NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) SCREENING

“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Ave.
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Prepared For:
www.cbre.com/Assessment
February 10, 2020

Michael Carmignani  
AT&T Mobility  
1452 Edinger Ave.  
Tustin, CA 92780

Re: NEPA Screening  
“CSL04366”  
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Ave., Yermo, CA 92398  
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Client Project Number: CSL04366

Dear Michael Carmignani:

CBRE Telecom Services, Inc. (CBRE) was retained by AT&T Mobility (AT&T) to prepare an environmental screening pursuant to NEPA (40 CFR 1500-1508) and NEPA procedures required by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) (47 CFR, Chapter 1, Part 1, Subpart I, §1.1301 to 1.1320). The Subject Property is located south of Yermo Road, north of Union Pacific Avenue in Yermo, San Bernardino County, California. The area of the proposed installation currently consists of an unpaved, dirt parking area adjacent to an abandoned building. The surrounding area consists of limited residential and commercial development, as well as areas of undeveloped land.

AT&T Mobility, LLC proposes to construct a new telecommunications facility at the Subject Property. The facility will include a 120-foot monopole and associated support equipment to be located within a fenced compound on a 20-foot by 30-foot lease area. Support equipment will include a walk-in cabinet, an emergency generator and a utility H-frame. Twelve panel antennas, four per sector, will be installed at a centerline height of 116 feet above ground level (AGL). Two microwave dish antennas will be installed at a centerline height of 106 feet AGL. An underground power/telco trench will be routed from the lease area to an existing utility pole. Access to the facility will be proved along an existing dirt driveway (herein referred to as the “Undertaking”). Please refer to the Undertaking drawings in the Appendix for complete details.

This review has been prepared to address the potential adverse environmental impacts associated with the proposed Undertaking. Environmental characteristics of the Undertaking were screened against the criteria listed in 47 CFR Subpart I - Procedures Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

This report concludes that the proposed installation will not result in a significant environmental effect per the criteria outlined in 47 CFR Subpart I - Procedures Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. As such the preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA) is not required.
CBRE is pleased to submit this copy of our NEPA Screening in connection with the above-referenced property. Thank you for letting us be of service and please do not hesitate to reach out to your CBRE contact for further information.

Sincerely,

CBRE Telecom Services, Inc.

Christopher Bond  
Project Manager, Biologist

E. Gio Del Rivero  
Director, NEPA
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OWNED NEPA REVIEW

All holdings and affiliates of Cingular Wireless (including affiliated tower companies) must complete this form for all new site construction and/or site modifications to owned structures.

PROJECT INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCC Mkt.</th>
<th>Type of Structure/Tower: Monopole</th>
<th>SpectraSite managed site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID#______</td>
<td>Site ID: ______</td>
<td>Site Name: CSL04366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site ID: ______</td>
<td>Site Name: CSL04366</td>
<td>SpectraSite managed site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BU#______</td>
<td>Type of Action: New Tower Construction</td>
<td>Audit/Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(FULL NEPA required)</td>
<td>EA Required? _____</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance NEPA on file with FCC Group: [ ] Yes [ ] No
Will this involve: [X] Top mounting [ ] Side mounting

Explain what you will be doing at the site?
AT&T Mobility, LLC proposes to construct a new telecommunications facility at the Subject Property. The facility will include a 120-foot monopole and associated support equipment to be located within a fenced compound on a 20-foot by 30-foot lease area. Support equipment will include a walk-in cabinet, an emergency generator and a utility H-frame. Twelve panel antennas, four per sector, will be installed at a centerline height of 116 feet above ground level (AGL). Two microwave dish antennas will be installed at a centerline height of 106 feet AGL. An underground power/telco trench will be routed from the lease area to an existing utility pole. Access to the facility will be proved along an existing dirt driveway.

Location of Action (address, city, county, state):
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, San Bernadino County, CA 92398

ITEMS 1 - 9 MUST BE FILLED OUT FOR A COMPLETE (FULL) NEPA REVIEW
ITEMS 9 - 11 (and top portion of page 1) FOR A LIMITED (SHORT) NEPA REVIEW
Market coordinator must sign/certify checklist.

1. Is the facility located in an officially designated wilderness area? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(1)]
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No
   
   If so, describe the area, its location and source of the information.

2. Is the facility located in an officially designated wildlife preserve? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(2)]
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No
   
   If so, describe the preserve, its location and source of information.

3. Will the facility: (i) affect listed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitats; or (ii) jeopardize the continued existence of any proposed endangered or threatened species; or is it likely to result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitats, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973. [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(3)]
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No
   
   If so, describe the species, critical habitat, location, and source of the information.

4. Will the facility be located in, on, or within the viewshed of a building, site, district, structure or object, significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering or culture, that is listed, or eligible for listing on the State or National Registers of Historic Places? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(4)]
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No

5. Will the facility affect an Indian religious site? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(5)]
   
   Original Letter: ______ Follow-up Letter: ______
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No [ ] N/A
   
   If so, describe the source of the information.

6. Will the facility be located in a "floodplain"? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(6)]
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No
   
   If so, describe the floodplain, its location, and source of the information.

7. Will the construction of the facility involve significant change in surface features (e.g. wetland fill, deforestation, or water diversion)? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(7)]
   
   [ ] Yes [X] No
   
   If so, describe the surface feature, its location, and source of the information.

---

1 Grounding is the only alteration to the physical environment that is acceptable. First choice for grounding should be to an existing utility pole.
8. Will the facility be equipped with high intensity white lights which are to be located in a residential neighborhood, as defined by the local zoning law? [47 CFR 1.1307 (a)(8)]
   ☐ Yes ☒ No

---

**RF EMISSION VERIFICATION:**

9A. **Cellular Sites** – providing Cellular Radiotelephone Services within the meaning of 47 CFR Part 22, Subpart H, do either of the following apply (See A & B below): [47 CFR 1.1307 (b)]
   ☐ Yes ☒ No
   A. for **non-building-mounted antennas**: height above ground level to lowest point of antenna less than 10mtrs. (30 ft); **and** the total power of all channels is greater than 1,000 watts ERP*; or
   B. for **building-mounted antennas**: the total power of all channels is greater than 1,000 watts ERP.*

9B. **PCS Sites**
   1) providing Broadband PCS services within the meaning of 47 CFR Part 24, Subpart E, do either of the following apply (See A & C below): [47 CFR 1.1307 (b)]
      ☐ Yes ☒ No
   2) providing Narrowband PCS services within the meaning of 47 CFR Part 24, Subpart D, do either of the following apply (See B & C below): [47 CFR 1.1307 (b)]
      ☐ Yes ☒ No
   A) for **non-building-mounted antennas**: height above ground level to lowest point of antenna less than 10mtrs. (30 ft); **and** the total power of all channels is greater than 2,000 watts ERP*; or
      B) for **non-building-mounted antennas**: height above ground level to lowest point of antenna less than 10mtrs. (30 ft); **and** the total power of all channels is greater than 1,000 watts ERP*; or
      C) for **building-mounted antennas**: the total power of all channels is greater than 2,000 watts ERP.*

9C. **Microwave Sites** – **Exceeds the** exposure limits identified in Table 1 of 1.1310 when the equipment is in close proximity of the public.
   ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A

9D. **Paging and Radiotelephone Service Sites** within the meaning of 47 CFR Part 90, does either of the following apply (See A & B below): [47 CFR 1.1307 (b)]
   ☐ Yes ☒ No
   A) for **non-building-mounted antennas**: height above ground level to lowest point of antenna less than 10 mtrs. (30 ft) **and** total power of all channels is greater than 1,000 watts ERP*; or
      B) for **building-mounted antennas**: the total power of all channels is greater than 1,000 watts ERP.*

---

*In the section above, the term “total power of all channels” means the sum of all co-located simultaneously operating transmitters owned and operated by a single licensee. For facilities using sectored antennas, this rule is applied separately for each sector.*

**REQUIRES SIGNATURE OF RF ENGINEER OR NETWORK DEPLOYMENT MANAGER**

The undersigned certifies that this site will be modified/constructed in compliance with the RF exposure regulations mandated by the FCC with regard to the general public. The FCC and Cingular guidelines regarding Maximum Permissible Exposure will not be exceeded as a result of activating this site. The undersigned is accountable for any mitigating activities, including and not limited to RF survey and posting proper signage, if required.

______________________________  __________________________
Signature of RF Engineer       Date

The undersigned has completed this Checklist as part of an environmental impacts analysis for the possible development of a tract of land and certifies that the answers contained herein are truthful and accurate to the best of the undersigned’s knowledge after reasonable investigation.

** Name of Consulting Firm and Signature:
Consultant: Christopher Bond, CBRE, Inc. Telecom Advisory Services

____________________________________  2/10/2020

---
Market Representative:

________________________________________

** A consultant’s signature on the form is optional

Date

Date:
## NEPA CHECKLIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FCC NEPA CATEGORY</th>
<th>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</th>
<th>POTENTIAL FOR SIGNIFICANT IMPACT</th>
<th>SUMMARY OF IMPACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the Undertaking located within a Designated Wilderness Area</td>
<td>National Park Service, US Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking affect Threatened or Endangered Species or a Designated Critical Habitat?</td>
<td>US Fish &amp; Wildlife Service</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking affect Historic Resources listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places?</td>
<td>Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, State Historic Preservation Office, Tribal Historic Preservation Office</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking affect Indian Religious Sites?</td>
<td>Tribal Historic Preservation Office, American Indian Bureau of Indian Affairs</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the Undertaking located within a Flood Plain?</td>
<td>Federal Emergency Management Agency</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking involve significant changes to Surface Features?</td>
<td>US Army Core of Engineers</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking include High Intensity White Lights?</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking result in human exposure to Radio-Frequency Radiation in excess of applicable safety standards?</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>❄️</td>
<td>❖</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FCC EXCLUSION ANALYSIS

47 CFR § 1.1320 - Review of Commission undertakings that may affect historic properties.
Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for the Collocation of Wireless Antennas.
Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effect on Historic Properties for certain Undertakings approved by the FCC.

NPA CHECKLIST

Exclusion B. Replacement Facilities

Does the Undertaking fall within the provisions listed below?  

Yes ☐ No ☐

Construction of a replacement for an existing communications tower and any associated excavation that does not substantially increase the size of the existing tower under elements 1-3 of the definition as defined in the Collocation Agreement (see Attachment 1 to this Agreement, Stipulation 1.c.1-3) and that does not expand the boundaries of the leased or owned property surrounding the tower by more than 30 feet in any direction or involve excavation outside these expanded boundaries or outside any existing access or utility easement related to the site. For towers constructed after March 16, 2001, this exclusion applies only if the tower has completed the Section 106 review process and any associated environmental reviews required by the Commission’s rules.

If “No”, further review is required including Section 106 Consultation.

Exclusion C. Temporary Facilities

Does the Undertaking fall within the provisions listed below?  

Yes ☐ No ☐

Construction of any temporary communications Tower, Antenna structure, or related Facility that involves no excavation or where all areas to be excavated will be located in areas described in Section VI.D.2.c.i below, including but not limited to the following:

1. A Tower or Antenna authorized by the Commission for a temporary period, such as any Facility authorized by a Commission grant of Special Temporary Authority (“STA”) or emergency authorization;
2. A cell on wheels (COW) transmission Facility;
3. A broadcast auxiliary services truck, TV pickup station, remote pickup broadcast station (e.g., electronic newsgathering vehicle) authorized under Part 74 or temporary fixed or transportable earth station in the fixed satellite service (e.g., satellite newsgathering vehicle) authorized under Part 25;
4. A temporary ballast mount Tower;
5. Any Facility authorized by a Commission grant of an experimental authorization.

For purposes of this Section III.C, the term “temporary” means “for no more than twenty-four months duration except in the case of those Facilities associated with national security.”

If “No”, further review is required including Section 106 Consultation.

Exclusion D. Facilities in Industrial Parks, Strip Malls, or Shopping Centers

Note- Use of this Exclusion requires Tribal consultation.

Does the Undertaking fall within the provisions listed below?  

Yes ☐ No ☐

Construction of a Facility less than 200 feet in overall height above ground level in an existing industrial park, commercial strip mall, or shopping center that occupies a total land area of 100,000 square feet or more, provided that the industrial park, strip mall, or shopping center is not located within the boundaries of or within 500 feet of a Historic Property, as identified by the Applicant after a preliminary search of relevant records. Proposed Facilities within this exclusion must complete the process of participation of Indian tribes and NHOs pursuant to Section IV of this Agreement. If as a result of this process the Applicant or the Commission identifies a Historic Property that may be affected, the Applicant must complete the Section 106 review process pursuant to this Agreement notwithstanding the exclusion.

If “No”, further review is required including Section 106 Consultation.

Exclusion E. Facilities in/near Overhead Utility ROWs

Note- Use of this Exclusion requires Tribal consultation.

Does the Undertaking fall within the provisions listed below?  

Yes ☐ No ☐

Construction of a Facility in or within 50 feet of the outer boundary of a right-of-way designated by a Federal, State, local, or Tribal government for the location of communications Towers or above-ground utility transmission or distribution lines and associated structures and equipment and in active use for such purposes, provided:

1. The proposed Facility would not constitute a substantial increase in size, under elements 1-3 of the definition in the Collocation Agreement, over existing structures located in the right-of-way within the vicinity of the proposed Facility, and;
2. The proposed Facility would not be located within the boundaries of a Historic Property, as identified by the Applicant after a preliminary search of relevant records.

Proposed Facilities within this exclusion must complete the process of participation of Indian tribes and NHOs pursuant to Section IV of this Agreement. If as a result of this process the Applicant or the Commission identifies a Historic Property that may be affected, the Applicant must complete the Section 106 review process pursuant to this Agreement notwithstanding the exclusion.

If “No”, further review is required including Section 106 Consultation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 106 consultation is required</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In accordance with 47 CFR Part 1.1301-1.1320 of the FCC regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Comments:</em> The Undertaking does not meet any of the above exemptions. Section 106 consultation is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designated Wilderness Areas</th>
<th>47 CFR §1.1307(a)(1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated Wildlife Preserves</td>
<td>47 CFR §1.1307(a)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Wild and Scenic Rivers</td>
<td>Public Law 90-542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Scenic Trails</td>
<td>Public Law 90-543</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is the Undertaking located within a Designated Wilderness Area or Preserve or within 1-mile of a National Wild and Scenic River or National Scenic Trail?**

**Summary**

The Undertaking is not located in an officially Designated Wilderness Area or Preserve or within one-mile of a National Wild and Scenic River or National Scenic Trail. This determination was made by the review of several online resources maintained by the United States Geological Survey (USGS), National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Forest Service (USFS), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and Bureau of Land Management (BLM).
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 47 CFR §1.1307(a)(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking (i) affect listed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitats; or (ii) are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any proposed endangered or threatened species or likely to result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitats, as determined by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two federally-listed endangered, threatened, or proposed species are known to occur within the vicinity of the Undertaking. This determination was made by the review of online resources maintained by the USFWS which identifies trust resources within the vicinity of the Undertaking.

Additionally, based on a review of the USFWS online Critical Habitat Portal the Undertaking is not located within a designated critical habitat.

Migratory Bird Review

In April of 2018, the USFWS issued the “Recommended Best Practices for Communication Tower Design, Siting, Construction, Operation, Maintenance, and Decommissioning.” These guidelines outline voluntary federal recommendations designed to minimize the impacts of tower facilities on migratory birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the Endangered Species Act. Based upon the Undertaking design (i.e. non-guyed) and height (i.e. less than 200 feet above ground level), the Undertaking meets many of the recommendations set forth in the USFWS’s Revised Guidelines. As such, it is unlikely that the Undertaking would adversely impact migratory bird species protected under the MBTA and the Endangered Species Act.

Summary

CBRE found that no suitable habitats capable of supporting the federally or state-listed species were observed within the limits of the Undertaking. As such, the proposed Undertaking is not one that may affect listed, threatened or endangered species or designated critical habitats or is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any proposed endangered or threatened species or is likely to result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitats. (as determined by the Sec of Interior pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973) (47 CFR §1.1307(a)(3)).
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

HISTORIC PROPERTIES 47 CFR §1.1307(a)(4)

Will the Undertaking affect districts, sites, buildings, structures or objects, significant in American history, architecture, archeology, engineering or culture, that are listed, or are eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places. (See 16 U.S.C. 470w(5); 36 CFR part 60 and 800.) To ascertain whether a proposed action may affect properties that are listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, an applicant shall follow the procedures set forth in the rules of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 36 CFR part 800, as modified and supplemented by the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for the Collocation of Wireless Antennas, Appendix B to Part 1 of this Chapter, and the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement Regarding the Section 106 National Historic Preservation Act Review Process, Appendix C to Part 1 of this Chapter?

It is CBRE’s professional opinion that the proposed undertaking is not exempt from the consultation process set forth under Subpart B of 36 CFR Part 800 and under the provisions of the NPA and/or CNPA and that further Section 106 consultation with the SHPO is required.

Section 106 Consultation Overview

CBRE initiated Section 106 Review of the proposed Undertaking which included defining the area of potential effects (“APE”), identifying historic properties within the APE, evaluating the historic significance of identified properties as appropriate, assessing the effects of the Undertaking on these historic properties and consulting with the requisite State Historic Preservation Office(s) (“SHPO”), interested tribes and the public.

CBRE reviewed documentation available online, through public participation and/or at the SHPO office and conducted an independent assessment to determine what historic properties, if any were located within the APE along with their historic significance. CBRE additionally conducted a reconnaissance of the Subject and properties within the APE in order to identify any additional historic properties not identified above. CBRE then evaluated whether any historic properties would be affected by the Undertaking. Based on this review, CBRE determined that No Historic Properties are located within the APE.

SHPO Review

CBRE submitted the above review and determination of effect using FCC Form 620 via the FCC’s online Electronic Section 106 (“E-106”) submission process on October 15, 2019. A paper submission was also mailed if required. The submission included Undertaking drawings, the findings of archaeological review if required, copies of consultation correspondence to date, public notice documentation, and a request for comment to the SHPO. As of the date of this Report, the thirty-day comment period stipulated by the NPA has expired. Based on the lack of response from the SHPO and in accordance with the procedures set forth in the NPA, CBRE assumes SHPO concurs that the Undertaking will have No Effect on historic properties.

Summary

Consequently, pursuant to 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1), no further Section 106 consultation is required unless additional resources are discovered during Undertaking implementation pursuant to 36 CFR 800.13.
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

As such, the Undertaking is not one that may affect districts, sites, buildings, structures or objects, significant in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering or culture, that are listed, or are eligible for listing, in the NRHP and the Undertaking is exempt from further review.
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDIAN RELIGIOUS SITES</th>
<th>47 CFR §1.1307(a)(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the Undertaking affect Indian Religious Sites?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBRE reviewed documentation provided by the client in order to determine whether the Undertaking fell within any criteria exemptions from Section 106 Review set forth in the NPA or CNPA.

According to this review, the Undertaking does not meet the exemptions from Section 106 Review set forth in the NPA or CNPA; therefore, Tribal consultation is required.

As part of the Undertaking’s Section 106 Review, information pertaining to the Undertaking was posted through the FCC’s online Tower Construction Notification System (“TCNS”). TCNS automatically notifies Tribal Nations and NHOs of proposed construction within the geographic areas they have identified as potentially containing historic properties of religious and cultural significance to them. On October 14, 2019, these Tribal Nations were notified by email that the Form 620/621 submission packet (or the alternative submission where no 620/621 packet has been prepared) is available for viewing via TCNS. Notifications sent through mail are presumed to have been received no later than 5 calendar days from the above-mentioned date.

As of the date of this Report, the thirty-day comment period stipulated by the FCC’s Second Report and Order has expired. Based on the lack of response from certain Tribes and in accordance with the procedures set forth in the FCC’s Second Report and Order, CBRE electronically referred the Tribes via TCNS to the FCC for follow-up. On November 21, 2019, the FCC contacted the Tribes by letter and/or email to request that the Tribes inform the FCC and applicant within 15 calendar days of its interest, or lack of interest in participating in the Section 106 review. Per the procedures of set forth in the FCC’s Second Report and Order, the applicant's pre-construction obligations are discharged with respect to that Tribe if the Tribe does not respond within 15 calendar days. As of the date of this Report, the 15-day comment period has expired and no comment from the Tribe(s) has been received.

Tribal Communication to date for this Undertaking is summarized in the attached table in the Appendix.

Summary

As such, the Undertaking is not one that may affect Native American religious sites.
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FLOOD PLAINS 47 CFR §1.1307(a)(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the Undertaking one that is located within a flood plain?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on CBRE’s review of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), the proposed Undertaking is not located within a 100-year floodplain.

