SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

This form and the descriptive information in the application package constitute the contents of Initial Study pursuant to County Guidelines under Ordinance 3040 and Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

PROJECT LABEL:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APN:</th>
<th>0531-212-08</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT:</td>
<td>VERIZON WIRELESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSAL:</td>
<td>MINOR USE PERMIT TO ESTABLISH A WIRELESS FACILITY CONSISTING OF ONE (1) GPS ANTENNA AND TWELVE (12) PANEL ANTENNAS MOUNTED ON A 97-FOOT TALL MONO- EUCALYPTUS CELL TOWER WITHIN A 900-SQUARE FOOT LEASE AREA PORTION OF 12 ACRES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY:</td>
<td>NEWBERRY SPRINGS / FIRST SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>NEWBERRY ROAD, WEST SIDE, APPROXIMATELY 330 FEET SOUTH OF COTTONWOOD ROAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCS/INDEX:</td>
<td>P20120186/CUP CELL TOWER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REP(S):</td>
<td>REACLON ASSOCIATES, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAFF:</td>
<td>OXSO SHAHRIARI, PLANNER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS Quad:</td>
<td>Newberry Springs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, R, Section:</td>
<td>T 9N R 3E Sec. 27 NE ¼</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Bros.:</td>
<td>EI-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Area:</td>
<td>Desert Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUD:</td>
<td>Rural Living (RL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlays:</td>
<td>Airport Safety Review Area 4 (AR4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Lead Agency: County of San Bernardino
Land Use Services Department – Planning Division
385 North Arrowhead Avenue; First Floor
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0182

Contact Person: Oxs0 Shahriari, Project Planner
Phone No.: (760) 995-8156 / (760) 995-8140
Fax No.: (760) 995-8170
E-mail: oshahriari@usd.sbcounnty.gov

Project Sponsor: RealCom Associates for Verizon Wireless
18301 Von Karman, Suite 910
Irvine, CA 92612
(949) 286-8735

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The proposed project is a Minor Use Permit to establish a wireless telecommunications facility consisting of one (1) GPS antenna and twelve (12) panel antennas mounted on a 97-foot tall mono-eucalyptus within a 900 Square Foot lease area portion of 12 acres.

ENVIRONMENTAL/EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS:

The project is located in the community of Newbury Springs, an unincorporated area of the San Bernardino County in the Desert Region, approximately 14 miles east of city of Barstow, and approximately 35 miles north east of the Town of Apple Valley. The project site is on the west side of Newberry Road, approximately 330 feet south of Cottonwood Road. The project site is zoned Rural Living (RL). The twelve-acre project site is partially developed by an existing church and a caretaker's residence, with the undeveloped area being generally located to the west/northwest portions of the site. The undeveloped area of the project site is sporadically cleared of vegetation and contains no indigenous plants of concern. The dominant plant species onsite are creosote bush, ephedra, and four-wing saltbush. The project site and immediate vicinity provide moderate habitat for of burrowing owl, without providing suitable nesting habitat. There are no other environmental concerns identified on this site.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
<th>OFFICIAL LAND USE DISTRICT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Rural Living–5 Acre Minimum Lot Size (RL–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Vacant and Single Family Residence</td>
<td>Rural Living–5 Acre Minimum Lot Size (RL–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Rural Living–5 Acre Minimum Lot Size (RL–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Single Family Residence</td>
<td>Rural Living–5 Acre Minimum Lot Size (RL–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Rural Living–5 Acre Minimum Lot Size (RL–5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

**Federal:** None; **State of California:** Regional Water Quality Control Board, Fish and Game; **County of San Bernardino:** Land Use Services – Code Enforcement; Building and Safety, Public Health-Environmental Health Services, Special Districts, Public Works & County Fire. **Local:** None.
EVALUATION FORMAT

This initial study is prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. This format of the study is presented as follows. The project is evaluated based upon its effect on eighteen (18) major categories of environmental factors. Each factor is reviewed by responding to a series of questions regarding the impact of the project on each element of the overall factor. The Initial Study Checklist provides a formatted analysis that provides a determination of the effect of the project on the factor and its elements. The effect of the project is categorized into one of the following four categories of possible determinations:

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less than Significant Impact | No Impact |

Substantiation is then provided to justify each determination. One of the four following conclusions is then provided as a summary of the analysis for each of the major environmental factors.

1. Therefore, no impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

2. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

3. Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as a condition of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant. The required mitigation measures are: (List mitigation measures)

4. Significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required to evaluate these impacts, which are (Listing the impacts requiring analysis within the EIR).

