RECEIVED
JUL 13 2020
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Tom Grahn
Associate Planner
City of Rancho Cucamonga
10500 Civic Center Drive
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

To Mr. Grahn and Planning Staff,

I am the owner of the BPEA, LLC, co-owner of three parcels of land located in the County of San Bernadino (APN 0226-061-57) and within the city of Rancho Cucamonga's proposed "North Easter Sphere Annexation Project (NESPA)". The three parcels of note are:

- 0201-033-39-0-000
- 0201-033-40-0-000
- 0201-021-05-0-000

While I am unable to attend any public meetings or discussions on the proposed annexation, I want to join the other owners within the proposed "conservation priority area" in voicing our strong opposition to the annexation and conversion of our properties to open space and deem the proposed plan an attempted taking without compensation.

I hope this issue can be addressed amicably. However, I am prepared to take any legal means necessary, including but not limited to filing a lawsuit against the city to restrain and enjoin the city from pursuing this project and a suit for monetary damages.

I ask that this letter of opposition be included into the public record.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrew Hu
Partner

Martinez, Samuel

From: Constance Bredlau <[redacted]>
Sent: Sunday, July 12, 2020 11:52 AM
To: Martinez, Samuel
Subject: Statement at Annexation Meeting

RECEIVED
JUL 13 2020
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

To: LAFCO BOARD ANNEXATION 3241

I own three parcels in the annexation area and I am against the annexation and my properties being zoned down to one lot per parcel which would render my property worthless.

This annexation is a city/county collusive private development on county owned land to enhance their coffers while bankrupting the values of our properties by using our private lands as mitigation/open recreation for their project.

At the first public hearing in 2017 there was already a project planned and drawings offered. It was obvious that these plans and meetings were in violation of the Brown Act and Breach of Contract on the county's part since we are under their jurisdiction. I plan to litigate on the basis of inverse condemnation.

Roy G. Bredlau.

Patrick Kaemerle, Esq.
18012 Cowan - Suite 208
Irvine, CA 92614
949-510-1919
bokaemerle@cox.net

RECEIVED
JUL 13 2020
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

July 11, 2020

Local Agency Formation Commission
Samuel Martinez, Executive Officer
215 N. D Street, Suite 204
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490

Via Email: smartinez@lafco.sbcounty.gov; lafco@lafco.sbcounty.gov
Via overnight mail: GLS

Re: Agenda Item #10: LAFCO 3241 - July 15, 2020

Local Agency Formation Commission Members:

I represent Inland Real Estate Group, LLC ("Inland"). Inland is the owner of a 4.35-acre parcel of land (APN 0226-061-57) subject to this proposed annexation. I submit the following in opposition to LAFCO 3241 and request that this letter be incorporated into the official record and transcript of Agenda Item #10: LAFCO 3241, to be heard at LAFCO on July 15, 2020.

LAFCO 3241 was initiated by the City of Rancho Cucamonga and proposes the annexation of 4085 acres from San Bernardino County to the City of Rancho Cucamonga as necessary for the Etiwanda Heights Neighborhood and Conservation Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan is patently unfair and inequitable to the private owners of hillside property, that make up the majority of the 3603-acre "Rural/Conservation area" ("Hillside Owners"). Of the 4085 acres proposed for annexation....88.2% of the land being annexed is from the Rural/Conservation area. The other 11.8% of the land is located in the "Neighborhood Area" of the Plan and is owned the County, not private parties.

The majority of Hillside Owners are against the annexation. You would not know this from reading the City or the LAFCO publications, indicating that the community is overwhelmingly in support of it. This is not true and has not been true from the beginning of the planning process. Under the Plan, the City of Rancho Cucamonga is taking and transferring development rights from the Hillside Owners for the substantial benefit of the Neighborhood Area.

