

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

This form and the descriptive information in the application package constitute the contents of Initial Study pursuant to County Guidelines under Ordinance 3040 and Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

PROJECT LABEL:

APN:	3038-291-01
APPLICANT:	DUHA CHO
COMMUNITY:	PHELAN/1ST RD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT
LOCATION:	BOUNDED BY MESQUITE ROAD TO THE NORTH, EL CENTRO ROAD TO THE SOUTH, COUGHLIN ROAD TO THE EAST AND MIDDLETON ROAD TO THE WEST
PROJECT NO:	P201000473; TPM 19284
STAFF:	OXSO SHAHRIARI, PLANNER
REP(S):	THATCHER ENGINEERING
PROPOSAL:	TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP TO CREATE FOUR PARCELS ON 160 ACRES

USGS Quad: BALDY MESA
T, R, Section: T4N R6W **Sec.34 NE1/4**
Thomas Bros.: 4564-B4

Planning Area: PHELAN
OLUD: PH/RL
Overlays: FS-1

PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Lead agency: County of San Bernardino
Land Use Services Department – Planning Division
385 North Arrowhead Avenue; First Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0182

Contact person: Oxso Shahriari, Project Planner
Phone No: (760) 995-8140 & 8156 **Fax No:** (760) 995-8170
E-mail: oshahriari@lusc.sbcounty.gov

Project Sponsor: Thatcher Engineering
1461 Ford Street; STE 105
Redlands, CA 92373

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The proposed project is Tentative Parcel Map 19284 to create four parcels on 160 acres. The project site is bounded by Mesquite Road to the North, El Centro Road to the South, Coughlin Road to the East, and Middleton Road to the West; in the community of Phelan; located in the 1ST Supervisorial District. The project site is zoned Rural Living (RL) with a minimum lot size requirement of 5 acres. This site is regulated by Fire Safety Overlay (FS-1).

ENVIRONMENTAL/EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS:

The project site is surrounded by large, similarly-zoned, vacant parcels to the north, south, east and west. No protected trees or plant species are identified on the project site. A General Biological Resources Assessment has been prepared by the RCA Associates to study sensitivity for plant and animal species including Desert Tortoise and Mohave Ground Squirrel. The site has been identified as potentially sensitive for Paleontological and Archeological Resources. A Phase 1 Cultural Resources Investigation and a Paleontological Survey Report have been prepared by Jeanette A. McKenna to study potential impact on the referenced resources. The referenced studies' findings will be incorporated into the conditions of approval to ensure any potential adverse impact will be less than significant. No additional improvements are proposed at this time.

AREA	EXISTING LAND USE	ZONING DISTRICT
Site	Vacant	Rural Living (RL)
North	Vacant	RL
South	Vacant	RL
East	Vacant	RL
West	Vacant	RL

Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.):

Federal: Fish & Wildlife; State of California: Regional Water Quality Control Board, Fish & Game; County of San Bernardino: County Surveyor; Public Health – Environmental Health Services; Public Works – Roads/Drainage; County Fire/ Fire Protection Agency; Land Use Services – Building and Safety; Auditor/Treasurer/Tax Collector; Special District; Traffic; Local: N/A.

EVALUATION FORMAT:

This initial study is prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. This format of the study is presented as follows. The project is evaluated based upon its effect on seventeen (17) major categories of environmental factors. Each factor is reviewed by responding to a series of questions regarding the impact of the project on each element of the overall factor. The Initial Study Checklist provides a formatted analysis that provides a determination of the effect of the project on the factor and its elements. The effect of the project is categorized into one of the following four categories of possible determinations:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

Substantiation is then provided to justify each determination. One of the four following conclusions is then provided as a summary of the analysis for each of the major environmental factors.

1. Therefore, no impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
2. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
3. Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as a condition of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant. The required mitigation measures are: (List mitigation measures).
4. Significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required to evaluate these impacts, which are (Listing the impacts requiring analysis within the EIR).

