Noretta Barker

[redacted]

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

To Whom it may concern,

I am writing this correspondence relative to the Spring Trails project. I understand the all mighty dollar and development. I understand the importance of continuing development and "progress". I am just a person that values safety over the all mighty dollar.

It is irresponsible to put people in jeopardy for someone to make a buck. There are already extreme traffic issues in Devore. This is a unique community that comes with special issues.

I have lived here thru many fires and traffic issues. When there is an emergency. It is virtually impossible for Devore residents to get out, let alone make it in to help someone that needs it. Adding even 1,000 cars a day would gridlock an already overburdened infrastructure.

Infrastructure is the first and most important issue when considering additional development or even large events. Time and time again, this is overlooked causing frustration, but more importantly safety issues. If any projects are to continue in overburdened areas, you must first address the ingress and egress issues. These issues do not simply solve themselves. I have seen it many times.

What is the traffic ingress and egress answers? You simply can not impact Devore with more traffic. It is a dangerous plan. And since the purpose of additional development is mostly about the money, you will find lawsuits from the impact of traffic can wipe out the entire profits. It only takes one death or injury from traffic related issues to bankrupt a company or city.

I realize you cant predict lawsuits or civil awards, but you can most certainly predict the level of issues this increased traffic on already overburdened residential streets will cause. If you are not aware of the traffic, please visit our community. You may want to avoid Friday evenings as it is gridlocked.

There are many issues with this project, I wish to address only the traffic aspect. However, I am willing to speak with you and would very happily discuss other concerns.

Thank you for your time,

Noretta Barker

Gollatz Cotillion,Inc.

From: Donna Braun [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2019 12:41 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: New development

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Dear LAFCO.

I am a resident of Devore. I am DEEPLY concerned about your attempt to route the traffic from your new development through our already frequently traffic-bound neighborhood. Any time there is an accident on the I15 or 215 everyone cuts through Devore. This happens frequently. We have only two routes through our neighborhood and it can take a very long time to go a very short distance. Any time there is a concert, even with traffic control, it is a headache. The last time there was a concert it took me 20 minutes to just get over the bridge. Any fire or medical emergency that might occur during these times, the pfirst responders would be helpless. You want to add an additional 2,000-4,000 cars?!? That is almost criminal. If medical aid could not get to someone in need because of these additional cars would you take responsibility or just shrug and say I made a profit so I don't care.
Donna Braun
Sent from my iPad

[illegible]

Conversation between you and LauraLee Browaton, Devore Heights

LauraLee Brownton, Devore Heights

I am writing to address some issues I have with the Spring Trails development:
The traffic backup would be an even bigger problem than it is now, need a new on/off ramp.
We need to leave the area as county, not annex it.
The developer should be required to decrease density of housing to avoid added traffic.
The fire hazard would be great in that area; need to add another fire station to cover that area.
Developer should be required to develop a new well to cover the water demand.
Developer should be required to use fire retardant materials and fire resistant vegetation; winds in that area would increase fire hazard.
This project would strain an already overburdened area; project should not be accepted.
Laura lee Brownton
[redacted]

You can also reply to this email or use Nextdoor for iPhone or Android

This message is intended for [illegible]
Unsubscribe or adjust your email settings
Mailing: [illegible]

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Gollatz Cotillion,Inc.

From: Nextdoor Devore Heights [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2019 11:20 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: Re: Urgent alert: This issue DIRECTLY MAY EFFECT...