At the end of the analysis the required mitigation measures are restated and categorized as being either self- monitoring or as requiring a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

☐ Aesthetics  ☐ Agriculture Resources  ☐ Air Quality
☐ Biological Resources  ☐ Cultural Resources  ☐ Geology /Soils
☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materials  ☐ Hydrology / Water Quality  ☐ Land Use/ Planning
☐ Mineral Resources  ☐ Noise  ☐ Population / Housing
☐ Public Services  ☐ Recreation  ☐ Transportation/Traffic
☐ Utilities / Service Systems  ☐ Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation, the following finding is made:

☐ The proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☒ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

☐ The proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

☐ The proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

☐ Although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Signature (prepared by): Osko Shahriari, Planner

5/23/2013
Date: 05/23/2013

Signature: Heidi Duron, Supervising Planner

6/23/2013
Date: 05/23/2013
I. **AESTHETICS - Would the project**

a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**  (Check X if project is located within the view-shed of any Scenic Route listed in the General Plan):  

la) The project site is located in the unincorporated area of the County, in the community of Newbury Spring, approximately 35 miles northeast of Town of Apple Valley. The project site is not on or visible from a designated Scenic Corridor and will be minimally exposed to local vehicular and pedestrian traffic. Therefore no impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are necessary.

lb) The proposed project will not have the potential to substantially damage scenic resources because the camouflaged mono-eucalyptus tower shall utilize simulated tree trunk bark, branches, and leaves while forming a natural tree-like appearance. The project will be also conditioned to plant three field-dug, mature eucalyptus trees in the immediate vicinity of the proposed mono-eucalyptus tower, as depicted on the approved site plan, to minimize any potential impact to the scenic resources of the general area and immediate vicinity. There are no rock outcroppings or historic buildings on the site. Therefore no impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are necessary.

lc) The proposed project does have the potential to substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings; therefore the project will be mitigated to reduce potential impact to a level below significance. The proposed cell tower shall be camouflaged as a mono-eucalyptus. The project shall incorporate camouflaging and landscaping to screen the equipment shelter and the lease area to further minimize any potential impact to less than significant.

ld) The proposed project's onsite lighting will be designed in accordance with standards defined in the County Development Code. Due to the nature of this proposed project, no significant impact is anticipated at this time.
Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.

**MM# Mitigation Measures**

**III-1 Aesthetics.** The developer shall incorporate camouflage of the tower and landscaping to screen the equipment shelter and the lease area to further minimize any potential impact to less than significant. The project shall be conditioned as to the following:

a. The mono-eucalyptus shall be designed to have a natural, tree-like appearance in shape, color and texture, and shall be subject to review and approval by County Planning for this conditional approval and during the condition compliance stage.

b. The camouflaged mono-eucalyptus shall utilize simulated tree trunk, branches, and leaves while forming a natural tree-like appearance.

c. The equipment shelter shall be installed in the location indicated on the conditionally approved site plan and shall be painted in non-reflective, neutral color to blend with its immediate natural surroundings. To ensure complete blending of the facility with the immediate surroundings, a color and material pallet shall be submitted for review and approval during the condition compliance stage of this land use review for the mono-eucalyptus and equipment shelter prior to issuance of building permits.

d. Three field-dug, mature Eucalyptus trees shall be planted in the immediate vicinity of the proposed mono-eucalyptus as depicted on the approved site plan.

[Mitigation Measure III-1]
II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES - In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project, and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) Result in the loss of forestland or conversion of forestland to non-forest use?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

e) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forestland to non-forest use?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒
SUBSTANTIATION  (Check □ if project is located in the Important Farmlands Overlay):

Il a) The subject property is not identified or designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide importance on the maps prepared, pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. Therefore, proposed development will not negate any agricultural uses on the site.

Il b) The proposed project does not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract because the subject property is not zoned for agricultural use.

Il c) The proposed use does not involve other changes in the existing environment that, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Prime Farmland, to a non-agricultural use because no agricultural use exist on the project site or the general vicinity. Also, the designated land use zoning district does not associate with agricultural use.

Il d) The proposed use does not result in the loss of forestland or conversion of forestland to non-forest use because the project proposal does not involve forest land or timberland?