The City's initial plan called the North Eastern Sphere Annexation Plan ("NESAP") proposed to turn the entire hillside area into open space/conservation area. Many of the hillside owners including Inland vehemently opposed that on a variety of grounds, including but not limited to, alleging that the city was effectively taking their property without paying any compensation whatsoever. The argument fell on deaf ears and the City plowed forward. After several hearings where these same arguments were made by property owners, the City changed course and attempted to pacify the Hillside Owners by claiming it will allow minimal development, either 1 dwelling unit ("DU")/10 ac. or 1 DU/2 ac, among other open space designations. It is

very much in doubt whether any development will actually be allowed, or if allowed, if it will be economically feasible. As stated in the write-up of the July 8, 2020, LAFCO Agenda Item #10: LAFCO 3241, at Page 7, **"The rest of the Plan area, the Rural/Conservation Area, is being set aside for conservation. The Rural/Conservation Area will be subject to standards and strategies intended to conserve and manage the area as open space..."**

The property owned by Inland is going from development rights of 1 DU/1 ac. under the County General Plan to 1 DU/10 ac. under the Plan. One might conclude that Inland retains a fraction of the value of its land, but in reality, it is losing all or virtually all of the value. Its property will be worthless as a development site, even if allowed to develop one home, as the development costs will make development untenable. As mitigation property or some sort of development rights transfer, the value will be nominal. Mitigation property value in the area ranges from $4,000-8,000 per acre.

Inland has over $500,000 invested in its property. Its value was in the range of $1.2M with the 1DU/1 ac. County zoning. Many owners in the Hillside area have several million dollars invested in their land that will be lost if LAFCO 3241 is adopted. The total cumulative property value that will be lost by the Hillside Owners will be several tens of millions of dollars.

The Plan contains very detailed information for the Neighborhood area, with unit types/mixes, commercial area specifications, road/circulation plans, parking and walking trail information, etc. The Hillside area has nothing planned. No road, circulation or fire plans, no planned community amenities such as parks, walking and horse trails, etc. It is patently unfair and inequitable to the Hillside Owners to take their development rights at the expense of the Neighborhood area as well as to plan the Neighborhood Area in detail, and leave the Hillside owners to fend for themselves, and guess as to what development standards and costs will be.

In order to try and garner the support of the Hillside owners, Matt Burris, Deputy City Manager for the City of Rancho Cucamonga, said in open public hearings, that the Development standards for the Hillside/Rural Conservation 3603 acres will be consistent with the County standards that have dictated past development, County Improvement level 1.
He stated that hillside owners would not be held to city development standards. The Rural/Conservation Area would be kept very rural with no curb, gutter, city utilities, and not subject to the city development standards of the Neighborhood Area. He expressed that those owners that want to remain "off the grid" with no public utilities, without city street standards, including, street width, curb, gutter, etc., would be allowed to build consistent with County standards.

This put some Hillside owners at ease, but it is very suspiciously 180 degrees different than statements contained in the write-up of the July 8, 2020, LAFCO Agenda Item #10: LAFCO 3241, and other statements. The LAFCO Agenda #10 write-up (last paragraph of page 5) says "EHNCP applies the city's General Plan land uses, proposed for this area ten years ago, **applying stronger Hillside design standards to undeveloped land and providing for no more than 100 new homes in the Rural/ Conservation Area."** Further, Prior City publications regarding NESAP

and the Plan state that future hillside development **"will be subject to the City's development review process and all applicable development standards."** Clearly the city's multiple public representations on the record regarding development comparable to County standards is not their true intent. In reality, there are currently no standards adopted for development of the Rural/Conservation Area. it is strongly suspected that the City will make development of the Hillside area so costly and burdensome, that development of any sites will be impracticable.

As stated in the Conclusion of the write-up of the July 8, 2020, LAFCO Agenda Item #10: LAFCO 3241, at Page 17, states that the Etiwanda Heights Neighborhood and Conservation Plan was "developed with meaningful outreach to the community and extensive public participation." I attended those meetings. While meetings were held and the public did speak at the various hearings, the vast majority of those speaking were against the Plan. Those voices were largely ignored. **However, the end result for the Plan was never in doubt and the Plan's success was pre-determined between the County of San Bernardino and the City or Rancho Cucamonga, who planned and coordinated at every turn, long before any public hearings.** The Plan may have evolved over time to pacify the hillside owners and those surrounding the development, but the end result, a very densely developed and extraordinarily profitable "Neighborhood area", made possible at the massive monetary loss and on the backs of the "Rural/Conservation Area" owners, was a foregone conclusion.