At the end of the analysis the required mitigation measures are restated and categorized as being either self- monitoring or as requiring a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/ Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance | |

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation, the following finding is made:

- The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- The proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature (prepared by): Oxso Shahriari, Planner

Date: 02-27-2012

Signature: Heidi Duron; Planning Supervisor

Date: 02-27-2012

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS - Would the project				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located within the view-shed of any Scenic Route listed in the General Plan):

- I a) The proposed project is not located within a designated Scenic Corridor and will not have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista, as there are none identified within the vicinity of the project site that would be affected by the proposed project.
- I b) The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway, because the site is not adjacent to a state scenic highway and there are no trees, rock outcroppings, or historic buildings on the project site.
- I c) The proposed project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings, because the proposed project is consistent with the uses and activities envisioned for this zoning district.
- I d) The proposed project will not create a new source of substantial light or glare that would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area, because the proposal will not require new sources of lighting.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES - In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located in the Important Farmlands Overlay):

- II a) The subject property is not identified or designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. The proposed use is consistent with the envisioned use for the zoning district.
- II b) The subject property is not zoned agricultural and the proposed use does not conflict with any agricultural land use or Williamson Act land conservation contract.
- II c) The subject property is designated Rural Living which allows for the proposed development which does not involve other changes in the existing environment that, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Prime Farmland, to a non-agricultural use.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

III. AIR QUALITY - Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION (Discuss conformity with the South Coast Air Quality Management Plan, if applicable):

- III a) The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the South Coast Air Quality Management Plan, because the proposed use/project does not exceed the thresholds established for air quality concerns within the CEQA Air Quality Handbook developed by the South Coast Air Quality Management District and used as a guide by the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District.
- III b) The project will not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, because the proposed use/project is not expected to exceed established thresholds of concern as established by the District.
- III c) The proposed project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant because the insignificant size and nature of the proposed use will not exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors.

- III d) The project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, because there are no identified concentrations of substantial pollutants and the project is not located within ¼ mile of a use considered a sensitive receptor.
- III e) The project will not create odors affecting a substantial number of people because there are no identified potential uses that will result in the production of objectionable odors.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc...) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located in the Biological Resources Overlay or contains habitat for any species listed in the California Natural Diversity Database):

- IV a) This lot division project will not have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service because general and species-specific assessments have been performed and concluded no impact in this area of concern. A General Biological Assessment and Focused Survey for Desert Tortoise as well as a Mohave Ground Squirrel Habitat Assessment have been prepared by the RCA Associates and found that “the impacts to the general biological resources are not expected to be significant”. A sensitive species of plant, short-jointed beavertail cactus, was found in the southeast portion of the site, and “the plant could be Impacted if development activities occur in the area where the cactus populations occur”. The study continues: “Relocation of the individual cactus plants may be required by CDFG prior to future development activities; therefore, CDFG should be contacted to discuss specific transplanting requirements”. CDFG and USFWS are the only agencies which can grant authorization of the “take” of any sensitive species. The study concludes: “No additional surveys or mitigation measures are recommended at the present time for any sensitive species”.
- IV b) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service because at the above reference study has determined that “no riparian vegetation (e.g. Cotton woods, willows, etc. was observed on the site. However the CDFG comment letter dated January 12, 2011 states that “under section 1600 *et. Seq* of the Fish and Game Code, the Department requires the project applicant to notify the Department of any activity that will divert, obstruct or change the natural flow of the bed, channel or bank (which includes associated riparian habitat) or a river, stream or lake, or use material from a streambed prior to the applicant’s commencement of the activity”. The project shall be conditioned not to alter any riparian habitat. The developer shall contact CDFG for additional consultation if the developer deems project activities capable of altering or adversely impacting any riparian habitat. Additional avoidance, minimization and or mitigation measures may be imposed by CDFG upon further review.
- IV c) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means, because this parcel is not within an identified protected wetland.
- IV d) This project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites because this project shall be conditioned not to occupy any drainage courses traversing the site.

- IV e) This project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance because no sensitive or protected trees and/or plants have been identified on the project site. Therefore no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

- IV f) This project will not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan, because no such plan has been adopted in the area of the project site.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor.	Less than Significant	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if the project is located in the Cultural or Paleontologic Resources overlays or cite results of cultural resource review):

- V a) This project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource, because a Phase I Cultural Resources investigation, prepared by Jeanette A. McKeena, has concluded that there will be no impact in this area of concern. The study states: "Based on recent research and filed investigations, there is no evidence of prehistoric or historic archaeological or cultural resources within the current project area. The study continues: "Approval of the proposed subdivision map will not result in impact to any significant cultural resources and no areas within the property need be avoided as a result of the studies". As a condition of approval, the developer is required to halt all work if archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during land disturbance, grading and or construction activities. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record any find and recommend any further mitigation.

- V b) This project will not cause a substantial adverse change to an archaeological resource, because a Phase 1 Cultural Resources Investigation and a Paleontological Survey Report, prepared by Jeanette A. McKeena, have concluded that there will be no impact in this area of concern. As a condition of approval, the developer is required to halt all work if archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during land disturbance, grading and or construction activities. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record any find and recommend any further mitigation.