Il e) The proposed use does not involve changes in the existing environment which due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forestland to non-forest use because the project site does not meet the definitions of farmland or forestland.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
III. **AIR QUALITY** - Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? □ □ ● ● ●

b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? □ ● ● □ ●

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? □ □ ● ● ●

d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? □ □ ● ● ●

e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? □ □ ● ● ●

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Discuss conformity with the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management Plan, if applicable):

III a) The project will not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the South Coast Air Quality Management Plan, because the proposed uses do not exceed the established air quality thresholds. Traffic increase will be minimal due to the unmanned nature of the proposed use, therefore no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measure is deemed necessary.

III b) The project will not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation, because the proposed use does not exceed thresholds of concern as established by the District. However, Air Quality Dust Control, Construction, and Coating Restriction Plans shall be required as mitigation measures to limit fugitive dust and regulate construction activities. Upon completion, the project area of the site will be paved which will mean little or no wind-blown dust or particulate matter will leave the site. The equipment proposed for this project is deemed incapable of generating long term criteria pollutant and no Green House Gas (GHG) emissions are anticipated.
III c) The project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors), because the proposed use does not exceed established thresholds of concern. The equipment proposed for this project does not generate criteria pollutants and the minimal energy consumption of the project clearly will not approach the threshold of potentially significant Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions. Therefore no impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

III d) The project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, because there are no identified concentrations of substantial pollutants and the project is not located within ¼ mile of a use considered a sensitive receptor.

III e) The project will not create odors affecting a substantial number of people because there are no identified potential uses that will result in the production of objectionable odors.

Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.

**MM# Mitigation Measures**

**III-1 Air Quality – Dust Control Plan.** The developer shall submit to County Planning a Dust Control Plan (DCP) consistent with SCAQMD guidelines and a letter agreeing to include in any construction contracts and/or subcontracts a requirement that the contractors adhere to the requirements of the DCP. The DCP shall include these elements to reduce dust production:

a. Exposed soil shall be kept continually moist through a minimum of twice daily waterings to reduce fugitive dust during all grading and construction activities.

b. Street sweeping shall be conducted when visible soil accumulations occur along site access roadways to remove dirt dropped by construction vehicles.

c. Site access driveways and adjacent streets shall be washed daily, if there are visible signs of any dirt track-out at the conclusion of any workday.

d. Tires of vehicles will be washed before the vehicle leaves the project site and enters a paved road.

e. Any truck hauling dirt away from the site shall be covered.

f. During high wind conditions (i.e., wind speeds exceeding 25 mph), areas with disturbed soil shall be watered hourly and activities on unpaved surfaces shall be terminated until wind speeds no longer exceed 25 mph.

g. Storage piles that are to be left in place for more than three working days shall either be sprayed with a non-toxic soil binder, or covered with plastic or revegetated.

[Mitigation Measure III-1]
III-2 Air Quality – Construction Mitigation Plan. Developer shall submit written verification that all construction contracts and sub-contracts for the project contain provisions that require adherence to the following standards to reduce impacts to air quality. During construction, each contractor and subcontractor shall implement the following, whenever feasible:

a. Suspend use of all construction equipment operations during second stage smog alerts. For daily forecast, call (800) 367-4710 (San Bernardino and Riverside counties).

b. Trucks/equipment shall not be left idling on site for periods in excess of 10 minutes.

c. Provide temporary traffic control during all phases of construction.

d. Substitute diesel-powered equipment with electric and gasoline-powered equipment.

e. Onsite electrical power hook-ups shall be provided for electric construction tools to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electronic generators.

f. Install storm water control systems to prevent mud deposition onto paved areas during construction.

g. Contractors shall use low sulfur fuel for stationary construction equipment as required by AQMD Rules 431.1 and 431.2 to reduce the release of undesirable emissions.

[Mitigation Measure III-2]

III-3 Air Quality – Coating Restrictions Plan. The developer shall submit a letter agreeing to these Coating Restrictions and to include in any construction contracts and/or subcontracts a requirement that the contractors adhere to these requirements. These shall include, but are not be limited to:

a. Architectural coatings with Reactive Organic Compounds (ROC) shall not have a content greater than 100 g/l.

b. Architectural coating volume shall not exceed the significance threshold for ROG, which is 75 lbs./day and the combined daily ROC volume of architectural coatings and asphalt paving shall not exceed the significance threshold for ROC of 75 lbs. per day.

c. High-Volume, Low Pressure (HVLP) spray guns will be used to apply coatings.

[Mitigation Measure III-3]
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

a) Have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?

c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc...) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan?

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located in the Biological Resources Overlay or contains habitat for any species listed in the California Natural Diversity Database ☒):

IV a) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, because possible impact to the biological resources is deemed minimal due to the site's considerable prior disturbance on the eastern portion of the site, and unmanned nature of the project.