Janis Garoutte, Devore Heights

Between the new homes being built on and around Sierra and now this possible development the 15 north and 215 north will be a larger degree of hell. Maybe we should all pitch in and build an amazing rest stop and charge admission. Sell food, water... See more

Thank Private message

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

[redacted]

Turn off notifications for this post

This message is intended for gollatz@gollatz.com. Unsubscribe here. Nextdoor, 875 Stevenson Street, Suite 700, San Francisco, CA 94103

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Janis Garoutte
, Devore Heights · 22 hr ago

Between the new homes being built on and around Sierra and now this possible development the 15 north and 215 north will be a larger degree of hell. Maybe we should all pitch in and build an amazing rest stop and charge admission. Sell food, water and put in meters that charge by the half hour. 😂😂😂

Robin Green

Private message

View profile

, Devore Heights·23 hr ago

no no no. we can not let this happen.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Gollatz Cotillion,Inc.

From: kaybart1 [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 1:28 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: More houses?

The city can't control or protect the area that is already there. Quit thinking of the new tax money and think of the quality of life of the people that are here now , myself 26 years , that have been paying taxes. The area has changed so much and this would only make it worse. Devore doesn't want more people and cars wandering around our streets.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy Tablet

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Dennis Haensly

Private message

View profile

, Devore Heights·22 hr ago

Just so you know, the city of San Bernardino has the highest taxes of any city in California. Now you know.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

My household is opposed to the Spring Trails development.....

1. **ISSUE:** The property could bring the traffic of all of the new homes directly into Devore our streets cannot withstand the traffic now with the concerts and Fridays then add 2000-4000 cars to the mix and this becomes a disaster on our small streets. If they connect Devore with the development we now are directly connected to the city and then can hardly wait to take over Devore.

This Development must burden all costs to Build a New On/Off Ramp to service the Verdemont community Only! DO NOT connect their Infrastructure to the Devore Community!

2. **ISSUE:** Annexing (The taking of the County property for city usage) this development into the city limits will cause potential islands of county land in the area.

Deny any annexation and leave the area county, current agencies can not cover all their needs.

3. **ISSUE:** There is no infrastructure planned to help with the extreme added traffic in the area. Verdemont has had no improvements as each development has been added and the traffic has increased to the point that the freeway backs up every morning and evening and has become an accident hazard. This is a very large development and should be required to add freeway access lanes to alleviate the huge number of added traffic.

Decrease housing density of development. Add an I-215 on-ramp north bound at the Little League overpass. Add a I-215 south bound off-ramp at the Little League overpass. This would take immense numbers of vehicles off Palm and help alleviate the I-215 back-ups.

4. **ISSUE:** Fire hazard; San Bernardino cannot guarantee Fire coverage in the event of another fire coming across the mountain face. We have had many such fires and based on past history, getting evacuees out while trying to get fire trucks in to fight the advancing fire would be a nightmare. This is a very high fire hazard area. High density housing in an extreme fire area is asking for disaster.

Decrease housing density of development. Build an added fire station in upper area to cover the homes being built.

5. **ISSUE:** Water demand will increase in a semi drought area. We have spent the last several years in a drought condition, which is typical for southern California (this was a desert area before being settled and gets minimal rainfall except in infrequent El Nino years). The added draw on ground water could cause even worse conditions.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Decrease density of development. Developer should be made to install new well or at least pay for pumping station to bring water to the development level.

6. **ISSUE:** Winds in this area can reach 100+ miles per hour. With the wind comes dust from the denuded areas being developed. It takes time to regrow ground cover. Wind also brings the added danger of fire. Wind dries out the vegetation and requires more water to be needed to keep vegetation alive.

By not allowing homes to be built without fire resistant materials, the area becomes an added risk for disaster. The developer must be made to use fire retardant materials and use fire resistant vegetation for landscaping (ex: no palm trees)

7. **ISSUE:** This project has been denied through many years for the same reasons over and again.

Fire, traffic, wind, water, lack of infrastructure to support the development all add to the reasons for denial.

Nothing has changed, so the project should be again denied. It just puts added strain on an already overburdened area.

Thank you,

Steve Helt & family

[redacted]

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

August 19, 2019

RECEIVED AUG 21 2019

Local Agency Formation Commission
1601 E. Third Street
San Bernardino, Ca.