Summary

The Undertaking is not located within a 100-year floodplain.
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

SURFACE FEATURES (WETLANDS) 47 CFR §1.1307(a)(7)
Will the construction of the Undertaking involve significant changes in surface features?

CBRE reviewed the USGS National Wetlands Inventory Global Information Systems (GIS) Mapper which includes a federal wetlands data layer prepared by the US Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service. The source material used to produce the National Wetlands Inventory digital data for these maps was prepared primarily by stereoscopic analysis of high altitude aerial photographs. CBRE also reviewed applicable state and/or local wetlands maps of the Subject area where available.

Based on CBRE’s review, no mapped wetlands are located on or adjacent to the Undertaking. Furthermore, CBRE did not observe any vegetation consistent with a wetlands environment, or any standing water on or adjacent to the Subject.

CBRE also determined that the proposed Undertaking would not involve deforestation (to be differentiated from sporadic tree clearing) or water diversion.

Summary

As such, the Undertaking is not one that will involve significant change in surface features (e.g., wetland fill, deforestation or water diversion).
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIGH INTENSITY WHITE LIGHTS 47 CFR §1.1307(a)(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the facility be equipped with high intensity white lights which are to be located in residential neighborhoods, as defined by the applicable zoning law?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

According to documentation provided by the client and research completed by CBRE, the proposed Undertaking will not be equipped with high intensity white lights and/or is not located in a residential neighborhood.
NEPA REVIEW SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RADIO FREQUENCY RADIATION 47 CFR §1.1307(b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Will the Facility, operation or transmitter, cause human exposure to levels of Radio-Frequency Radiation in excess of permissible limits?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

An evaluation to determine whether radio frequency (RF) emission standards will be met is not included in this report. It is the understanding of CBRE that the client or one of its representatives will evaluate the undertaking to ensure compliance with applicable RF standards as per 47 CFR 1.1307 (b).
LIMITING CONDITIONS

• This report has been prepared in compliance with generally accepted practices for conducting NEPA screenings in general compliance with FCC procedures found at 47 CFR, Subchapter A, Chapter 1, Part 1, Subpart I, § 1.1301 to 1.1320.

• The observations described in this report were made under the conditions stated herein. The conclusions presented in this report were based solely upon the services described herein.

• In preparing this report, CBRE has relied on certain information provided by federal, state, and local officials and other parties referenced therein, and on information contained in the files of governmental agencies, that were readily available to CBRE at the time of this assessment. Although there may have been some degree of overlap in the information provided by these various sources, CBRE did not attempt to independently verify the accuracy or completeness of all information reviewed or received during the course of this site assessment. Observations were made of the site and of the structures on the site as indicated in this report. Where access to portions of the site or to structures on the site was unavailable or limited, CBRE renders no opinion as to the effect of the Undertaking on same.

• No subsurface testing was conducted to determine the presence or absence of cultural resources in the Undertaking area unless specifically delineated within this report.

• No wetlands delineation was conducted to determine the presence or absence of wetlands in the Undertaking area unless specifically delineated within this report.

• No formal biological assessment was conducted to determine the presence or absence of endangered species in the Undertaking area unless specifically delineated within this report.

• This report is not to be relied upon by any party nor used for any purpose other than that specifically stated within this Report’s Introduction Section 2.1 without CBRE’s advance and express written consent.
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PHOTOGRAPHS
Photograph 1: View of candidate location (orange marker); facing north

Photograph 2: View of candidate location (orange marker); facing east
Photograph 3: View of candidate location (orange marker); facing south

Photograph 4: View of candidate location (orange marker); facing west
Photograph 5: View from proposed candidate lease area; facing north

Photograph 6: View from proposed candidate lease area; facing east
Photograph 7: View from proposed candidate lease area; facing south

Photograph 8: View from proposed candidate lease area; facing west
Photograph 9: View of proposed joint utility easement from candidate location facing proposed point of connection (P.O.C); facing north

Photograph 10: View of proposed joint utility easement from proposed point of connection (P.O.C); facing south
Photo 11: View of proposed access easement; facing north

Photo 12: View of proposed access easement; facing south
NATURAL RESOURCE CHECKLIST
AND
EXEMPTION REVIEW
## Natural Resources Checklist & Exemption Review

### Client:
AT&T Mobility

### Project Name/No.:
CSL04366

### CBRE Project #:
TS90921968

### Date:
2/10/2020

### Address:
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue

### City:
Yermo

### State:
CA

### Latitude:
34-54-23.06 N

### Longitude:
116-48-49.25 W

### Tower Type:
Monopole

### Tower Height:
120’

## Protected Land Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shield</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wilderness Area</td>
<td>Will the Undertaking be located within a Designated Wilderness Area?</td>
<td>Source: National Wilderness Preservation System (NWPS); National Park Service (NPS); U.S. Forest Service (USFS); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS); Bureau of Land Management (BLM); <a href="http://www.wilderness.net/map">http://www.wilderness.net/map</a></td>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Preserve</td>
<td>Will the Undertaking be located within a Designated Wildlife Preserve?</td>
<td>Source: USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC); <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac">https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac</a></td>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. FWS Threatened &amp; Endangered Species Critical Habitat</td>
<td>Will the Undertaking be located within an area designed as active proposed or final habitat for threatened and endangered species?</td>
<td>Source: USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC); <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac">https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac</a></td>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild &amp; Scenic Rivers</td>
<td>Will the Undertaking be located within one mile of a National Wild and Scenic River?</td>
<td>Source: NPS; USFS; USFWS; BLM; <a href="https://arcg.is/1KXSJ">https://arcg.is/1KXSJ</a></td>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Scenic Trail</td>
<td>Will the Undertaking be located within one mile of a National Scenic Trail?</td>
<td>Source: NPS and Managing Systems and Trails Organization (MSTO); <a href="https://www.nps.gov/findapark/index.htm">https://www.nps.gov/findapark/index.htm</a></td>
<td>☑ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments
The Undertaking is located within 1-mi. of the Old Spanish National Historic Trail.

## Migratory Bird Review

### Tower Height
Will the proposed tower be over 450 feet in height?
Source: Client-provided drawings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shield</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Yes*</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments
In April of 2018, the USFWS replaced all previous recommendations for communication tower construction and operation and issued their “Recommended Best Practices for Communication Tower Design, Siting, Construction, Operation, Maintenance, and Decommissioning.” These guidelines outline voluntary federal recommendations designed to minimize the impacts of tower facilities on migratory birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and the Endangered Species Act. Based upon the Undertaking design (i.e. non guyed) and height (i.e. less than 200 feet above ground level), the Undertaking meets many of the recommendations set forth in the USFWS’s Revised Guidelines. As such, it is unlikely that the Undertaking would adversely impact migratory bird species protected under the MBTA and the Endangered Species Act.

*FCC NEPA rules require the preparation of an EA for all towers over 450 feet in height.

## Flood Zone and Wetlands Review

### Flood Zone
Will the Undertaking be located within a 100-year floodplain?
Source: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (www.fema.gov; Map Number 06071C3975H, effective date 08/28/2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shield</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Yes*</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Wetlands
Will the Undertaking be located within a wetland?
Source: Site Observations; USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Web Soil Survey (WSS), CA state wetland mapper

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shield</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ Yes**</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FCC NEPA rules only require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment if the associated ground equipment cannot be elevated at least 1 foot above the Base Flood Elevation.

**FCC NEPA rules require the preparation of an Environmental Assessment for all towers located in Wetlands.
FEDERALLY-PROTECTED SPECIES EXEMPTION REVIEW

All Field Offices in California - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Exemptions

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Previously Disturbed Exemptions

S7 Consultation Technical Assistance, Decision Process for “No Effect” Determinations:

Your project does not involve removal of any native vegetation and entails one of the following activities:

- Addition of communication-related devices to existing tower structures, along with any associated equipment, within existing disturbed areas (e.g., manicured lawns, active agricultural fields, paved, graveled, or otherwise unvegetated areas that do not require impacts to trees).
- Construction of new or existing towers, antennas and associated equipment installed on or in existing buildings, rooftops, billboards, basements, or bridges, or located in previously disturbed areas (e.g. manicured lawns, active agricultural fields, paved, graveled, or otherwise unvegetated areas that do not require impacts to trees).
- Expansion of tower compounds in previously disturbed areas (e.g. manicured lawns, active agricultural fields, paved, graveled, or otherwise unvegetated areas that do not require impacts to trees).

Based on these factors, a “No Effect” determination is appropriate because the project will not remove suitable habitat for any listed species and/or no habitat disturbance is anticipated. Hence, no listed species or designated critical habitat is anticipated to be directly or indirectly affected by this action.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ‘No Effect’ Exemptions

Section 7 Consultation Technical Assistance, Step-by-Step Instructions, S7 Process Flow Chart:

1. Species/critical habitat may be present?
   - No – End Consultation
   - Yes – Proceed with Consultation Chart

2. Species/critical habitat may be affected?
   - No – Conclude “no effect” and end consultation
   - Yes – Proceed with Consultation Chart

3. Species/critical habitat may be adversely affect?
   - Yes – Incorporate Species Conservation Measures, Submit request for formal consultation to ESFO
   - No – Submit rational and request concurrence to ESFO.

FINDINGS

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Consultation

Section 7 consultation is required with the USFWS in accordance with 47 CFR Part 1.1307(a)(3) of the FCC regulations

☑ Yes ☞ No

Comments: Although federaly-listed threatened and endangered species were identified as being potentially present within the vicinity of the proposed communications facility, suitable habitats capable of supporting the listed threatened and endangered species were not noted at the proposed communications facility location, and the proposed installation is anticipated to have ‘No Effect’ on listed species. No consultation with the USFWS is recommended.
### NATURAL RESOURCES CHECKLIST & EXEMPTION REVIEW

#### STATE-PROTECTED SPECIES EXEMPTION REVIEW

**California Department of Fish and Wildlife Exemptions**

**CADFW Jurisdictional Exemptions**
Under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), as posted on the CADFW website and as recorded in written responses from various agents of CADFW, federal projects are not subject to CEQA and informal consultation is not required with the CADFW. Consultation with CADFW is initiated by local lead agencies with jurisdiction over the project area in local government review. Therefore, informal consultation will no longer be completed at the State level within the NEPA Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CADFW Consultation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** The Undertaking meets the above exemption stipulations insofar as informal consultation will no longer be completed at the state level. No consultation with the CADFW is recommended.
### FINDING OF EFFECT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding of Effect</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Undertaking will have ‘no effect’ on listed resources.</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Undertaking ‘may affect, not likely to adversely affect’ listed resources.</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** None.

### QUALIFIED PERSONNEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed By:</th>
<th>Reviewed By:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Christopher Bond  
Project Manager - Biologist | Gio Del Rivero  
Director, NEPA |

**About CBRE Telecom Advisory Services**

CBRE’s Assessment & Consulting Services group provides environmental and regulatory oversight of infrastructure development projects for telecommunication clients. Whether it is a cellular tower, building antenna or other development, the telecom advisory team researches and advises clients on complex regulatory and environmental requirements. CBRE’s Telecom Advisory & Consulting Services practice helps telecommunications companies navigate the environmental and regulatory requirements of infrastructure development projects, and adds value for commercial property owners and investors by optimizing in-building connectivity opportunities.
TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
WILDERNESS AREA MAP
NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS MAP
Endangered Species

Section 7 Consultation Technical Assistance
Step-by-Step Instructions
57 Process Flow Chart

The Section 7(a)(2) process consists of two phases: informal and formal consultation. This web site addresses the informal consultation phase. Informal consultation involves 3 steps.

1. **Step 1**
   - **Species/critical habitat may be present?**
     - Yes, go to step 2.
     - No, end consultation.

2. **Step 2**
   - **Species/critical habitat may be affected?**
     - Yes, incorporate species conservation measures.
     - No, conclude “no effect” and end consultation.

3. **Step 3**
   - **Species/critical habitat may be adversely affected?**
     - Yes, submit rationale and request concurrence to ESFO.
     - No, submit request for formal consultation to ESFO.

**NOTE:** If at anytime additional information is needed or you want personal assistance, you may contact us. Using this site in no way precludes project proponents from receiving personal assistance from our Ecological Services (ES) Field Offices.

Previous - Next (Step 1)

Home
In Reply Refer To: Consultation Code: 08ECAR00-2020-SLI-0051
Event Code: 08ECAR00-2020-E-00125
Project Name: CSL04366 - TS90921968

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The enclosed species list identifies threatened, endangered, and proposed species, designated critical habitat, and candidate species that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project and/or may be affected by your proposed project. The species list fulfills the requirements of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act (Act) of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.).

New information based on updated surveys, changes in the abundance and distribution of species, changed habitat conditions, or other factors could change this list. Please feel free to contact us if you need more current information or assistance regarding the potential impacts to federally proposed, listed, and candidate species and federally designated and proposed critical habitat. Please note that under 50 CFR 402.12(e) of the regulations implementing section 7 of the Act, the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally as desired. The Service recommends that verification be completed by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website at regular intervals during project planning and implementation for updates to species lists and information. An updated list may be requested through the ECOS-IPaC system by completing the same process used to receive the enclosed list.

The purpose of the Act is to provide a means whereby threatened and endangered species and the ecosystems upon which they depend may be conserved. Under sections 7(a)(1) and 7(a)(2) of the Act and its implementing regulations (50 CFR 402 et seq.), Federal agencies are required to utilize their authorities to carry out programs for the conservation of threatened and endangered species and to determine whether projects may affect threatened and endangered species and/or designated critical habitat.
A Biological Assessment is required for construction projects (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) that are major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment as defined in the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c)). For projects other than major construction activities, the Service suggests that a biological evaluation similar to a Biological Assessment be prepared to determine whether the project may affect listed or proposed species and/or designated or proposed critical habitat. Recommended contents of a Biological Assessment are described at 50 CFR 402.12.

If a Federal agency determines, based on the Biological Assessment or biological evaluation, that listed species and/or designated critical habitat may be affected by the proposed project, the agency is required to consult with the Service pursuant to 50 CFR 402. In addition, the Service recommends that candidate species, proposed species and proposed critical habitat be addressed within the consultation. More information on the regulations and procedures for section 7 consultation, including the role of permit or license applicants, can be found in the "Endangered Species Consultation Handbook" at:

http://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/TOC-GLOS.PDF

Please be aware that bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 et seq.), and projects affecting these species may require development of an eagle conservation plan (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/eagle_guidance.html). Additionally, wind energy projects should follow the wind energy guidelines (http://www.fws.gov/windenergy/) for minimizing impacts to migratory birds and bats.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm; http://www.towerkill.com; and http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment(s):

- Official Species List
Official Species List

This list is provided pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, and fulfills the requirement for Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary of the Interior information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of a proposed action".

This species list is provided by:

Carlsbad Fish And Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Avenue - Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008-7385
(760) 431-9440
Project Summary

Consultation Code: 08ECAR00-2020-SLI-0051

Event Code: 08ECAR00-2020-E-00125

Project Name: CSL04366 - TS90921968

Project Type: COMMUNICATIONS TOWER

Project Description: A 120' monopole within a 30' x 20' lease area is proposed. Ancillary equipment will be located within the proposed lease area. Utilities will be routed u/g via a power/telco trench.

Project Location: Approximate location of the project can be viewed in Google Maps: https://www.google.com/maps/place/34.90644419059717N116.81369317249471W

Counties: San Bernardino, CA
Endangered Species Act Species

There is a total of 2 threatened, endangered, or candidate species on this species list.

Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species.

IPaC does not display listed species or critical habitats under the sole jurisdiction of NOAA Fisheries, as USFWS does not have the authority to speak on behalf of NOAA and the Department of Commerce.

See the "Critical habitats" section below for those critical habitats that lie wholly or partially within your project area under this office's jurisdiction. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

---

1. **NOAA Fisheries**, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

**Birds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Condor <em>Gymnogyps californianus</em></td>
<td>Endangered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population: U.S.A. only, except where listed as an experimental population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is <strong>final</strong> critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8193">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8193</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reptiles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desert Tortoise <em>Gopherus agassizii</em></td>
<td>Threatened</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population: Wherever found, except AZ south and east of Colorado R., and Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is <strong>final</strong> critical habitat for this species. Your location is outside the critical habitat.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species profile: <a href="https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4481">https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4481</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Critical habitats**

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS WITHIN YOUR PROJECT AREA UNDER THIS OFFICE'S JURISDICTION.
See Appendix A for Site Drawings
See Appendix A for Photographs
# External CEQA Project Review Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CEQA STEPS</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT ACTION</th>
<th>DEPARTMENT LEAD RESPONSIBILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public agency evaluates project to determine if the project may have a</td>
<td>Respond to informal coordination</td>
<td>Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>significant effect on the environment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead agency prepares initial study.</td>
<td>Respond to informal coordination</td>
<td>Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead agency decision to prepare environmental impact report (EIR) or</td>
<td>Respond to notice of preparation as to contents of draft EIR or negative declaration (see Section 15082 (b) CEQA Guidelines)</td>
<td>Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative declaration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead agency sends notice of preparation to responsible agency.</td>
<td>Comments on adequacy of draft EIR or negative declaration</td>
<td>Region/Habitat Conservation Planning Branch (HCPB) - Advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead agency prepares draft EIR or negative declaration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead agency files notice of completion and gives public notice of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>availability of draft of EIR or negative declaration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public review period.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead agency prepares final EIR including responses to comments on draft</td>
<td>Optional review and comment on adequacy of response and public hearing testimony.</td>
<td>Region/HCPB Advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIR.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision making body considers final EIR or negative declaration</td>
<td></td>
<td>Region/ HCPB/LAD Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prepared by lead agency.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findings on feasibility of avoiding significant environmental effects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision on project. State agencies file notice of determination with OPR.</td>
<td>Department may challenge decision of approval under CEQA.</td>
<td>Region/ HCPB/LAD Attorney General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local agencies file notice of determination/ certification with County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerk. State agencies file notice of determination with OPR.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact CDFW's CEQA Program: CEQA@wildlife.ca.gov (mailto:CEQA@wildlife.ca.gov)

NOTE: CDFW staff cannot make decisions or intercede on CEQA projects under the jurisdiction of another lead agency. Please address project-specific comments to the project's lead agency.

Habitat Conservation Planning Branch (https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Explore/Organization/HCPB)  
1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814  
(916) 653-4875
QUALIFIED PERSONNEL
Christopher S. Bond

**Education:**

M.S. Environmental Science, Sacred Heart University  
B.S. Traditional Biology, Sacred Heart University

**Licenses/Registrations**

Methodology for Delineating Wetlands, Rutgers University  
NYS Wetlands Forum Member, 2015

**Years of Experience:**  
5 years

---

**Summary of Professional Experience**

Mr. Bond is a Biologist and Project Manager at CBRE, Inc. Telecom Advisory Services for over five years. He has conducted Migratory Bird Surveys, consulted on Wetland Delineations, Natural Resource and NEPA reviews, Environmental Assessments and New York EAFs, for various clients within the telecommunications industry.

Mr. Bond’s environmental experience extends from both his background in biology and chemistry. Specifically, Mr. Bond has conducted environmental sampling of rivers, streams and groundwater for presence of harmful chemicals and suspended solids. Mr. Bond has also conducted biological surveys for different migratory bird species and invertebrate diversity within streams and rivers. He also has experience coordinating and working with the USFWS Field Offices throughout the United States.

Mr. Bond received his Bachelor of Science at Sacred Heart University with majors in Traditional Biology. Mr. Bond also received his Master of Science in Environmental Science at the Sacred Heart University Environmental Graduate Program. While attending graduate school, he participated in Project Limulus where he conducted species surveys of horseshoe crab populations within the Long Island Sound. Mr. Bond was also a co-writer of “Estimation of Short-Term Tag-Induced Mortality in Horseshoe Crab Limulus Polyphemus” which was published in *Biology Faculty Publications* in 2011.
E. Gio Del Rivero

Education: B.S., Earth and Environmental Science, University of Illinois

Years of Experience: 7+ years

Summary of Professional Experience

Mr. Del Rivero holds a Bachelor’s of Science Degree in Earth and Environmental Science. He has more than 7 years of experience as an Environmental Professional in the telecommunications field, providing environmental and regulatory due diligence under the National Historic Preservation Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Endangered Species Act. As a Project Scientist, he completed hundreds of Section 106 and NEPA reports throughout the United States, as well as Phase I Environmental Site Assessments. In his previous role as Project Manager, Mr. Del Rivero has provided quality control, conducted Natural Resources reviews nationwide, managed portfolios, and acted as a client liaison. In consultation with carriers and USFWS field offices, Mr. Del Rivero has developed mitigation strategies to avoid potential adverse effects to endangered species.