A Biological Resources Impact Analysis prepared by Michael Brandman Associates finds that the site is not a suitable habitat for any sensitive plant or wildlife species. Based on a site survey and literature review, the study finds no jurisdictional waters or wetlands present on or in the vicinity of the project site. The study concludes that no protected nesting birds are anticipated to be directly or indirectly impacted. Therefore, no potentially adverse biological impact is anticipated.
IV b) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service because no such biological resources, riparian habitat or sensitive natural community are identified on site as indicated in the project specific Biological Resources Impact Analysis prepared by Michael Brandman Associates.

IV c) This project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means because the project is not located within an identified protected wetland as indicated in the project specific Biological Resources Impact Analysis prepared by Michael Brandman Associates.

IV d) This project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites, because as indicated in the project specific Biological Resources Impact Analysis prepared by Michael Brandman Associates, no resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or wildlife corridors have been identified.

IV e) This project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources because the proposed project site has been substantially disturbed with grading which along with highway construction and local road improvement activities have rendered any possible impact less than likely in this area.

IV f) This project will not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plan because no such plan has been identified on this project site.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?

c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if the project is located in the Cultural □ or Paleontologic □ Resources overlays or cite results of cultural resource review):

V a) This project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource, because there are no such resources that have been identified in the vicinity of the project. To further reduce the potential for impacts, a precautionary mitigation shall be added to the project conditions that requires the developer to contact the County Museum for determination of appropriate excavation and recovery actions mitigation measures, if any finds are made during project construction.

V b) This project will not cause a substantial adverse change to an archaeological resource, because there are no such resources that have been identified in the vicinity of the project. To further reduce the potential for impacts, a precautionary mitigation shall be added to the project conditions that requires the developer to contact the County Museum for determination of appropriate excavation and recovery actions, if any finds are made during project construction.

V c) This project will not directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, because there are no such resources that have been identified in the vicinity of the project. To further reduce the potential for impacts, a precautionary mitigation shall be added to the project conditions that requires the developer to contact the County Museum for determination of appropriate excavation and recovery actions, if any finds are made during project construction.

V d) This project will not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries, because there are no such burial grounds that have been identified in the vicinity of the project. If any human remains are discovered, during construction of this project, the developer is required to contact the County Coroner and County Museum for determination of appropriate excavation and recovery actions; and a Native American representative, if the remains are determined to be of Native American origin.
Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated. As a precautionary measure to further reduce any potential for impacts, the following requirement will apply:

**MM# Mitigation Measures**

**V-1 Cultural Resources.** The “developer” shall prepare, submit for review and obtain approval of a letter agreeing to adhere to the following requirements and to include in any construction contracts/subcontracts a requirement that project contractors adhere to the following requirements:

- If archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately until written clearance by County Planning is provided indicating that satisfactory resource excavation and recovery has been implemented. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record the find and recommend appropriate action. The developer shall implement any such additional action to the satisfaction of County Planning and the County Museum.
- If human remains are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, the San Bernardino County Coroner shall be contacted within 24 hours of the find. If the remains or cultural artifacts are determined to be of Native American origin, the local Native American representative shall also be notified.

[Mitigation Measure V-1]
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:
   i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map Issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

   ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

   iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

   iv) Landslides?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 181-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

   \[ \square \quad \square \quad \times \quad \square \]

SUBSTANTIATION (Check \[ \square \] if project is located in the Geologic Hazards Overlay District):

VI a) (i-iv) The project will not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving; i) rupture of a known earthquake fault, ii) strong seismic ground shaking, iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction or iv) Landslides, because there are no such geologic hazards identified in the immediate vicinity of the project site. The mono-eucalyptus shall be reviewed and conditioned by County Building & Safety Division; and subsequently constructed with appropriate seismic standards.

VI b) The project will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil because the scope of construction activities of the wireless facility proposed will not require further substantial disturbance of the site.

VI c) The project is not identified as being located on a geologic unit or soil that has been identified as being unstable or having the potential to result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse.
VI d) The project site is not located in an area that has been identified as having the potential for expansive soils; therefore it will not create substantial risks to life or property.

