SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

This form and the descriptive information in the application package constitute the contents of Initial Study pursuant to County Guidelines under Ordinance 3040 and Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

APN: 0630-062-17
APPLICANT: MONICA COLEMAN & KATE PIERSON
COMMUNITY: LANDERS/39TH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT
LOCATION: BOTKIN ROAD AND ROAD RUNNER LANE
          NORTHEAST CORNER
PROJECT No: P201300206/CUP
STAFF: REUBEN J. ARCEO
REP(S): SAME AS APPLICANT
PROPOSAL: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO RECOGNIZE AN EXISTING 6 SPACE VINTAGE AIRSTREAM MOTEL/CAMPGROUND, POOL, 400 SQ.FT. CARTAKER RESIDENCE AND 225 SQ.FT. GUEST SERVICES SHED ON PORTION OF 5 ACRES.

USGS Quad: LANDERS NORTH
T, R, Section: T2N R6E Section 6
ULID: HV/RL
Planning Area: Homestead Valley Community Plan
Overlays:

PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Lead agency: County of San Bernardino
Land Use Services Department – Planning Division
385 N. Arrowhead Avenue
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0187

Contact person: Reuben J. Arceo, Contract Planner
Phone No: 909 387-4373
E-mail: reuben.arceo@lus.sbcounty.gov
Fax No: 909 387-3223

Project Sponsor: Monica Coleman & Katie Pierson
Phone No: (845) 688-7200
Phone No: (845) 679-9554
E-mail: monicanation@gmail.com

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The proposed Project is Conditional Use Permit to recognize an existing six (6) space vintage airstream motel/campground, above ground pool, 400 square foot caretaker residence, and 225 square foot guest services shed on a portion of five (5) acres. The site is located within the unincorporated community of Landers, within the Homestead Valley Community Plan, and zoned Homestead Valley Community Plan, Rural Living (HV/RL) as noted in Figure 1. The Project site is located on Botkin Road and Road Runner Lane, Northeast corner, referenced as 58380 Botkin Road as shown in Figure 2. Access to the site is primarily from Botkin Road although there is available access from Applegate Way, an unpaved road lying north of the site. Properties adjacent to the site in all directions are zoned HV/RL.

ENVIRONMENTAL/EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS:

According to the General Biological Resources Assessment prepared by RCA Associates LLC., the Project site is at an elevation of 2800 feet (MSL). Soils are primarily sandy loam with gravel present. No water resources are on the site and the USGS Landers Quadrangle (1972) does not shown any blue-line channels on the site or in the immediate surrounding area. No sensitive wildlife habitats, sensitive wildlife species, or wildlife corridors are associated with the site. Existing single-family dwellings are located in the surrounding area to the north, east and northwest with vacant land to the west and south. The fenced area where the existing trailers are located supports limited native vegetation with the area outside of the fenced area supporting a creosote bush community.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA</th>
<th>EXISTING LAND USE</th>
<th>LAND USE ZONING DISTRICT / OVERLAYS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Project Site</td>
<td>Homestead Valley Community Plan, Rural Living (HV/RL)-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Homestead Valley Community Plan, Rural Living (HV/RL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Single-family residence</td>
<td>Homestead Valley Community Plan, Rural Living (HV/RL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>Single-family residence</td>
<td>Homestead Valley Community Plan, Rural Living (HV/RL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Homestead Valley Community Plan, Rural Living (HV/RL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other public agencies whose approval may be required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):

**Federal:** None

**State of California:** California Department of Fish and Wildlife;

**County of San Bernardino:** Land Use Services – Building and Safety, Code Enforcement, Land Development – Road & Drainage; Public Health – Environmental Health Services; Public Works – Surveyor, County Fire
**EVALUATION FORMAT:**

This initial study is prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. This format of the study is presented as follows. The Project is evaluated based upon its effect on 18 major categories of environmental factors. Each factor is reviewed by responding to a series of questions regarding the impact of the Project on each element of the overall factor. The Initial Study Checklist provides a formatted analysis that provides a determination of the effect of the Project on the factor and its elements. The effect of the Project is categorized into one of the following four categories of possible determinations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact with Mitigation</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Substantiation is then provided to justify each determination. One of the four following conclusions is then provided as a summary of the analysis for each of the major environmental factors.