In addition to his experience working with natural resources and environmental due diligence, Mr. Del Rivero has also conducted numerous Phase II Environmental Site Assessments for telecommunications projects and geotechnical investigations for new roadway development projects.
HISTORIC SITES
This is to notify you that the Applicant/Consultant has recorded the SHPO/THPO's offline response for the following filing:
Date of Action: 11/18/2019
Response: Concur
Direct Effect: No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
Visual Effect: No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
Comment Text: no response from SHPO within review period, concurrence assumed

File Number: 0008832144
TCNS Number: 189343
Purpose: New Tower Submission Packet

Notification Date: 7AM EST 10/15/2019

Applicant: AT&T Mobility, LLC
Consultant: CBRE Telecom Advisory Services
Positive Train Control Filing Subject to Expedited Treatment Under Program Comment: No
Site Name: CSL04366
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
Site Coordinates: 34-54-23.1 N, 116-48-49.3 W
City: Yermo
County: SAN BERNARDINO
State: CA
Lead SHPO/THPO: California Office of Historic Preservation

NOTICE OF FRAUDULENT USE OF SYSTEM, ABUSE OF PASSWORD AND RELATED MISUSE
Use of the Section 106 system is intended to facilitate consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and may contain information that is confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws. Any person having access to Section 106 information shall use it only for its intended purpose. Appropriate action will be taken with respect to any misuse of the system.
October 11, 2019

California Office of Historic Preservation
c/o Ms. Julianne Polanco, State Historic Preservation Officer
Department of Parks & Recreation
1725 23rd Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, California 95816

Re: Proposed Telecommunications Facility
    “CSL04366”
    South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
    Yermo, California 92398
    CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Ms. Polanco:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your comments on a proposed telecommunications facility at the above referenced address. As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources.

The proposed facility will consist of a 120-foot monopole and associated support equipment to be located within fenced compound on a 20-foot by 30-foot lease area.

CBRE is requesting concurrence with the determination of No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE) for both direct and visual effects.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Laura L. Mancuso
Director, Cultural Resources
Dear FCC Applicant:

Section 106 FCC submissions will not be accepted unless this cover sheet is completed and attached.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>CSL04366</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Address</td>
<td>South of Yermo Road and North of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, CA 92398</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the information provided on the accompanying FCC Form 620 or Form 621 the following information applies to this project:

- [X] There are buildings or structures over 45 years of age within this project’s direct/indirect area of potential effect (APE).
- [ ] There is an archeological site located within this project’s direct APE.
- [ ] A qualified archeologist has determined that the proposed project area is considered moderately to highly sensitive for archeological resources.

If the above boxes are blank, there are no historic properties within the direct or indirect project area. Therefore, pursuant to Stipulation VII.B.2 of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission as quoted below, your Section 106 responsibilities are complete:

If the SHPO/ITHPO does not provide written notice to the Applicant that it agrees or disagrees with the Applicant’s determination of No Historic Properties Affected within 30 days following receipt of a complete Submission Packet, it is deemed that no Historic Properties Exist within the APE or the Undertaking will have no effect on Historic Properties. The Section 106 process is then complete and the Applicant may proceed with the project, unless further processing for reasons other than Section 106 is required.

_____ Yes, this submission contains an eligibility determination requiring SHPO concurrence. _____ Yes, this submission contains tribal response.

This project will: Not [X] Adversely _____ Adversely _____ affect Historic Properties.

The qualified project archeologist acknowledges that a pedestrian survey has been completed, a record search has been conducted at the appropriate California Historic Resources Information Center (IC) and that all submitted information is true.

Archeologist’s signature [Carrie D. Wills] Date [October 7, 2019]

Please note, this letter pertains only to FCC projects being submitted to the California SHPO for comment.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Julianne Polanco
State Historic Preservation Officer
### General Information

1) (Select only one) ( NE )
   - NE – New
   - UA – Update of Application
   - WD – Withdrawal of Application

2) If this application is for an Update or Withdrawal, enter the file number of the pending application currently on file.
   - File Number:

### Applicant Information

3) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0004979233
4) Name: AT&T Mobility, LLC

### Contact Name

5) First Name: Anisa
6) MI: A
7) Last Name: Latif
8) Suffix:
9) Title:

### Contact Information

10) P.O. Box: And /Or 11) Street Address: 12th Street NW, Suite 1000
12) City: Washington
13) State: DC
14) Zip Code: 20036
15) Telephone Number: (202)457-3068
16) Fax Number:
17) E-mail Address: al7161@att.com

### Consultant Information

18) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 0018180992
19) Name: CBRE Telecom Advisory Services

### Principal Investigator

20) First Name: Laura
21) MI: L
22) Last Name: Mancuso
23) Suffix:
24) Title: Director, Cultural Resources

### Principal Investigator Contact Information

25) P.O. Box: And /Or 26) Street Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane
27) City: White Plains
28) State: NY
29) Zip Code: 10604
30) Telephone Number: (914)439-0527
31) Fax Number:
32) E-mail Address: whiteplainsculturalresources@cbre.com
### Professional Qualification

33) Does the Principal Investigator satisfy the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

34) Areas of Professional Qualification:

- ( ) Archaeologist
- (X) Architectural Historian
- ( ) Historian
- ( ) Architect
- ( ) Other (Specify) ________________________________

### Additional Staff

35) Are there other staff involved who meet the Professional Qualification Standards of the Secretary of the Interior?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If “YES,” complete the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>36) First Name: <strong>Carrie</strong></th>
<th>37) MI: <strong>D</strong></th>
<th>38) Last Name: <strong>Wills</strong></th>
<th>39) Suffix:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40) Title: <strong>Senior Archaeologist</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 41) Areas of Professional Qualification:  
- (X) Archaeologist  
- ( ) Architectural Historian  
- ( ) Historian  
- ( ) Architect  
- ( ) Other (Specify) ________________________________ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>36) First Name: <strong>Katherine</strong></th>
<th>37) MI: <strong>D</strong></th>
<th>38) Last Name: <strong>Thomas</strong></th>
<th>39) Suffix:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40) Title: <strong>Archaeologist</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 41) Areas of Professional Qualification:  
- (X) Archaeologist  
- ( ) Architectural Historian  
- ( ) Historian  
- ( ) Architect  
- ( ) Other (Specify) ________________________________ |
### Site Information

| 1) TCNS Notification Number: | 189343 |

### Site Information

2) Positive Train Control Filing Subject to Expedited Treatment Under Program Comment: (X) Yes (N) No

3) Site Name: CSL04366

4) Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue

5) Detailed Description of Project:

**TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound**

| 6) City: | Yermo |
| 7) State: | CA |
| 8) Zip Code: | 92398 |

9) County/Borough/Parish: SAN BERNARDINO

10) Nearest Crossroads: East Yermo Road and South 5th Street

11) NAD 83 Latitude (DD-MM-SS.S): 34-54-23.1 (X) N or ( ) S

12) NAD 83 Longitude (DD-MM-SS.S): 116-48-49.3 ( ) E or (X) W

### Tower Information

13) Tower height above ground level (include top-mounted attachments such as lightning rods): 36.6 (X) Feet ( ) Meters

14) Tower Type (Select One):

- ( ) Guyed lattice tower
- ( ) Self-supporting lattice
- (X) Monopole
- ( ) Other (Describe):

### Project Status

15) Current Project Status (Select One):

- (X) Construction has not yet commenced
- ( ) Construction has commenced, but is not completed Construction commenced on: ________________
- ( ) Construction has been completed Construction commenced on: ________________

Construction completed on: ________________
### Determination of Effect

**14) Direct Effects (Select One):**

- (X) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- ( ) No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE

**15) Visual Effects (Select One):**

- (X) No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- ( ) No Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) No Adverse Effect on Historic Properties in APE
- ( ) Adverse Effect on one or more Historic Properties in APE
Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?  
   ( X ) Yes ( ) No

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: 14

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0

---

Tribal/NHO Involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3) Tribe/NHO FRN:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Tribe/NHO Name: Chemehuevi Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) First Name: Matthew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) MI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Last Name: Leivas Sr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Suffix:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Title: Director of Cultural Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dates & Response

| 10) Date Contacted: 09/11/2019 |
| 11) Date Replied:            |

( X ) No Reply
( ) Replied/No Interest
( ) Replied/Have Interest
( ) Replied/Other

---

Tribal/NHO Involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3) Tribe/NHO FRN:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Tribe/NHO Name: Colorado River Indian Tribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) First Name: Bryan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) MI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Last Name: Etsitty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Suffix:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Title: Acting Director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dates & Response

| 10) Date Contacted: 09/12/2019 |
| 11) Date Replied:             |

( X ) No Reply
( ) Replied/No Interest
( ) Replied/Have Interest
( ) Replied/Other
### Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?  
   - Yes (X)  
   - No

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: 14

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:

4) Tribe/NHO Name: **Eastern Shoshone Tribe**

#### Contact Name

5) First Name: **Josh**  
   6) MI:  
   7) Last Name: **Mann**  
   8) Suffix:  
   9) Title: **THPO**

#### Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: **09/12/2019**  
   11) Date Replied:  
   - (X) No Reply  
   - ( ) Replied/No Interest  
   - ( ) Replied/Have Interest  
   - ( ) Replied/Other

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:

4) Tribe/NHO Name: **Fort Mojave Indian Tribe**

#### Contact Name

5) First Name: **Linda**  
   6) MI: **D**  
   7) Last Name: **Otero**  
   8) Suffix:  
   9) Title: **Culture Society Director**

#### Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: **09/12/2019**  
   11) Date Replied:  
   - (X) No Reply  
   - ( ) Replied/No Interest  
   - ( ) Replied/Have Interest  
   - ( ) Replied/Other
Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects? (X) Yes ( ) No

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343 Number of Tribes/NHOs: 14

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:

4) Tribe/NHO Name: Los Coyotes Reservation

Contact Name

5) First Name: Shane 6) MI: 7) Last Name: Chapparosa 8) Suffix:

9) Title: Chairman

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted 09/12/2019 11) Date Replied _____________

( X ) No Reply

( ) Replied/No Interest

( ) Replied/Have Interest

( ) Replied/Other

Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:

4) Tribe/NHO Name: Morongo Band of Mission Indians

Contact Name

5) First Name: Travis 6) MI: 7) Last Name: Armstrong 8) Suffix:

9) Title: Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted 09/12/2019 11) Date Replied _____________

( X ) No Reply

( ) Replied/No Interest

( ) Replied/Have Interest

( ) Replied/Other
1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343  
Number of Tribes/NHOs: 14

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:  
Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

#### Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3) Tribe/NHO FRN:</th>
<th>4) Tribe/NHO Name: Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5) First Name: Montana &amp; Associates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) MI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Last Name: LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Suffix:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 9) Title: Attorney |

#### Dates & Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10) Date Contacted</th>
<th>11) Date Replied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/11/2019</td>
<td>09/15/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ( ) No Reply |
| ( ) Replied/No Interest |
| ( X ) Replied/Have Interest |
| ( ) Replied/Other |

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

#### Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3) Tribe/NHO FRN:</th>
<th>4) Tribe/NHO Name: Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5) First Name: Chris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) MI:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) Last Name: Devers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) Suffix:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 9) Title: Cultural Clerk |

#### Dates & Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10) Date Contacted</th>
<th>11) Date Replied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/12/2019</td>
<td>10/03/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ( ) No Reply |
| ( X ) Replied/No Interest |
| ( ) Replied/Have Interest |
| ( ) Replied/Other |
### Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?  
- Yes (X)  
- No

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: 14

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS</th>
<th>3) Tribe/NHO FRN:</th>
<th>4) Tribe/NHO Name: Ramona Band of Cahuilla</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Contact Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>5) First Name: John</th>
<th>6) MI:</th>
<th>7) Last Name: Gomez</th>
<th>8) Suffix:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cultural Resources Coordinator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dates & Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates &amp; Response</th>
<th>10) Date Contacted: 09/11/2019</th>
<th>11) Date Replied:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Reply (X)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/No Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/Have Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS</th>
<th>3) Tribe/NHO FRN:</th>
<th>4) Tribe/NHO Name: San Manuel Band of Mission Indians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Contact Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>5) First Name: Alexandra</th>
<th>6) MI:</th>
<th>7) Last Name: McCleary</th>
<th>8) Suffix:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tribal Archaeologist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Dates & Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates &amp; Response</th>
<th>10) Date Contacted: 09/11/2019</th>
<th>11) Date Replied: 09/13/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Reply (X)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/No Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/Have Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tribal/NHO Involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343. Number of Tribes/NHOs: 14.

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system: Number of Tribes/NHOs: 0.

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN: 

4) Tribe/NHO Name: **Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians**

### Contact Name

5) First Name: **Montana & Associates**

6) MI: 

7) Last Name: **LLC**

8) Suffix: 

9) Title: **Attorney**

### Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: **09/12/2019**

11) Date Replied: **09/15/2019**

( ) No Reply

( ) Replied/No Interest

( X ) Replied/Have Interest

( ) Replied/Other

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN: 

4) Tribe/NHO Name: **Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians**

### Contact Name

5) First Name: **Joseph**

6) MI: 

7) Last Name: **Ontiveros**

8) Suffix: 

9) Title: **Director of Cultural Resources**

### Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: **09/11/2019**

11) Date Replied: 

( X ) No Reply

( ) Replied/No Interest

( ) Replied/Have Interest

( ) Replied/Other
### Tribal/NHO Involvement

1) Have Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) been identified that may attach religious and cultural significance to historic properties which may be affected by the undertaking within the APEs for direct and visual effects?  
   - Yes (X)  
   - No

2a) Tribes/NHOs contacted through TCNS Notification Number: 189343  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: **14**

2b) Tribes/NHOs contacted through an alternate system:  
   Number of Tribes/NHOs: **0**

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:

4) Tribe/NHO Name: **Timbisha Shoshone Tribe**

#### Contact Name

5) First Name: **White Dove**  
6) MI:  
7) Last Name: **Kennedy**  
8) Suffix:

9) Title: **Chairman**

#### Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: **09/12/2019**  
11) Date Replied:

- (X) No Reply
- ( ) Replied/No Interest
- ( ) Replied/Have Interest
- ( ) Replied/Other

### Tribe/NHO Contacted Through TCNS

3) Tribe/NHO FRN:

4) Tribe/NHO Name: **Twenty Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians**

#### Contact Name

5) First Name: **Anthony**  
6) MI: **L**  
7) Last Name: **Madrigal**  
8) Suffix: **Jr**

9) Title: **Tribal Historic Preservation Officer**

#### Dates & Response

10) Date Contacted: **09/12/2019**  
11) Date Replied:

- (X) No Reply
- ( ) Replied/No Interest
- ( ) Replied/Have Interest
- ( ) Replied/Other
### Other Tribes/NHOs Contacted

#### Tribe/NHO Information

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

2) Name:

#### Contact Name

3) First Name:  
4) MI:  
5) Last Name:  
6) Suffix:  

7) Title:

#### Contact Information

8) P.O. Box:  
And  
Or  
9) Street Address:

10) City:  

11) State:  

12) Zip Code:  

13) Telephone Number:  

14) Fax Number:  

15) E-mail Address:

#### Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted ____________  
18) Date Replied ____________  

( ) No Reply  
( ) Replied/No Interest  
( ) Replied/Have Interest  
( ) Replied/Other
### Historic Properties

#### Properties Identified

1) Have any historic properties been identified within the APEs for direct and visual effect?  
   - Yes [X]  
   - No [ ]

2) Has the identification process located archaeological materials that would be directly affected, or sites that are of cultural or religious significance to Tribes/NHOs?  
   - Yes [X]  
   - No [ ]

3) Are there more than 10 historic properties within the APEs for direct and visual effect?  
   - Yes [X]  
   - No [ ]
   If “Yes”, you are required to attach a Cultural Resources Report in lieu of adding the Historic Property below.

#### Historic Property

4) Property Name:  

5) SHPO Site Number:  

#### Property Address

6) Street Address:  

7) City:  

8) State:  

9) Zip Code:  

10) County/Borough/Parish:  

#### Status & Eligibility

11) Is this property listed on the National Register?  
   - Yes [ ]  
   - No [X]  
   
   Source:  
   
12) Is this property eligible for listing on the National Register?  
   - Yes [ ]  
   - No [X]  
   
   Source:  
   
13) Is this property a National Historic Landmark?  
   - Yes [ ]  
   - No [X]  

14) Direct Effects (Select One):  
   - No Effect on this Historic Property in APE [ ]  
   - No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE [ ]  
   - Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE [X]

15) Visual Effects (Select One):  
   - No Effect on this Historic Property in APE [ ]  
   - No Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE [ ]  
   - Adverse Effect on this Historic Property in APE [X]
### Local Government Involvement

#### Local Government Agency

1) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

2) Name: **San Bernardino Planning Commission**

#### Contact Name

3) First Name: **To Whom**  4) MI:  5) Last Name: **It May Concern**  6) Suffix: 

7) Title:

#### Contact Information

8) P.O. Box:  And  

9) Street Address: **385 North Arrowhead Avenue**

10) City: **San Bernardino**  11) State: **CA**  12) Zip Code: **92398**

13) Telephone Number: (909)387-8311  14) Fax Number: 

15) E-mail Address: 

16) Preferred means of communication:  

( ) E-mail  

( X ) Letter  

( ) Both

#### Dates & Response

17) Date Contacted **09/12/2019**  18) Date Replied 

( X ) No Reply  

( ) Replied/No Interest  

( ) Replied/Have Interest  

( ) Replied/Other

#### Additional Information

19) Information on local government’s role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?  
   (X) Yes ( ) No

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **San Bernardino Historical Society**

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: **To Whom**
5) MI: 
6) Last Name: **It May Concern**
7) Suffix:

8) Title:

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: **P.O. Box 875**
10) Street Address:

11) City: **San Bernardino**
12) State: **CA**
13) Zip Code: **92402**

14) Telephone Number: **(909)885-2204**
15) Fax Number:

16) E-mail Address:

17) Preferred means of communication:
   ( ) E-mail
   (X) Letter
   ( ) Both

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted **09/12/2019**
19) Date Replied ______________

( X ) No Reply
( ) Replied/No Interest
( ) Replied/Have Interest
( ) Replied/Other

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

**Other Consulting Parties Contacted**

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?  