VI e) The proposed development will not have wastewater disposal needs; therefore no significant impact is anticipated.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS - Would the project:

   a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

   

   b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

SUBSTANTIATION (Check ☐ if project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay):

VIIa, b) On December 6, 2011, the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors adopted the County Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Reduction Plan. As discussed in Air Quality section of this document, the proposed project’s primary contribution to air emissions is attributable to construction activities. Project construction shall not result in (GHG) emissions except from the following construction related sources: (1) construction equipment emissions and (2) emissions from construction workers personal vehicles traveling to and from the construction site. Construction-related GHG emissions vary depending on the level of activity, length of the construction period, specific construction operations, types of equipment, and number of personnel. The Air Quality – Construction Mitigation condition will address the air quality and GHG emission concerns for construction activities including equipment and trucks visiting the site.

The primary emissions that would result from the proposed project occur as carbon dioxide (CO₂) from gasoline and diesel combustion, with more limited vehicle tailpipe emissions of nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄), as well as other GHG emissions related to vehicle cooling systems. Although construction emissions are a one-time event, GHG emissions such as CO₂ can persist in the atmosphere.

Although it is recognized that small increases in GHG emissions associated with construction and operation of the proposed project would contribute to regional increases in GHG emissions, the project’s anticipated GHG emissions will not exceed the County’s established thresholds and standards for determining whether a project’s GHG emissions are significant.

Once built and operational, this project will be an unmanned site, requiring only periodic maintenance trips. The project must adhere with the standard requirements contained within the GHG Emissions Reduction Plan. For these reasons, it is unlikely that this project would impede the state’s ability to meet the reduction targets of AB32. Therefore, any impact in this area of concern will be less than significant.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

d) Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?
SUBSTANTIATION

VIII a) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, because the use proposed is not anticipated to involve such activities. If such uses are proposed on-site in the future, they will be subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department, and in some instances, to additional land use review.

VIII b) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment, because any proposed use or construction activity that might use hazardous materials is subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department.

VIII c) The project uses will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school, because the project does not propose the use of hazardous materials and all existing and proposed schools are more than one-quarter mile away from the project site. If such uses are proposed in the future on this site, they will be subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department and in some instances additional land use review.

VIII d) The project site is not included on a list of hazardous materials sites.

VIII e) The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a public airport. The project is within Airport Safety Review Overlay 4 (AR4), however the project has been reviewed by Naval Air Systems Command which has determined that the project will not have any military mission impacts. Therefore, any potential impact in this area of concern will be less than significant.

VIII f) The project site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of a private airstrip. The project is within Airport Safety Review Overlay 4 (AR4), however the project has been reviewed by Naval Air Systems Command which has determined that the project will not have any military mission impacts. Therefore, any potential impact in this area of concern will be less than significant.

VIII g) The project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, because the project has adequate access.

VIII h) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, because building drawings shall be submitted to County Fire for review and approval. Therefore construction shall adhere to all applicable standards and requirements as conditioned by County Fire. The much needed coverage in the area will contribute to better communication overall and better public safety by increasing access to emergency services when needed. Therefore, no potential adverse impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.
### IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure that would impede or redirect flood flows?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUBSTANTIATION

IX a) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, because the project’s unmanned facility does not involve use of water or water discharge systems. Temporary watering needs for the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees shall be serviced by offsite servicing contractors with mobile watering capability, and is anticipated to be minimal in nature. A minimal amount of water will be used to establish the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees. Upon establishment of these trees, no additional water is anticipated.

IX b) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level because the project’s unmanned facility does not involve use of water. Temporary watering needs for the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees shall be serviced by offsite servicing contractors with mobile watering capability, and is anticipated to be minimal in nature.

IX c) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site because only minimal grading will be associated with project construction. There are no rivers or streams on site. The potential impact in this area is deemed to be minimal and less than significant.

IX d) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site because no river or stream has been identified on the project site.

IX e) The project will not create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff because no runoff water will be generated by this project.

IX f) The project will not otherwise substantially degrade water quality, because appropriate measures for water quality protection and erosion control have been required.

IX g) The project will not place unprotected housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map because the project does not involve residential housing development.

IX h) The project will not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows because the project is not identified to be located in this hazard area. Additional drainage requirements may be required as part of conditions of approval after a complete improvement plans and profiles have been submitted for review.

IX i) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam, because the project site is not within any identified path of a potential inundation flow that might result in the event of a dam or levee failure or that might occur from a river, stream, lake or sheet flow situation.

IX j) The project will not be impacted by inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow, because the project is not adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami nor is the project site in the path of any potential mudflow.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
X. **LAND USE AND PLANNING** - Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>◯</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>◯</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>◯</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

X a) The project will not physically divide an established community, because the project is a logical and orderly extension of the planned land uses, therefore no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

X b) The project will not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect, because the project is consistent with all applicable land use policies and regulations of the County Code and General Plan.