1. Therefore, no impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

2. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.

3. Possible significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated and the following mitigation measures are required as a condition of Project approval to reduce these impacts to a level below significant. The required mitigation measures are: (List mitigation measures)

4. Significant adverse impacts have been identified or anticipated. An Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required to evaluate these impacts, which are (Listing the impacts requiring analysis within the EIR).

At the end of the analysis the required mitigation measures are restated and categorized as being either self-monitoring or as requiring a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this Project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- [ ] Aesthetics
- [ ] Biological Resources
- [ ] Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- [ ] Land Use / Planning
- [ ] Population & Housing
- [ ] Transportation & Traffic
- [ ] Agriculture & Forestry Resources
- [ ] Cultural Resources
- [ ] Hazards & Hazardous Materials
- [ ] Mineral Resources
- [ ] Public Services
- [ ] Utilities / Service Systems
- [ ] Air Quality
- [ ] Geology / Soils
- [ ] Hydrology / Water Quality
- [ ] Noise
- [ ] Recreation
- [ ] Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation, the following finding is made:

- ☑ The proposed Project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

- [ ] Although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the Project have been made by or agreed to by the Project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

- [ ] The proposed Project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

- [ ] The proposed Project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.

- [ ] Although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed Project, nothing further is required.

Signature (Prepared by): Reuben J. Aroso, Contact Planner

Date: March 24, 2015

Signature: Dave Prusch, Supervising Planner

Date: March 24, 2015
I. AESTHETICS - Would the project

a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? □ □ ☒ ☒

b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? □ □ ☒ ☒

c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? □ □ ☒ ☒

d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? □ □ ☒ ☒

SUBSTANTIATION (Check ☒ if project is located within the view-shed of any Scenic Route listed in the General Plan): State Route 247

The Project site is not located within a Scenic Overlay Area or Zone. The existing Airstream motel operates six (6) airstream approximately ten feet by twenty feet in size or approximately 211 square feet. The six eight foot high trailers are spaced in a semi-circular footprint on the parcel. Distances between each of the six trailers provides adequate spacing for parking and outdoor activities for patrons. The “low-key” features of the operation has no impact to vistas during any 24 hour period.

l a) No Impact. The proposed Project is located approximately 1.5 miles from Belfield road and situated within an undeveloped area of Landers. The site is surrounded by single-family dwellings and vacant parcels. There are no known scenic vistas or other natural visual venues within any distance of the site.

l b) No Impact Within Chapter 27: Visual & Aesthetic Review, the Caltrans Standard Environmental Reference states that “[w]hile there is no comprehensive list of specific features that automatically qualify as scenic resources, certain characteristics can be identified which contribute to the determination of a scenic resource. Following is a partial list of visual qualities and conditions which, if present, may indicate the presence of a scenic resource:

- A tree that displays outstanding features of form or age;
- A landmark tree or a group of distinctive trees accented in a setting as a focus of attention;
- An unusual planting that has historical value;
- A unique, massive rock formation;
- An historic building that is a rare example of its period, style, or design, or which has special architectural features and details of importance (A historic building, however, should be evaluated by a staff Architectural Historian as part of the historic resources studies);
- A feature specifically identified in applicable planning documents as having special scenic value;
- A unique focus or a feature integrated with its surroundings or overlapping other scenic elements to form a panorama;
- An exceptional example of proportion, balance, rhythm, and variety - all of these are amenable attractions of a visual scene.
- A vegetative or structural feature that has local, regional, or statewide importance."