The bottom line is, the City or Rancho Cucamonga and County of San Bernardino stand to profit to the tune of hundreds of millions of dollars. The Neighborhood area will likely be sold to a Master developer, expectedly in excess of $500,000,000. Utility fees and Development fees on 3000 units plus the commercial development area will likely exceed $200,000,000-$400,000,000 dollars. Ongoing property tax revenue will be in the likely range of $25,000,000 - $40,000,000 dollars annually, in perpetuity.

For obvious reasons, the City of Rancho Cucamonga and the County of San Bernardino are both extremely motivated to see this annexation take place, regardless of the severe damage and economic loss it causes the Hillside Owners.

For the foregoing reasons, I strongly urge the voting members of LAFCO to vote "No" and deny Agenda Item #10: LAFCO 3241.

Sincerely,

Patrick Kaemerle, Esq.

RECEIVED
JUL 13 2020
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

LAW OFFICES OF MARTIN A. CERVANTES

Martin A. Cervantes, Attorney at Law

1710 Plum Lane
Suite A
Redlands, California 92374

E-Mail: mac@cervanteslaw.org

Telephone
(909) 307-9590
Facsimile
(909) 307-9580

July 13, 2020

To: Local Agency Formation Commission

From: Martin A. Cervantes, Esq., Attorney for Hillside Owners LLC (Private Property Owners)

Subject: **Agenda Item No. 11, LAFCO 3241**

Purpose: **Opposition to Recommended Approval of LAFCO 3241**
Date of Hearing: July 15, 2020
Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

LAFCO 3241 should not be approved because it invites, and will result in, an **inverse condemnation** proceeding because the proposed zoning for the privately-owned properties that will constitute the rural/conservation area (hereinafter "Hillside Owners LLC") renders the properties (believed to be identified at page 5 of the staff report, paragraph 4, as "rural/conservation") substantially without value.

The reason for the above assertion is due to the transfer of densities from the Hillside Owners LLC to the currently owned county property (identified as "neighborhood area", but currently a rock pit, on page 5, paragraph 4 of the staff report), and proposed to be annexed into the city where about 800 acres will be fully developed with private property densities.

Currently the LLC owners have densities allowing for full development. Under LAFCO 3241 densities would be taken and private properties would become "rural/conservation" rendering those private properties unreasonably valueless.

Over the past ten years or more, the Hillside Owners LLC have appeared and opposed at least three different proposals, all on the same basis that the zoning densities would be taken from them and it would render their property values substantially diminished. Once again, this LAFCO 3241 proposal, attempts to do the same thing.

The staff report supporting Item 11 is misleading because it omits discussion at page five of density transfers and fails to mention the long running battle the private property owners have had to protect densities. Nothing has changed, Hillside Owners LLC is requesting that you not approve Item 11.

Thank you.

LAW OFFICES OF RANDALL S. GURITZKY
1524 N. Potrero Avenue
South El Monte, CA 91733
Telephone & Facsimile: (626) 580-3275
Cel: (626) 315-3417
Email: randall.guritzky@gmail.com

RECEIVED
JUL 13 2020
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

July 13, 2020

Email: smartinez@lafco.sbcounty.gov
Email: lafco@lafco.sbcounty.gov

RE: LAFCO ANNEXATION MEETING 7-15-2020
CRANEVEYOR CORP.
APN#s: 0226-061-026-0-000 & 0226-061-028-0-000

Dear Sir/Madam:

CraneVeyor Corp. has been advised that LAFCO amended the final report to the annexation commission July 10, 2020, with 7/8 date. The new document reverses all previous development promises made to us regarding our properties. LAFCO's apparent new goal is a total of 100 homes on our private properties-preferably clustered of our 3300 acres-a density of 1 per 33 acres while retaining their 4000+ unit development on the county property of 1200 acres below. The majority of your property and CraneVeyor's is now zoned flood control-a loss of 90% of our densities.