- V c) This project will not directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, because a Paleontological Survey Report, prepared by Jeanette A. McKeena, has concluded that there will be no impact in this area of concern; or that any impact can be minimized. The study states: "The approval of the parcel map will not result in any adverse environmental impacts. However, it must be noted that the property is sensitive for paleontological specimens and, therefore, any future alteration to the property (e.g. grading, installations of infrastructure, development, etc.) may result in impacts and it is recommended that any of these activities be contingent on the presence of a paleontological monitor with the authority to monitor the earthmoving and extract samples to ensure the accurate and adequate recordation and analysis of specimens that may be identified". The project will be conditioned accordingly to minimize any impact in this area of concern.
- V d) This project will not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries, because a Paleontological Survey Report, prepared by Jeanette A. McKeena, has concluded that there will be no impact in this area of concern; or that any impact can be minimized. While the study found "no evidence of prehistoric or historic archaeological or cultural resources within the current project area", it states that "the property may still yield evidence of buried cultural resources". The study continues: "If, at any time, evidence of human remains is uncovered, the County Coroner must be contacted immediately and the area protected from further impacts. The Coroner must be permitted to examine the remains and, if determined to be of Native American origin, the coroner will contact the Native American Heritage Commission and the Commission will identify the Most Likely Descendant (MLD)". The study recommends that an archeological monitoring program should be initiated to oversee the remainder of the grading and or ground preparation for development and or construction. The project will be conditioned accordingly to minimize any impact in this area of concern.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving: | | | | |
| i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map Issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ii. Strong seismic ground shaking? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| iv. Landslides? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 181-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located in the Geologic Hazards Overlay District):

- VI a) (i-iv) The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving; i) rupture of a known earthquake fault, ii) strong seismic ground shaking, iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction or iv) Landslides, because there are no such geologic hazards identified in the immediate vicinity of the project site.
- VI b) The project will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil, because the site will be only partially paved and landscaped. This project had been reviewed by County Roads & Drainage and no Erosion control plans have been required to be submitted.
- VI c) The project is not identified as being located on a geologic unit or soil that has been identified as being unstable or having the potential to result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse. Where a potential for these is identified, a geology report is required to be reviewed and approved by the County Building and Safety Geologist, who will require implementation of appropriate mitigation measures, if any are required.
- VI d) The project site is not located in an area that has been identified by the County Building and Safety Geologist as having the potential for expansive soils, as determined by a required soils report.
- VI e) The project has been reviewed by Environmental Health Services (EHS) and no Soil Percolation Test has been required for approval. Therefore no impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the Environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION

- VII a) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, because this proposal is not anticipated to involve hazardous material use or handling. Any future proposal involving the usage of such material will be subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department and in some instances additional land use review.
- VII b) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment, because any proposed use or construction activity that may use hazardous materials is subject to permit and inspection by the County Fire Department.
- VII c) The project site will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school, because the project does not propose the use of hazardous materials.
- VII d) The project site is not included on a list of hazardous materials sites.
- VII e) The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a public airport.
- VII f) The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a private airstrip.
- VII g) The project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, because the project has adequate access.
- VII h) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, because fire protection standards provided by the County Fire Department has mandated future project developers to meet "fire protection measures".

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure, which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?
- j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?

SUBSTANTIATION

- VIII a) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, because the proposed onsite septic systems shall be reviewed by County EHS to ensure compliance with both water quality and waste discharge requirements.
- VIII b) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level, because the project proponent is required to provide EHS with documentation that substantiates sufficient well water, of acceptable quality, to serve any future residential development for all resultant parcels, therefore no mitigation measures are required.
- VIII c) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site because the project is required not to occupy, obstruct or disturb any natural drainage course traversing the site.
- VII d) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site because the project does not propose any substantial alteration to a drainage pattern, stream or river.