Dear Commissioners,

I have lived in Devore for 34 years. I am 36 years old. Devore has changed over the years, but is still a relatively quiet rural community. We have traffic problems every Thursday and Friday evening when the surrounding I-15 & I-215 freeways back up. I hate to think what it will be like with the added traffic from the Spring Trails project adding several hundred more cars to the already overburdened area.

After studying the maps for the development, I am worried that the developer will again attempt to bring traffic into Devore via the Frontage Road across the Cable Canyon wash. The map shows the second ingress/egress as coming off the Verdemont bench onto Frontage Road. However, it does not show which direction that traffic will flow. I would like to stress that Devore cannot handle added traffic onto our narrow roads (Cable Canyon, Dement, Santa Fe, and Grandview) near the freeway access on Devore Road.

I would ask that if you must approve this annexation into the city of San Bernardino, it be with the stipulation that traffic from this development not be allowed to come across the wash into Devore. Instead, the added traffic must use Little League to access South Kendall, then onto Cajon to travel to Devore to access the freeway on-ramps.

Thank you for your consideration.

Brian Henry
Devore resident

RECEIVED AUG 2 1 2019

August 20, 2019

LAFCO
1601 E. Third Street
San Bernardino, Ca.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Dear Commissioners,

I mostly want to remind you that Devore is a small rural community which has very narrow roads that are unable to handle added traffic. In the 34 years that I have lived in Devore I have seen traffic increase by immeasurable numbers. Add commute hour traffic and amphitheater traffic and it becomes insane. Sometimes it takes residents hours to get home. Now, if we add the traffic from Spring Trails, traffic will get impossibly worse... might as well live in Orange County or LA.

Earthquakes are a constant threat. They say the "big one" will come sometime in the next 10-30 years. Fires have raged through the area on a regular basis... approximately every 10 years. Water is a constant concern. Yes, we had a wet winter, but we have had drought for the last 7-9 years and this area was a natural desert when the settlers came.

With this added development, we overburden the water resources; is Spring Trails adding new wells? We also cause potential disasters with the cul-d-sac streets and loop road for this high density development. It makes it extremely hard to evacuate people during a fire or earthquake while trying to get fire, rescue and medical personnel in. Disaster in the making!

Can San Bernardino actually supply the needed services from day 1 while not receiving any income until the following tax year when property owners begin to pay for these services with their taxes? Of San Bernardino goes into bankruptcy again, will this burden fall on the county taxpayers?

Please take these serious concern into consideration when deciding on annexation for the Spring Trails development. This development will have an impact on Devore.

Thank you.

David Henry
34 year Devore resident

August 19, 2019

RECEIVED AUG 2 1 2019

RECEIVED
AUG 2 1 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

LAFCO
1601 E. Third Street
San Bernardino, Ca.

Dear Commissioners,

Traffic in Devore has increased over the years to the point that any added local traffic will be a huge burden. I have lived in Devore for 34 years and can testify to the fact that it is nearly impossible to get in or out of our community during peak traffic hours. Add to that several hundred additional cars and we have a potential disaster: especially in the case of a fire or earthquake. This area is an extreme fire hazard and an earthquake prone area (the San Andreas as well as many other smaller faults run through this area). Building high density homes in an area such as this is asking for disaster. Fires flash across the face of the San Bernardino mountains heading west toward Devore as history has proven (Panorama and Old Fire just to name 2).

Accessing the I-215 and I-15 freeways via Cajon/Devore Road is the only acceptable route for this added traffic from this development. Coming across the Cable Canyon wash by extending Frontage Road to Cable Canyon Road is not acceptable. Remember the Christmas Day floods that took out the KOA Campground, killing a bear, a horse and 2 people? That same flood put tons of mud on the I-215 freeway as well, blocking travel for a few days. Imagine what that could do to traffic coming across that same wash.

I ask that you not allow traffic to cross the wash to access Devore streets.