The proposed Project will not damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway. The site is currently occupied by six airstream trailers spaced and specific distance intervals from each other. The site contains no historic buildings. The site is flat; there are no rock outcroppings. The small quantity of indigenous bush and Cholla existing on the site will be retained wherever possible and incorporated into the landscaping. The Project proposes to retain native vegetation outside the parking lot footprints. Compliance with these conditions of approval will reduce damage to scenic resources.

l c) **No Impact.** The proposed Project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings because the development will be conditioned to preserve the existing visual character of the area. The proposed Project is located in a commercial district along Highway 247 in Homestead Valley. The area is characterized by low-key development consisting of six air-stream trailers and scattered residential structures interspersed by vacant commercial lots. Many of the existing uses are adjacent to Botkin Road and, have no landscaped setback areas, have non-conforming signage, and lack road improvements to limit access to driveways only. Development of the site will not introduce new structures and will not result in the site becoming visually incompatible or unexpected as the site currently functions.

l d) **No Impact.** The proposed Project will not create no new source of light. Hookups existing for electrical, water and sewer and no new lighting is proposed. Any future proposed on site lighting must comply with the Glare and Outdoor Lighting – Valley and Desert Region Code requirements, which include shielding to prevent light trespass and protect the night sky. Adherence with these requirements is mandatory per the County Development Code and will ensure that the Project will not create a new source of substantial light or glare.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
Figure 2
Site Road Intersection
II. AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES - In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state’s inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? □ □ □ ☒

b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? □ □ □ ☒

c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))? □ □ □ ☒

d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? □ □ □ ☒

e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest land? □ □ □ ☒

SUBSTANTIATION (Check ☐ if project is located in the Important Farmlands Overlay):

II a-e) No Impact. The subject property is outside the survey boundary of the San Bernardino County Important Farmland 2008, Sheet 2 of 2, a map prepared by the California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
III. AIR QUALITY - Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? □ □ □ ☒

b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? □ □ □ ☒

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions, which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? □ □ □ ☒

d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? □ □ □ ☒

e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? □ □ □ ☒

SUBSTANTIATION (Discuss conformity with the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management Plan, if applicable):

III a) Less Than Significant Impact. The South Desert portion of the County of San Bernardino is part of the Mojave Desert Air Basin (MDAB) and the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (MDAQMD). Under the Federal Clean Air Act, the MDAQMD has adopted a variety of attainment plans for a variety of non-attainment pollutants. The Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the MDAB sets forth a comprehensive program that will lead the MDAB into compliance with all federal and state air quality standards. The AQMP control measures and related emission reduction estimates are based upon emissions projections for a future development scenario derived from land use, population, and employment characteristics defined in consultation with local governments. Accordingly, conformance with the AQMP for development projects is determined by demonstrating compliance with local land use plans and/or population projections. The proposed Project is consistent with the underlying General Plan designation on the property.

This Project will not contribute to the amount of greenhouse gases in the environment. The trip generation produced by the use is approximately 8 to 14 trips. However, under CEQA, an individual project's GHG emissions will generally not result in direct significant impacts. This is because the climate change issue is global in nature. Given the small-scale of the proposed Project and that the proposed Project's air pollutant emissions during all phases of the Project will not exceed operational emission thresholds, when compared to the overall environment, the proposed Project's direct and cumulative GHG emissions are found to have no impact. For more information, see section VII. No long term cumulative green-house gas impacts will result in that the project's operational density will not increase.
III b) **No Impact.** The Project will not violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation in that the proposed use does not exceed thresholds of concern. All parking, driveways and common areas are covered with decomposed granite. No construction or improvements are proposed that could produce particulate matter.

III c) **No Impact.** The Project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the Project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors), because the proposed use does not exceed established thresholds of concern. In order to be considered significant, a Project’s air pollutant emissions must exceed the emission thresholds established by the MDAQMD and be inconsistent with growth projections. The Project will not exceed any established thresholds. The current zoning, HV/RL will remain unchanged. The Project is consistent with the growth projections contained in the County General Plan and the Homestead Valley Community Plan.

III d) **No Impact.** The Project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. These sensitive receptors include residences, schools, daycare centers, playgrounds, and medical facilities. The following project types within the specified distance must not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. They include:
- Any industrial project within 1000 feet
- A distribution center (40 or more trucks per day) within 1000 feet
- A major transportation project (50,000 or more vehicles per day) within 1000 feet
- A dry cleaner using perchloroethylene within 500 feet
- A gasoline dispensing facility within 300 feet

The Project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, because there are no identified concentrations of substantial pollutants associated with this Project. The recreational site is not located within a school or other sensitive use.