X c) The project will not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan, because there is no habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan within the area surrounding the project site and no habitat conservation lands are required to be purchased as mitigation for the proposed project.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XI. **MINERAL RESOURCES** - Would the project:

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?  
☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?  
☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check ☐ if project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay):

XI a) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state because there are no identified important mineral resources on the project site.

XI b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan, because there are no identified locally important mineral resources on the project site.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XII. **NOISE** - Would the project:

   a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

   b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?

   c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

   d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

   e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

   f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check if the project is located in the Noise Hazard Overlay District or is subject to severe noise levels according to the General Plan Noise Element):

XII a-c) The proposed project will not include uses that will exceed San Bernardino County Noise Standards and those of County Development Code. Vehicular noise due to increased number of occasional service trips to and from the proposed use will be minimal based on the scope and nature of the use proposed. Therefore, no significant impact is anticipated in this area and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

XII d) The project may generate substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project due to construction activities which may include excavations, grading, and building erection/modification on the project site. Mitigation measures to reduce the impact of these temporary construction activities will be incorporated into the "Conditions of Approval" to reduce impacts to less than significant.
XII e) The project is not located within an airport land use plan area or within 2 miles of a public/public use airport.

XII f) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip.

Possible significance adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as conditions of project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant.

**MM# Mitigation Measures**

XII-1 **Noise Mitigation.** The “developer” shall submit for review and obtain approval of an agreement letter that stipulates that all construction contracts/subcontracts contain as a requirement that the following noise attenuation measures be implemented:

a) Exterior construction activities shall be limited between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. There shall be no exterior construction activities on Sundays or National Holidays.

b) Interior construction activities may occur on any day and any time provided they comply with the County noise standards. (SBCC 83.01.080).

c) Construction equipment shall be muffled per manufacturer’s specifications.

d) All stationary construction equipment shall be placed in a manner so that emitted noise is directed away from sensitive receptors nearest the project site.

[Mitigation Measure XI-1]
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:

a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorpor</th>
<th>Less than Significant</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SUBSTANTIATION

XIII a) The project will not induce population growth in the area either directly or indirectly because the project will only expand specific cellular use capabilities in the region. The project is not proposing any new residential development and will make use of the existing infrastructure, therefore, no significant impact is anticipated.

XIII b) The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing because the project's proposed use is for a mono-eucalyptus cell tower; therefore no significant impact is anticipated.

XIII c) The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of people because the project site is within a previously disturbed parcel, therefore it will not necessitate the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

- Fire Protection?
- Police Protection?
- Schools?
- Parks?
- Other Public Facilities?

SUBSTANTIATION

XIV a) The proposed project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks or other public facilities because the proposed development is expected to contribute to overall business tax revenues to provide a source of funding for such governmental facilities and public services which is deemed sufficient to offset any demand increases by this project. Therefore, no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XV. RECREATION

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

SUBSTANTIATION

XV a) This project will not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated because the project does not involve residential development and will not cause impacts associated with an increase in populations.

XV b) This project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment because the proposed development will not result in an increased demand for recreational facilities, therefore no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:

a) Cause an increase in traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?

b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?

e) Result in inadequate emergency access?

f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?

g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

SUBSTANTIATION

XVI a-b) The propose project will not cause an increase in traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections because the periodic maintenance trips to the site and the unmanned nature of the wireless facility's operation will contribute minimally to traffic generation. County Traffic Division has reviewed and determined that the minimal increase in traffic will fall short of County thresholds of impact.

XVI c) The project will not result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks, because there are no airports in the vicinity of the project and there is no anticipated notable impact on air traffic volumes by passengers or freight generated by the proposed use and no new air traffic facilities are proposed.