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consulting Party**

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **Serrano Nation of Mission Indians**

**Contact Name**

4) First Name: **Wayne**  
5) MI:   6) Last Name: **Walker**  
7) Suffix:   

8) Title: **Co-Chairperson**

**Contact Information**

9) P.O. Box: **P.O. Box 343**  
10) Street Address:   

11) City: **Patton**  
12) State: **CA**  
13) Zip Code: **92369**

14) Telephone Number: **(253)370-0167**  
15) Fax Number:   

16) E-mail Address:   

17) Preferred means of communication:   

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-mail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Dates & Response**

18) Date Contacted **10/09/2019**  

19) Date Replied ___________

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replied/No Interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/Have Interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replied/Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Information**

20) Information on other consulting parties' role or interest (optional):   

---

Co-Chairperson
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party? **(X) Yes ( ) No**

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **Tubatulabals of Kern Valley**

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: **Robert**  
5) MI: **L**  
6) Last Name: **Gomez**  
7) Suffix: **( )**

8) Title: **Chairperson**

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: **P.O. Box 226**  
10) Street Address: **( )**

11) City: **Lake Isabella**  
12) State: **CA**  
13) Zip Code: **93240**

14) Telephone Number: **(760)379-4590**  
15) Fax Number: **( )**

16) E-mail Address: **( )**

17) Preferred means of communication:  
   - **( )** E-mail  
   - **(X) Letter**  
   - **( ) Both**

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted **10/09/2019**  
19) Date Replied **( )**

   - **(X) No Reply**  
   - **( ) Replied/No Interest**  
   - **( ) Replied/Have Interest**  
   - **( ) Replied/Other**

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties' role or interest (optional): **( )**
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?  
( ) Yes ( ) No

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **Kern Valley Indian Community**

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: **Brandy**  
5) MI:  
6) Last Name: **Kendricks**  
7) Suffix:

8) Title:

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box:  
10) Street Address: **30741 Foxridge Court**  
11) City: **Tehachapi**  
12) State: **CA**  
13) Zip Code: **93561**

14) Telephone Number: **(661)821-1733**  
15) Fax Number:

16) E-mail Address:

17) Preferred means of communication:

( ) E-mail  
( ) Letter  
( ) Both

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted **10/09/2019**  
19) Date Replied ________________

( ) No Reply  
( ) Replied/No Interest  
( ) Replied/Have Interest  
( ) Replied/Other

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party? 

| (X) Yes | ( ) No |

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **Kern Valley Indian Community**

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: **Robert**  
5) MI:  
6) Last Name: **Robinson**  
7) Suffix:

8) Title: **Chairperson**

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: **P.O. Box 1010**  
10) Street Address:

11) City: **Lake Isabella**  
12) State: **CA**  
13) Zip Code: **93283**

14) Telephone Number: **(760)378-2915**  
15) Fax Number:

16) E-mail Address:

17) Preferred means of communication:

| ( ) E-mail | (X) Letter | ( ) Both |

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted: **10/09/2019**  
19) Date Replied: ____________

| (X) No Reply | ( ) Replied/No Interest | ( ) Replied/Have Interest | ( ) Replied/Other |

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party? (X) Yes ( ) No

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):  
3) Name: Kern Valley Indian Community

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: Julie  
5) MI:  
6) Last Name: Turner  
7) Suffix:  
8) Title:  

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: P.O. Box 1010  
10) Street Address:  
11) City: Lake Isabella  
12) State: CA  
13) Zip Code: 93283  
14) Telephone Number: (661)340-0032  
15) Fax Number:  
16) E-mail Address:  
17) Preferred means of communication:  
   ( ) E-mail  
   (X) Letter  
   ( ) Both

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted: 10/09/2019  
19) Date Replied: ___________________  
   (X) No Reply  
   ( ) Replied/No Interest  
   ( ) Replied/Have Interest  
   ( ) Replied/Other

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?  (X) Yes  ( ) No

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN): 

3) Name: **Morongo Band of Mission Indians**

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: **Robert**  

5) MI:  

6) Last Name: **Martin**  

7) Suffix: 

8) Title: **Chairperson**

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: 

10) Street Address: **12700 Pumarra Road**

11) City: **Banning**  

12) State: **CA**  

13) Zip Code: **92220**

14) Telephone Number: **(951)849-8807**  

15) Fax Number: 

16) E-mail Address: 

17) Preferred means of communication:  

   ( ) E-mail  

   (X) Letter  

   ( ) Both

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted: **10/09/2019**  

19) Date Replied: ____________

   (X) No Reply  

   ( ) Replied/No Interest  

   ( ) Replied/Have Interest  

   ( ) Replied/Other

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional): 

---

*FCC Form 620  
May 2014*
Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party? (X) Yes  ( ) No

Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: Morongo Band of Mission Indians

Contact Name

4) First Name: Denisa
5) MI: 
6) Last Name: Torres
7) Suffix: 
8) Title: Cultural Resources Manager

Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: 
And/Or 10) Street Address: 12700 Pumarra Road


14) Telephone Number: (951)849-8807 15) Fax Number: 

16) E-mail Address:

17) Preferred means of communication:
   ( ) E-mail
   (X) Letter
   ( ) Both

Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted 10/09/2019 19) Date Replied _____________
   (X) No Reply
   ( ) Replied/No Interest
   ( ) Replied/Have Interest
   ( ) Replied/Other

Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional):
### Other Consulting Parties

#### Other Consulting Parties Contacted

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party? (X) Yes ( ) No

#### Consulting Party

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **San Fernando Band of Mission Indians**

#### Contact Name

4) First Name: **Donna**

5) MI: [ ]

6) Last Name: **Yocum**

7) Suffix: [ ]

8) Title: **Chairperson**

#### Contact Information

9) P.O. Box: **P.O. Box 221838**

10) Street Address: [ ]

11) City: **Newhall**

12) State: **CA**

13) Zip Code: **91322**

14) Telephone Number: **(503)539-0933**

15) Fax Number: [ ]

16) E-mail Address: [ ]

17) Preferred means of communication:

  ( ) E-mail

  (X) Letter

  ( ) Both

#### Dates & Response

18) Date Contacted: **10/09/2019**

19) Date Replied: [ ]

  (X) No Reply

  ( ) Replied/No Interest

  ( ) Replied/Have Interest

  ( ) Replied/Other

#### Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional): [ ]
Other Consulting Parties

Other Consulting Parties Contacted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(X) Yes ( ) No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consulting Party

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3) Name: San Manuel Band of Mission Indians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Name

| 4) First Name: Lee |
| 5) MI: |
| 6) Last Name: Clauss |
| 7) Suffix: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8) Title: Director of Cultural Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Information

| 9) P.O. Box: |
| 10) Street Address: 26569 Community Center Drive |
| And/Or |
| 11) City: Highland |
| 12) State: CA |
| 13) Zip Code: 92346 |

| 14) Telephone Number: (909)864-8933 |
| 15) Fax Number: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16) E-mail Address:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 17) Preferred means of communication: |
| ( ) E-mail |
| (X) Letter |
| ( ) Both |

Dates & Response

| 18) Date Contacted 10/09/2019 |
| 19) Date Replied ________________ |

| (X) No Reply |
| ( ) Replied/No Interest |
| ( ) Replied/Have Interest |
| ( ) Replied/Other |

Additional Information

20) Information on other consulting parties' role or interest (optional):
Other Consulting Parties

**Consulting Party**

1) Has any other agency been contacted and invited to become a consulting party?  (X) Yes ( ) No

2) FCC Registration Number (FRN):

3) Name: **Serrano Nation of Mission Indians**

**Contact Name**

4) First Name: **Mark**  5) MI:  6) Last Name: **Cochrane**  7) Suffix:

8) Title: **Co-Chairperson**

**Contact Information**

9) P.O. Box: **P.O. Box 343**  And 10) Street Address:

11) City: **Patton**  12) State: **CA**  13) Zip Code: **92369**

14) Telephone Number: **(909)528-9032**  15) Fax Number:

16) E-mail Address:

17) Preferred means of communication:

( ) E-mail  (X) Letter  ( ) Both

**Dates & Response**

18) Date Contacted **10/09/2019**  19) Date Replied  

( X ) No Reply  ( ) Replied/No Interest  ( ) Replied/Have Interest  ( ) Replied/Other

**Additional Information**

20) Information on other consulting parties’ role or interest (optional):
Designation of SHPO/THPO

1) Designate the Lead State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO) based on the location of the tower.

**SHPO/THPO**

| Name: | California Office of Historic Preservation |

2) You may also designate up to three additional SHPOs/THPOs if the APEs include multiple states. If the APEs include other countries, enter the name of the National Historic Preservation Agency and any state and provincial Historic Preservation Agency.

| SHPO/THPO Name: |  |
| SHPO/THPO Name: |  |
| SHPO/THPO Name: |  |

Certification

I certify that all representations on this FCC Form 620 Submission Packet and the accompanying attachments are true, correct, and complete.

**Party Authorized to Sign**

| First Name: Laura | MI: L | Last Name: Mancuso | Suffix: | Signature: Laura L Mancuso | Date: 10/11/2019 |

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS APPLICATION MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF THE APPLICATION AND FORFEITURE OF ANY FEES PAID.

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE ON THIS FORM OR ANY ATTACHMENTS ARE PUNISHABLE BY FINE AND/OR IMPRISONMENT (U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 1001) AND/OR REVOCATION OF ANY STATION LICENSE OR CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 312(a)(1)), AND/OR FORFEITURE (U.S. Code, Title 47, Section 503).
### Attachments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Date Entered</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resumes/Vitae</td>
<td>Laura Mancuso Resume</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resumes/Vitae</td>
<td>Carrie Wills Resume</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resumes/Vitae</td>
<td>Katherine Thomas Resume</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map Documents</td>
<td>Maps</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Potential Effects</td>
<td>Area of Potential Effects</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tribal/NHO Involvement</td>
<td>NOO</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Involvement</td>
<td>ITC Letter - County Planning</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Involvement</td>
<td>PN Proof</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Involvement</td>
<td>ITC Letter - Historical Society</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Involvement</td>
<td>NAHC Contact List</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Involvement</td>
<td>NAHC Letters</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Properties for Visual Effects</td>
<td>Visual Effects</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Properties for Direct Effects</td>
<td>Direct Effects</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic Properties for Direct Effects</td>
<td>Arch Report</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photographs</td>
<td>Photographs</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Site Information</td>
<td>Additional Site Information</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Site Information</td>
<td>Site Drawings</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State-Specific Forms</td>
<td>CA SHPO Cover Letter</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Cover Letter</td>
<td>10/10/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Laura L. Mancuso

Education: Master Historic Preservation, University of Maryland, College Park
B.A., Humanities, Providence College

Years of Experience: 14+ years

Summary of Professional Experience

Ms. Mancuso holds a master’s degree in Historic Preservation and has more than 12 years of experience as a Historic Preservation Professional.

Ms. Mancuso has over 9 years of experience in the telecommunications field, providing environmental and regulatory due diligence under the National Historic Preservation Act and the National Environmental Policy Act. As an Architectural Historian, she completed thousands of Section 106 and NEPA reports throughout the United States. Ms. Mancuso has provided quality control, performed building analyses and historical research, conducted SHPO file reviews, managed portfolios, and acted as a client manager. In consultation with carriers, SHPOs, and stakeholders, Ms. Mancuso has facilitated redesigns of hundreds of installations and developed mitigation strategies to avoid potential adverse effects to historic resources.

In addition, as Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer and the Construction Grant Coordinator for the State of Connecticut, Ms. Mancuso provided technical assistance on hundreds of restoration and Section 106 projects and managed a portfolio of over $5 million in grants. In this capacity, she developed multiple grant programs and guidelines, applications, and contracts. She assisted grantees and potential grantees with project planning and design to ensure projects met the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. Ms. Mancuso developed and reviewed hundreds of determinations of eligibility for properties for submitted for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. She hosted and attended numerous meetings and training sessions to improve the public’s understanding of historic preservation policies and programs. Ms. Mancuso also attended annual National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers (NCSHPO) meetings and developed relationships with many of the State Historic Preservation Officers.
Summary of Qualifications
Ms. Wills has been a Senior Project Archaeologist for the past 20+ years working at both prehistoric and historic sites. She has coordinated compliance efforts and trained teams ranging in size from 2 to 12 professional archaeologists and/or architectural historians in the complexities of small- and large-scale projects. She has extensive experience managing projects that include background research utilizing state, federal, and local databases; pre-construction field surveys and assessments; and the formulation of mitigation measures, as needed. She has conducted site evaluations that included testing procedures, data recovery, and analysis of resources at both prehistoric and historic sites. Her experience includes evaluating sites and features for historic significance, and preparing reports that comply with CEQA, Section 106 and NEPA. She has extensive experience coordinating with various agencies including city and county governments, USACE, and the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR). In addition, Ms. Wills has conducted numerous consultations with Native American tribal representatives and government agencies and has good working relationships built on mutual trust and respect.

Selected Project Experience
Turkey Creek Estates Project Cultural Resource Assessment. Project Manager and Senior Archaeologist that conducted archival research, led a 250-acre field survey, conducted limited site testing, and prepared a comprehensive report for the Turkey Creek Estates Project in Lincoln. The project was considered sensitive for Native American resources as it was near Auburn Ravine Creek. Resources discovered during the field survey consisted of two bedrock mortar sites and a historic granite quarry pit. Consultation with Native American representatives resulted in mitigation that included construction monitoring in the areas surrounding the two mortar sites. The quarry pit was determined not significant under CEQA and, therefore, did not require mitigation.

Fish Camp Campground Development Project Cultural Resource Assessment. Project in Mariposa County proposed the development of a campground on 24.82 acres within a recorded Native American site. As project manager and senior project archaeologist, tasks included record search reviews and a field survey with focused subsurface testing. The results of the survey and testing indicated that the proposed project was within a highly sensitive Native American site. Recommendations were presented in a comprehensive report for Native American consultation and possible avoidance or further testing of the proposed project area.

Bailey-Fellowes Dike Breach Assessment. Project Manager that conducted a feasibility study and estimated cost for breaching Fellows Dike at Calero County Park Reservoir and relocating the California Register of Historic Resources- (CRHR-) eligible Bailey-Fellows House and eight other associated structures for the Santa
Clara Water District. The District chose two alternatives for analysis: one to repair the dike and retain the structures in place and the other to breach the dike and inundate the House and other buildings. Led a team of geologists, house moving companies, historic building designers, structural architects, and geologists to analyze the two alternatives and provide the District with a feasible analysis for its decision.

The Conservation Center for Wildlife Care. At the request of the Peninsula Humane Society and SPCA, conducted a cultural resource investigation that included a Northwest Information Center (NWIC) record search and Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) Sacred Lands File search, and a field survey for the approximately 170-acre Area of Potential Effect (APE) at the proposed Conservation Center for Wildlife Care located outside the City of Saratoga in Santa Clara County. In addition, coordinated with the project’s architectural historian on building/structure evaluations and recorded the structures on appropriate Department of Parks & Recreation (DPR) forms. The final Section 106 report was presented to the USACE for submittal to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for concurrence with the finding of no adverse effect.

Napa Logistics Park Phase II Project City of American Canyon. Project in Napa County comprised a 176-acre parcel to be developed for industrial uses, infrastructure, and wetland preservation areas. Total build-out potential would be 2,270,640 square feet of warehouse, distribution, and accessory retail/office uses. Serving as the project manager for cultural resources, tasks included a record search at the NWIC, a search of the NAHC Sacred Lands file, a field survey, and two structure evaluations and a comprehensive report written to Section 106 standards.

Lake Solano Regional Park Visitor’s Center Project, County of Solano. Project Manager and Senior Archaeologist that conducted a cultural resource investigation that included archival research of state, federal and local databases and a pedestrian field survey. Because the project had a federal nexus, the procedures set forth in Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and BOR guidelines were followed. The results of the findings were presented in a comprehensive report and submitted to the SHPO for concurrence with the Findings of Effect.

Larkspur 16.8-Acre Project Historic American Buildings Survey. Serving as Project Manager, conducted a field survey, records and map review, and historic building evaluation for more than 20 buildings and structures associated with the circa 1920–1980 Niven Nursery in the City of Larkspur in Marin County. The existing buildings and greenhouses that retained their historic integrity were evaluated for historic significance, recorded on appropriate DPR forms, and documented to Historic American Building Survey (HABS) standards. Additionally, two prehistoric sites were previously recorded within the project area, and although neither of them was found during the pedestrian survey, to ensure site protection, construction monitoring was conducted during all ground-disturbing activities in these areas.

County of Monterey General Plan Update. As Senior Project Archaeologist, assisted in updating the General Plan with new policies including archaeological, historical, and paleontological resources. Tasks included a review of existing policies and suggestions for alternatives and updates relevant to current trends. Worked closely with Monterey County staff, agency personnel, and sub-consultants to ensure a high quality, timely Plan Update.
Katherine Diane Thomas, M.A, RPA
Professional Archaeologist

Current Project Experience

HELIX Environmental Planning, Inc. (6/2016-Present)
Archaeologist
- Monitor construction sites for disturbance of cultural material.
- Perform site visits to existing and potential cell tower sites to prepare for building/further renovation.
- Assist project managers with report preparation.

Solano Archaeological Services (6/2016-Present)
Archaeologist
- Monitor construction sites for disturbance of cultural material.
- Collect and record cultural materials found in project areas.

Archaeology Intern
- Aid staff and visiting students in archaeological research.
- Performed Phase 1 surveys of the island of St. John.
- Performed lab work including: sorting, washing, and bagging of prehistoric and historic artifacts, giving lab tours to the public, and analyzing historic artifacts for visiting students.

East Carolina University (6/2014-7/2014)
Graduate Assistant-Archaeology
- Instruct and mentor high school students in archaeological field and research methods.
- Supervised Phase III excavations at a plantation site
- Supervised Phase I excavations at an 18th century house.
- Photograph features and units and catalogue photos, artifacts, and student’s notes for future research.
- Analyze artifacts and write site report for multi-year excavations

East Carolina University (5/2014-6/2014)
Field School in Prehistoric Archaeology
- Assist in Phase III excavation
- Organize and maintain artifact bags
- Float soil samples; Draw feature profiles and unit plan views

San Bernardino County Museum (4/2013-6/2013)
Anthropology Intern
- Inventory archaeological artifacts
- Aid staff in research for papers to be published

Education

M.A in Anthropology at East Carolina University, December 2015
Thesis: A Comparative Analysis of a Potential Tavern Site in Jackson, North Carolina

B.S in Anthropology at University of California, Riverside, June 2013
- Minors in French and Women’s Studies
PHOTOGRAPHS

Please see the attached Cultural Resources Records Search and Site Visit Results report for photographs of the proposed undertaking.
ADDITIONAL SITE INFORMATION

The Subject Property is located south of Yermo Road, north of Union Pacific Avenue in Yermo, San Bernardino County, California. The area of the proposed installation currently consists of an unpaved, dirt parking area adjacent to an abandoned building. The surrounding area consists of limited residential and commercial development, as well as areas of undeveloped land.

AT&T Mobility, LLC proposes to construct a new telecommunications facility at the Subject Property. The facility will include a 120-foot monopole and associated support equipment to be located within a fenced compound on a 20-foot by 30-foot lease area. Support equipment will include a walk-in cabinet, an emergency generator and a utility H-frame. Twelve panel antennas, four per sector, will be installed at a centerline height of 116 feet above ground level (AGL). Two microwave dish antennas will be installed at a centerline height of 106 feet AGL. An underground power/telco trench will be routed from the lease area to an existing utility pole. Access to the facility will be proved along an existing dirt driveway.

Please see the attached lease exhibits for your review and information.
See Appendix A for Site Drawings
**AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS**

**AREA OF DIRECT EFFECTS**
The APE for direct effects (APE-DE) is limited to the area of potential ground disturbance and any property, or any portion thereof that will be physically altered or destroyed by the project. The APE-DE is limited to the proposed monopole, the lease area, and the underground power/telco trench.

**AREA OF VISUAL EFFECTS**
The APE for visual effects (APE-VE) is the geographic area in which the Undertaking has the potential to introduce visual elements that diminish or alter the setting, including the landscape, where the setting is a character-defining feature of a Historic Property that makes it eligible for listing on the National Register.

Per Section V.C.4 of the National Programmatic Agreement, the APE-VE for this project is limited to:

| ☒ | ½ mile from the tower site if the proposed tower is 200 feet or less in overall height |
| ☐ | ¾ of a mile from the tower sites if the proposed tower is more than 200 but no more than 400 feet in overall height |
| ☐ | 1½ miles from the proposed tower site if the proposed tower is more than 400 feet in overall height |
Dear Applicant:

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this electronic mail message is to inform you that the following authorized persons were sent the notification that you provided through TCNS, which relates to your proposed antenna structure. The information was forwarded by the FCC to authorized TCNS users by electronic mail and/or regular mail (letter). We note that the review period for all parties begins upon receipt of the Submission Packet pursuant to Section VII.A of the NPA and notifications that do not provide this serve as information only.

Persons who have received the notification that you provided include leaders or their designees of federally-recognized American Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively "Tribal Nations"), Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and State Historic Preservation Officers (SHPOs). For your convenience in identifying the referenced Tribal Nations and NHOs and in making further contacts, the City and State of the Seat of Government for each Tribal Nation and NHO, as well as the designated contact person, is included in the listing below. We note that Tribal Nations may have Section 106 cultural interests in ancestral homelands or other locations that are far removed from their current Seat of Government. Pursuant to the Commission's rules as set forth in the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings Approved by the Federal Communications Commission (NPA), all Tribal Nations and NHOs listed below must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to respond to this notification, consistent with the procedures set forth below, unless the proposed construction falls within an exclusion designated by the Tribal Nation or NHO. (NPA, Section IV.F.4).

The notification that you provided was forwarded to the following Tribal Nations and NHOs. A Tribal Nation or NHO may not respond until a full Submission Packet is provided. If, upon receipt, the Tribal Nation or NHO does not respond within a reasonable time, you should make a reasonable effort at follow-up contact, unless the Tribal Nation or NHO has agreed to different procedures (NPA, Section IV.F.5). In the event a Tribal Nation or NHO does not respond to a follow-up inquiry, or if a substantive or procedural disagreement arises between you and a Tribal Nation or NHO, you must seek guidance from the Commission (NPA, Section IV.G). These procedures are further set forth in the FCC's Second Report and Order released on March 30, 2018 (FCC 18-30).