III e) **No Impact.** According to the CEQA Air Quality Handbook, land uses associated with odor complaints include agricultural operations, wastewater treatment plants, landfills, and certain industrial operations (such as manufacturing uses that produce chemicals, paper, etc.). The Project's six airstream trailers will not produce objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

a) Have substantial adverse effects, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?

b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife or US Fish and Wildlife Service?

c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc…) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?

SUBSTANTIATION (Check if project is located in the Biological Resources Overlay or contains habitat for any species listed in the California Natural Diversity Database □): Desert Tortoise, Burrowing Owl

RCA Associates, LLC. conducted a Focused Desert Tortoise Survey for the property in April 16, 2013 and a follow-up survey on July 24, 2014. The project is located within the known distribution of the desert tortoise. No desert tortoises or tortoise sign (e.g., burrows, scats, etc.) were observed on the site. The species has been documented in the general region and populations have been documented seven miles north of the site (CNDDDB, 2013). The species however is not expected to move on to the site in the future based on the results of the focused surveys. In addition, there were no occupiable burrows suitable for use by burrowing owls on the site or in the zone of influence.

No sensitive wildlife habitats, sensitive wildlife species or wildlife corridors were associated with the site. The fenced area where the existing trailers are located supports limited native vegetation while the area outside of the fenced area supporting a creosote bush community.
IV a) **No Impact.** The project is located within the known distribution of the desert tortoise. The Focused Desert Tortoise Survey found no tortoise or tortoise signs on the site during the field visit for the assessment. The likelihood of Desert Tortoise to occur on site is low due to the fragmented nature of the habitat in the Project area. No activity or occupiable burrows suitable for the Burrowing Owl survey were present on the site.

IV b) **No Impact.** This Project will not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service. No riparian habitat or protected wetlands exist on or near the site.

IV c) **No Impact.** This Project will not have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means, because the Project is not within an identified protected wetland. No riparian habitat or protected wetlands exist on or near the site.

IV d) **No Impact.** This Project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites, because there are no such corridors or nursery sites within or near the Project site. The property is adjacent to State Route 247, is nearby other commercial development, and surrounded by rural residential development on three sides.

IV e) **No Impact.** There are no known sensitive species or habitats on the site. Given the existing operation of the site, no new construction is proposed.

IV f) **No Impact.** This Project will not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan, because no such plan has been adopted in the area of the Project site. The site is within the proposed boundary of the West Mojave Plan, which covers 9.3 million acres in the western portion of the Mojave Desert. Only the BLM amendment of the California Desert Conservation Area of the West Mojave Plan has been approved. The state and local government actions proposed by this interagency habitat conservation plan remain under review.

**No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.**
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project

a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?

b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?

c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?

 Substantiation (Check if the project is located in the Cultural or Paleontologic Resources overlays or cite results of cultural resource review):

V a) No Impact. This Project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource because the Project site is not located on or near any known historical resource, as defined in §15064.5.

V b) No Impact. This Project will not cause a substantial adverse change to an archaeological resource because no resources have been identified on the site and no construction is anticipated given site is currently operating.

V c) No Impact. This Project will not directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, because no resources have been identified on the site. No construction is anticipated given site is currently operating.

V d) No Impact. This Project will not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries, because no such burial grounds are identified on this Project site. No construction is anticipated given site is currently operating.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
VI. **GEOLOGY AND SOILS** - Would the project:

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:

i. Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42

ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?

iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

iv. Landslides?

b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?

d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property?

e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check □ if project is located in the Geologic Hazards Overlay District):

VI ai-iv) **No Impact.** The Project site lies within the western margin of an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone designated by the State of California. No evidence of onsite faulting was found on site. Any future construction or development if proposed shall be reviewed and approved by County Building and Safety with appropriate seismic standards implemented in the structure's construction to insure that structures can endure a seismic event. As no grading no impacts are anticipated since the site is currently operating.

VI b) **No Impact.** The site parking, driveways and common areas are covered with decomposed granite. No grading or top soil will result from the project as the project is existing and in operation.