Respectfully,

Brett Henry
34 year Devore resident

RECEIVED AUG 2 1 2019

August 20,2019

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Local Agency Formation Commission
1601 E. Third Street
San Bernardino, Ca.

Dear Commissioners,

Montecito Properties (Spring Trails) is asking for this development to be annexed into the city of San Bernardino. I have written in the past regarding this development. Several years ago, this was the Martin Ranch development. It was denied for various reasons, some of which were: fire, earthquake, lack of water, lack of 2nd ingress/egress, strain on support services, density of homes, lack of infrastructure improvements, etc.

Fire, earthquake and lack of water are still a huge issue. Will the developer install a well to serve the area?

The strain on support services will continue until such time as homes are sold and tax dollars begin to come in. The second ingress/egress has been listed as coming off the Verdemont bench onto Frontage Road. Currently, the only direction is toward Little League. I am concerned that this may change. In the past an attempt was made to come off the bench, cross the Cable Canyon Wash and come into Devore to access our narrow streets. This is not acceptable to Devore residents. We have enough traffic now and cannot withstand added cars.

The density of homes in this development is such a hazard with the cul-d-sacs and loop road. It forces congestion and will be disastrous in the event of a fire or earthquake. Evacuation will be impossible with fire, medical and rescue personnel trying to get in. The only answer is to lower the density and get rid of the cul-d-sacs and have all streets connect directly to exit roads.

The lack of infrastructure improvements could be partially alleviated by adding an I-215 north bound on-ramp at Little League overpass. The south bound I-215 could have an added off-ramp at Little League. This would pull a lot of traffic off Palm Ave. and decrease the slowdown and stoppage on the freeway. I am told that this is impossible because they are less than 2 miles apart (little League and Palm). There are many instances where this is so, and the need would solve not just traffic but would give the developer an added close ingress/egress. It would also ease the congestion for every day traffic, and in the case of emergencies (fire earthquake, etc.).

As I mentioned before, this project (under various names) has been denied in the past. Nothing has changed except traffic has gotten worse both in the immediate area and with freeway traffic. This development should again be denied as it puts added strain on an already overburdened area.

Respectfully,

Valerie Henry
34 year Devore resident
President, Devore Rural Protection Association
President, Devore Water Company

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Margie Jehue
, Devore Heights·Edited 13 hr ago

Thank you Darcee for spelling it out for us. Now we know the threat, oldtimers and newer members of out community, and we know what action to take. Taking appropriate action can be successful. Again, thank you Darcee for giving us focus and taking our concerns to the appropriate party's.

Gollatz Cotillion,Inc.

From: David Newman [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 3:49 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: LAFCO Development

August 20, 2019

To: LAFCO

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

From: David Newman

[redacted]

RE: Spring Trails Development

Our Devore Community DRPA leaders have done an outstanding job of outlining the concerns and objections of the community-at-large regarding the Spring Trails development currently under consideration – again. Although individual voices seldom appear to have much effect on our City or County government representatives, I am adding my voice to those whom have already spoken, either directly or via written communication.

Since the DRPA has put such excellent effort into outlining the issues, I will make my identical concerns and objections easy to read and identify by simply enumerating below as already so excellently identified.

1. **ISSUE:** The new development could bring the traffic of all of the new homes directly into Devore. Our narrow streets cannot withstand the traffic we now experience with Friday traffic coming through the community in an effort to avoid backed-up freeways and the concerts that take place over which the developers do such a poor job of traffic control. This new development could add thousands of additional cars to the mix; a disaster on our small streets. We also do not want a direct connection with the City of San Bernardino, which has repeatedly shown direct interest in annexing us. We resist any annexation for multiple reasons, the most germane for this communication being that current agencies are insufficient to the multiple tasks of covering all extended needs.