- VIII e) The project will not create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff, because the project will be reviewed and conditioned not to exceed limits of the existing local and regional systems that are determined adequate to handle the anticipated flows. The project will be conditioned not to negatively impact the downstream properties by any potential increase or change in volume, velocity or direction of stormwater flow originating from or altered by the project.
- VIII f) The project will not otherwise substantially degrade water quality, because appropriate measures relating to water quality protection are required.
- VIII g) The project will not place unprotected housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, because all future construction are required to meet FEMA requirements.
- VIII h) The project will not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows, because any structure in areas identified as being potentially affected by a 100-year storm will be subject to a flood hazard review. Building pads shall be required to be elevated in compliance with FEMA and San Bernardino County regulations, when needed.
- VIII i) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam, because the project site is not within any identified path of a potential inundation flow that might result in the event of a dam or levee failure or that might occur from a river, stream, lake or sheet flow situation.
- VIII j) The project will not be impacted by inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow, because the project is not adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami nor is the project site in the path of any potential mudflow.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION

- IX a) The project will not physically divide an established community, because the project is a logical and orderly extension of the planned land uses and development that are currently established within the surrounding area.
- IX b) The project will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect, because the project is consistent with all applicable land use policies and regulations of the County Code and General Plan. The project complies with all hazard protection, resource preservation and land use modifying Overlay District regulations.
- IX c) The project will not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan, because there is no such plans are identified within the area surrounding the project site and no habitat conservation lands are required to be purchased as mitigation for the proposed project.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

X. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay):

- X a) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state, because there are no identified important mineral resources on the project site.
- X b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan, because there are no identified locally important mineral resources on the project site.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
XI. NOISE - Would the project:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if the project is located in the Noise Hazard Overlay District or is subject to severe noise levels according to the General Plan Noise Element):

XI a) The project will not expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies, because this TPM proposal itself does not generate noise. Any future construction activities will be conditioned to comply with the County noise standards. The CDP note shall state: "Construction activities shall be limited to the hours between 7 AM and 7 PM each day. Construction equipment shall be staged away from surrounding residences where applicable".

- XI b) The project will not create exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels, because all projects are required to comply with the vibration standards of the County Development Code and no vibration exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated by this project.
- XI c) The project will not generate a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing or allowed without the project, because all projects are required to comply with the vibration standards of the County Development Code and no noise exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated.
- XI d) The project may generate a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing or allowed without the project due to construction activities. Any future construction activities will be conditioned to comply with the County noise standards. The CDP note shall state: "Construction activities shall be limited to the hours between 7 AM and 7 PM each day. Construction equipment shall be staged away from surrounding residences where applicable".
- XI e) The project is not located within an airport land use plan area or within 2 miles of a public/public use airport.
- XI f) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION

- XII a) The project will not have the potential for inducing substantial population growth because the nature, extent, and intensity of the project will only minimally contribute to the potential growth of a greater population. The proposed use is consistent with County General Plan.
- XII b) The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing, because no housing units are proposed to be demolished as a result of this proposal.
- XII c) The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere, because the project will not displace any existing housing or existing residents.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

Fire Protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police Protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other Public Facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION

XIII a) The proposed project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks or other public facilities. Future construction of the project is expected to increase property tax revenues which will in turn create a source of additional funding to offset any increases in the anticipated demand for public services generated as a result of this project.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------	---	-----------------------	-----------

XIV. RECREATION

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION

- XIV a) This project will not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated, because the impacts generated by the project is expected to be minimal.
- XIV b) This project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment, because the project is expected to only minimally impact demand for recreational facilities.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:

- | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Cause an increase in traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Result in inadequate emergency access? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Result in inadequate parking capacity? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION

- XV a) The project will not cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system, because the increase in the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, and the congestion level at intersections will remain below the planned thresholds for those facilities.
- XV b) The project will not exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service [LOS] standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways, because the project is not anticipated to negatively impact the level of service (LOS). It is anticipated that traffic service will remain at an LOS of "C" or better as required by the County General Plan.

- XV c) The project will not result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks, because there are no airports in the vicinity of the project and there is no anticipated notable impact on air traffic volumes by passengers or freight generated by the project; neither are any new air traffic facilities are proposed.
- XV d) The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses, because the project site is adjacent to an established road that is accessed at points with good site distance and properly controlled intersections. There are no incompatible uses proposed by the project that will impact surrounding land uses.
- XV e) The project will not result in inadequate emergency access, because the project site is adequately served by the surrounding roads and has sufficient physical access.
- XV f) The project will not result in inadequate parking capacity, because the project is required to meet the residential parking standards established by the County Development Code at the time construction commence.
- XV g) The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks), because these plans or programs are only required for high-density, multi-residential and commercial projects.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

SUBSTANTIATION

- XVI a) The proposed project does not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board as determined by County Public Health – Environmental Health Services (EHS); therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.
- XVI b) The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities because the project will be served by an existing water provider. Sewage needs will be served by onsite septic systems which will be subject to County DEHS review and approval, therefore any impact in this area will be less than significant.