VI c) **No Impact.** The Project is not identified as being located on a geologic unit or soil that has been identified as being unstable or having the potential to result in on or off site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence,
liquefaction, or collapse. According to the Geotechnical Engineering Services report, the Project is not located in an area that is susceptible to liquefaction or subsidence. Adherence with the standards and requirements in the Building Code for any future design and construction of structures will ensure that any impacts are less than significant. Compliance with the Building Code is mandatory.

VI d) **No Impact.** The Project site is not located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the California Building Code (2001) creating substantial risks to life or property.

VI e) **No Impact.** The site's provides a 2,000 gallon septic tank system. Septic tank seepage pit and waste lines are existing. The Project site shall comply with all conditions from the County Department of Environmental Health Services. As part of the Project's conditions of approval, a soil percolation report shall be submitted to the Department of Health Services prior to the issuance of building permits. The project conditioning includes reference that the existing septic system can be used if the applicant provides certification prior to obtaining building permits from a certified professional engineer (P.E.) or Registered Environmental Health Specialist that the system functions properly, meets code and has the capacity required for the propose project.

**No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.**
VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMMISSIONS – Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

SUBSTANTIATION:

VII a,b) Less Than Significant Impact. As discussed in Section III of this document, the proposed Project is consistent with the underlying General Plan designation on the property.

As mentioned in Section III, the proposed development will not have any impact to Greenhouse Gases either given the minimal trip generation the use produces and absence of any other green-house gas generation on site.

On December 6, 2011, the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors adopted the County Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Reduction Plan. As part of the GHG Plan, sample project sizes that exceed the 3000 Metric Tons of CO₂ equivalents (MTCO₂e) level were established. The Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District threshold for MTCO₂e is 100,000 tons annually.

The Project’s GHG emissions are anticipated to remain well below the established GHG emissions thresholds given the relatively modest scale of the project. The Project proponents must comply with the Performance Standards within the San Bernardino County Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Plan in the event the scale of the project increases in size in the future. It is unlikely that this Project would impede the state’s ability to meet the reduction targets of AB32.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
VIII. **HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS** - Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the Environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

d) Be located on a site, which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?

h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

**SUBSTANTIATION**

VIII a) **No Impact.** The Project does not have the potential to pose a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials, because the Project is not considered a 'hazardous waste generator' as defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Such a hazardous waste generator would routinely transport, use, or dispose of hazardous materials. The operator must submit a Business Emergency/Contingency Plan to the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department.
VIII b) **No Impact.** The Project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment, because any proposed use or construction activity that might use hazardous materials is subject to permit and inspection by the Hazardous Materials Division of the County Fire Department.

VIII c) **No Impact.** The Project uses will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within ¼ mile of an existing or proposed school, because the Project does not propose the use of hazardous materials within ¼ mile of an existing or proposed school.

VIII d) **No Impact.** Based on the Cortese List Data Resources webpage maintained by Cal/EPA, the Project site is not included on the list of hazardous materials sites compiled in accordance with Government Code 65962.5.

VIII e) **No Impact.** The Yucca Valley Airport, the nearest public airport, is approximately 7.5 miles southeast of the Project site. The site is not within the approach/Departure Flight Path of this public airport.

VIII f) **No Impact.** The Landers Airport, the nearest private airport, is approximately 3.8 miles northeast of the Project site. The site is not within the vicinity of Approach/Departure Flight Path of this private airstrip.

VIII g) **No Impact.** The Project will not impair implementation or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, because the Project will not result in any substantial alteration to road design or capacity that would affect evacuation procedures.

VIII h) **No Impact.** The project shall comply with the County's Fire Development Code.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:

| a) | Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements? | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |
| b) | Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level, which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? | | | | | |
| c) | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? | | | | |
| d) | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site? | | | | |
| e) | Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff? | | | | |
| f) | Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? | | | | |
| g) | Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? | | | | |
| h) | Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structure that would impede or redirect flood flows? | | | | |
| i) | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? | | | | |
| j) | Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? | | | | |
SUBSTANTIATION

IX a) **No Impact.** The Project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. The water purveyor is the Big Horn Desert View Water Agency. Water hookups are available for each airstream trailer. The Project site can be served by Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency without the need for a mainline extension. The Project shall be conditioned that the Applicant procures a verification letter from the water agency within its jurisdiction. The letter shall state whether or not water connection and service shall be made available to the Project by the water agency.