XVI d) The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses, because the project site is adjacent to an established road, and has adequate physical access with appropriate sight distance and properly controlled intersections.
XVI e) The project will not result in inadequate emergency access, because the project will be conditioned to provide adequate access points, designed to accommodate emergency vehicles, therefore no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

XVI f) The project will not result in inadequate parking capacity, because the project involves minimal, intermittent service and maintenance visits only; therefore, no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

XVI g) The project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks), because the scope and nature of the proposed project will not add any substantial transportation needs and/or burden to the existing infrastructure, therefore, no impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:

a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

f) Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?  
☐ ☐ ☑ ☐

SUBSTANTIATION

XVII a) The proposed project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board because the project will not have any direct wastewater needs. The project will neither connect nor contribute to any wastewater system. Therefore no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

XVII b) The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, because the project will have no substantial direct water or wastewater needs. Temporary watering needs for the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees shall be serviced by offsite servicing contractors with mobile watering capability, and is anticipated to be minimal in nature. A minimal amount of water will be used to establish the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees. Upon establishment of these trees, no additional water is anticipated. Therefore no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.
XVII c) The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities that cause significant environmental effects because the project will not contribute to generation of additional storm water and/or runoffs due to the project’s minimal site disturbance and/or redirection of natural topography, or its ongoing unmanned operations.

XVII d) The proposed project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve its operation from existing entitlements and resources the project will not require any water use. No water line extension is required, applied for or approved. Temporary watering needs for the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees shall be serviced by offsite servicing contractors with mobile watering capability, and is anticipated to be minimal in nature. A minimal amount of water will be used to establish the required, newly planted Eucalyptus trees. Upon establishment of these trees, no additional water is anticipated. Therefore no significant impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

XVII e) The proposed project will not have any wastewater needs due to the nature of its development an unmanned operation. Any future development or expansion that will demonstrate such needs will be subject to DEHS’ approval; therefore no impact is anticipated and no mitigation measures are deemed necessary.

XVII f) The proposed project will not have solid waste disposal needs due to the nature of the activities typical of wireless facilities.

XVII g) The proposed project is required to comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. No solid waste needs are anticipated with the proposed wireless facility.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause Substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly Or indirectly?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

SUBSTANTIATION

XVIII a) The project will not have the potential to significantly degrade the overall quality of the region’s environment or substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory because any possible impact to the biological resources is deemed minimal due to the site’s considerable prior disturbance. A Biological Resources Impact Analysis prepared by Michael Brandman Associates finds that the site is not a suitable habitat for any sensitive plant or wildlife species. Based on a site survey and literature review, the study finds no jurisdictional waters or wetlands present on or in the vicinity of the project site. The study concludes that no protected nesting birds are anticipated to be directly or indirectly impacted. Therefore, no potentially adverse biological impact is anticipated.

The equipment proposed for this project is deemed incapable of generating long term criteria pollutant and no Green House Gas (GHG) emissions are anticipated. The Air Quality – Construction Mitigation condition will address the GHG emission concerns for construction activities including equipment and trucks visiting the site.

Additionally, there are no identified historic or prehistoric resources identified on this site. If any archaeological or paleontological resources are identified during land disturbance and/or project construction, the project is conditioned to stop and identify appropriate authorities, which will properly record and/or remove for classification any such finds.

XVIII b) The project does not have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable. The other project sites in the area to which this project would add cumulative impacts have either existing or planned infrastructure that is sufficient for all planned uses. These sites are capable of absorbing such uses without generating any cumulatively significant impacts.
XVIII c) The project will not have other environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly, as there are no such impacts identified by the studies conducted for this project or identified by review of the design of the proposed project. The project will be conditioned to ensure that all necessary mitigation measures are followed prior to occupancy.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XIX. MITIGATION MEASURES
(Any mitigation measures, which are not ‘self-monitoring shall have a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program prepared and adopted at time of project approval)

SELF MONITORING MITIGATION MEASURES: (Condition compliance will be verified by existing procedure)

MM# Mitigation Measures

I-1 Aesthetics. The developer shall incorporate camouflaging of the tower and landscaping to screen the equipment shelter and the lease area to further minimize any potential impact to less than significant. The project shall be conditioned as to the following:
   a. The mono-eucalyptus shall be designed to have a natural, tree-like appearance in shape, color and texture, and shall be subject to review and approval by County Planning for this conditional approval and during the condition compliance stage.
   b. The camouflaged mono-eucalyptus shall utilize simulated tree trunk, branches, and leaves while forming a natural tree-like appearance.
   c. The equipment shelter shall be installed in the location indicated on the conditionally approved site plan and shall be painted in non-reflective, neutral color to blend with its immediate natural surroundings. To ensure complete blending of the facility with the immediate surroundings, a color and material pallet shall be submitted for review and approval during the condition compliance stage of this land use review for the mono-eucalyptus and equipment shelter prior to issuance of building permits.
   d. Three field-dug, mature Eucalyptus trees shall be planted in the immediate vicinity of the proposed mono-eucalyptus as depicted on the approved site plan.
   [Mitigation Measure I-1]