1. THPO Josh Mann - Eastern Shoshone Tribe - (PO Box: 538) Fort Washakie, WY - jmann@easternshoshone.org; sdurgin@easternshoshone.org - 307-438-0094 - electronic mail and regular mail

2. Acting Director Bryan Etsitty - Colorado River Indian Tribes - 26600 Mohave Road Parker, AZ - anita.flores@crit-nsn.gov - 928-669-5822 - electronic mail and regular mail

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Colorado River Indian Tribes within 30 days after
notification through TCNS, the Colorado River Indian Tribes has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Colorado River Indian Tribes in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

3. Culture Society Director Linda D Otero - Fort Mojave Indian Tribe - AhaMakav Cultural Society (PO Box: 5990) Mohave Valley, AZ - lindaotero@fortmojave.com - 928-768-4475 - electronic mail and regular mail

4. Attorney Montana & Associates LLC - Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians - N12923 N Prairie Rd Osseo, WI - skullvalleybandofgoshutefcctns@outlook.com - 605-881-1227 - electronic mail and regular mail

5. Director of Culturla Center Matthew Leivas Sr. - Chemehuevi Tribe - (PO Box: 1976) Havasu Lake, CA - cultural@cit-nsn.gov; sec.treas@cit-nsn.gov - 760-858-1115 - electronic mail


7. Tribal Archaeologist Alexandra McCleary - San Manuel Band of Mission Indians - 26569 Community Center Drive Highland, CA - alexandra.mccleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov - 909-633-0054 - electronic mail

8. Chairman Shane Chapparosa - Los Coyotes Reservation - (PO Box: 189) Warner Springs, CA - los_coyotes@ymail.com; loscoyotes_ta@yahoo.com - 760-782-0711 - electronic mail and regular mail

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Los Coyotes Reservation within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Los Coyotes Reservation has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Los Coyotes Reservation in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

9. Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Travis Armstrong - Morongo Band of Mission Indians - 12700 Pumarra Drive Banning, CA - thpo@morongo-nsn.gov; ABenally@morongo-nsn.gov - 951-755-5256 - electronic mail and regular mail
10. Cultural Clerk Chris Devers - Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians - (PO Box: 369) Pauma Valley, CA - cultural@pauma-nsn.gov - 760-742-1289 - electronic mail and regular mail

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

11. Cultural Resources Coordinator John Gomez - Ramona Band of Cahuilla - (PO Box: 391372) Anza, CA - jgomez@ramonatribe.com - 951-941-4943 - electronic mail

12. Director of Cultural Resources Joseph Ontiveros - Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians - 23906 Soboba Road (PO Box: 487) San Jacinto, CA - lshaker@soboba-nsn.gov - 951-654-5544 - electronic mail

13. Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Anthony L Madrigal Jr - Twenty Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians - 46-200 Harrison Place Coachella, CA - TNPConsultation@29palmsbomi-nsn.gov; sbliss@29palmsbomi-nsn.gov - 760-775-3259 - electronic mail and regular mail

Exclusions: Anthony Madrigal, Jr., Tribal Historic Preservation Officer TNPConsultation@29palmsbomi-nsn.gov. Please call (760) 863-2489, if you have any questions.

14. Chairman White Dove Kennedy - Timbisha Shoshone Tribe - 621 West Line St. - Suite 109 (PO Box: 1779) Bishop, CA - administrator@timbisha.com - 760-872-3614 - electronic mail and regular mail

If the applicant/tower builder receives no response from the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe within 30 days after notification through TCNS, the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe has no interest in participating in pre-construction review for the proposed site. The Applicant/tower builder, however, must immediately notify the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe in the event archaeological properties or human remains are discovered during construction, consistent with Section IX of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement and applicable law.

The notification that you provided was also forwarded to the following SHPOs in the State in which you propose to construct and neighboring States. The information was provided to these SHPOs as a courtesy for their information and planning. You need make no effort at this time to follow up with any SHPO that does not respond to this notification. Prior to construction, you must provide the SHPO of the State in which you propose to construct (or the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer, if the project will be located on certain Tribal lands), with a Submission Packet pursuant to Section VII.A of the NPA unless the project is excluded from SHPO review under Section III D or E of the NPA.
TCNS automatically forwards all notifications to all Tribal Nations and SHPOs that have an expressed interest in the geographic area of a proposal. However, if a proposal for PTC wayside poles falls within a designated exclusion, you need not expect any response and need not pursue any additional process with that Tribal Nation or SHPO. In addition, a particular Tribal Nation or SHPO may also set forth policies or procedures within its details box that exclude from review certain facilities (for example, a statement that it does not review collocations with no ground disturbance; or that indicates that no response within 30 days indicates no interest in participating in pre-construction review).

Please be advised that the FCC cannot guarantee that the contact(s) listed above have opened and reviewed an electronic or regular mail notification. If you learn that any of the above contact information is no longer valid, please contact the FCC by emailing tensh@fcc.gov. The following information relating to the proposed tower was forwarded to the person(s) listed above:

Notification Received: 09/10/2019
Notification ID: 189343
Excluded from SHPO Review: No
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: AT&T Mobility
Consultant Name: Megan B Bryson
Street Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane
City: White Plains
State: NEW YORK
Zip Code: 10604
Phone: 914-597-6927
Email: Megan.bryson@cbre.com

Structure Type: MTOWER - Monopole
Latitude: 34 deg 54 min 23.1 sec N
Longitude: 116 deg 48 min 49.3 sec W
Location Description: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
City: Yermo
State: CALIFORNIA
County: SAN BERNARDINO
Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
Ground Elevation: 586.1 meters
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 622.7 meters above mean sea level

If you have any questions or comments regarding this notice, please contact the FCC using the electronic Help Request form located on the FCC's website at:
https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.fcc.gov_wireless_available-2Dsupport-2Dservices&d=DwIFaQ&c=jozbAXBGpZCeJmn-Q9SThA&r=7BDhTBscbylj6TFbsXSODQ2biMygV087FiY3_VZYojjNY&m=FQonpKQNBzCo2NEn42T8l-8KZyorf5jpruLxx5Wro&s=8i6bHm2mngoIKUsyJFVWe4OdnCPWkZNTW5LgTzQiW4&e=

You may also call the FCC Support Center at (877) 480-3201 (TTY 717-338-2824). Hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (except Federal holidays). To provide quality service and ensure security, all telephone calls are recorded.
Thank you,
Federal Communications Commission
**HISTORIC PROPERTIES FOR DIRECT EFFECTS**

Based on a file review and research completed on September 11, 2019 at the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC):

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>it does not appear that the Subject Property is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>it appears that the Subject Property is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (see below)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>the Subject Property located is individually listed on the National Register of Historic Places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>the Subject Property is a National Historic Landmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>the Subject Property is listed on the National Register of Historic Places as a contributing resource to the below-mentioned historic district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>the Subject Property is located within the below-mentioned historic district, which is listed on the National Register of Historic Places; however according to the nomination form, the property does not contribute to the significance of the district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

Katherine D. Thomas and Carrie D. Wills, Archaeologists for Helix Environmental Planning, Inc., completed an evaluation of the proposed APE-DE for the presence of below-grade cultural resources. Please refer to the attached report documenting the findings of this project review including a description of the techniques and the methodology used to identify Historic Properties within the APE for direct effects.

This report concludes that archeological resources are not expected to be impacted by the construction of the proposed monopole and installation of associated support equipment at the Project Site.
September 18, 2019

Mr. Steve Davies  
CBRE, Inc.  
5713 Verducci Lane  
Waxhaw, NC 28173

Subject: Cultural Resource Records Search and Site Visit Results for AT&T Mobility, LLC Candidate CSL04366, South of Yermo Road and North of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, San Bernardino County, California

Dear Mr. Davies:

At the request of CBRE, Inc. (CBRE), HELIX Environmental Planning, Inc. (HELIX) has conducted a cultural resource records search and site visit for AT&T Mobility, LLC (AT&T) candidate CSL04366, located south of Yermo Road and north of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, California 92398. The lease area lies in T9N, R2E, Section 6 (San Bernardino Baseline and Meridian) as shown on the USGS Yermo, CA 7.5-minute quadrangle map. AT&T proposes the installation of a new unmanned monopole telecommunications facility at this location. Proposed is the installation of a new 120’ high monopole. Ground mounted equipment will be installed within a new 20’ x 30’ equipment lease area to be located at the base of the monopole. A trench for underground power and telco will extend north of the equipment lease area to an existing utility pole and proposed power and telco point of connection (P.O.C.) located in an alleyway and E. Yermo Road. Access will be provided along an existing dirt driveway/access road.

The purpose of the records search is to identify previously recorded cultural resources (prehistoric and historic archaeological sites, historic buildings, structures, objects, or districts) within the area of potential effect, as required by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 and its implementing regulations, 36 CFR Part 800. It entails a review of previously recorded prehistoric and historic archaeological sites situated within a ½-mile radius of the candidate, as well as a review of cultural resource survey/excavation reports. The purpose of the site visit is to determine the area of potential effect (APE) associated with the candidate. The lease area and the locations of planned project-related excavations (if any) were visited and photographed. The APE was established with reference to planned-for candidate construction methods, the existing topography and the current level of local urbanization.

On September 11, 2019, Sonia Sifuentes conducted the cultural resources records search at the South Central Coastal Information Center (SCCIC), which is located at the California State University, Fullerton. To identify historic properties on or near the candidate, a ¼-mile search radius was utilized. HELIX examined current inventories of the National Register of Historic Places (NR), the California Historical Landmarks (CHL), and the California Points of Historical Interest (CPHI). HELIX also reviewed the California State Historic Resources Inventory (HRI) for San Bernardino County to determine local resources that have been previously evaluated for historic significance. In addition, archival maps were inspected for indications of historical structures in the area.
Cultural Resource Records Search Results

The results of the records search indicated that five cultural resources (see Tables 1 and 2) have been recorded within the search radius of the candidate. In addition, fourteen area-specific survey reports are on file with the NCIC for the search radius (see attached documentation). One of the reports included the candidate location (see Table 3), suggesting the project area has previously been surveyed for cultural resources, with negative results.

Table 1: Known Cultural Resources within ½-mile radius of the candidate APE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Number</th>
<th>Distance from the candidate</th>
<th>Resource Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-36-001910</td>
<td>1235 feet southwest</td>
<td>CA-SBR-001910H Union Pacific Railroad: Dagget to Nevada Border; San Pedro-Los Angeles and Salt Lake Railroad Not included in HRI listings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-36-006023</td>
<td>2155 feet northwest</td>
<td>CA-SBR-006023H Sprint-Yermo Dump Historic Site (privies/dumps/trash scatters)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-36-021628</td>
<td>1730 feet southwest</td>
<td>Historic Building 35700 2nd Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-36-021629</td>
<td>1535 feet northeast</td>
<td>Yermo Road / CNX 30 Historic Structure (commercial building, engineering structure, highway/trail) Not included in HRI listings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-36-029386</td>
<td>Candidate Location</td>
<td>Community of Yermo; Otis Historic District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Structures or Features within ½-mile radius of the candidate area from the San Bernardino County HRI, NR, CHL, and/or CHPI inventories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Distance from the candidate</th>
<th>Resource Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Known Cultural Resource Reports located within ½-mile radius of the candidate APE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NADB Report Number</th>
<th>Additional Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB-08196</td>
<td>McKenna and Lasca; 2016 - Assessed candidate location as a large-area cultural resources investigation for a proposed Yermo water system pipeline installation/replacement project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USGS Archival Topographic Map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The general area was a moderately developed semi-urban environment consisting of residential neighborhoods and commercial buildings, and areas of undeveloped land. The candidate location was a dirt lot/parking area behind an existing building.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cultural Setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultural Sensitivity Based On The Record Search Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prehistoric</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References


Four general, but distinctive cultural periods have been identified by Wallace (1955) for the prehistoric occupation of southern California (Early Hunter; Milling Stone; Intermediate; Late).

Early Hunter Period (before 6500 B.C.) sites are characterized by large projectile points and other stone implements adapted to chase big game animals. The large size and weight of the points suggest that the primary weapon for hunting was the dart, propelled by a spear thrower. The lack of grinding tools suggests that the inhabitants were not exploiting the plant foods to the extent that later cultures were. They were nomadic hunters, following the game throughout the seasons. Archaeological sites representing this early period are not common.

The Milling Stone Period (about 6500 B.C. to 1000 B.C.) represents a long period of time characterized by small, highly mobile groups of Native Americans. These groups probably had a seasonal round of settlement that included both inland and coastal residential bases. They relied primarily on grasses and seeds for food. Characteristic inland sites include numerous manos, metates, and hammerstones. Shell middens are more common at coastal sites.

The Intermediate Period occurred from approximately 1000 B.C. to A.D. 750. Mortars and pestles first appear in this period, indicating knowledge of acorn leaching. Use of the acorn probably permitted greater sedentism, especially at inland locations. Large projectile points suggest the use of spear throwers rather than the bow and arrow. Settlement patterns during this period are not well understood.

The Late Period (A.D. 750 to Historic Contact) saw a more semi-sedentary settlement pattern. Smaller projectile points suggest the introduction of the bow and arrow and less or no reliance on the spear thrower.

**Serrano**

The Serrano are part of the Takic language family, which is a language group also shared, albeit distantly, by the Luiseno, Tongva, Cahuilla, and Cupeno tribal entities. Although it is difficult to ascribe a definitive boundary for the Serrano, the literature has suggested that this nationality had encompassed a vast and topographically varied area. Historically, the territory of the Serrano includes the localities of eastern Los Angeles County, the Cajon Pass to Victorville, the far eastern reaches of Twentynine Palms, and the southern extremities of the San Gorgonio Pass. As with most Native peoples, a typical village site would have been located within the accessibility of water and in areas that would provide a good shelter from the wind and that would have been utilized during hunting and gathering trips away from the main village (Bean and Smith 1978).

**Establishment of APE and Cultural Resources Within**

On September 12, 2019, Professional Archaeologist Katherine D. Thomas, M.A, RPA visited the candidate location for the purpose of establishing the APE (see Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2). Ms. Thomas satisfies the Secretary of the Interior’s qualifications for a field archaeologist (see Resume). The APE was ascertained by examining the planned candidate construction methods, the existing topography, and the current level of urbanization. AT&T proposes the installation of a new unmanned monopole telecommunications facility at this location. Proposed is the installation of a new 120’high monopole. Ground mounted equipment will be installed within a new 20’ x 30’ equipment lease area to be located at the base of the monopole. A trench for underground power and telco will extend north of the equipment lease area to an existing utility pole and proposed power and telco point of connection (P.O.C.) located in an alleyway and E. Yermo Road. Access will be provided along an existing dirt driveway/access road. Given these parameters, the direct APE is confined to the proposed telecommunications facility. The visual indirect APE is considered all that area within a ½-mile radius of those portions of the candidate once completed.

**Direct APE Cultural Resources**

The results of the site investigation confirm no pre-contact cultural resources will be affected by the proposed installation of a new monopole telecommunications facility. The candidate is located in the historic community of Yermo. The community of Yermo was evaluated for NR eligibility in 2016 for historic district designation (P-36-029386, attached); however, a NRHP Status Code has not been assigned as yet and the Yermo historic district is not included in the current 2012 HRI listings. The candidate location is an unpaved parking area adjacent to an abandoned building. There is excellent surface visibility with no obstructions. Soils have been lightly disturbed from light grading and construction of an adjacent building.
Visual Indirect APE

The results of our records search concluded the candidate is not located within the boundaries or 250 feet of the boundaries of a historic district that is eligible for listing on NR. In addition, there are no NR listed or eligible historic properties located within ½-mile of the candidate. The conditions are as follows:

- North of the candidate location are derelict buildings.
- East of the candidate location are open parcels.
- South of the candidate are a railroad line and open parcels.
- West of the candidate are derelict buildings.

The topography in the vicinity of the candidate consists of flat land. Surface visibility is excellent with the only visual obstructions being a sparse number of buildings, streets, and railroad. Soils have been moderately disturbed from construction of buildings and streets.

Recommendations

In accordance with 36 CFR Part 800, HELIX has assessed the effects of the proposed telecommunication facility on local cultural properties. The results of our assessment indicate there are no previously recorded pre-contact resources located within ½-mile of the APE. In addition, there are no NR listed or eligible historic properties located within ¼-mile of the candidate. The candidate location is an unpaved parking lot adjacent to a building constructed prior to 1970 and no existing structures will be impacted. Therefore, cultural resources will not be affected by the installation of the proposed telecommunications facility. Furthermore, HELIX is requesting a finding of No Historic Properties in the APE for Direct and Visual Effects.

We at HELIX appreciate the opportunity to assist you on this project. If we can be of any further assistance, or if you have any questions concerning this letter, please do not hesitate to contact Al Martinez at (949) 234-8770 or via his e-mail, ALM@helixepi.com.

Sincerely,

Katherine D. Thomas, M.A., RPA
Professional Archaeologist
HELIX Environmental Planning, Inc.
16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 150
Irvine, CA 92618

Carrie D. Wills, M.A., RPA
Professional Archaeologist
HELIX Environmental Planning, Inc.
16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 150
Irvine, CA 92618
See Appendix A for Photographs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report No.</th>
<th>Other IDs</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SB-00070</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1060070; Voided - 66-0.2</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>PREWITT, TERRY J.</td>
<td>MANIX LAKE PROBLEMS: AN ALTERNATE VIEW</td>
<td>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY MUSEUM ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>36-000541, 36-000668, 36-001910, 36-001968, 36-002340, 36-003033, 36-003171, 36-003694, 36-004085, 36-004094, 36-004179, 36-004180, 36-004181, 36-004182, 36-004252, 36-004253, 36-004255, 36-004268, 36-004271, 36-004272, 36-004411, 36-004525, 36-004720, 36-004846, 36-006015, 36-006017, 36-006018, 36-006019, 36-006020, 36-006021, 36-006022, 36-006023, 36-006030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-00700</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1060700; Voided - 78-11.3</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>HEARN, JOSEPH E.</td>
<td>ARCHAEOLOGICAL - HISTORICAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT OF PORTIONS OF SECS. 1, 2 AND 11, (T9N R1E), YERMO AREA</td>
<td>SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY MUSEUM ASSOCIATION</td>
<td>36-000541, 36-000668, 36-001910, 36-001968, 36-002340, 36-003033, 36-003171, 36-003694, 36-004085, 36-004094, 36-004179, 36-004180, 36-004181, 36-004182, 36-004252, 36-004253, 36-004255, 36-004268, 36-004271, 36-004272, 36-004411, 36-004525, 36-004720, 36-004846, 36-006015, 36-006017, 36-006018, 36-006019, 36-006020, 36-006021, 36-006022, 36-006023, 36-006030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-01734</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1061734; Voided - 87-10.5A-B</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>SHACKLEY, M. STEVEN, REBECCA MCCORKLE APPLE, JAN WOOLEY, and ROBERT E. REYNOLDS</td>
<td>CULTURAL AND PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES SURVEY: US SPRINT FIBER OPTIC CABLE PROJECT, RIALTO, CALIFORNIA TO LAS VEGAS, NEVADA</td>
<td>DAMES &amp; MOORE</td>
<td>36-000541, 36-000668, 36-001910, 36-001968, 36-002340, 36-003033, 36-003171, 36-003694, 36-004085, 36-004094, 36-004179, 36-004180, 36-004181, 36-004182, 36-004252, 36-004253, 36-004255, 36-004268, 36-004271, 36-004272, 36-004411, 36-004525, 36-004720, 36-004846, 36-006015, 36-006017, 36-006018, 36-006019, 36-006020, 36-006021, 36-006022, 36-006023, 36-006030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-02164</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1062164; Voided - 79-12.12</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>BEAN, LOWELL JOHN and SYLVIA BRAXKE VANE (EDITORS)</td>
<td>LUCERNE VALLEY PROJECT: ETHNOGRAPHIC AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES</td>
<td>CULTURAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH</td>
<td>36-002100, 36-002162, 36-002223, 36-003183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-02369</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1062369; Voided - 1887-0.1</td>
<td>1887</td>
<td>LINDGREEN, WALDEMAR</td>
<td>THE SILVER MINES OF CALICO, CALIFORNIA</td>
<td>TRANSACTION OF THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF MINING ENGINEERS 15:717-734</td>
<td>36-002100, 36-002162, 36-002223, 36-003183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report No.</td>
<td>Other IDs</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td>Resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-02551</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1062551; Voided - 78-11.19</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>SIMPSON, RUTH D.</td>
<td>A COMMENTARY ON W. GLENNAN’S ARTICLE</td>
<td>JOURNAL OF NEW WORLD ARCHAEOLOGY (UCLA INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY) 1 (7):63-66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-02988</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1062988</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>MCKENNA, JEANETTE A.</td>
<td>PHASE I ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY FOR THE PROPOSED ADVERTISING SIGN LOCATIONS ALONG THE INTERSTATE 15 RIGHT OF WAY, YERMO, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CA</td>
<td>MCKENNA ET AL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-04234</td>
<td>NADB-R - 1064234</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>EARLE, DAVID D.</td>
<td>ETHNOHISTORICAL &amp; ETHNOGRAPHIC OVERVIEW &amp; CULTURAL AFFILIATION STUDY OF THE FORT IRWIN REGION &amp; CENTRAL MOJAVE DESERT. 199PP</td>
<td>EARLE &amp; ASSOCIATES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB-08196</td>
<td>Paleo -</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>McKenna, Jeanette A. and Robin Laska</td>
<td>A CULTURAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATION OF THE PROPOSED YERMO WATER SYSTEM PIPELINE INSTALLATION/REPLACEMENT PROJECT, YERMO, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA</td>
<td>McKENNA et al. 36-001910, 36-003033, 36-010628, 36-021629, 36-029386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CANDIDATE LOCATION

Half-Mile Records Search Radius

Project Topographic Map
Exhibit 2
Katherine Diane Thomas, M.A, RPA
Professional Archaeologist

Current Project Experience

HELIX Environmental Planning, Inc. (6/2016-Present)
Archaeologist
- Monitor construction sites for disturbance of cultural material.
- Perform site visits to existing and potential cell tower sites to prepare for building/further renovation.
- Assist project managers with report preparation.