IX b) **No Impact.** The Project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. The Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency is required to issue a will serve letter for this parcel.

IX c) **No Impact.** The Project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site, because the Project does not propose any substantial alteration to a drainage pattern, stream or river. The Project is conditioned that a Registered Civil Engineer investigate and design adequate drainage facilities to intercept and conduct the off-site and on-site drainage flows around and through the site in a manner, which will not adversely affect adjacent or downstream properties.

IX d) **No Impact.** The Project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site, because the Project does not propose any substantial alteration to a drainage pattern, stream, or river. All necessary drainage improvements both on and off site have been required as conditions of the construction of the Project as cited in IX c. Review of detailed plans prior to construction is a mandatory requirement and not considered a mitigation measure.

IX e) **No Impact.** All necessary drainage improvements both on- and off-site will be required as conditions of the Project. There will be adequate capacity in the local and regional drainage systems so that downstream properties are not negatively impacted by any increases or changes in volume, velocity or direction of storm water flows originating from or altered by the Project.

IX f) **No Impact.** The Project will not otherwise substantially degrade water quality, because appropriate measures relating to water quality protection, including erosion control measures have been included in the Project design.

IX g) **No Impact.** According to County Public Works, the Project site is located within Flood Zone D according to FEMA Panel Number 7400H dated August 28, 2008. This is not a 100-year flood hazard area. Flood Hazards are undetermined in this area but possible.

IX h) **No Impact.** The Project will not place structures within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows, because the site is not within an identified FEMA designated flood hazard area. (See IX g, above)

IX i) **No Impact.** The Project site is not within any locally identified Flood Plain, so will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam.
(IX j) **No Impact.** The Project will not be impacted by inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow, because the Project is not adjacent to any body of water that has the potential of seiche or tsunami nor is the Project site in the path of any potential mudflow.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
X. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:

a) Physically divide an established community?

b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?

c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?

SUBSTANTIATION

X a) No Impact. The Project will not physically divide an established community because the Project is a logical and orderly extension of the planned land uses and development that are established within the surrounding area. With approval of the Conditional Use Permit, the proposed development will conform to the Homestead Valley Community Plan and the County General Plan and Development Code. The parcel is approximately 5 acres in size and is adjacent to HV/RL zoning districts on all sides.

X b) No Impact. The current General Plan land use designation for the proposed Project area is Homestead Valley Community Plan/Rural Living, a zoning district which provides for a combination of residential uses, campground, parks and playgrounds subject to approval of a Conditional Use Permit.

The proposed Project does not intrude on the rural desert character of the community. The proposed Project is a stand-alone vintage airstream motel and camp ground unique to the area. In addition, the proposed Project is consistent with the HVCP's goal to such uses that is of a size and scale that complements the natural setting, and is compatible with surrounding development, and enhances the rural character. The proposed Project is five (5) acres, and occupies approximately 30 percent of the site.

The analysis contained in this Initial Study Checklist addresses the potential conflicts with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the Project adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. Based on this analysis, the Project is consistent with all applicable land use policies and regulations of the County Code, the General Plan, and the HVCP.

X c) No Impact. The Project is subject to and in conformance with the California Desert Conservation Area Plan (West Mojave Plan). As discussed in the Biological Resources section of this Initial Study Checklist, with implementation of the mitigation measures no impacts to biological resources were identified. Therefore, the Project's activities will be in compliance with the West Mojave Plan, which covers 9.3 million acres in the western portion of the Mojave Desert.

Therefore, no significant adverse impacts are identified or anticipated with adherence to mitigation measures contained in the biological resources section.
XI. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check ☑ if project is located within the Mineral Resource Zone Overlay):

XI a) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project site is located within the MRZ-4 Mineral Resource Zone. There are no known mineral resources that would result in the loss of availability at this time. The Project is consistent with the land use district, surrounding, and adjacent properties. No mining has been, or is, occurring on site.