III-1 Air Quality - Dust Control Plan. The developer shall submit to County Planning a Dust Control Plan (DCP) consistent with SCAQMD guidelines and a letter agreeing to include in any construction contracts and/or subcontracts a requirement that the contractors adhere to the requirements of the DCP. The DCP shall include these elements to reduce dust production:
   a. Exposed soil shall be kept continually moist through a minimum of twice daily waterings to reduce fugitive dust during all grading and construction activities.
   b. Street sweeping shall be conducted when visible soil accumulations occur along site access roadways to remove dirt dropped by construction vehicles.
   c. Site access driveways and adjacent streets shall be washed daily, if there are visible signs of any dirt track-out at the conclusion of any workday.
   d. Tires of vehicles will be washed before the vehicle leaves the project site and enters a paved road.
   e. Any truck hauling dirt away from the site shall be covered
   f. During high wind conditions (i.e., wind speeds exceeding 25 mph), areas with disturbed soil shall be watered hourly and activities on unpaved surfaces shall be terminated until wind speeds no longer exceed 25 mph.
   g. Storage piles that are to be left in place for more than three working days shall either be sprayed with a non-toxic soil binder, or covered with plastic or revegetated.
   [Mitigation Measure III-1]
III-2 **Air Quality – Construction Mitigation Plan.** Developer shall submit written verification that all construction contracts and sub-contracts for the project contain provisions that require adherence to the following standards to reduce impacts to air quality. During construction, each contractor and subcontractor shall implement the following, whenever feasible:

a. Suspend use of all construction equipment operations during second stage smog alerts. For daily forecast, call (800) 367-4710 (San Bernardino and Riverside counties).

b. Trucks/equipment shall not be left idling on site for periods in excess of 10 minutes.

c. Provide temporary traffic control during all phases of construction.

d. Substitute diesel-powered equipment with electric and gasoline-powered equipment.

e. Onsite electrical power hook-ups shall be provided for electric construction tools to eliminate the need for diesel-powered electronic generators.

f. Install storm water control systems to prevent mud deposition onto paved areas during construction.

g. Contractors shall use low sulfur fuel for stationary construction equipment as required by AQMD Rules 431.1 and 431.2 to reduce the release of undesirable emissions.

[Mitigation Measure III-2]

III-3 **Air Quality – Coating Restrictions Plan.** The developer shall submit a letter agreeing to these Coating Restrictions and to include in any construction contracts and/or subcontracts a requirement that the contractors adhere to these requirements. These shall include, but are not be limited to:

a. Architectural coating volume shall not exceed the significance threshold for ROG, which is 75 lbs./day and the combined daily ROC volume of architectural coatings and asphalt paving shall not exceed the significance threshold for ROC of 75 lbs. per day.

b. Architectural coatings with Reactive Organic Compounds (ROC) shall not have a content greater than 100 g/l.

c. High-Volume, Low Pressure (HVLP) spray guns will be used to apply coatings.

[Mitigation Measure III-3]

V-1 **Cultural Resources.** The “developer” shall prepare, submit for review and obtain approval of a letter agreeing to adhere to the following requirements and to include in any construction contracts/subcontracts a requirement that project contractors adhere to the following requirements:

If archaeological, paleontological and/or historical resources are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, all work in that area shall cease immediately until written clearance by County Planning is provided indicating that satisfactory resource excavation and recovery has been implemented. A qualified expert (e.g. archaeologist or paleontologist), as determined by County Planning in consultation with the County Museum shall be hired to record the find and recommend appropriate action. The developer shall implement any such additional action to the satisfaction of County Planning and the County Museum. If human remains are uncovered during ground disturbing activities, the San Bernardino County Coroner shall be contacted within 24 hours of the find. If the remains or cultural artifacts are determined to be of Native American origin, the local Native American representative shall also be notified.

[Mitigation Measure V-1]
XII-1 **Noise Mitigation.** The “developer” shall submit for review and obtain approval of an agreement letter that stipulates that all construction contracts/subcontracts contain as a requirement that the following noise attenuation measures be implemented:

a. Exterior construction activities shall be limited between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. There shall be no exterior construction activities on Sundays or National Holidays.

b. Interior construction activities may occur on any day and any time provided they comply with the County noise standards. (SBCC 83.01.080).

c. Construction equipment shall be muffled per manufacturer’s specifications.

d. All stationary construction equipment shall be placed in a manner so that emitted noise is directed away from sensitive receptors nearest the project site.

[Mitigation Measure XI-1]
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