Solano Archaeological Services (6/2016-Present)
Archaeologist
- Monitor construction sites for disturbance of cultural material.
- Collect and record cultural materials found in project areas.

Archaeology Intern
- Aid staff and visiting students in archaeological research.
- Performed Phase 1 surveys of the island of St. John.
- Performed lab work including: sorting, washing, and bagging of prehistoric and historic artifacts, giving lab tours to the public, and analyzing historic artifacts for visiting students.

East Carolina University (6/2014-7/2014)
Graduate Assistant-Archaeology
- Instruct and mentor high school students in archaeological field and research methods.
- Supervised Phase III excavations at a plantation site
- Supervised Phase I excavations at an 18th century house.
- Photograph features and units and catalogue photos, artifacts, and student’s notes for future research.
- Analyze artifacts and write site report for multi-year excavations

East Carolina University (5/2014-6/2014)
Field School in Prehistoric Archaeology
- Assist in Phase III excavation
- Organize and maintain artifact bags
- Float soil samples; Draw feature profiles and unit plan views

San Bernardino County Museum (4/2013-6/2013)
Anthropology Intern
- Inventory archaeological artifacts
- Aid staff in research for papers to be published

Education

M.A in Anthropology at East Carolina University, December 2015

Thesis: A Comparative Analysis of a Potential Tavern Site in Jackson, North Carolina

B.S in Anthropology at University of California, Riverside, June 2013
- Minors in French and Women’s Studies
Summary of Qualifications

Ms. Wills provides guidance to clients on pre-contact and historical resource issues for small, mid-size and large, multi-component projects. She has extensive experience managing projects that include background research utilizing state, federal and local databases; pre-construction field surveys and assessments; and the formulation of mitigation measures designed to avoid or reduce impacts to cultural resources from project development. She has conducted site evaluations that include testing procedures, data recovery and analysis of resources at both pre-contact and historic sites. Her experience includes evaluating sites, buildings and resources for historical significance, and preparing reports that comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). She has extensive experience coordinating with various agencies including city and county governments, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), and the Bureau of Reclamation. In addition, Ms. Wills has provided oversight for SB 18 and AB 52 consultations with Native American tribal representatives and has good working relationships built on mutual trust and respect.

Selected Project Experience

**Cresleigh Ravine** (2015 - 2016).
Senior Archaeologist for archaeological studies for the Cresleigh Ravine and Campus at Iron Point Mixed Residential Development project on two parcels (Cresleigh Ravine and Campus at Iron Point) totaling 17.3 acres within the City of Folsom in northeastern Sacramento County. Studies included a record search at the North Central Information Center (NCIC), and a Sacred Lands File search request from the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). A field survey was conducted and the findings and mitigation measures were provided in the Initial Study Report. A second field survey was conducted with a representative from the United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC) with negative results. The work was conducted for the City of Folsom Community Development Department and the City of Folsom was the lead agency.

**Old Library Building** (2016 - 2016).
Senior Archaeologist for a 0.91-acre parcel located within the central boundary of the City of Folsom’s historic district in northeastern Sacramento County. Archaeological work for the project included a record search at the North Central Information Center (NCIC) in addition to a historic map review. Subject to AB 52, the project required consultation with the United Auburn Indian Community (UAIC) who reported a Traditional Cultural Resource (TRC) near the project. Auger testing was conducted.
Carrie D. Wills, RPA  
Senior Archaeologist

with negative results. The findings of the research and the testing were provided in an Archaeological Assessment Report. The work was conducted for the City of Folsom who was also the lead agency.

**Colusa County Airport (2016 - 2016).**
Senior Archaeologist for a Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) project located within Colusa County. The components of the assessment included a record search at the Northwest Information Center (NWIC), a search of the Native American Heritage Commission’s (NAHC) Sacred Lands file, a field survey and preparation of a report following Section 106 guidelines. Work performed for C&S Engineers, potentially under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) as the lead agency.

**Environmental Assessment Specialists - 2016 (2016 - Present).**
Senior Archaeologist and team leader for telecommunications projects across California that require record searches, map reviews, field surveys, historic building and ground disturbance evaluations, and compliance reports for State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) submittal. Coordinated team efforts with archaeologists and architectural historians, primarily for T-Mobile projects. Work conducted as a consultant for EAS, Inc. with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) as the lead agency.

**NID Raw Water PEIR (2016 - 2016).**
Senior Archaeologist for a Program Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) to assess the potentially significant environmental effects associated with the implementation of the Nevada Irrigation District’s (NID’s) Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Tasks included review of previous archaeological reports, sensitivity maps and record searches which served to provide baseline information and recommendations for future projects. Work was conducted for NID which is also the lead agency.

**RE Mustang Two - Environmental Consulting (2016 Present).**
Senior Archaeologist for 1800 acre Mustang Two Solar Energy Project which would generate alternating current electricity on approximately 1800 acres of land in unincorporated western Kings County. The project included a record search and historic map review at the Southern San Joaquin Valley Information Center (SSJVIC), a Sacred Lands File search request to the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). In addition, the Tachi Yokut tribe was consulted about specific tasks including construction monitoring and curation. The work was conducted for RE Mustang Two, LLC and Kings County is lead agency.
HISTORIC PROPERTIES FOR VISUAL EFFECTS

Based on a SHPO file review completed on September 11, 2019 no properties previously determined by SHPO to be eligible or listed on the National Register of Historic Places were identified within the APE-VE.
September 12, 2019

San Bernardino Planning Commission
385 N. Arrowhead Ave.
San Bernardino, CA 92415
(909) 387-8311

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

To Whom It May Concern:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process. As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact me in writing at CBRE, 70 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, New York 10604 or at whiteplainsculturalresources@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Courtney Gaskill
Director, Project Management
Site drawings sent with the Invitation to Consult
Letters are not included for clarity.
COURTNEY GASKILL
CBRE INC.
70 WEST RED OAK LANE
WHITE PLAINS, NY  10604

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type:   GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description
PUBLIC NOTICE CSL04366

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUN. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):
09/19/2019

An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.
September 12, 2019

San Bernardino Historical Society
P.O. Box 875
San Bernardino, CA 92402
(909) 885-2204

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

To Whom It May Concern:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact me in writing at CBRE, 70 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, New York 10604 or at whiteplainsculturalresources@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Courtney Gaskill
Director, Project Management
Site drawings sent with the Invitation to Consult
Letters are not included for clarity.
Kern Valley Indian Community
Brandy Kendricks, 
30741 Foxridge Court 
Tehachapi, CA, 93561 
Phone: (661) 821-1733
krazykendricks@hotmail.com

Kern Valley Indian Community
Robert Robinson, Chairperson 
P.O. Box 1010 
Lake Isabella, CA, 93283 
Phone: (760) 378-2915
bbutterbredt@gmail.com

Kern Valley Indian Community
Julie Turner, Secretary 
P.O. Box 1010 
Lake Isabella, CA, 93240 
Phone: (661) 340-0032

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Denisa Torres, Cultural Resources Manager 
12700 Pumarra Road 
Banning, CA, 92220 
Phone: (951) 849-8807
Fax: (951) 922-8146
dtorres@morongo-nsn.gov

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
Robert Martin, Chairperson 
12700 Pumarra Road 
Banning, CA, 92220 
Phone: (951) 849-8807
Fax: (951) 922-8146
dtorres@morongo-nsn.gov

San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
Lee Clauss, Director of Cultural Resources 
26569 Community Center Drive 
Highland, CA, 92346 
Phone: (909) 864-8933 
Fax: (909) 864-3370
lclauss@sanmanuel-nsn.gov

Serrano Nation of Mission Indians
Mark Cochrane, Co-Chairperson 
P. O. Box 343 
Patton, CA, 92369 
Phone: (909) 528-9032
serranonation1@gmail.com

Tubatulabals of Kern Valley
Robert L. Gomez, Chairperson 
P.O. Box 226 
Lake Isabella, CA, 93240 
Phone: (760) 379-4590
Fax: (760) 379-4592

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
Donna Yocum, Chairperson 
P.O. Box 221838 
Newhall, CA, 91322 
Phone: (503) 539-0933
Fax: (503) 574-3308
ddyocum@comcast.net

This list is current only as of the date of this document. Distribution of this list does not relieve any person of statutory responsibility as defined in Section 7050.5 of the Health and Safety Code, Section 5097.94 of the Public Resource Section 5097.98 of the Public Resources Code.

This list is only applicable for contacting local Native Americans with regard to cultural resources assessment for the proposed CSL04366 Project, San Bernardino County.
October 9, 2019

Kern Valley Indian Community
c/o Brandy Kendricks
30741 Foxridge Court
Tehachapi, CA, 93561

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
   “CSL04366”
   South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
   Yermo, CA 92398
   CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Ms. Kendricks:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Kern Valley Indian Community
c/o Robert Robinson, Chairperson
P.O. Box 1010
Lake Isabella, CA, 93283

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Mr. Robinson:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Kern Valley Indian Community
c/o Julie Turner
P.O. Box 1010
Lake Isabella, CA, 93283

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Ms. Turner:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Morongo Band of Mission Indians

c/o Robert Martin, Chairperson
12700 Pumarra Road
Banning, CA, 92220

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Mr. Martin:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Morongo Band of Mission Indians
c/o Denisa Torres, Cultural Resources Manager
12700 Pumarra Road
Banning, CA, 92220

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Ms. Torres:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

San Fernando Band of Mission Indians
c/o Donna Yocum, Chairperson
P.O. Box 221838
Newhall, CA, 91322

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Ms. Yocum:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

San Manuel Band of Mission Indians
c/o Lee Clauss, Director of Cultural Resources
26569 Community Center Drive
Highland, CA, 92346

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Mr. Clauss:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Serrano Nation of Mission Indians
c/o Mark Cochrane, Co-Chairperson
P. O. Box 343
Patton, CA 92369

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Mr. Cochrane:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Serrano Nation of Mission Indians
c/o Wayne Walker, Co-Chairperson
P. O. Box 343
Patton, CA 92369

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Mr. Walker:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
October 9, 2019

Tubatulabals of Kern Valley
c/o Robert L. Gomez, Chairperson
P.O. Box 226
Lake Isabella, CA, 93240

Re: Section 106 Public Outreach
“CSL04366”
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, CA 92398
CBRE Project No.: TS90921968

Dear Mr. Gomez:

CBRE is writing on behalf of AT&T Mobility, LLC to solicit your input concerning the proposed construction of a new 120-foot monopole communications tower along with the installation of ancillary equipment at the above referenced address. CBRE is requesting comments with regards to any potential impacts on historic architectural and/or archaeological resources.

As the Project is a federal undertaking regulated by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), it is being reviewed under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for its impacts to historic architectural and archaeological resources. Federal regulations allow for public participation as part of the Section 106 process.

As such, CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed project. Please note that we are requesting your review as part of the Section 106 process only and not as part of the local zoning process. Furthermore, only responses related to historic properties potentially affected will be considered.

If you are interested in becoming a consulting party and have any comments or concerns regarding the proposed Project, please contact us in writing at CBRE, 4 West Red Oak Lane, White Plains, NY 10604 or at Megan.Bryson@cbre.com. Please reference the project name and address in your comments. Any responses must be received within 30 days of receipt of this letter. Only consulting parties indicating their desire to receive information and/or otherwise participate in the Section 106 review process will be afforded this opportunity.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Megan B Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
The following new Section 106 filing has been submitted:

File Number: 0008832144
TCNS Number: 189343
Purpose: New Tower Submission Packet

Notification Date: 7AM EST 10/15/2019

Applicant: AT&T Mobility, LLC
Consultant: CBRE Telecom Advisory Services
Positive Train Control Filing Subject to Expedited Treatment Under Program Comment: No
Site Name: CSL04366
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
Site Coordinates: 34-54-23.1 N, 116-48-49.3 W
City: Yermo
County: SAN BERNARDINO
State:CA
Lead SHPO/THPO: California Office of Historic Preservation

Consultant Contact Information:
Name: CBRE Telecom Advisory Services
Title: Director, Cultural Resources
PO Box:
Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane
City: White Plains
State: NY
Zip: 10604
Phone: 914-439-0527
Fax:
Email: whiteplainsculturalresources@cbre.com

NOTICE OF FRAUDULENT USE OF SYSTEM, ABUSE OF PASSWORD AND RELATED MISUSE
Use of the Section 106 system is intended to facilitate consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and may contain information that is confidential, privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable laws. Any person having access to Section 106 information shall use it only for its intended purpose. Appropriate action will be taken with respect to any misuse of the system.
# Tribal Summary Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>FCC Referral Date</th>
<th>End of FCC Referral Review Period</th>
<th>Tribal Consultation Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Shoshone Tribe</td>
<td>11/21/19</td>
<td>12/6/20</td>
<td>12/6/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado River Indian Tribes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11/20/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Mojave Indian Tribe</td>
<td>11/21/19</td>
<td>12/6/20</td>
<td>12/6/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull Valley Band of Goshute Indians</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12/6/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemehuevi Tribe</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11/14/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern Band of Shoshone Nation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12/6/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Manuel Band of Mission Indians</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1/22/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Coyotes Reservation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/13/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morongo Band of Mission Indians</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/23/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/3/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramona Band of Cahuilla</td>
<td>11/21/19</td>
<td>12/6/20</td>
<td>12/6/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/14/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twenty Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/22/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timbisha Shoshone Tribe</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10/13/19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notes:
Dear Applicant:

This letter addresses the proposed communications facilities listed below that you have referred to the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) for purposes of contacting federally recognized Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively Indian Tribes), and Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), as specified by Section IV.G of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA). Consistent with the procedures outlined in the Commission's Wireless Infrastructure Second Report and Order (1), we have contacted the Indian Tribes or NHOs identified in the attached Table for the projects listed in the attached Table. You referred these projects to us between 11/14/2019 and 11/21/2019. Our contact with these Tribal Nations or NHOs was sent on 11/21/2019.

Thus, as described in the Wireless Infrastructure Second Report and Order (2), if you or Commission staff do not receive a statement of interest regarding a particular project from any Tribe or NHO within 15 calendar days of 11/21/2019, your obligations under Section IV of the NPA with respect to these Tribal Nations or NHOs are complete. If a Tribal Nation or NHO responds that it has concerns about a historic property of traditional religious and cultural significance that may be affected by the proposed construction within the 15 calendar day period, the Applicant must involve it in the review as set forth in the NPA, and may not begin construction until the process set forth in the NPA is completed.

You are reminded that Section IX of the NPA imposes independent obligations on an Applicant when a previously unidentified site that may be a historic property, including an archeological property, is discovered during construction or after the completion of review. In such instances, the Applicant must cease construction and promptly notify, among others, any potentially affected Tribal Nation or NHO. A Tribal Nation's or NHO's failure to express interest in participating in pre-construction review of an undertaking does not necessarily mean it is not interested in archeological properties or human remains that may inadvertently be discovered during construction. Hence, an Applicant is still required to notify any potentially affected Tribal Nation or NHO of any such finds pursuant to Section IX or other applicable law.

Sincerely,

Jill Springer
Federal Preservation Officer
Federal Communications Commission
jill.springer@fcc.gov

2) See id. at paras. 111-112.

LIST OF PROPOSED COMMUNICATIONS TOWERS
TCNS# 190293 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: 2560 Industrial Way, Vineland, NJ
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922006/VIN INDUSTRIAL WAY - B - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
   Tribe Name: Shawnee Tribe

TCNS# 189766 Referred Date: 11/15/2019 Location: 460 Bradford Road, Bradford, RI
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922049/WESTERLY 460 BRADFORD ROAD - Proposed collocation to an existing smoke stack.
   Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
   Tribe Name: Mashpee Wampanoag Indian Tribe
   Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
   Tribe Name: Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head-Aquinnah

TCNS# 171855 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 10 North 69th Avenue, Phoenix, AZ
Detailed Description of Project: TS80519696/PHO_SOUTH-GLEN - Van Buren LLC - C - Proposed construction of a new monopalm and compound
   Tribe Name: Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

TCNS# 188187 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: Fire Tower Road, West Tisbury, MA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90721820/DCR Fire Tower - Proposed collocation to an existing Fire tower with ground equipment
   Tribe Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians

TCNS# 188982 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: 1727 South Indiana Avenue, Chicago, IL
Detailed Description of Project: TS90821932/IL0977 - Prairie District Lofts - Proposed modification to an existing building collocation on an existing building.
   Tribe Name: Crow Creek Sioux Tribe
   Tribe Name: Omaha Tribe of Nebraska
   Tribe Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians

TCNS# 188187 Referred Date: 11/15/2019 Location: Fire Tower Road, West Tisbury, MA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90721820/DCR Fire Tower - Proposed collocation to an existing Fire tower with ground equipment
   Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
   Tribe Name: Mashpee Wampanoag Indian Tribe
   Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
   Tribe Name: Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head-Aquinnah

TCNS# 190293 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 2560 Industrial Way, Vineland, NJ
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922006/VIN INDUSTRIAL WAY - B - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
   Tribe Name: Delaware Nation
   Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
   Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
   Tribe Name: Wyandotte Nation

TCNS# 188982 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 1727 South Indiana Avenue, Chicago, IL
Detailed Description of Project: TS90821932/IL0977 - Prairie District Lofts - Proposed modification to an existing building collocation on an existing building.
   Tribe Name: Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
   Tribe Name: Citizen Potawatomi Nation
   Tribe Name: Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe
   Tribe Name: Fort Belknap Indian Community
   Tribe Name: Ho-Chunk Nation
   Tribe Name: Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma
   Tribe Name: Kaw Nation
   Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
Tribe Name: Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin
Tribe Name: Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians
Tribe Name: Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Ponca Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
Tribe Name: Sac and Fox Nation
Tribe Name: Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri
Tribe Name: Santee Sioux Nation
Tribe Name: Shawnee Tribe
Tribe Name: Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska
Tribe Name: Wyandotte Nation

TCNS# 189343 Referred Date: 11/19/2019 Location: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, CA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
    Tribe Name: Eastern Shoshone Tribe
    Tribe Name: Fort Mojave Indian Tribe
    Tribe Name: Ramona Band of Cahuilla

LEGEND:
* - Notification numbers are assigned by the Commission staff for sites where initial contact was not made through TCNS.
October 14, 2019
Eastern Shoshone Tribe
% Mr. Wilfred Ferris III, THPO
CCCRPD-EST
P.O. Box 87
Box Elder, MY 59521

Re: Invitation to Comment in Section 106 Consultation Process

Dear Mr. Ferris,

In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the “FCC Rules”), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation’s guidance for Section 106 consultation which state that there is no obligation to pay up front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914.597.6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed
Federal Communications Commission  
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau  
1270 Fairfield Road  
Gettysburg, PA 17325-7245

November 20, 2019

Dear FCC:

The Colorado River Indian Tribes' Tribal Historic Preservation Office ("CRIT THPO") has received your letter dated October 16, 2019, regarding the AT&T Mobility’s proposal to construct a new monopole tower and faux water tower and compounds for Hinkley and Yermo, both within San Bernardino County, California.

As a preliminary matter, the Colorado River Indian Tribes are a federally recognized Indian tribe comprised of over 4,200 members belonging to the Mohave, Chemehuevi, Hopi and Navajo Tribes. The almost 300,000-acre Colorado River Indian Reservation sits astride the Colorado River between Blythe, California and Parker, Arizona. The ancestral homelands of the Tribe’s members, however, extend far beyond the Reservation boundaries. Significant portions of public and private lands in California, Arizona and Nevada were occupied by the ancestors of the Colorado River Indian Tribes’ Mohave and Chemehuevi members since time immemorial. These landscapes remain imbued with substantial cultural, spiritual and religious significance for the Tribes’ current members and future generations. For this reason, we have a strong interest in ensuring that potential cultural resource impacts are adequately considered and mitigated.

