


TOM DODSON & ASSOCIATES
2150 N. ARROWHEAD AVENUE
SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92405
TEL (909) 882-3612 • FAX (909) 882-7015
E-MAIL tda@tdaenv.com



August 9, 2008

RECEIVED
AUG 11 2008

Ms. Kathleen Rollings-McDonald
Local Agency Formation Commission
215 North "D" Street, Suite 204
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0490

LAFCO
San Bernardino County

Dear Kathy:

LAFCO 2997 consists of a municipal service review for County Service Area 60-Apple Valley Airport (CSA 60) pursuant to Government Code Section 56430 and Sphere of Influence Study pursuant to Government Code 56425. At the present time there appear to be three options for the sphere boundary of CSA 60. These are: retain the existing sphere (CSA 60); reduce the sphere to be coterminous with the spheres of the Victor Valley cities (Apple Valley, Victorville, Hesperia, and Adelanto) (LAFCO Staff recommendation); or reduce the sphere to be coterminous with the Town of Apple Valley (alternative proposal). As we have learned from previous sphere modifications, the designation of a sphere, which is a planning boundary, does not by itself cause any modifications to the physical environment. Only when the subsequent step is taken to physically revise the boundary of a service district does s potential for physical changes in the environment occur.

Based on this information, it appears that LAFCO 2997 can be implemented with any of the three boundary options without causing any physical changes to the environment or any adverse environmental impacts. Therefore, I recommend that the Commission find that a Statutory Exemption (as defined in the California Environmental Quality Act, CEQA) applies to LAFCO 2997 regardless of sphere boundary configuration under Section 15061 (b) (3) of the State CEQA Guidelines, which states: "A project is exempt from CEQA if the activity is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA." It is my opinion, and recommendation to the Commission, that this circumstance applies to LAFCO 2997. In this case, assigning the same or a modified Sphere to CSA 60, does not alter their existing operations or obligations, it only indicates that the Commission has the opinion that in the future the District should, not must, consider reassessing its boundaries (not if the existing sphere is retained).

Based on this review of LAFCO 2997 and the pertinent sections of CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines, I conclude that LAFCO 2997 does not constitute a project under CEQA and adoption of the Statutory Exemption and filing of a Notice of Exemption is the most appropriate determination to comply with CEQA for this action. The Commission can approve the review and findings for this action and I recommend that you notice LAFCO 2997 as statutorily exempt from

CEQA for the reasons outlined in the State CEQA Guideline sections cited above. The Commission needs to file a Notice of Exemption with the County Clerk to the Board for this action once the hearing is completed.

A copy of this exemption should be retained in LAFCO's project file to serve as verification of this evaluation and as the CEQA environmental determination record. If you have any questions, please feel free to give me a call.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Tom Dodson". The signature is written in a cursive, slightly slanted style.

Tom Dodson