XI b) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan, because there are no identified locally important mineral resources on the Project site.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required
XII. **NOISE** - Would the project:

a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

**SUBSTANTIATION** (Check if the project is located in the Noise Hazard Overlay District ☐ or is subject to severe noise levels according to the General Plan Noise Element ☐):

XII a) **No Impact.** Noise sensitive land uses shall include residential uses, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, religious institutions, libraries, and similar uses. The proposed airstream motel/campground is not a sensitive receptor to noise. The Project is required to maintain noise levels at or below County Standards identified in Development Code Section 83.01.080. This is a mandatory requirement and not considered a mitigation measure.

XII b) **No Impact.** The five acre Project site is a relatively use. As no grading or construction is proposed, the Project will not expose persons to or generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise associated with truck deliveries. Additionally, the Project is required to maintain vibration and groundborne noise levels at or below standards identified in the County Development Code, Section 83.01.090. This is a mandatory requirement and not considered a mitigation measure.

XII c) **No Impact.** The Project, will not generate a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the Project. The Project is required to comply with the noise standards of the County Development Code and no noise exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated by the Project.

XII d) **No Impact.** As no construction is proposed no noise increase above the ambient noise levels will result, the Project will not generate a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the Project vicinity above levels existing without the Project. Adherence with the noise standards of the County Development Code is a condition of approval.
XII e) **No Impact.** As mentioned in the Hazards and Hazardous Materials Section of this document, the Yucca Valley Airport, the nearest public airport, is approximately 7.5 miles southeast of the Project site. The site is not within the approach/departure flight path of this public airport so will not expose persons to excessive noise levels associated with aircraft operations.

XII f) **No Impact.** The Landers Airport, the nearest private airport, is approximately 3.8 miles northeast of the Project site. The site is not within the vicinity or approach/departure flight path of this private airstrip so will not expose persons to excessive noise levels associated with aircraft operations.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:

a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?

b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

SUBSTANTIATION

XIII a) **No Impact.** The Project will not induce substantial population growth in the area either directly (it does not propose housing) or indirectly (it does not create a significant number of new jobs). The Project will serve the existing population in the area and the traveling public along Belfield Boulevard. The site is overseen by an existing caretaker who resides on-site.

XIII b) **No Impact.** The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of existing housing units, necessitating the construction of replacement housing because no housing units are proposed to be demolished as a result of this proposal.

XIII c) **No Impact.** The proposed use will not displace substantial numbers of people necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because the Project will not displace any existing housing or existing residents.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XIV. **PUBLIC SERVICES**

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Potentially Significant Impact</th>
<th>Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated</th>
<th>Less than Significant Impact</th>
<th>No Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fire Protection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Protection?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Public Facilities?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBSTANTIATION**

XIV a) **No Impact.** The proposed Project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services, including fire and police protection, schools, parks or other public facilities.

The Project is a small five acre campsite. It is located in a rural area and does not induce new growth by extending infrastructure or locating a development in an outlying undeveloped area.

As discussed in the Population and Housing section of this document, the Project does not result in a substantial population growth; it will not create a need for new governmental facilities. The traveling public will not impact governmental facilities. The Project will not have a significant impact on the maintenance of acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services listed above.

**No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.**
XV. **RECREATION**

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? □ □ □ ✗

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? □ □ □ ✗

**SUBSTANTIATION**

XV a) **No Impact.** This Project will not increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated. The Project will not generate any new residential units and the impacts generated by the employees of this Project will be minimal. Its purpose is to serve the recreational needs of residents and visitors of the area and persons traveling throughout the Homestead Valley.

XV b) **No Impact.** This Project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment because the type of proposal will not result in an increased demand for recreational facilities. Impacts are considered less than significant.

Therefore, no impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways, and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?  □ □ □ ☒

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?  □ □ □ ☒

c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?  □ □ □ ☒

d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?  □ □ □ ☒

e) Result in inadequate emergency access?  □ □ □ ☒

f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?  □ □ □ ☒

SUBSTANTIATION

XVI a) No Impact. The proposed Project is outside the boundary of any Local Area Transportation Fee Plan Area. The proposed development is conservatively projected to generate 45 daily trips with 5 AM Peak Hour trips and 7 PM Peak Hour trips. The existing project will not be intensified and consequently no trip generation increase is proposed.