2. **ISSUE:** As an additional point to the above, there does not appear to be any additional infrastructure planned to help with the extreme added traffic in the area, let alone any emergency or disaster planning. An existing example of the negative effects of this type of poor planning is the Verdemont area. It has had no significant improvements as each development has been added and the traffic has increased to the point that the freeway backs up every morning and evening. It has become an accident hazard. This is a very large development and should be required to add freeway access lanes and on/off ramps to accommodate the huge increase in traffic. Additional I-215 on/off ramps (North and South bound) at the Little League overpass should be included in their planning and development.

3. **ISSUE:** We are already designated as a high fire hazard area. The City of San Bernardino cannot guarantee fire coverage in the event of another fire coming across the mountain face. We have had many such fires and based on past history, getting evacuees out while trying to get fire trucks in to fight the advancing fire is already a nightmare, notwithstanding the additional homes and development. Finding an insurance company to insure homes against fire would be exponentially more difficult. The Devore Community suggests the inclusion of an additional fire station as well as added law enforcement personnel.

4. **ISSUE:** We have just "recovered" from a years-long drought, with experts indicating concern over a repeat of the drought. Water availability is an issue. The added draw on ground water would cause conditions to worsen. Additional wells and pumping stations to provide adequate water should be included in the planning and development.

5. **ISSUE:** Winds in this area can and have reached 100+ miles per hour. With the wind comes dust from the denuded areas being developed. It takes time to regrow ground cover. Wind also brings the additional danger of fire. Wind dries out the vegetation and requires more water to keep vegetation alive. If the development is allowed to go through, fire resistant materials and vegetation should be required.

6. **ISSUE:** Although it shouldn't need to be pointed out, this project/development has been denied repeatedly over the years for the same reasons (and others) noted above. To repeat, fire, traffic, wind, water, lack of infrastructure to support the development, etc., all have contributed to the reasons for denial. Since nothing has changed significantly, the project should again be denied. It places added strain on an already overburdened community.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

To: LAFCO

From: Darcee Klapp, [redacted]

Re: Spring Trials

Dear LAFCO: This subject directly affects our community Devore. It was never built to have high capacity volume traffic down its narrow streets. It is NOT you that lives here, it is NOT you that lives near the ~~project so please do not vote and leave us to die in a fire that travels through and traps us, our lives are~~ at stake!

Please either denounce the project altogether or send the traffic pattern for this project the other way. Devore has Friday Las Vegas traffic, concert traffic, just plain traffic without adding a tragedy on the pass ~~then we are land locked...how are we going to add 2000 – 4000 more cars??????~~

The issues are huge and we will fight!!!!!

TO: LAFCO

FROM: WILBUR KLAPP, [redacted]

RE: SPRING TRIALS

DEAR LAFCO:

ANNEXING THIS DEVELOPMENT INTO THE CITY LIMITS WILL CAUSE POTENTIAL ISLANDS OF COUNTY LAND IN THE AREA/ PLEASE DENY ANNEXATION AND LEAVE THE AREA COUNTY. DECREASE DENSITY SO CURRENT SUPPORT AGENCIES CAN COVER THE NEEDS.

ANOTHER ISSUE: THE FIRE HAZARD; SAN BERNARDINO CANNOT GUARANTEE FIRE COVERAGE IN THE EVENT OF ANOTHER FIRE COMING ACROSS THE MOUNTAIN FACE. THIS IS A VERY HIGH FIRE HAZARD AREA. HIGH DENSITY HOUSING IN AN EXTREME FIRE AREA IS ASKING FOR DISASTER.

THE SPRING TRAILS PROJECT HAS BEEN DENIED THROUGH MANY YEARS FOR THE SAME REASONS OVER AND AGAIN. FIRE, TRAFFIC, WIND, WATER, LACK OF INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT ALL ADD TO THE REASONS FOR DENIAL.
SUGGESTION: NOTHING HAS CHANGED, SO THE PROJECT SHOULD BE AGAIN DENIED. IT JUST PUTS ADDED STRAIN ON AN ALREADY OVERBURDENED AREA.