CraneVeyor **OBJECTS** and casts a **NO** vote to the proposed action for the July 15, 2020 meeting. CraneVeyors own 2 properties totaling 30+ acres, parcels referenced above. CraneVeyor considers this a wrongful taking and inverse condemnation case as 90% of our lots have been eliminated. CraneVeyor stands to lose its entire investment money, millions of dollars, plus taxes you have paid over the last 10 years. CraneVeyor considers this city/county project to be a collusive attempt to gather a large windfall in violation of the Brown Act as the project was fully outlined in its inception in 2017 without prior advertised meetings by the county. Lastly, the county has restricted CraneVeyor's access to the property for 3+ years.

CraneVeyor will take all legal action to enforce its rights and remedies as to this proposed wrongful taking of its property.

Sincerely,

Randall S. Guritzky, Esq.

Martinez, Samuel

From: Anthony Maricic <[redacted]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 2:07 PM
To: Martinez, Samuel
Subject: COMMENTS BY HILLSIDE OWNERS LLC
Attachments: Scan0280.pdf; Scan0279.pdf; Scan0278.pdf; Scan0277.pdf

Pursuant to our conversation with you last month we are issuing a group memo, The owners and their info are on the protest form which was the only document we found to promptly put our information on. It is not an acceleration of the process but merely the evidence of 21 owners in our group thathave a united opinion.

To: Commissioners of LAFCO

We are owners in the affected area and are requesting a NO vote on the annexation. We have participated in the process of this action for 3 years and find that the city and county have abdicated all of the promises they made us in the final documents to you.
We see the process as a collusive and rigged project where the county and the city are developing a project for their own profit. They are the institutors of the project, the planners, the recipients of the income and they run the court with an agency that they fund. In addition two of the commissioners are county Supervisors and another is related to a Rancho Cucamonga City Council member.To top it the LAFCO agents and the county have asked its own assessor to reassess the values of their properties(currently assessed as O)) to a level that would trump all of our votes.
The project is an aberration and probably unlawful. The participants should recuse themselves due to conflict of interest.

22-1

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

I & KAI LIN.
(Name)

RANCHO CUCAMONGA FOOTHILLS
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-71-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

BOTH SIGN

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

SIG. DATE June 9, 2020

Signature: Date: 6-9-2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

22-2

78

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

I & KAI LIN
(Name)

RANCHO CUCAMONGA FOOTHILLS
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-70-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

BUSINESS!

DATE June 9, 2020

Signature: Date: 6-9-2020

* MAP. PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

24-1

28

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

* Alexander & ~~Shannon~~ SHARON Cunningham
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-061.04-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

* BOTH SIGN

Signature: Sharon Cunningham Alexander Cunningham Date: 7-12-2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

24-2

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

Alexander & Sharon Cunningham
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-061-09-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

Both Sign!

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Sharon Cunningham
Signature: Alexander Cunningham Date: 7-12-2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

15-1

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

HENDERSON CREEK PROPERTIES
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-041-01-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 5/23/20

* MAP. PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

15-3

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☒ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

HENDERSON CREEK PROPERTIES
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-041-06-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 5/27/20

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

8.-1

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

BREDIAU FAMILY TRUST C/O ROY BREDIAU
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-281-10-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

Roy Bredau
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

/
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: Roy Bredau Date: 12-29 2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

8-2

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

BREDLAU FAMILY TRUST
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-27-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: Roy Bredlau Date: 7-19-2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

11-2

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

LAWRENCE & JODY ENRIGHT
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-33-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: Jody Enright Date: 6/17/20

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

11-1

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☒ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

LAWRENCE AND JODY ENRIGHT
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-20-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

BOTH SIGN

Signature: Jody Enright Date: 6/17/20

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

7.

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

JENNY SHAW
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-281-09-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 6-8-2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

28 28

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☒ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

WILLIAM & LORI LARSON.
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-061-08-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

BOM sign!

Signature: W & L Larson Date: 7/1/2010

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

2

78

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

WOON MENDIOLA 91757
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-021-03-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: Attached Date:

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

2

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

WOON MENDIOLA.
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-033-40-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: Attached Date:

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

2

78

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

WOON MENDIOLA
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-033-39-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date:

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

9

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

MARICIC FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-281-16
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 7/28/2020

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

MFL LAND TRUST #2
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-281-07-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: [illegible]

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

6.