XVI b) No Impact. The Project will not exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a LOS standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways, because County Public Works – Traffic Division has reviewed the traffic generation of the proposed Project.

XVI c) No Impact. The Project will not result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks. There are several small airports in the vicinity of the Project, but there is no anticipated notable impact on air traffic volumes by passengers or freight generated by the proposed use. No new air traffic facilities are proposed.

XVI d) No Impact. The Project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses,
because the Project site is adjacent to existing unpaved road that with the improvements conditioned as a function of the project, such as the driveway entrance access to the site will improve. There are no incompatible uses proposed by the Project that will impact surrounding land uses.

XVI e) **No Impact** The Project will not result in inadequate emergency access and access to the site is available from Belfield Road along Botkin Road

XVI f) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project will not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities. This Project will have no impact on alternative methods of transportation. The proposed Project will not decrease the performance of this alternative method of transportation in the community.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:

a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

f) Be served by a landfill(s) with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

SUBSTANTIATION

XVII a) No Impact. The proposed Project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Colorado River Basin Region, as determined by County Public Health – Environmental Health Services.

XVII b) No Impact. The proposed Project will not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities. The proposed commercial development must meet the water service connection requirements provided by the Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency. The water agency provided a will serve letter, which indicated that a water meter can be installed for this Project without need for a mainline extension.

XVII c) No Impact. The proposed Project will not require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities that cause significant environmental effects. As stated in the Hydrology and Water Quality Section of this document, the proposed Project will not increase storm flow rates from the site. It will not create any additional impacts on downstream storm drain facilities that will necessitate expansion of existing facilities or construction of new facilities.

XVII d) No Impact. The proposed Project will have sufficient water supplies available to serve the Project from existing entitlements and resources. The Bighorn-Desert View Water Agency has given assurance that it has adequate
water service capacity to serve the projected demand for the Project, in addition to the provider’s existing commitments.

XVII e) **No Impact.** The County's Division of Environmental Health Services (DEHS) will approve and oversee the proposed OWTS. Septic system pumpers must be approved by DEHS. Septage, the waste or sewage in a septic tank, is accepted at the Landers Regional Landfill, which is approximately 4 miles northeast of the site.

XVII f) **No Impact.** The Landers Sanitary Landfill will serve the solid waste needs of the Project. According to the CalRecycle webpage, this landfill has sufficient remaining capacity to accommodate 765,098 cubic yards of solid waste. The estimated closure date is 2018. There is adequate capacity to accommodate the Project’s solid waste disposal needs.

XVII g) **No Impact.** The proposed Project is required to comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste, construction waste diversion, and recycling.

No impacts are identified or anticipated and no mitigation measures are required.
XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (“Cumulatively considerable” means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?

c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?

SUBSTANTIATION

XVIII a) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The Project does not appear to have the potential to significantly degrade the overall quality of the region’s environment or substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory. There are no identified historic or prehistoric resources identified on this site. RCA Associates LLC, conducted a Focused Desert Tortoise Survey for the property in in April 2013 and again in July 2014. No special status species or desert tortoises or signs were observed on the site.

XVIII b) **Less Than Significant Impact.** The analysis in this Initial Study Checklist demonstrates that the Project is in compliance with all applicable regional plans including but not limited to water quality control plan, air quality maintenance plan, and greenhouse gas emissions reduction plan. Compliance with these plans serves to reduce impacts on a regional basis so that the Project will not produce impacts, that when considered with the effects of other past, present, and probable future projects, will be cumulatively considerable.

XVIII c) **Less Than Significant Impact.** As discussed in this Initial Study Checklist, the Project will not expose persons to adverse impacts related to Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Hazards and Hazardous Materials, Hydrology and Water Quality, Land Use and Planning, Noise, Population and Housing, Cultural Resources, or Traffic. These impacts are identified as having no impacts or less than significant impacts. Adherence with the following conditions of approval will reduce potential impacts to a level less than significant. At a minimum, the Project will be required to meet the conditions of approval in order for the Project to be implemented. It is anticipated that all such conditions of approval will further insure that no potential for adverse impacts will be introduced by construction activities, or land uses authorized by the Project approval.
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