- XVI c) The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities that cause significant environmental effects because the project is conditioned not to exceed the existing storm water system's capacity, therefore any impact in this area will be less than significant.
- XVI d) The proposed project will have sufficient water supplies available because the project and any future residential development will be served by onsite water wells for each resultant parcel. The project is conditioned to attain approval of the County Environmental Health Services (EHS) prior to issuance of building permits for future development. Therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.
- XVI e) There is no wastewater treatment provider serving the project area, therefore an on-site septic systems will serve the project subject to review and approval by the County EHS; therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.
- XVI f) The proposed project is served by a certified local landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs; therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.
- XVI g) The proposed project is required to comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste, therefore any impact will be less than significant in this area.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorp.	Less than Significant	No Impact
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------	--------------

XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

- | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause Substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

SUBSTANTIATION

XVII a) The project does not appear to have the potential to significantly degrade the overall quality of the region’s environment, or substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. A General Biological Assessment and Focused Survey for Desert Tortoise as well as a Mohave Ground Squirrel Habitat Assessment have been prepared by the RCA Associates and found that “the impacts to the general biological resources are not expected to be significant”. A sensitive species of plan, short-jointed beavertail cactus, was found in the southeast portion of the site, and “the plant could be Impacted if development activities occur in the area where the cactus populations occur”. The study continues: “Relocation of the individual cactus plants may be required by CDFG prior to future development activities; therefore, CDFG should be contacted to discuss specific transplanting requirements”. CDFG and USFWS are the only agencies which can grant authorization of the “take” of any sensitive species. The study concludes: “No additional surveys or mitigation measures are recommended at the present time for any sensitive species”.

The above reference study has determined that “no riparian vegetation (e.g. Cotton woods,

willows, etc. was observed on the site. However the CDFG comment letter dated January 12, 2011 states that “under section 1600 *et. Seq* of the Fish and Game Code, the Department requires the project applicant to notify the Department of any activity that will divert, obstruct or change the natural flow of the bed, channel or bank (which includes associated riparian habitat) or a river, stream or lake, or use material from a streambed prior to the applicant’s commencement of the activity”. The project shall be conditioned not to alter any riparian habitat. The developer shall contact CDFG for additional consultation if project activities alter or adversely impact any riparian habitat. Additional avoidance, minimization and or mitigation measures may be imposed by CDFG upon further review. CDP notes shall require future developers and or property owners to contact CDFG for additional consultation regarding above-discussed species and habitats.

- XVII b) The project does not have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. The projects in the area to which this project would add cumulative impacts have either existing or planned infrastructure that is sufficient for all planned uses. These sites either are occupied or are capable of absorbing such uses without generating any cumulatively significant impacts.
- XVII c) The project will not have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly, as there are no such impacts identified by the studies conducted for this project or identified by review of other sources or by other agencies.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

XVIII. MITIGATION MEASURES

(Any mitigation measures, which are not 'self-monitoring', shall have a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared and adopted at time of project approval)

SELF MONITORING MITIGATION MEASURES: (Condition compliance will be verified by existing procedure): None

GENERAL REFERENCES:

Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone Act Map Series (PRC 27500)

California Department of Water Resources Bulletin #118 (Critical Regional Aquifers), 1975

CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G

California Standard Specifications, July 1992

County Museum Archaeological Information Center

County of San Bernardino Development Code, 1998

County of San Bernardino General Plan, adopted 1989, revised 1998

County of San Bernardino Identified Hazardous Materials Waste Sites List, April 1998

County of San Bernardino, Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan, March 1995

County of San Bernardino, June 2004, *San Bernardino County Stormwater Program, Model Water Quality Management Plan Guidance*.

County of San Bernardino Road Planning and Design Standards

Environmental Impact Report, San Bernardino County General Plan, 1989

Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Insurance Rate Map and Flood Boundary Map

South Coast Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Handbook, November 1993

PROJECT SPECIFIC REFERENCES:

GENERAL BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT AND FOCUSED SURVEY FOR DESERT TORTOISE; RCA ASSOCIATES LLC; AUGUST 19, 2010

MOHAVE GROUND SQUIRREL HABITAT ASSESSMENT; RCA ASSOCIATES LLC; NOVEMBER 5, 2010

PHASE 1 CULTURAL RESOURCES INVESTIGATION; JEANETTE A. MCKENNA; JANUARY 5, 2012

PALEONTOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT; JEANETTE A. MCKENNA; JANUARY 5, 2012