78

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

MFL LAND TRUST #1
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0201-281-05-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: [illegible]

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

20 (B)

78

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

MIN M. TRUST Shey Qing Trust
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-051-29-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 5/25/20

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

15-2

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

HENDERSON CREEK PROPERTIES
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-061-01-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 5/23/20

* MAP, PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

CRANEVEYOR CORPORATION
(Name)
[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)
[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)
0226-061-26-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☑ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

RANDOLL S. GURISZKY, ESQ
(Name)
[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)
[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)
0226-061-26-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date: 06-12-2020

* MAP POINTER SHEET ATTACHED *

2-1

28

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO
for San Bernardino County

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241.
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

CRANEVEYOR CORP.
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-28-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☑ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (**attach required authorization**):

RANDALL S. GUMSEY, ESQ
(Name)

[redacted]
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

0226-061-28-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: Date: 06-12-2020

OFFICE OF THE ASSESSOR

222 W Hospitality Lane
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0311
(909) 387-8307 • 1 (877) 885-7654
www.SBCounty.gov/arc

BOB DUTTON
Assessor-Recorder-County Clerk

APPOINTMENT OF AGENT

OWNER

Full Legal Name of Owner: CRANEVEYOR CORP.

Mailing Address: [redacted] City: [redacted] State: [redacted] Zip Code: [redacted]

Physical Address: Same as above City: State: Zip Code:

Contact Person and Title: RANDALL S. GUNITZKY Telephone Number: [redacted]

PROPERTY

- [x] All property listed for this owner in San Bernardino County
- [x] All Real property listed for this owner in San Bernardino County
- [x] All Business and Personal Property listed for this owner in San Bernardino County
- [ ] Other: _____

AGENT'S AUTHORITY

- [x] General power to represent the owner in property tax matters concerning this property.
- [ ] The Agent has specific powers listed below:
  - [ ] Filing of Assessor's forms
  - [ ] File Assessment Appeal applications and represent owner at appeal hearings for the assessment year:_____ (please indicate year to be appealed) and to provide me a copy of the assessment appeal application filed on my behalf.
  - [ ] Receive confidential information
  - [ ] Negotiate and resolve assessment matters
  - [ ] Change mailing address of all my property tax notices and other communications for this property, including appraisal notices, appraisal review board orders and hearing notices, tax bills, and collection notices
    Note: These notices can affect your legal rights. The affected offices are not required by law to send you duplicate copies.
  - [ ] Other: _____

AGENT

Agent's Name: RANDALL S. GUNITZKY

Mailing Address: [redacted] City: [redacted] State: [redacted] Zip Code: [redacted]

Physical Address: Same as above City: State: Zip Code:

Contact Person and Title: Attorney + Authorized Agent Telephone Number: [redacted]

RANDALL S. GUNITZKY

Authorization

Gregory Bischoff
Signature of Owner, a partner, or LLC Manager/Corporation Officer whom the Board of Directors has designated in writing to sign on behalf of the Corporation.

6/12/2020
Date

GREGORY BISCHOFF, President
Please print the Name and Title of the person above.

26-2

26-1

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION FOR SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490
Telephone (909) 388-0480

LAFCO

Written Protest Form

I am opposed to the LAFCO proposal known as 3241
(LAFCO Proposal No.)

Check all that apply:

☐ I am a **REGISTERED VOTER** at the following address (*exactly as it appears on voter records to permit verification*) within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

(Name)

(Street Address)

(City, State and Zip)

☑ I am a **LANDOWNER** of the following property within the boundary of the proposed change of organization:

ALLIED CONSULTING
(Name)

ETIWANDA AVE FOOTHILLS
(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

[redacted]
(City, State and Zip)

1087-061-10-0000
Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

☐ I am an **AGENT** authorized to protest on behalf of the landowner, with respect to the property within the proposed change of organization (attach required authorization):

(Name)

(Street Address or designation sufficient to readily identify the property)

(City, State and Zip)

Assessor's Parcel Number(s)

