

Basin Number	Basin Name	Sub-Basin Name	Sq. Mile	2010 Population	Data Component Ranking Value								Overall Basin Priority	Impact Comments	Other Information Comments
					Population	Population Growth	Public Supply Wells	Total Wells *	Irrigated Acreage	GW Use **	Impacts	Other Information			
6-42	UPPER MOJAVE RIVER VALLEY		648.9	355,338	2	5	3	0.75	1	3	5	2	High	Overdraft. Water quality impacts in basin including nitrates, inorganics, and fuel additives, etc. Superfund site within basin.	Basin is adjudicated (+1). Irrigated Acreage of zero from DAU isn't correct, add +1
7-18.01	JOHNSON VALLEY - SOGGY LAKE		121.7	354	0	0	1	0.75	0	0	0	0	Very Low		
7-21.02	COACHELLA VALLEY	MISSION CREEK	76.5	18,974	1	5	2	0.75	0	4	2	1	Medium	Radiological and nitrate issues in the basin (B-118).	Mission Creek GW also supplies drinking water to Desert Hot Springs and part of Indio subbasins
7-21.04	COACHELLA VALLEY	SAN GORGONIO PASS	60.7	29,540	2	5	3	0.75	1	4	2	1	Medium	Basin is in overdraft.	Basin is adjudicated.
7-48	HELENDALE FAULT VALLEY		4.1	9	0	0	0	0.75	0	0	0	0	Very Low		
7-49	PIPES CANYON FAULT VALLEY		5.3	5	0	0	0	1.5	0	0	0	0	Very Low		
8-2.06	UPPER SANTA ANA VALLEY	BUNKER HILL	126.5	363,394	4	1	5	2.25	2	3	3	1	High	The Bunker Hill sub-basin is impacted with PCE and TCE from the Newmark Superfund site and with perchlorate from the Crafton-Redlands plume	Adjudication (Western San Bernardino)
8-7	BIG MEADOWS VALLEY		22.3	51	0	0	4	0.75	0	0	0	0	Very Low		
8-8	SEVEN OAKS VALLEY		6.4	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	Very Low		
8-9	BEAR VALLEY		30.7	16,866	2	1	5	3	0	2.5	1	0	Medium	Fluoride problems in some wells (B-118).	

NOTE: * Data component values were reduced by 25% due to data confidence, prior to calculating total GW basin ranking value
 ** Sub-fields that are used to determine the overall GW Reliance Total ((GW Use + GW %)/2)
 *** Overall Basin Ranking Score = Population + Population Growth + PSW + (Total Wells x .75) + Irr Acreage + (GW Use + GW %)/2 + Impacts + Other