
39+89.99	1562.82	1544.06	1549.94	12.88	3	
38+05.32	1560.45	1541.90	1546.38	14.07	3	
36+15.66	1559.59	1539.66	1543.73	15.86	3	
34+42.94	1558.24	1537.69	1541.59	16.65	3	
32+72.56	1553.86	1536.14	1540.03	13.83	3	
31+11.43	1549.23	1534.11	1539.12	10.11	3	
29+64.18	1551.59	1532.47	1537.25	14.34	3	
29+14.02	BNSF Railroad Bridge (Downstream End of Levee)					



Figure 1 – (Continued)









### **Normal Depth Analysis**

Project Description		
Friction Mothod	Manning Formula	
Solve For		
	Discharge	
Input Data		
Roughness Coefficient	0.014	
Channel Slope	0.00300	ft/ft
Normal Depth	12.00	ft
Left Side Slope	2.25	ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope	2.25	ft/ft (H:V)
Bottom Width	20.00	ft
Results		
Discharge	12146.94	ft <sup>3</sup> /s
Flow Area	564.00	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	79.09	ft
Hydraulic Radius	7.13	ft
Top Width	74.00	ft
Critical Depth	14.05	ft
Critical Slope	0.00152	ft/ft
Velocity	21.54	ft/s
Velocity Head	7.21	ft
Specific Energy	19.21	ft
Froude Number	1.38	
Flow Type	Supercritical	
GVF Input Data		
Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Lenath	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	
GVF Output Data		
Unotroom Donth	0.00	4
Brofile Description	0.00	it.
Profile Headless	0.00	#
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Linstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Denth	12 00	ft
Critical Depth	14 05	 ft
Channel Slope	0.00300	 ft/ft
	0.00000	

**Worksheet for Trapezoidal Channel - 1** 

12/4/2018 8:20:14 PM 2

 Bentley Systems, Inc.
 Haestad Methods SoBititute Genter Master V8i (SELECTseries 1) [08.11.01.03]

 27 Siemons Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666
 Page 1 of 2