Signature: [signature] Date 7/14/2020

BP. PROPERTY SHEET ATTACHED *

Schell, Angela

RECEIVED
2020 JUL 15 AM 7:41
LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

From: Anthony Cheng <anthony@anthemdev.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 10:36 PM
To: Martinez, Samuel; Schell, Angela
Subject: OPPOSED TO LAFCO ANNEXATION 4231: APN 1087-051-20-0-000

July 13, 2020

smartinez@lafco.sbcounty.gov
lafco@lafco.sbcounty.gov

RE: LAFCO ANNEXATION MEETING JULY 15, 2020 (PROPOSAL 3241)
RANCHO CANYON PARTNER, LLC
APN 1087-051-20-0-000

Dear Sir/Madam:

We are the landowner of the abovementioned 12.18-acre parcel of raw land that wraps around the water tank at the top of Day Creek Blvd. Our property is within the boundary of LAFCO annexation proposal 3241 and **WE ARE OPPOSED TO THIS LAFCO PROPOSAL**.

We have been advised that LAFCO amended the final report to the annexation commission, resulting in a reversal of previous zoning and development promises made to landowners in the project area. LAFCO's apparent new goal for a total of only 100 homes on our collective private properties comprised of 3,300 acres yields a density of a mere 1 per 33 acres. Meanwhile, the proposal allows an over 4,000 unit development on county owned property of 1,200 acres immediately below us.

This is clearly a case of inverse condemnation that virtually eliminates all development value in our property while allowing the city/county project to make a windfall of profits at our demise. We invested to purchase the land in 2006 and have paid significant property taxes over the last 14 years. We are currently being assessed a land value of over $1.2 Million, which will become close to $0 given the proposed density restriction in the annexation proposal. We have not received any of the required notices as stipulated under the Brown Act, and in fact, we have not even been able to access our own property given the county has locked public access for over three years.

We have no choice but to take legal action against this proposed wrongful devaluation and taking of our property.

Sincerely,

Anthony Cheng
Principal of Anthem Development, LLC,
Managing Member of Rancho Canyon Partners, LLC

Anthony Cheng
Principal

anthem DEVELOPMENT, LLC
1721 W Garvey Ave, Suite H, Alhambra, CA 91803
T (626) 282-2929 | F (626) 408-6699 | M (626) 354-8353
anthony@anthemdev.com

PRIVILEGED/CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION may be contained in this message. The information in this e-mail correspondence is intended for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, duplication, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on this correspondence is strictly prohibited. If you received this correspondence in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail, phone or fax and destroy any and all copies of the correspondence.

Schell, Angela

RECEIVED
2020 JUL 15 AM 7:42
LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

From: Becky Burchett <becky@sunbeltusainc.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 14, 2020 7:01 PM
To: Schell, Angela
Cc: Roy Burchett
Subject: Comments to be read at meeting on July 15, 2020 in Opposition to LAFCO 3241
Attachments: R. Burchett_Comments on LAFCO 3241_to be read at meeting on July 15,2020.docx

Importance: High

July 14, 2020
To: LAFCO HEARING July 15, 2020
From: Rebecca Burchett , PO Box 760, La Verne CA 91750
RE: OPOSITION TO APPROVAL of Agenda item: LAFCO 3241 , City of Rancho Cucamonga (the city) Reorganization (EHNCP):

My name is Rebecca Burchett. I am one of the private landowners whose property the city is salivating to annex. (APN # 1087-061-07-0000). My partners and I are *all* opposed to LAFCO 3241 for *many* reason. Some of which are :

A) IT WOULD PREJUDICIALLY INFLICT ECONOMIC LOSS AND HARDSHIP ON PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS BY;

1) Robbing us of our ascribed densities and development potentials & giving them to the city's maximum density, multi-million dollar 790 acre development without any financial compensation.
2) Condemning our properties *to extremely limited* building rights and prejudicially denying even basic services to our properties including_
   a. **WATER & UTILITIES!** By **overriding the Commissions *own policy*** requiring concurrent annexation of all community based-agencies.
   b. **NO ROADs Planned.** Not even *for access roads* to our properties. *Or any plans at all*!
3) Resulting in a HUGE financial gain to the city & county, and a HUGE LOSS to we property owners. This is discriminatory.