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

August 15, 2019

To the Board of Supervisors,

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

I am writing today regarding the Spring Trail development.

I am a 16yr resident of Devore and the reason we moved here was for the peace and quiet and especially the rural living. The Spring Trails development threatens our community's moto of "rural living at its finest".

I have seen options, in the past, of making ingress/egress thru Santa Fe Ave and/or Grandview Ave by connecting Meyers Rd to Cable Canyon Rd. This will NOT be acceptable into our tiny, quiet community. Devore cannot handle the influx of 1000's of extra cars each day and night. The new maps do not show exactly where the ingress/egress is happening as the legend, or key, is blocking the roads on the map (bottom left-hand corner). As a possible correction to this issue, you should make the developer add a new freeway onramp and offramp at Little League. This will keep the traffic out of Devore.

Devore DOES NOT want to be connected with the city. We enjoy having our perfect pocket of solitude. We already have to deal with traffic cutting thru our community and driving like maniacs when there's a back-up on the 15 freeway and when there's concerts at Glen Helen. We DO NOT want to deal with it on a daily basis. It would completely change our way of life!

PLEASE, I BEG OF YOU, keep Spring Trails OUT of Devore!

Thank you,

Karen Lees

[redacted]

August 12, 2019

Karan Slobom
[redacted]

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

RE SPRING TRAILS DEVELOPMENT

Dear Commissioners:

As you consider your decision regarding the Spring Trails Development, please consider the following:

1. **Infrastructures and 215 Freeway**. 215 Freeway is currently a 2 land freeway that cannot handle the current traffic. Adding 320 homes to this area without addressing the ingress & egress could be devastating to homeowners & love ones trying to evacuate during a fire.
2. **Off Ramp**: Spring Trails should have their own off ramp to take pressure off the already over pressured off ramps in the surrounding area.
3. **Devore** & our roads **cannot** handle any more pressure due to the Spring Trail Development traffic being re-routed. Devore currently is overloaded, sometimes daily due to the traffic back up on 15/215 Fwy. Putting any more pressure of traffic into our community would be **catastrophic**. As a community, we cannot enjoy our community as it is with the traffic using our community as their alternative route. ***We respectfully ask you to NOT allow traffic from the Spring Valley Development to be routed to Devore.***
4. **Water Demands:** Has that been considered as we face year after year drought? Should reconsider the value of homes being submitted for being determined for development.
5. **Very High Fire Danger Area:** Can you guarantee fire department coverage due to another fire in this area?
    a. What are your requirements for building materials used in this High Fire Danger Area?
6. **Winds:** Consider the area being developed & Santa Ana Winds during the time of development & the years for regrowth. How will that be addressed for sand blasting as it takes years to regrow again?

We, the surrounding communities, ask for your expert professional help & assistance to determine how this extremely large development will affect us, & traffic flow in the area.

Thank you for your immediate professional courtesy in this matter.

Sincerely,

Karan Slobom
Devore Resident (46 yrs)

August 12, 2019

Paul Slobom
[redacted]

RE SPRING TRAILS DEVELOPMENT

Dear Commissioners:

As you consider your decision regarding the Spring Trails Development, please consider the following:

Devore has enough traffic up here already, & we do not want the traffic from the Spring Trails Development to come into our streets & community. Our streets cannot handle the traffic that continuously comes through our community now.

<u>One death</u> on the Devore Bridge is ONE TOO MANY due to high value traffic!!!

Spring Trails Development must keep their development down by Little League Drive, & route the traffic towards that direction.

Sincerely,

Paul Slobom
Devore Resident (59 yrs)

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

August 15, 2019

TO: LAFCO – San Bernardino County

RE: Devore Community and proposed Spring Trails development (Montecito Properties) (aka Martin Ranch)

We have great concerns, and adamantly request the denial of the above-mentioned proposal.