In particular, the Colorado River Indian Tribes are concerned about the removal of artifacts from this area and corresponding destruction of the Tribes’ footprint on this landscape. As such, the Tribes request that all prehistoric cultural resources, including both known and yet-to-be-discovered sites, be avoided if feasible. If avoidance of the site is infeasible, then the Tribes request that the resources be left in-situ or reburied in a nearby area, after consultation. This language should be incorporated into enforceable mitigation measures.

In addition, we respond as follows:

_____ Given the potential impact of the project on important cultural resources, the Colorado River Indian Tribes request in-person government-to-government consultation. Please contact the CRIT THPO to discuss our concerns and schedule a meeting with Tribal Council.
In the event any human remains or objects subject to provision of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, or cultural resources such as sites, trails, artifacts are identified during ground disturbance, please contact the CRIT THPO within 48 hours.

The Colorado River Indian Tribes request tribal monitoring of any ground disturbing activity as a condition of project approval. The Tribes request notification of any opportunities to provide tribal monitoring for the project.

The Colorado River Indian Tribes do not have any specific comment on the proposed project and instead defer to the comments of other affiliated tribes.

Thank you for your consideration. Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

COLORADO RIVER INDIAN TRIBES
TRIBAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

/s/ Bryan Etsitty, Director
26600 Mohave Road
Parker, AZ 85344
Phone: (928) 669-5822
E-mail: betsitty@crit-nsn.gov
cc: critthpo@crit-nsn.gov
External

Verizon Wireless
Megan B Bryson
70 West Red Oak Lane
White Plains, NY 10604

Dear Applicant:

This letter addresses the proposed communications facilities listed below that you have referred to the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) for purposes of contacting federally recognized Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively Indian Tribes), and Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), as specified by Section IV.G of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA). Consistent with the procedures outlined in the Commission's Wireless Infrastructure Second Report and Order (1), we have contacted the Indian Tribes or NHOs identified in the attached Table for the projects listed in the attached Table. You referred these projects to us between 11/14/2019 and 11/21/2019. Our contact with these Tribal Nations or NHOs was sent on 11/21/2019.

Thus, as described in the Wireless Infrastructure Second Report and Order (2), if you or Commission staff do not receive a statement of interest regarding a particular project from any Tribe or NHO within 15 calendar days of 11/21/2019, your obligations under Section IV of the NPA with respect to these Tribal Nations or NHOs are complete. If a Tribal Nation or NHO responds that it has concerns about a historic property of traditional religious and cultural significance that may be affected by the proposed construction within the 15 calendar day period, the Applicant must involve it in the review as set forth in the NPA, and may not begin construction until the process set forth in the NPA is completed.

You are reminded that Section IX of the NPA imposes independent obligations on an Applicant when a previously unidentified site that may be a historic property, including an archeological property, is discovered during construction or after the completion of review. In such instances, the Applicant must cease construction and promptly notify, among others, any potentially affected Tribal Nation or NHO. A Tribal Nation's or NHO's failure to express interest in participating in pre-construction review of an undertaking does not necessarily mean it is not interested in archeological properties or human remains that may inadvertently be discovered during construction. Hence, an Applicant is still required to notify any potentially affected Tribal Nation or NHO of any such finds pursuant to Section IX or other applicable law.

Sincerely,
Jill Springer
Federal Preservation Officer
Federal Communications Commission
jill.springer@fcc.gov

---

2) See id. at paras. 111-112.

LIST OF PROPOSED COMMUNICATIONS TOWERS
TCNS# 190293 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: 2560 Industrial Way, Vineland, NJ
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922006/VIN INDUSTRIAL WAY - B - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
  Tribe Name: Shawnee Tribe

TCNS# 189766 Referred Date: 11/15/2019 Location: 460 Bradford Road, Bradford, RI
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922049/WESTERLY 460 BRADFORD ROAD - Proposed collocation to an existing smoke stack.
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Mashpee Wampanoag Indian Tribe
  Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
  Tribe Name: Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head-Aquinnah

TCNS# 171855 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 10 North 69th Avenue, Phoenix, AZ
Detailed Description of Project: TS80519696/PHO_SOUTH-GLEN - Van Buren LLC - C - Proposed construction of a new monopalm and compound
  Tribe Name: Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

TCNS# 188187 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: Fire Tower Road, West Tisbury, MA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90721820/DCR Fire Tower - Proposed collocation to an existing Fire tower with ground equipment
  Tribe Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians

TCNS# 188982 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: 1727 South Indiana Avenue, Chicago, IL
Detailed Description of Project: TS90821932/IL0977 - Prairie District Lofts - Proposed modification to an existing building collocation on an existing building.
  Tribe Name: Crow Creek Sioux Tribe
  Tribe Name: Omaha Tribe of Nebraska
  Tribe Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians

TCNS# 188187 Referred Date: 11/15/2019 Location: Fire Tower Road, West Tisbury, MA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90721820/DCR Fire Tower - Proposed collocation to an existing Fire tower with ground equipment
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Mashpee Wampanoag Indian Tribe
  Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
  Tribe Name: Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head-Aquinnah

TCNS# 190293 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 2560 Industrial Way, Vineland, NJ
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922006/VIN INDUSTRIAL WAY - B - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
  Tribe Name: Delaware Nation
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
  Tribe Name: Wyandotte Nation

TCNS# 188982 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 1727 South Indiana Avenue, Chicago, IL
Detailed Description of Project: TS90821932/IL0977 - Prairie District Lofts - Proposed modification to an existing building collocation on an existing building.
  Tribe Name: Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
  Tribe Name: Citizen Potawatomi Nation
  Tribe Name: Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe
  Tribe Name: Fort Belknap Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Ho-Chunk Nation
  Tribe Name: Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma
  Tribe Name: Kaw Nation
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
Tribe Name: Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin
Tribe Name: Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians
Tribe Name: Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Ponca Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
Tribe Name: Sac and Fox Nation
Tribe Name: Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri
Tribe Name: Santee Sioux Nation
Tribe Name: Shawnee Tribe
Tribe Name: Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska
Tribe Name: Wyandotte Nation

TCNS# 189343 Referred Date: 11/19/2019 Location: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, CA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
  Tribe Name: Eastern Shoshone Tribe
  Tribe Name: Fort Mojave Indian Tribe
  Tribe Name: Ramona Band of Cahuilla

LEGEND:
* - Notification numbers are assigned by the Commission staff for sites where initial contact was not made through TCNS.
October 14, 2019
Fort Mojave Indian Tribe
℅ Ms. Linda D Otero
PO Box:5990
Mohave Valley, AZ 86446

Re:   Invitation to Comment in Section 106 Consultation Process
TCNS Reference #: 189343
Site Identifier: CSL04366/TS90921968
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, California 92398
Coordinates: 34 54 23.06 N, 116 48 49.25 W
UTM Zone 11 Hemisphere N Easting 517021 Northing 3862680

Project Description: Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound

Dear Ms. Otero

In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the "FCC Rules"), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation's guidance for Section 106 consultation which state that there is no obligation to pay up front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914.597.6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed
External

On behalf of our tribal clients - we have reviewed as requested the information supplied for this deployment and find no adverse effects as to the proposed "ground disturbance" activity. Furthermore, it has been held that only tribal entities are to only entity that can determine their own cultural landscapes - not non-native specialists. (See Keetoowah et al. v. F.C.C., (2019) that overturned WT 17-79).
Sincerely,
Gary J. Montana, Senior Attorney, CCreek Sioux Tribe, Skull Valley, NW Band of Shoshone and the Omaha Tribe of Nebraska.
Dear Megan Bryson,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Cultural Center Director Bridget Sandate of the Chemehuevi Tribe in reference to Notification ID #189343:

We have no interest in this site. However, if the Applicant discovers archaeological remains or resources during construction, the Applicant should immediately stop construction and notify the appropriate Federal Agency and the Tribe.

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 09/10/2019  
Notification ID: 189343  
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: AT&T Mobility  
Consultant Name: Megan B Bryson  
Street Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane  
City: White Plains  
State: NEW YORK  
Zip Code: 10604  
Phone: 914-597-6927  
Email: Megan.bryson@cbre.com  

Structure Type: MTOWER - Monopole  
Latitude: 34 deg 54 min 23.1 sec N  
Longitude: 116 deg 48 min 49.3 sec W  
Location Description: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue  
City: Yermo  
State: CALIFORNIA  
County: SAN BERNARDINO  

Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound  
Ground Elevation: 586.1 meters  
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level  
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 622.7 meters above mean sea level
On behalf of our tribal clients - we have reviewed as requested the information supplied for this deployment and find no adverse effects as to the proposed "ground disturbance" activity. Furthermore, it has been held that only tribal entities are to only entity that can determine their own cultural landscapes - not non-native specialists. (See Keetoowah et al. v. F.C.C., (2019) that overturned WT 17-79).

Sincerely,
Gary J. Montana, Senior Attorney, CCreek Sioux Tribe, Skull Valley, NW Band of Shoshone and the Omaha Tribe of Nebraska.
Good Morning Ms. McCleary,

Please see the Archaeologist responses to you questions below (in Blue Bold). Please let me know if you need anything further. Thank you!

- The cultural report indicates that Yermo was nominated as a Historic District in 2016 but that its status hasn’t been decided yet. However, on page 4, the report indicates that the records search concluded that the Project Area is not located within the boundaries of a historic district. Does this imply that the Project Area is outside the boundaries of the nominated historic district?

  According to the DPR form created by Jeanette A. McKenna on January 15, 2016, the candidate location is within the Yermo “District” boundaries, however, the DPR form states that the “Boundaries [were] arbitrarily defined to encompass the existing community, with likelihood of refinement with addition investigations.” In addition, the DPR form does not evaluate the town of Yermo for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NR) as a District, instead it merely records the town and notes that “While the recent survey did not require a detailed recordation of the community, McKenna et al. noted some standing structures that have a potential to be historically significant, per CEQA.” Since the town has not been formally evaluated for listing on the NR and the town is not listed in the San Bernardino County Historic Resources Inventory, the statement that the “project area is not within the boundaries of a historic district” is correct.

- The report mentions that an underground power/telco trench that will extend north of the equipment lease area to an existing pole and P.O.C. located in an alleyway and E. Yermo Rd. Does this imply that the trenching involved will take place within current utility corridors, or otherwise previously excavated ground?

  It does not appear that the trenching will take place within a current utility corridor.

- The records search pulls up Report # SB-08196 by McKenna and Laska. The report documents the following resources: 36-001910, -003033, -010628, -021629, and -029386. Did this survey cover the project area? Can Helix confirm whether or not these sites were determined to include subsurface cultural resources? Can Helix determine whether McKenna and Laska’s survey or any other survey from the last 10 years covered the project area?

  Yes, McKenna’s report did include the candidate location and according to the results of their field survey, there were no prehistoric sites identified, only isolates. It does not appear they did any testing to determine if subsurface resources were present. Again, McKenna’s survey covered the project area.
Hi Megan,

Thanks for getting back to me. I’m happy to give my questions and comments over email. This particular project lies within Serrano Ancestral Territory, and is located in a little developed part of Yermo, less than a mile from the Mojave River, and multiple other recorded sites. These factors increase the likelihood of discovering prehistoric cultural resources and ancestral remains in the project area. To address this, could the archaeologist or the client answer the following:

- The cultural report indicates that Yermo was nominated as a Historic District in 2016 but that its status hasn’t been decided yet. However, on page 4, the report indicates that the records search concluded that the Project Area is not located within the boundaries of a historic district. Does this imply that the Project Area is outside the boundaries of the nominated historic district?
- The report mentions that an underground power/telco trench that will extend north of the equipment lease area to an existing pole and P.O.C. located in an alleyway and E. Yermo Rd. Does this imply that the trenching involved will take place within current utility corridors, or otherwise previously excavated ground?
- The records search pulls up Report # SB-08196 by McKenna and Laska. The report documents the following resources: 36-001910, -003033, -010628, -021629, and -029386. Did this survey cover the project area? Can Helix confirm whether or not these sites were determined to include subsurface cultural resources? Can Helix determine whether McKenna and Laska’s survey or any other survey from the last 10 years covered the project area?

Many thanks,

Alexandra

Alexandra McCleary
TRIBAL ARCHAEOLOGIST
O: (909) 864-8933 x502023
M: (909) 633-0054
26669 Community Center Drive Highland CA 92346

Megan.Bryson@cbre.com
To: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra.McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Good Afternoon Ms. McCleary,

I would be more than happy to set up a call to address your concerns with the Archaeology report, and the project location. However, if you would prefer to email me your concerns, I can pass them along to the Archaeologist, along with our Client as they would be the ones who would be better suited to assist. Once we know what your concerns are we can have them addressed by the appropriate contact.

Thank you,
Megan

Megan Bryson | Tribal Outreach Project Manager
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
70 West Red Oak Lane | White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 597-6927 (office)
(914) 522-7433 (Mobile)
megan.bryson@cbre.com | www.cbre.com

From: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra.McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 4, 2019 12:45 PM
To: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Hello Megan,

I want to follow up on this request to schedule a phone call. Please email me back with some dates/times that work best for you.

Thank you,
Alexandra

Alexandra McCleary
TRIBAL ARCHAEOLOGIST
O: (909) 864-8933 x502023
M: (909) 633-0054
26569 Community Center Drive Highland CA 92346

From: Alexandra McCleary
Dear Ms. Bryson,

Thank you for sending me the Cultural Report for TCNS Project 189343. I do have some additional questions about the report, and was hoping we could set up a time to discuss some aspects of the cultural review that was done by Helix for this project, and to discuss San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (SMBMI) overall concerns with the project area.

I will be in office all day tomorrow (keeping in mind I’m on PST), so if you could give me a quick call, I’d appreciate it.

Many thanks,

Alexandra

From: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 7:15 AM
Subject: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Re: Invitation to Comment in Section 106 Consultation Process
TCNS Reference #: 189343
Site Identifier: CSL04366/TS90921968
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, California 92398
Coordinates: 34 54 23.06 N, 116 48 49.25 W
UTM Zone 11 Hemisphere N Easting 517021 Northing 3862680
Project Description: Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 53, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the “FCC Rules”), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding as per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation's guidance for Section 106 consultation which states that there is no obligation to pay up front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914.597.6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed

Megan Bryson | Tribal Outreach Project Manager
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
70 West Red Oak Lane | White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 597-6927 (office)
(914) 522-7433 (Mobile)
megan.bryson@cbre.com | www.cbre.com

For suspicious emails please contact the IT Service Desk at extension 4500 or (909) 863-5700.
If you are on your Outlook client, report the suspicious email by clicking on Report Phish icon in your Outlook toolbar.
If you are on a mobile device, forward the suspicious email to spam@sanmanuel.com.
THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify the sender by reply e-mail so that the email address record can be corrected. Thank You
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Dear Ms. Bryson,

Thank you for sending me the Cultural Report for TCNS Project 189343. I do have some additional questions about the report, and was hoping we could set up a time to discuss some aspects of the cultural review that was done by Helix for this project, and to discuss San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (SMBMI) overall concerns with the project area.

I will be in office all day tomorrow (keeping in mind I'm on PST), so if you could give me a quick call, I'd appreciate it.

Many thanks,

Alexandra

Alexandra McCleary
TRIBAL ARCHAEOLOGIST
O: (909) 864-8933 x502023
M: (909) 633-0054
26569 Community Center Drive Highland CA 92346
In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the “FCC Rules”), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation’s guidance for Section 106 consultation which state that there is no obligation to pay up front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914.597.6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed

Megan Bryson | Tribal Outreach Project Manager
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
70 West Red Oak Lane | White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 597-6927 (office)
(914) 522-7433 (Mobile)
megan.bryson@cbre.com | www.cbre.com

For suspicious emails please contact the IT Service Desk at extension 4500 or (909) 863-5700. If you are on your Outlook client, report the suspicious email by clicking on Report Phish icon in your Outlook toolbar. If you are on a mobile device, forward the suspicious email to spam@sanmanuel.com.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify the sender by reply e-mail so that the email address record can be corrected. Thank You.
External

Please find attached the response from San Manuel regarding the subject TCNS number.

Thanks,
Nadima

From: Carrie Wills <CarrieW@helixepi.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 11:56 AM
To: Nadima Jones <NadimaJ@helixepi.com>
Subject: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Hi Nadima-
For the TCNS files.

From: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra.McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 11:50 AM
To: Carrie Wills <CarrieW@helixepi.com>
Subject: RE: My email address TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Thank you, Carrie.

Per our conversation today, in which you informed me that the field archaeologist conducting the testing for this project encountered asphalt throughout the APE after excavating a top layer of sand. Given that the asphalt layer appears substantial, we are both agreed that it is likely that the area had been graded. This information demonstrates that there is a very low probability of encountering prehistoric cultural resources during this undertaking. As such, SMBMI does not have any concerns with the proposed project, as planned, at this time. As a result, SMBMI requests that the following language be made a part of the project’s permit/NTP conditions:

1. If human remains or funerary objects are encountered during any activities associated with the project, work in the immediate vicinity (within a 100-foot buffer of the find) shall cease and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code §7050.5 and that code enforced for the duration of the project.
2. In the event that any pre-contact cultural resources are discovered during project activities, all work in the immediate vicinity of the find (within a 60-foot buffer) shall cease and a qualified archaeologist meeting Secretary of Interior standards shall be hired to assess the find. Work on the other portions of the project outside of the buffered area may continue during this assessment period. Additionally, San Manuel Band of Mission Indians will be contacted if any such find occurs and be provided information and permitted/invited to perform a site visit when the archaeologist makes his/her assessment, so as to provide Tribal input. The archaeologist shall complete an isolate/site record for the find and submit this document to the Lead Agency for dissemination to the San Manuel Band of Mission Indians.
3. If eligible pre-contact resources are discovered and avoidance cannot be ensured, an SOI-qualified archaeologist shall be retained to develop a cultural resources Treatment Plan, as well as a Discovery and Monitoring Plan, the drafts of which shall be provided to San Manuel Band of Mission Indians for review and comment. The Lead Agency or designated POC shall, in good faith, consult with San Manuel Band of Mission Indians on the disposition and treatment of any artifacts or other cultural materials encountered during the project.

This communication concludes SMBMI’s input on this project, at this time, and no additional consultation is required unless there is an unanticipated discovery of cultural resources during project implementation. If you should have any questions with regard to this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at your convenience.

Respectfully,

Alexandra

Alexandra McCleary
TRIBAL ARCHAEOLOGIST
O: (909) 864-8933 x502023
M: (909) 633-0054
26569 Community Center Drive Highland CA 92346

From: Carrie Wills <CarrieW@helixepi.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 11:19 AM
To: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra.McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Subject: My email address TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Here you go.

Steve Davies | Vice President Business Development
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
5713 Verducci Lane | Waxhaw, NC 28173
C 704.774.7920
Steve.Davies2@cbre.com | www.cbre.com/assessment

From: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Sent: Monday, November 4, 2019 4:38 PM
To: Mancuso, Laura @ White Plains <Laura.Mancuso@cbre.com>
Cc: Klejbuk, Maggie @ White Plains <Maggie.Klejbuk@cbre.com>; Gilmore, Talia @ York <Talia.Gilmore@cbre.com>; Davies, Steve @ Charlotte <Steve.Davies2@cbre.com>
Subject: FW: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Please see the San Manuel’s comments for the below project. They have some questions for the Archaeologist (Helix)
Hi Megan,

Thanks for getting back to me. I’m happy to give my questions and comments over email. This particular project lies within Serrano Ancestral Territory, and is located in a little developed part of Yermo, less than a mile from the Mojave River, and multiple other recorded sites. These factors increase the likelihood of discovering prehistoric cultural resources and ancestral remains in the project area. To address this, could the archaeologist or the client answer the following:

- The cultural report indicates that Yermo was nominated as a Historic District in 2016 but that its status hasn’t been decided yet. However, on page 4, the report indicates that the records search concluded that the Project Area is not located within the boundaries of a historic district. Does this imply that the Project Area is outside the boundaries of the nominated historic district?
- The report mentions that an underground power/telco trench that will extend north of the equipment lease area to an existing pole and P.O.C. located in an alleyway and E. Yermo Rd. Does this imply that the trenching involved will take place within current utility corridors, or otherwise previously excavated ground?
- The records search pulls up Report # SB-08196 by McKenna and Laska. The report documents the following resources: 36-001910, -003033, -010628, -021629, and -029386. Did this survey cover the project area? Can Helix confirm whether or not these sites were determined to include subsurface cultural resources? Can Helix determine whether McKenna and Laska’s survey or any other survey from the last 10 years covered the project area?