B) THE LAND VALUE DETERMINATIONS ASSIGNED BY CO. ASSESSORS OFFICE FOR PROTEST PURPOSES ARE DELIBERATELY WEIGHTED IN FAVOR OF THE CITY AND COUNTY:

1) The disparate values stated **of *just $24,763,577* for privately owned land** , as opposed to **"Assigned" values of $35,013,797to tax exempt lands** is lacking transparency and documentation.
2) As an example **County Service Area 120 _aka, the North Etiwanda Preserve**, established by SANBAG in 1998, and primarily financed by endowments is *alone valued in excess of $23,000,000 "for protest purposes'.* Where are the land purchase documentations to support this high value for endowed lands?
3) And why is a 22 year old existing, established county nature preserve even included in the city's annexation scheme? Why, pray tell, does that need to be in the city's "local control"?

The **San Bernardino County current and existing land designation for this area is *more than sufficient* for "rural living"and "open space" preservation, without denying property owners their existing rights. Or causing them financial harm.** The city's argument for control via annexation of our private properties does not hold water. (Again NO WATER for anyone but "the neighborhood!)
This scheme is unfair. We do not want our properties annexed into the city of Rancho. Even if you had asked us we would have said NO. Do NOT take our land or our land rights!
Sincerely,
Rebecca Burchett

Becky Burchett, Pres.
Sunbelt USA, Inc.
909-593-0500
PO Box 760, La Verne, CA 91750

RECEIVED

2020 JUL 15 AM 7:42

LOCAL AGENCY
FORMATION COMMISSION

July 14, 2020
To: LAFCO HEARING July 15, 2020
From: Rebecca Burchett , [redacted]

RE: OPOSITION TO APPROVAL of Agenda item: LAFCO 3241 , City of Rancho Cucamonga (sub-city) Reorganization (EHNCP):

My name is Rebecca Burchett. I am one of the private landowners whose property the city is salivating to annex. (APN # 1087-061-07-0000). My partners and I are *all* opposed to LAFCO 3241 for *many* reason. Some of which are :

A) IT WOULD PREJUDICIALLY INFLICT ECONOMIC LOSS AND HARDSHIP ON PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS BY;

1) Robbing us of our ascribed densities and development potentials & giving them to the city's maximum density, multi-million dollar 790 acre development without any financial compensation.
2) Condemning our properties *to extremely limited* building rights and prejudicially denying even basic services to our properties including_
   a. **WATER & UTILITIES!** By **overriding the Commissions *own policy*** requiring concurrent annexation of all community based-agencies.
   b. **NO ROADs Planned**. Not even *for access roads* to our properties. *Or any plans at all*!
3) Resulting in a HUGE financial gain to the city & county, and a HUGE LOSS to we property owners. This is discriminatory.

B) THE LAND VALUE DETERMINATIONS ASSIGNED BY CO. ASSESSORS OFFICE FOR PROTEST PURPOSES ARE DELIBERATELY WEIGHTED IN FAVOR OF THE CITY AND COUNTY:

1) The disparate values stated **of *just $24,763,577* for privately owned land** , as opposed to **"Assigned" values of $35,013,797to tax exempt lands** is lacking transparency and documentation.
2) As an example **County Service Area 120 _aka, the North Etiwanda Preserve**, established by SANBAG in 1998, and primarily financed by endowments is *alone valued in excess of $23,000,000 "for protest purposes'.* Where are the land purchase documentations to support this high value for endowed lands?
3) And why is a 22 year old existing, established county nature preserve even included in the city's annexation scheme? Why, pray tell, does that need to be in the city's "local control"?

The **San Bernardino County current and existing land designation for this area is *more than sufficient* for "rural living"and "open space" preservation, without denying property owners their existing rights. Or causing them financial harm.** The city's argument for control via annexation of our private properties does not hold water. (Again NO WATER for anyone but "the neighborhood!)

This scheme is unfair. We do not want our properties annexed into the city of Rancho. Even if you had asked us we would have said NO. Do NOT take our land or our land rights!

Sincerely,

Rebecca Burchett