Most importantly, the Devore community cannot handle any more mass development; the community cannot successfully incorporate the increase in water usage, fire danger, and traffic.

While some may think we are out of a drought, we do not have the water reserves necessary to support that thought, and we certainly do not have enough water in these foothills to share our limited resources with another 20-30 homes, let alone 2-3000. If we did, our wells would be at near-full capacity. Also, we like our own Devore Water Co, and do not want to be attached to or co-mingled with the City water.

Fire season is now a year-round event. We are just one ill-conceived action away from devastation (remember 2003?), and the drivers in the Cajon Pass cause enough grief. Imagine another 2000 families (4000 cars, 8000 people) trying to evacuate through our little community that already has traffic issues when Friday's and concerts occur. We basically have one path from end to end, with one detour road, and these are 2-lane roads – not meant for constant, heavy traffic. Adding another path from Spring Trails would not enhance our ingress/egress, it would only add to the number of cars going through our community on any given day. And why add a number of new homes to a high-fire zone?

Devore is a rural community, tucked into a corner of the foothills. We moved here to enjoy the lack of dense housing, the (usual) lack of traffic-jammed streets, and the ability to use our streets to walk, bike, jog, and ride horses – in addition to driving. As we are a rural community, we have no sidewalks or streetlights – by choice. We do not want the City to annex us, which would surly (eventually) happen if a dense community were to be connected to us and encroach on our boundaries.

If in your wisdom you still think dense development is in the best interests of the local citizens, then insist the new development take responsibility for building freeway and community access at Little League Drive, and improve the Little League/Palm/Kendall intersections. Insist they manage dust and bare landscaping. Insist they build and equip their own fire station. And insist they cannot connect to Devore!

Finally, please keep in the forefront the fact that similar requests have been denied time and again, specifically due to these water, fire, traffic, wind, and infrastructure issues, simply because it over-burdens the community.

As long-time residents of Devore, we chose to this area for a reason. Please do not allow Spring Trails to alter our rural lifestyle.

Sincerely,
Tim & Shelly Ward
Devore, CA

From: Robert Wall [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2019 11:44 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: LAFCO Meeting

As a resident of Devore I am opposed to any routing of traffic into Devore from the proposed Spring Hills Development. Devore already has seen a tremendous influx of traffic in our community due to freeway overflow traffic, concert traffic and yes even warehouse traffic. This increase in traffic has made for a very hazardous situation for the residents that live in Devore, I myself have come within inches of being hit entering and exiting my property on numerous occasions. Devore cannot handle any increase in traffic. Any plans for a new development MUST include new freeway onramps and offramps that DO NOT route traffic into or through the Devore community.

Thank You
Bob Wall
A Devore resident

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Gollatz Cotillion,Inc.

From: Nan VanEsch [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2019 2:22 PM
To: [redacted]
Subject: lafco

RECEIVED
AUG 21 2019
LAFCO
San Bernardino County

August 15, 2019

RE: Springs Trail Project

As a home and property owner for the past 25 years in the county of San Bernardino, Devore Heights, we are against the city of san Bernardino trying to annex our lovely, rural community. We oppose this for the following reasons.

1) too much traffic to the area. the increase of traffic is not conducive to our rural lifestyle. The local streets cant handle the increase of traffic.

2) Annexing, NO to the city of San Bernardino. They can not handle the city affairs, city shelter, city offices including their fire and rescue. We do not trust them to spend our tax dollars wisely.

3) The density of the housing in an extremely high fire area is a disaster waiting to happen. We barely survived the Old Fire from 2003. Then after the fire that area was buried under tons of rocks and debris.

4) The demand of water supply is of great concern as we are still in semi drought conditions.

For the reasons stated above, fire, traffic, water and the strain of high density urban house development be denied.

Sincerely,
Ron and Nanette VanEsch
[redacted]