Many thanks,

Alexandra
Good Afternoon Ms. McCleary,

I would be more than happy to set up a call to address your concerns with the Archaeology report, and the project location. However, if you would prefer to email me your concerns, I can pass them along to the Archaeologist, along with our Client as they would be the ones who would be better suited to assist. Once we know what your concerns are we can have them addressed by the appropriate contact.

Thank you,
Megan

Hello Megan,

I want to follow up on this request to schedule a phone call. Please email me back with some dates/times that work best for you.

Thank you,
Alexandra
Dear Ms. Bryson,

Thank you for sending me the Cultural Report for TCNS Project 189343. I do have some additional questions about the report, and was hoping we could set up a time to discuss some aspects of the cultural review that was done by Helix for this project, and to discuss San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (SMBMI) overall concerns with the project area.

I will be in office all day tomorrow (keeping in mind I’m on PST), so if you could give me a quick call, I’d appreciate it.

Many thanks,

Alexandra

From: Alexandra McCleary
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2019 4:07 PM
To: 'Bryson, Megan @ White Plains' <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Dear Ms. Bryson,

Thank you for sending me the Cultural Report for TCNS Project 189343. I do have some additional questions about the report, and was hoping we could set up a time to discuss some aspects of the cultural review that was done by Helix for this project, and to discuss San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (SMBMI) overall concerns with the project area.

I will be in office all day tomorrow (keeping in mind I’m on PST), so if you could give me a quick call, I’d appreciate it.

Many thanks,

Alexandra

From: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 7:15 AM
Subject: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Re: Invitation to Comment in Section 106 Consultation Process

TCNS Reference #: 189343
Site Identifier: CSL.04366/TS90921968
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, California 92398
Coordinates: 34 54 23.06 N, 116 48 49.25 W
UTM Zone 11 Hemisphere: N Easting 517021 N Northing 3862680
Project Description: Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the “FCC Rules”), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation’s guidance for Section 106 consultation which state that there is no obligation to pay up front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914-597-6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed

Megan Bryson | Tribal Outreach Project Manager
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
70 West Red Oak Lane | White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 597-6927 (office)
(914) 522-7433 (Mobile)
megan.bryson@cbre.com | www.cbre.com
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---

From: Carrie Wills <CarrieW@helixepi.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 11:19 AM
To: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra_McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Subject: My email address TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Here you go.

Steve Davies | Vice President Business Development
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
5713 Verducci Lane | Waxhaw, NC 28173
C 704.774.7920
Steve.Davies2@cbre.com | www.cbre.com/assessment

---

From: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Sent: Monday, November 4, 2019 4:38 PM
To: Mancuso, Laura @ White Plains <Laura.Mancuso@cbre.com>
Cc: Klejbuk, Maggie @ White Plains <Maggie.Klejbuk@cbre.com>; Gilmore, Talia @ York <Talia.Gilmore@cbre.com>; Davies, Steve @ Charlotte <Steve.Davies2@cbre.com>
Subject: FW: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Please see the San Manuel’s comments for the below project. They have some questions for the Archaeologist (Helix)

TS90921968  CSL04366

---

From: Alexandra Mc Cleary <Alexandra_McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 4, 2019 4:35 PM
To: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

External
Hi Megan,

Thanks for getting back to me. I’m happy to give my questions and comments over email. This particular project lies within Serrano Ancestral Territory, and is located in a little developed part of Yermo, less than a mile from the Mojave River, and multiple other recorded sites. These factors increase the likelihood of discovering prehistoric cultural resources and ancestral remains in the project area. To address this, could the archaeologist or the client answer the following:

- The cultural report indicates that Yermo was nominated as a Historic District in 2016 but that its status hasn’t been decided yet. However, on page 4, the report indicates that the records search concluded that the Project Area is not located within the boundaries of a historic district. Does this imply that the Project Area is outside the boundaries of the nominated historic district?
- The report mentions that an underground power/telco trench that will extend north of the equipment lease area to an existing pole and P.O.C. located in an alleyway and E. Yermo Rd. Does this imply that the trenching involved will take place within current utility corridors, or otherwise previously excavated ground?
- The records search pulls up Report # SB-08196 by McKenna and Laska. The report documents the following resources: 36-001910, -003033, -010628, -021629, and -029386. Did this survey cover the project area? Can Helix confirm whether or not these sites were determined to include subsurface cultural resources? Can Helix determine whether McKenna and Laska’s survey or any other survey from the last 10 years covered the project area?

Many thanks,

Alexandra

Alexandra McCleary
TRIBAL ARCHAEOLOGIST
O: (909) 864-8933 x502023
M: (909) 633-0054
26569 Community Center Drive Highland CA 92346

From: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Sent: Monday, November 4, 2019 11:14 AM
To: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra.McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Good Afternoon Ms. McCleary,

I would be more than happy to set up a call to address your concerns with the Archaeology report, and the project location. However, if you would prefer to email me your concerns, I can pass them along to the Archaeologist, along with our Client as they would be the ones who would be better suited to assist. Once we know what your concerns are we can have them addressed by the appropriate contact.
From: Alexandra McCleary <Alexandra.McCleary@sanmanuel-nsn.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 4, 2019 12:45 PM
To: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Hello Megan,

I want to follow up on this request to schedule a phone call. Please email me back with some dates/times that work best for you.

Thank you,

Alexandra

From: Alexandra McCleary
Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2019 4:07 PM
To: 'Bryson, Megan @ White Plains' <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Subject: RE: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Dear Ms. Bryson,

Thank you for sending me the Cultural Report for TCNS Project 189343. I do have some additional questions about the report, and was hoping we could set up a time to discuss some aspects of the cultural review that was done by Helix for this project, and to discuss San Manuel Band of Mission Indians (SMBMI) overall concerns with the
I will be in office all day tomorrow (keeping in mind I’m on PST), so if you could give me a quick call, I’d appreciate it.

Many thanks,

Alexandra

From: Bryson, Megan @ White Plains <Megan.Bryson@cbre.com>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 7:15 AM
Subject: TCNS 189343 - Invitation to comment in Section 106 Process

Re: Invitation to Comment in Section 106 Consultation Process
TCNS Reference #: 189343
Site Identifier: CSL04366/TS90921968
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, California 92398
Coordinates: 34 54 23.06 N, 116 48 49.25 W
UTM Zone 11 Hemisphere N Easting 517021 Northing 3862680
Project Description: Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound

In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the “FCC Rules”), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation’s guidance for Section 106 consultation which states that there is no obligation to pay front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914.597.6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed

Megan Bryson | Tribal Outreach Project Manager
CBRE, Inc. | Telecom Advisory Services
70 West Red Oak Lane | White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 597-6927 (office)
(914) 522-7433 (Mobile)
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10/23/2019

Re: TCNS: 189343
Site Identifier: CSL04366/TS90921968
South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Ave.
Yermo, California 92398

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
CBRE Inc. – Telecom Advisory Services

The Tribal Historic Preservation Office of the Morongo Band of Mission Indians acknowledges your letter on the above project. We appreciate efforts to safeguard tribal cultural resources through decisions informed by tradition, custom and knowledge of federally recognized tribal governments that are the subject-matter experts involving the significance and integrity of these resources.

The proposed project is within the ancestral territory and traditional use area of the Cahuilla and Serrano people of the Morongo Band of Mission Indians.

However, our office has no additional comments on the proposed project at this time.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Rosa Figueroa
Cultural Resource Specialist
Morongo Band of Mission Indians
951-755-5256
JFigueroa@morongo-nsn.gov
Dear Megan Bryson,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Cultural Clerk Chris Devers of the Pauma/Yuima Band of Mission Indians in reference to Notification ID #189343:

We have no interest in this site. However, if the Applicant discovers archaeological remains or resources during construction, the Applicant should immediately stop construction and notify the appropriate Federal Agency and the Tribe.

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 09/10/2019
Notification ID: 189343
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: AT&T Mobility
Consultant Name: Megan B Bryson
Street Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane
City: White Plains
State: NEW YORK
Zip Code: 10604
Phone: 914-597-6927
Email: Megan.bryson@cbre.com

Structure Type: MTOWER - Monopole
Latitude: 34 deg 54 min 23.1 sec N
Longitude: 116 deg 48 min 49.3 sec W
Location Description: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
City: Yermo
State: CALIFORNIA
County: SAN BERNARDINO

Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
Ground Elevation: 586.1 meters
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 622.7 meters above mean sea level
Dear Applicant:

This letter addresses the proposed communications facilities listed below that you have referred to the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) for purposes of contacting federally recognized Indian Tribes, including Alaska Native Villages (collectively Indian Tribes), and Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), as specified by Section IV.G of the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement (NPA). Consistent with the procedures outlined in the Commission's Wireless Infrastructure Second Report and Order (1), we have contacted the Indian Tribes or NHOs identified in the attached Table for the projects listed in the attached Table. You referred these projects to us between 11/14/2019 and 11/21/2019. Our contact with these Tribal Nations or NHOs was sent on 11/21/2019.

Thus, as described in the Wireless Infrastructure Second Report and Order (2), if you or Commission staff do not receive a statement of interest regarding a particular project from any Tribe or NHO within 15 calendar days of 11/21/2019, your obligations under Section IV of the NPA with respect to these Tribal Nations or NHOs are complete. If a Tribal Nation or NHO responds that it has concerns about a historic property of traditional religious and cultural significance that may be affected by the proposed construction within the 15 calendar day period, the Applicant must involve it in the review as set forth in the NPA, and may not begin construction until the process set forth in the NPA is completed.

You are reminded that Section IX of the NPA imposes independent obligations on an Applicant when a previously unidentified site that may be a historic property, including an archeological property, is discovered during construction or after the completion of review. In such instances, the Applicant must cease construction and promptly notify, among others, any potentially affected Tribal Nation or NHO. A Tribal Nation's or NHO's failure to express interest in participating in pre-construction review of an undertaking does not necessarily mean it is not interested in archeological properties or human remains that may inadvertently be discovered during construction. Hence, an Applicant is still required to notify any potentially affected Tribal Nation or NHO of any such finds pursuant to Section IX or other applicable law.

Sincerely,

Jill Springer
Federal Preservation Officer
Federal Communications Commission
jill.springer@fcc.gov

2) See id. at paras. 111-112.

LIST OF PROPOSED COMMUNICATIONS TOWERS
TCNS# 190293 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: 2560 Industrial Way, Vineland, NJ
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922006/VIN INDUSTRIAL WAY - B - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
  Tribe Name: Shawnee Tribe

TCNS# 189766 Referred Date: 11/15/2019 Location: 460 Bradford Road, Bradford, RI
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922049/WESTERLY 460 BRADFORD ROAD - Proposed collocation to an existing smoke stack.
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Mashpee Wampanoag Indian Tribe
  Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
  Tribe Name: Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head-Aquinnah

TCNS# 171855 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 10 North 69th Avenue, Phoenix, AZ
Detailed Description of Project: TS80519696/PHO_SOUTH-GLEN - Van Buren LLC - C - Proposed construction of a new monopalm and compound
  Tribe Name: Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

TCNS# 188187 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: Fire Tower Road, West Tisbury, MA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90721820/DCR Fire Tower - Proposed collocation to an existing Fire tower with ground equipment
  Tribe Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians

TCNS# 188982 Referred Date: 11/20/2019 Location: 1727 South Indiana Avenue, Chicago, IL
Detailed Description of Project: TS90821932/IL0977 - Prairie District Lofts - Proposed modification to an existing building collocation on an existing building.
  Tribe Name: Crow Creek Sioux Tribe
  Tribe Name: Omaha Tribe of Nebraska
  Tribe Name: Lac Vieux Desert Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians

TCNS# 188187 Referred Date: 11/15/2019 Location: Fire Tower Road, West Tisbury, MA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90721820/DCR Fire Tower - Proposed collocation to an existing Fire tower with ground equipment
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Mashpee Wampanoag Indian Tribe
  Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
  Tribe Name: Wampanoag Tribe of Gay Head-Aquinnah

TCNS# 190293 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 2560 Industrial Way, Vineland, NJ
Detailed Description of Project: TS90922006/VIN INDUSTRIAL WAY - B - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
  Tribe Name: Delaware Nation
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
  Tribe Name: Wyandotte Nation

TCNS# 188982 Referred Date: 11/18/2019 Location: 1727 South Indiana Avenue, Chicago, IL
Detailed Description of Project: TS90821932/IL0977 - Prairie District Lofts - Proposed modification to an existing building collocation on an existing building.
  Tribe Name: Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
  Tribe Name: Citizen Potawatomi Nation
  Tribe Name: Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe
  Tribe Name: Fort Belknap Indian Community
  Tribe Name: Ho-Chunk Nation
  Tribe Name: Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma
  Tribe Name: Kaw Nation
  Tribe Name: Keweenaw Bay Indian Community
Tribe Name: Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin
Tribe Name: Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians
Tribe Name: Ottawa Tribe of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Peoria Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Ponca Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma
Tribe Name: Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
Tribe Name: Sac and Fox Nation
Tribe Name: Sac and Fox Nation of Missouri
Tribe Name: Santee Sioux Nation
Tribe Name: Shawnee Tribe
Tribe Name: Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska
Tribe Name: Wyandotte Nation

TCNS# 189343 Referred Date: 11/19/2019 Location: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue, Yermo, CA
Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
  Tribe Name: Eastern Shoshone Tribe
  Tribe Name: Fort Mojave Indian Tribe
  Tribe Name: Ramona Band of Cahuilla

LEGEND:
* - Notification numbers are assigned by the Commission staff for sites where initial contact was not made through TCNS.
October 14, 2019
Ramona Band of Cahuilla
℅ Mr. John Gomez
PO Box: 391372
Anza, CA

Re: Invitation to Comment in Section 106 Consultation Process
TCNS Reference #: 189343
Site Identifier: CSL04366/TS90921968
Site Address: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
Yermo, California 92398
Coordinates: 34 54 23.06 N, 116 48 49.25 W
UTM Zone 11 Hemisphere N Easting 517021 Northing 3862680

Project Description: Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound

Dear Mr. Gomez,

In accordance with the Nationwide Programmatic Agreement for Review of Effects on Historic Properties for Certain Undertakings, adopted September 9, 2004 and released October 5, 2004 and 47 CFR Part 1, Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, published in the Federal Register Vol. 83, No. 86 on May 3, 2018 and which went into effect July 2, 2018 (together the "FCC Rules"), CBRE is providing you the opportunity to comment on the above-referenced proposed Undertaking for its potential impact on properties of religious and cultural importance to your tribe. This notification has been prepared as a follow-up to a previously submitted Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) notice (Notification ID referenced above). CBRE would like to inquire if you would be interested in commenting on this proposed Undertaking. Please refer to the attached documentation.

It should be noted that CBRE is proceeding per the FCC Rules and the Advisory Council for Historic Preservation's guidance for Section 106 consultation which state that there is no obligation to pay up front fees when providing opportunities to comment on proposed deployments. As such, neither CBRE nor the Applicant are entering into a contract, either implied or actual, to pay any fees as part of these outreach efforts. Should CBRE need to request any specific consultant services from your tribe, CBRE will negotiate such services including a signed contract and issuance of a purchase order.

Please contact me at 914.597.6927 or by email at megan.bryson@cbre.com, or by regular mail at the address listed in the header. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Respectfully,

CBRE Inc. - TELECOM ADVISORY SERVICES

Megan Bryson
Tribal Outreach Project Manager
Attachments Enclosed
Dear Megan Bryson,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Director of Cultural Resources Joseph Ontiveros of the Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians in reference to Notification ID #189343:

October 14, 2019

The Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians appreciates your observance of Tribal Cultural Resources and their preservation in your project. The information provided to us on said project has been assessed through our Cultural Resource Department. At this time, the Soboba Band does not have any specific concerns regarding the proposed project, but wishes to defer to other tribes who are closer to the project area.

Sincerely,

Joseph Ontiveros
Director of Cultural Resources
Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians
P.O. Box 487
San Jacinto, CA 92581
Phone (951) 654-5544 ext. 4137
Cell (951) 663-5279
jontiveros@soboba-nsn.gov

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 09/10/2019
Notification ID: 189343
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: AT&T Mobility
Consultant Name: Megan B Bryson
Street Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane
City: White Plains
State: NEW YORK
Zip Code: 10604
Phone: 914-597-6927
Email: Megan.bryson@cbre.com

Structure Type: MTOWER - Monopole
Latitude: 34 deg 54 min 23.1 sec N
Longitude: 116 deg 48 min 49.3 sec W
Location Description: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
City: Yermo
State: CALIFORNIA
County: SAN BERNARDINO

Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
Ground Elevation: 586.1 meters
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 622.7 meters above mean sea level
Dear Megan Bryson,

Thank you for using the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS). The purpose of this email is to inform you that an authorized user of the TCNS has replied to a proposed tower construction notification that you had submitted through the TCNS.

The following message has been sent to you from Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Anthony L Madrigal Jr of the Twenty Nine Palms Band of Mission Indians in reference to Notification ID #189343:

We have no interest in this site. However, if the Applicant discovers archaeological remains or resources during construction, the Applicant should immediately stop construction and notify the appropriate Federal Agency and the Tribe.

For your convenience, the information you submitted for this notification is detailed below.

Notification Received: 09/10/2019
Notification ID: 189343
Tower Owner Individual or Entity Name: AT&T Mobility
Consultant Name: Megan B Bryson
Street Address: 70 West Red Oak Lane
City: White Plains
State: NEW YORK
Zip Code: 10604
Phone: 914-597-6927
Email: Megan.bryson@cbre.com

Structure Type: MTOWER - Monopole
Latitude: 34 deg 54 min 23.1 sec N
Longitude: 116 deg 48 min 49.3 sec W
Location Description: South of Yermo Road, North of Union Pacific Avenue
City: Yermo
State: CALIFORNIA
County: SAN BERNARDINO

Detailed Description of Project: TS90921968/CSL04366 - Proposed construction of a new monopole tower and compound
Ground Elevation: 586.1 meters
Support Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Structure: 36.6 meters above ground level
Overall Height AMSL: 622.7 meters above mean sea level
FLOOD PLAINS
SURFACE FEATURES
(WETLANDS)
Christopher S. Bond

Education: M.S. Environmental Science, Sacred Heart University  
B.S. Traditional Biology, Sacred Heart University  

Licenses/Registrations: Methodology for Delineating Wetlands, Rutgers University  
NYS Wetlands Forum Member, 2015  

Years of Experience: 5 years

Summary of Professional Experience

Mr. Bond is a Biologist and Project Manager at CBRE, Inc. Telecom Advisory Services for over five years. He has conducted Migratory Bird Surveys, consulted on Wetland Delineations, Natural Resource and NEPA reviews, Environmental Assessments and New York EAFs, for various clients within the telecommunications industry.

Mr. Bond’s environmental experience extends from both his background in biology and chemistry. Specifically, Mr. Bond has conducted environmental sampling of rivers, streams and groundwater for presence of harmful chemicals and suspended solids. Mr. Bond has also conducted biological surveys for different migratory bird species and invertebrate diversity within streams and rivers. He also has experience coordinating and working with the USFWS Field Offices throughout the United States.

Mr. Bond received his Bachelor of Science at Sacred Heart University with majors in Traditional Biology. Mr. Bond also received his Master of Science in Environmental Science at the Sacred Heart University Environmental Graduate Program. While attending graduate school, he participated in Project Limulus where he conducted species surveys of horseshoe crab populations within the Long Island Sound. Mr. Bond was also a co-writer of “Estimation of Short-Term Tag-Induced Mortality in Horseshoe Crab Limulus Polyphemus” which was published in Biology Faculty Publications in 2011.
E. Gio Del Rivero

Education:  
B.S., Earth and Environmental Science, University of Illinois

Years of Experience:  
7+ years

Summary of Professional Experience

Mr. Del Rivero holds a Bachelor’s of Science Degree in Earth and Environmental Science. He has more than 7 years of experience as an Environmental Professional in the telecommunications field, providing environmental and regulatory due diligence under the National Historic Preservation Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, and the Endangered Species Act. As a Project Scientist, he completed hundreds of Section 106 and NEPA reports throughout the United States, as well as Phase I Environmental Site Assessments. In his previous role as Project Manager, Mr. Del Rivero has provided quality control, conducted Natural Resources reviews nationwide, managed portfolios, and acted as a client liaison. In consultation with carriers and USFWS field offices, Mr. Del Rivero has developed mitigation strategies to avoid potential adverse effects to endangered species.

In addition to his experience working with natural resources and environmental due diligence, Mr. Del Rivero has also conducted numerous Phase II Environmental Site Assessments for telecommunications projects and geotechnical investigations for new roadway development projects.